

April 13, 2016

James Patrick Tyack, Attorney
The Tyack Law Firm Co. LPA
536 South High Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5605

Re: Clinical Alcohol Assessment for Leslie M. Basinger (DOB – 10/1/1982)

Dear Mr. Tyack:

I performed a Clinical Alcohol Assessment for Ms. Basinger in reference to her December 2015 physical control charge in Franklin County. This assessment included a 50-minute in-person clinical interview, and the administration of:

- A Michigan Alcohol Screening Test (MAST)
- An Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test (AUDIT)
- A general anxiety/depression screening

The following items provide a summary of the screening results, my diagnostic opinion and professional recommendations:

MAST: score = 1 / *NEGATIVE SCREEN*; no direct or indirect indication of problem alcohol use, mitigate concerns with clinical recommendations

AUDIT: score =1 / *NEGATIVE SCREEN*; scores of 8 or more indicate *hazardous use*, scores of 13 or more in women indicate *moderate to severe* disorder present, mitigate concerns with clinical recommendations

Alcohol Use Risk Factors:

Concerns/Risks

- legal history – denies previous history
- severity of incident – NA
- anxiety/depression – mild symptoms/alcohol use not contraindicated
- other substance use – NA
- family history – 1 blood relative with history of problem alcohol use
- prior treatment history – NA
- peer influences– NA
- work environment – NA
- contraindicated prescription use – NA
- general health problems – NA
- current family stress – NA

Summary - 1 of 11 concerns, mitigate concern with clinical recommendations

Anxiety/Depression Screening: mild symptoms being successfully managed under consultation with physician

Clinical Interview/Summary: Ms. Basinger's written screenings provided no indication of an alcohol use disorder at any level (mild, moderate or severe). She reported her normal use of alcohol before this charge as 3 drinks on 0-1 occasions per week and a maximum at any time of 5 drinks over a period of 4-5 hours (infrequent social events). She reported no difference in the amount consumed if she was attending a special event or celebration.

Ms. Basinger and I discussed her OVI incident and general use of alcohol at length. I was unable to find the incident or her overall history and presentation indicative of someone experiencing DSM-5 alcohol use disorder symptoms but noted some risk factors (e.g. rare occasions of more than 4 drinks). We discussed the content of her recent completion of the Wellness Driver Intervention Program. She was articulate about program content and clearly impacted by the stories of individuals who were victimized by impaired drivers. As a result of the personal impact she watched the videotaped stories of these individuals with her 17-year-old sister, her 12-year-old son, her husband and her parents. At this time, I believe the Driver Intervention Program was a sufficient intervention for Ms. Basinger and I have no further professional recommendations for her.

DSM-5 DIAGNOSIS in Reference to Substances: Z03.89 (suspected substance use condition not found)

Treatment Recommendations: Since I found no basis for a DSM-5 alcohol use disorder and Ms. Basinger has successfully completed a Driver Intervention Program I have no further recommendations for her at this time.

If you or the court possess additional information about Ms. Basinger's use of alcohol that might impact the outcome of this assessment, I would be happy to review it and consider appropriate modifications or amendments to the present report.

Thank you for the opportunity to assist the court, Ms. Basinger, and yourself in this important matter.

Sincerely,



Brian T. Davis, LISW-S, SAP
LIC# I-7948
cc: Leslie M. Basinger

Prohibition Against Re-Disclosure: This information has been disclosed to you from records protected by Federal Confidentiality rules. The Federal rules prohibit you from making any further disclosure of this

information unless further disclosure is expressly permitted by the written consent of the person to whom it pertains or as otherwise permitted by 42 C.F.R Part 2. A general authorization for the release of medical or other information is not sufficient for this purpose. The Federal rules restrict any use of information to criminally investigate or prosecute any alcohol or drug abuse client. Drug abuse patient records are also protected under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA"), 45 C.R.R parts 160 & 165. (These conditions apply to every page disclosed and a copy of this authorization will accompany every disclosure.)

Diagnostic Conclusions / Limitations: Directions Counseling Group provides Clinical Alcohol Assessments based on objective and standardized screenings and interviewing methods as well as self-reported information. Clinical conclusions are made to the best of the professional's ability with data on hand at the time of the assessment. Expanded assessments which involve additional background research, collateral information and third party verification can be provided as an additional service upon request.