



The  
University  
Of  
Sheffield.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS

Spring Semester  
2017–2018

Inference

3 hours

## Solutions

*Candidates may bring to the examination a calculator which conforms to University regulations.  
Marks will be awarded for your best **five** answers. Total marks 100.*

**Please leave this exam paper on your desk  
Do not remove it from the hall**

Registration number from U-Card (9 digits)  
to be completed by student

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

Blank

1 (i) (Bookwork)

$$F_X(x) = \mathbb{P}(X \leq x) \checkmark$$

(ii) (Bookwork)

$$\hat{F}_X(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{X_i \leq x} \checkmark \checkmark$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\hat{F}_X(x)) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{X_i \leq x}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{I}_{X_i \leq x}) \quad (\text{as } \mathbb{E} \text{ is a linear operator}) \checkmark \\ &= \frac{n}{n} \mathbb{P}(X_i \leq x) \checkmark \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_1 \leq x) = F_X(x) \checkmark. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) (Bookwork) As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\hat{F}_X(x) \rightarrow F_X(x) \checkmark$  with probability one (mark just for saying it converges), and by the CLT, it has an approximate normal distribution  $\checkmark$ .

$$\hat{F}_X(x) \sim N\left(F_X(x), \frac{F_X(x)(1 - F_X(x))}{n}\right) \checkmark$$

(iv) (Unseen) By the plug-in principle we need to find  $m$  s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} &\leq \int_{-\infty}^m d\hat{F}(x) \checkmark \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^m \frac{1}{n} \sum \delta(X_i - x) dx \checkmark \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum \mathbb{I}_{X_i \leq m} \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} &\leq \int_m^{\infty} d\hat{F}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum \mathbb{I}_{X_i \geq m} \end{aligned}$$

So  $\hat{m} = X_{(\frac{n+1}{2})}$ , i.e., the midpoint/median of the dataset  $\checkmark$ .

1 (continued)

- (v) (Unseen) For  $i = 1, \dots, B$  ✓
- Sample  $X_1^{(i)}, \dots, X_n^{(i)}$  with replacement from  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ . ✓
  - Set  $\hat{m}^{(i)} = X_{(\frac{n+1}{2})}^{(i)}$ . ✓

Calculate the standard error as

$$se(\hat{m}) = \frac{1}{B-1} \sum_{i=1}^B (\hat{m}^{(i)} - \bar{\hat{m}})^2 \quad \checkmark$$

where  $\bar{\hat{m}} = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^B \hat{m}^{(i)}$ . ✓

- (vi) (Routine) A 95% CI can be found by calculating the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles of  $\hat{m}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{m}^{(B)}$ . ✓✓

Or, as  $\bar{\hat{m}} \pm 1.96se(\hat{m})$ , but only if the  $\hat{m}^{(i)}$  are approximately normally distributed.

- 2 A precision weighing device yields unbiased measurements within half a gramme, modelled as  $x \sim \text{Un}(x | \theta - 1/2, \theta + 1/2)$ , where  $\theta$  is the unknown weight. A priori, it is believed  $\theta \sim \text{Un}(\theta | 10, 20)$ .

- (i) Find the posterior distribution of  $\theta$  if a single measurement,  $x = 12$ , is made.

(5 marks)

The likelihood is uniform in  $x > \theta - 1/2$  and  $x < \theta + 1/2$ , thus constant in  $\theta \in \{11.5, 12.5\}$ . When multiplied by the prior, the posterior is constant in this same region and thus

$$\pi(\theta | x = 12) = 1; \quad 11.5 < \theta < 12.5.$$

4M 1A

- (ii) Using a new set of six measurements,  $\mathbf{x} = \{11, 11.5, 11.7, 11.1, 11.4, 10.9\}$ .

- (a) Find the posterior distribution of  $\theta$ .

(8 marks)

The likelihood is constant in the region defined by  $x_{(1)} > \theta - 1/2$  and  $x_{(n)} < \theta + 1/2$  and thus constant in  $\{x_{(n)} - 1/2, x_{(1)} + 1/2\} = \{11.2, 11.4\}$ . Given that the prior is also constant in this region, the posterior is

$$\pi(\theta | \mathbf{x}) = 5; \quad 11.2 < \theta < 11.4.$$

6M 2A

- (b) Show that the posterior mean and variance are 11.3 and 0.27, respectively.

(2 marks)

From the distributions handout, the posterior mean and variance are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\theta | \mathbf{x}] &= \frac{11.4 + 11.2}{2} = 11.3, \\ \mathbb{V}[\theta | \mathbf{x}] &= \frac{(11.4 - 11.2)^2}{12} = \frac{0.01}{3} \approx 0.003. \end{aligned}$$

1M 1A

- (c) Provide an equally tailed posterior interval of probability 0.95 and explain why this is a HPD interval.

(5 marks)

Given that the posterior is uniform, any interval of probability  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  is HPD. In particular, the equally tailed interval,  $[a, b]$  is determined by

$$(a - 11.2) \times 5 = 0.025 \quad \text{and} \quad (11.4 - b) \times 5 = 0.025;$$

hence,  $a = 11.205$  and  $b = 11.395$ .

2M 3A

- 3 (i) (Routine) Estimates are  $\hat{M} = 10419.7$  and  $\hat{P}_1 = 65/1000 = 0.065$ . ✓✓

Confidence intervals are

$$\hat{M} \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{141763122}{1000}}: (9682, 11158) \text{ ✓✓}$$

$$\hat{P} \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{0.065 \times 0.935}{1000}}: (0.050, 0.080) \text{ ✓✓}$$

The width of the CI for  $M$  is  $2 \times 1.96 \times \sqrt{\frac{141763122}{1000}}$ . So to make the width less than 10 we would need

$$n = \left( 2 \times 1.96 \times \frac{\sqrt{141763122}}{10} \right)^2 = 21783888. \text{ ✓}$$

- (ii) (Unseen) Inversion sampling has been used to generate  $x$  ✓, with antithetic sampling ✓ used to generate negatively correlated pairs. This reduces the variance of the sample mean ✓.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\bar{c}) &= \text{Var} \left\{ \frac{1}{1000} \sum_{i=1}^{1000} c_i \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{1000^2} \{1000 \times \text{Var}(c_i) + 2 \times 500 \times \text{Cov}(c_i, c_{i+500})\} \text{ ✓✓} \\ &= \frac{1}{1000} \{153930901 \times (1 - 0.505)\} \text{ ✓} \\ &= 76083. \text{ ✓} \end{aligned}$$

So 95% confidence interval is  $10794 \pm 1.96\sqrt{76083}$ , i.e. (10253, 11334). ✓

3 (continued)

(iii) (Unseen) We want to calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 M &= \mathbb{E}c(X, Y) \\
 &= \int c(x, y)\pi_X(x)\pi_Y(y)dxdy \\
 &= \int c(x, y)\pi_X(x)\frac{\pi_Y(y)}{g(y)}g(y)dxdy \checkmark \checkmark \\
 &\approx \frac{1}{n} \sum c(x_i, y_i)h(y_i) \checkmark
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $g(y)$  is the  $N(10, 4)$  pdf, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(y) &= \frac{\pi_Y(y)}{g(y)} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{1}{4}ye^{-y/2}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi}}e^{-(y-10)^2/8}}\mathbb{I}_{y>0} \\
 &= \frac{\sqrt{8\pi}ye^{-y/2+(y-10)^2/8}}{4}\mathbb{I}_{y>0} \checkmark
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, an estimate of  $M$  is

$$\hat{M} = \frac{1}{1000} \sum_{i=1}^{1000} c_i \frac{y_i \exp(-0.5y_i + (y_i - 10)^2/8) \sqrt{8\pi}}{4} \mathbb{I}_{y_i>0} \checkmark$$

- 4 Assume that the waiting time,  $t$ , of a client in a bank can be modelled with an exponential distribution with unknown parameter  $\lambda$ ,

$$f(t | \lambda) = \lambda \exp[-\lambda t], \quad \lambda > 0.$$

and that the prior distribution is Gamma with parameters  $(a, b)$ :

$$\pi(\lambda) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma[a]} \lambda^{a-1} \exp[-b\lambda]; \quad a, b > 0.$$

- (i) Find the prior parameters if we believe  $\mathbb{E}[\lambda] = 0.2$  and  $\mathbb{V}[\lambda] = 1$ . (1 mark)

Using the distributions handout,  $a/b = 0.2$  and  $a/b^2 = 1$  yields  $a = 0.04$  and  $b = 0.2$ .

0.5M each

- (ii) An average waiting time,  $\bar{t} = 3.8$ , is recorded from observing 20 clients at random. Show that the prior is conjugate and provide the posterior parameters. (5 marks)

The likelihood is

$$L(\lambda; \mathbf{x}) \propto \lambda^n \exp\left[-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n t_i\right]$$

and thus the posterior is

$$\pi(\lambda | \mathbf{x}) = \text{Ga}(\lambda | a^*, b^*)$$

$$\text{with } a^* = a + n = 20.04 \text{ and } b^* = b + n\bar{t} = 76.2.$$

4M 1A

- (iii) The coefficient of variation of a random quantity with nonzero mean,  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma > 0$  is defined as  $\sigma/\mu$ . What is the smallest sample size required to reduce the posterior coefficient of variation to 0.1? (6 marks)

$$\frac{\sigma}{\mu} = \frac{\sqrt{a^*/b^{*2}}}{a^*/b^*} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^*}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a+n}} = 0.1$$

Thus,  $a + n = 100$  and  $n \geq 100$ .

3M 3A



4 (continued)

- (iv) Explain why the highest predictive probability interval of the waiting time for a randomly chosen new client is of the form  $(0, c)$  and show that  $c = 12.286$ .

*(8 marks)*

The predictive distribution of the waiting time,  $y$ , of a new client is

$$\begin{aligned} f(y | \mathbf{x}) &= \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda y} \frac{b^{*a^*}}{\Gamma[a^*]} \lambda^{a^*-1} \exp[-\lambda b^*] d\lambda \\ &= \frac{a^*}{b^*} \left(1 + \frac{y}{b^*}\right)^{-(a^*+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

a decreasing function of  $y$ . Hence the predictive mode is at 0 and the upper limit, of the HPD interval is determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^c \frac{a^*}{b^*} \left(1 + \frac{y}{b^*}\right)^{-(a^*+1)} dy &= 0.95 \\ 1 - \left(1 + \frac{c}{b^*}\right)^{-a^*} &= 0.95 \\ c = b^* \left( \left(\frac{1}{0.05}\right)^{1/a^*} - 1 \right) &= 12.286. \end{aligned}$$

**6M 2A**

- 5 (i) (a) (routine) Method I is a two sample randomisation test. ✓  
 Method II is a Monte Carlo hypothesis test. ✓  
 Null hypothesis is that group means are equal  $\mu_x = \mu_y$ .  
 Alternative is that  $\mu_x \neq \mu_y$ . ✓
- (b) (bookwork) Assumption is that subjects have been allocated to the two groups randomly. ✓
- (c) (routine) There are  $^{12}C_6 = 924$  possible allocations of patients into group. ✓  
 Smallest  $p$ -value obtained when, for the observed data, every measurement in one group is greater than every measurement in the other. So  $p$ -value in this case would be  $2/924 = 0.0022$  for two-sided alternative. ✓
- (d) (routine)  $p$ -value for the randomisation test is 0.041, and for the Monte Carlo test it is 0.031. So in both cases we would reject  $H_0$ . ✓
- (e) (bookwork) Could use  $\text{mean}(x) - \text{mean}(y)$ . ✓

5 (continued)

(ii) (a) (routine) We require

$$\int_{-k}^k f(x) dx = 1. \checkmark$$

But we know

$$\int_{-k}^k \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} dx = \Phi(k) - \Phi(-k) \checkmark$$

where  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is the CDF of a standard normal random variable. Thus

$$r = \frac{1}{\Phi(k) - \Phi(-k)}. \checkmark$$

.

(b) (unseen) If we use a uniform proposal, then  $g(x) = \frac{1}{2k} \checkmark$  and thus the max of  $f(x)/g(x)$  occurs at  $x = 0 \checkmark$  and we find

$$M = \sup \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{2kr}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \checkmark$$

So the rejection algorithm in this case is:

- Simulate  $Y \sim U[-k, k]$  and  $U \sim U[0, 1] \checkmark$
- If  $U \leq e^{-X^2/2}$  set  $X = Y$ . Otherwise return to step 1.  $\checkmark$

The acceptance rate of this algorithm will be

$$\frac{1}{M} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2kr}. \checkmark$$

(c) (unseen) If we use a truncated normal as a proposal, then the acceptance rate of the rejection algorithm is simply

$$\frac{1}{r} = \Phi(k) - \Phi(-k). \checkmark$$

Thus the uniform proposal has a higher acceptance rate if

$$\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2kr} > \frac{1}{r}$$

which happens if and only if

$$k < \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}. \checkmark \checkmark$$

6 Consider the regression model,

$$y_i = \alpha_i + \beta x_i + \varepsilon_i ; \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

with  $\varepsilon_i \sim N(\varepsilon_i | 0, 1/\lambda)$ , i.i.d. And prior structure

$$\alpha_i \sim N(\alpha_i | \mu, 1/p) ; \quad \text{independent for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\mu \sim N(\mu | a, 1/r)$$

$$\beta \sim N(\beta | b, 1/q)$$

and

$$\lambda \sim \text{Ga}(\lambda | c, d)$$

(i) Show that the full conditional of:

- (a) Each of the individual intercepts,  $\alpha_i$ , is Gaussian and provide explicit expressions for the parameters. (3 marks)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\alpha_i | -) &\propto \exp\left[-\frac{\lambda}{2}(y_i - \alpha_i - \beta x_i)^2\right] \exp\left[-\frac{p}{2}(\alpha_i - \mu)^2\right] \\ &\propto \exp\left[-\frac{p^*}{2}(\alpha_i - a^*)^2\right] \end{aligned}$$

with  $p^* = p + \lambda$  and  $a^* = (\lambda(y_i - \beta x_i) + p\mu)/p^*$ .

1M 1A

- (b) The mean intercept,  $\mu$ , is Gaussian and provide explicit expressions for the parameters. (3 marks)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\mu | -) &\propto \exp\left[-\frac{p}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i - \mu)^2\right] \exp\left[-\frac{r}{2}(\mu - a)^2\right] \\ &\propto \exp\left[-\frac{r^*}{2}(\mu - m^*)^2\right] \end{aligned}$$

with  $r^* = r + np$  and  $m^* = (np\bar{\alpha} + ra)/r^*$ .

2M 1A

6 (continued)

- (c) The regression slope,  $\beta$ , is Gaussian and provide explicit expressions for the parameters. (3 marks)

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\beta | -) &\propto \exp\left[-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \alpha_i - \beta x_i)^2\right] \exp\left[-\frac{q}{2}(\beta - b)^2\right] \\ &\propto \exp\left[-\frac{q^*}{2}(\beta - b^*)^2\right]\end{aligned}$$

with  $q^* = \lambda \sum x_i^2 + q$  and  $b^* = (\lambda \sum x_i(y_i - \alpha_i) + qb)/q^*$ .

2M 1A

- (d) The regression precision,  $\lambda$ , is Gamma and provide explicit expressions for the parameters. (3 marks)

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\lambda | -) &\propto \lambda^{n/2} \exp\left[-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \alpha_i - \beta x_i)^2\right] \lambda^{c-1} e^{-d\lambda} \\ &\propto \lambda^{c^*-1} \exp[-d^*\lambda]\end{aligned}$$

with  $c^* = c + n/2$  and  $d^* = d + \frac{1}{2} \sum (y_i - \alpha_i - \beta x_i)^2$ .

2M 1A

- (ii) Write pseudo-code for an MCMC sampling scheme for exploring the posterior distribution. (8 marks)

(continued)

We can setup a Gibbs sampler, cycling through the full conditionals. First, select the length of the chain,  $M$ . Then

1: **procedure** GIBBS SAMPLER

2:     Set  $\{\alpha^{(0)}, \mu^{(0)}, \beta^{(0)}, \lambda^{(0)}\}$

3:     **for**  $j = 1, \dots, M$  **do**

4:         Sample  $\lambda^{(j)}$  from  $\text{Ga}(\cdot | a, b)$ , with

$$a = c + n/2 \quad \text{and} \quad b = d + \frac{1}{2} \sum (y_i - \alpha_i^{(j-1)} - \beta^{(j-1)} x_i)^2$$

5:         Sample  $\beta^{(j)}$  from  $N(\cdot | \nu, 1/\tau)$ , with

$$\tau = \lambda^{(j-1)} \sum x_i^2 + q \quad \text{and} \quad \nu = (\lambda^{(j-1)} \sum x_i (y_i - \alpha_i^{(j-1)}) + qb)/\tau$$

6:         Sample  $\mu^{(j)}$  from  $N(\cdot | \nu, 1/\tau)$ , with

$$\tau = r + np \quad \text{and} \quad \nu = (np\bar{\alpha}^{(j-1)} + ra)/\tau$$

7:         **for**  $i = 1, \dots, n$  **do**

8:             Draw  $\alpha_i^{(j)}$  from  $N(\cdot | \nu, 1/\tau)$ , with

$$\tau = p + \lambda^{(j)} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu = (\lambda^{(j)} (y_i - \beta^{(j)} x_i) + p\mu^{(j)})/\tau$$

9:         **end for**

10:         Record  $\{\alpha^{(j)}, \nu^{(j)}, \beta^{(j)}, \lambda^{(j)}\}$

11:     **end for**

12: **end procedure**

**Award 2M for each correct parameter/step. Deduct 1M if the updated state of the chain has not been taken considered explicitly.**

**Award full marks if MH is used instead of Gibbs, iff an appropriate proposal has been put forward and the acceptance rate included explicitly.**

**End of Question Paper**