MAS474 Extended linear models 2017-18 Exam Solutions

1. (i) (Unseen but routine) model1 is

$$\operatorname{bwt}_{ij} = \alpha + \beta \times \operatorname{days}_i + b_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$

where $b_i \sim N(0, \sigma_1^2)$ and $\epsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$.

model2 is

$$\operatorname{bwt}_{ij} = \alpha + \beta \times \operatorname{days}_{i} + b_{i}^{(1)} + b_{i}^{(2)} \times \operatorname{days}_{i} + \epsilon_{ij}$$

where $b_i^{(1)} \sim N(0, \sigma_1^2), b_i^{(2)} \sim N(0, \sigma_2^2)$ and $\epsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$.

- (ii) (Unseen but routine) model2 ✓as both the slopes and intercepts look as if they differ between guinea pigs. ✓
- (iii) (Unseen)Use the generalised likelihood ratio test ✓

$$\Gamma = -2(l(\theta_1) - l(\theta_2)) = -2(-487.5 - -473.1) = 28.8$$

According to Wilk's theorem $\Gamma \sim \chi_1^2 \checkmark$. The 95th percentile of a χ_1^2 is 1.96² = 3.84 < 28.8 \checkmark (or from tables) and so we reject model1 in favour of model2 at the 95% level \checkmark .

(iv) (Bookwork) Fit model to the data to find $\hat{\theta}$. Then

For $i = 1, \ldots, B \checkmark$

• Simulate fake data from model 2 using parameter $\hat{\theta}$.

$$y_{ij} \sim f(y \mid x, \hat{\theta})$$

• Refit model2 to the simulated data to get parameter estimate $\hat{\theta}^{(i)}$.

Form a 95% confidence interval by looking at the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles of

$$\hat{\theta}^{(1)}, \ldots, \hat{\theta}^{(B)}.$$

(v) (Unseen) The best prediction is

$$107.17 + 10 \times 4.27 = 149.9 \text{ grams}$$

A 95% prediction interval is formed by considering the variance of

$$b^{(1)} + 10b^{(2)} + \epsilon_{ij}$$

which is

$$\sigma_1^2 + 100\sigma_2^2 + \sigma^2 = 2029 \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$$

So a 95% prediction interval is

$$149 \pm 1.96 * \sqrt{2029} = [60.7, 237.2] \checkmark$$

2. (i) (a) (Bookwork) The data are MAR if

$$f(M \mid Y) = f(M|Y_{obs}) \checkmark$$

and NMAR if the $f(M \mid Y)$ depends on the missing values of $Y \checkmark$.

(b) (Bookwork) Given the observed data (Y_{obs}, M) , the full likelihood function is

$$L_{full}(\theta, \psi \mid Y_{obs}, M) = f(Y_{obs}, M \mid \theta, \psi)$$

$$= \int f(Y_{obs}, Y_{mis} \mid \theta) f(M \mid Y_{obs}, Y_{mis}, \psi) dY_{mis}. \checkmark$$

We can see that if the distribution of M doesn't depend on Y_{mis} , i.e., if it is MAR, then

$$L_{full}(\theta, \psi \mid Y_{obs}, M) = f(M \mid Y_{obs}, \psi) \int f(Y_{obs}, Y_{mis} \mid \theta) dY_{mis}$$

$$= f(M \mid Y_{obs}, \psi) f(Y_{obs} \mid \theta)$$

$$= f(M \mid Y_{obs}, \psi) L_{iqn}(\theta \mid Y_{obs})$$

and so to learn θ we only need to maximize $L_{ign}(\theta \mid Y_{obs})$.

- (ii) a) Interest here lies in the effect of the choice of fuel. Thus this should be represented as a fixed effect. The cars used in the study are not of direct interest, thus these are represented as random effects.
 - b)

$$y = X\beta + Zb + \epsilon \checkmark$$

where

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta_1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

c)
$$\hat{\alpha} = \bar{Y}_{...} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{ijk} Y_{ijk} \checkmark$$

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{64} \operatorname{Var}(\sum_{ijk} \alpha + \beta_i + b_j + \epsilon_{ijk})$$

$$= \frac{1}{64} \left(\operatorname{Var}(4 \sum_j b_j) + \sum_{ijk} \operatorname{Var}(\epsilon_{ijk}) \right) \checkmark$$

$$= \frac{1}{64} (16 \operatorname{Var}(\sum_j b_j) + 8\sigma^2) \checkmark$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{8} \checkmark$$

d) Note that

$$\bar{Y}_{1..} - \bar{Y}_{2..} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{jk} \left((\alpha + \beta_1 + b_j + \epsilon_{1jk}) - (\alpha + \beta_2 + b_j + \epsilon_{2jk}) \right) = 2\beta_1 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{jk} (\epsilon_{1jk} - \epsilon_{2jk}) \checkmark$$

$$\mathbb{C}\operatorname{ov}(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}_{1}) = \mathbb{C}\operatorname{ov}(\bar{Y}_{...}, \frac{\bar{Y}_{1...} - \bar{Y}_{2..}}{2})$$

$$= \mathbb{C}\operatorname{ov}\left(\frac{1}{8}\sum_{ijk}b_{j} + \epsilon_{ijk}, \frac{1}{8}\sum_{jk}(\epsilon_{1jk} - \epsilon_{2jk})\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{64}\mathbb{C}\operatorname{ov}\left(\sum_{ijk}\epsilon_{ijk}, \sum_{jk}(\epsilon_{1jk} - \epsilon_{2jk})\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{64}\left(\mathbb{C}\operatorname{ov}(\sum_{jk}\epsilon_{1jk}, \sum_{jk}\epsilon_{1jk}) - \mathbb{C}\operatorname{ov}(\sum_{jk}\epsilon_{2jk}, \sum_{jk}\epsilon_{2jk})\right)$$

$$= 0$$

3. This question is a fairly standard problem, and close to the example studied in the lecture notes, albeit with a twist in the information they are provided. As such, it is a mix of bookwork and unseen. Given that we will not spend much time on the EM algorithm this year, I think that is okay.

(i)

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \lambda e^{-\lambda X_{j+n}}$$
$$= \lambda^{m+n} \exp\left(-\lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m} X_{n+j}\right)\right)$$

and so

$$\log L(\theta) = (m+n)\log \lambda - \lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m} X_{j+n}\right) \checkmark \checkmark$$

as required.

(ii) $\mathbb{P}(X \le x \mid X \le h) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X \le x)}{\mathbb{P}(X \le h)} = \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda x}}{1 - e^{-\lambda h}} \checkmark$

(iii) $F(y) = \mathbb{P}(Y \leq y)$ and so $1 - F(y) = \mathbb{P}(Y > y)$ Hence

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} 1 - F(y) dy = \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Y > y) dy$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \mathbb{I}_{Y > y} dy$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}_{Y > y} dy \right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{0}^{Y} 1 dy \right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(Y)$$

as required.

(iv) Use the result from part (ii)

$$\mathbb{E}(X \mid X \le h, \lambda) = \int_0^h \mathbb{P}(X > x \mid X \le h) dx$$

$$= \int_0^h 1 - \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda x}}{1 - e^{-\lambda h}} dx$$

$$= h - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\lambda h}} \left[x + \frac{e^{-\lambda x}}{\lambda} \right]_0^h$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{he^{-\lambda h}}{1 - e^{-\lambda h}}$$

(v)

$$Q(\lambda \mid \lambda^{(k)}) = \mathbb{E}_{\lambda^{(k)}} \left(\log L(\lambda \mid x_1, \dots, x_n, X_{n+1}, \dots X_{n+m}) \mid x_1, \dots, x_n, r \text{ of the } X_i > h, \lambda^{(k)} \right) \checkmark$$

$$= (m+n) \log \lambda - \lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + (m-r) \mathbb{E}(X \mid X > h) + r \mathbb{E}(X \mid X \le h) \right) \checkmark$$

$$= (m+n) \log \lambda - \lambda \left(n\bar{x} + (m-r)(h + \frac{1}{\lambda^{(k)}}) + r(\frac{1}{\lambda^{(k)}} - \frac{he^{-h\lambda^{(r)}}}{1 - e^{-h\lambda^{(r)}}}) \right) \checkmark$$

as $\mathbb{E}(X \mid X > h) = h + \frac{1}{\lambda}$ because X is exponential and has the memoryless property. \checkmark (vi) Thus to find $\lambda^{(k+1)}$ we minimize $Q(\lambda \mid \lambda^{(k)})$ wrt λ .

$$\frac{dQ}{d\lambda} = \frac{m+n}{\lambda} - \left(n\bar{x} + (m-r)(h + \frac{1}{\lambda^{(k)}}) + r(\frac{1}{\lambda^{(k)}} - \frac{he^{-h\lambda^{(k)}}}{1 - e^{-h\lambda^{(k)}}})\right) \checkmark$$

and so

$$\lambda^{(k+1)} = \frac{m+n}{n\bar{x} + (m-r)(h + \frac{1}{\lambda^{(k)}}) + r(\frac{1}{\lambda^{(k)}} - \frac{he^{-h\lambda^{(k)}}}{1 - e^{-h\lambda^{(k)}}})} \checkmark$$