Introduction To Data Science Groupwork-Based Assignment 1

Submission deadline: 12pm Monday 30th October
Submit to: Gradescope, via the module Ultra page
Word count: Between 500 and 1000 words
Weight: 5% of your module mark

Important: This is an assignment based on your experiences of group work in weeks 2, 3 and 4 of Michaelmas Term. Despite it being based on your experience of working in your group, this assignment is an **individual** piece of assessment, which will be awarded an **individual** mark. As always, discussing your assignments among group members and other students on the module is encouraged, but your submission must be **entirely your own work**.

Assessment Brief: In this assignment, you will produce a short piece of writing, reflecting on your understanding and experience of teamwork in the Introduction to Statistics for Data Science module since it began. Your piece **must** include:

- An example of some action or decision you took that benefitted the group, and how that benefit can be demonstrated.
- Which of the Belbin role descriptors you think best describes your approach to groupwork, with an example of how you have performed in that role in your group (you may specify more than one role descriptor if you believe multiple roles are relevant to you).
- One example of how another group member acted in one of Belbin's role, which role it was, and the affect upon the group of them taking on that role.
- One lesson you have learned from groupwork so far, that you will make use of going forward.

Your piece **could** include:

- Comments on your overall experience of working in your group.
- Any constructive criticism you have given or receive.
- A time you or a group member spoke or acted in a way demonstrative of one of De Bono's "hats". Which colour hat was this group member "wearing", and why?

Marking criteria: Your assignment will receive a score from 0 to 5. The criteria for each score are adapted from university marking criteria and are given below.

Score	Achievement level	Criteria
5	Exemplary	Full and concise reflection, demonstrating faultless understanding of teamwork models provided, both in theory and practice. Demonstrates extremely strong awareness of self and others in context of group work. Written in excellent English.
4	Excellent	Mostly full and concise reflection, demonstrating excellent understanding of teamwork models provided, both in theory and practice. Demonstrates strong awareness of self and others in context of group work. Written in excellent English.
3	Good	Thorough reflection, demonstrating very good understanding of teamwork models provided, both in theory and practice. Demonstrates some awareness of self and others in context of group work. Written in good English.
2	Acceptable	Incomplete but worthwhile reflection, demonstrating satisfactory understanding of teamwork models provided, both in theory and

		practice. Demonstrates some awareness of self and others in context of group work. Written with some failings in English.
1	Insufficient	Brief and shallow reflection, demonstrating failings in understanding of teamwork models provided, both in theory and practice. Demonstrates little awareness of self and others in context of group work, written in poor English.
0	Unacceptable	Total or near-total failure to engage in reflection, demonstrating serious failings of understanding of teamwork models provided, both in theory and practice. Demonstrates almost no awareness of self and others in context of group work. Written in very poor English.