

MONEY AND BANKING

LECTURE 5: ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS EXISTENCE

Gu, Xin

School of Finance
Zhejiang Gongshang University

OUTLINE

1 RECAP

2 INTRODUCTION

3 ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION

- Adverse Selection
- Moral Hazard

4 BANKS IN ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION REDUCTION

- Information Gathering
- Default Risk Deduction: Collateral and Net Worth
- Moral Hazard Prevention
- Credit Rationing

5 SUMMARY

PREVIOUS LECTURE RECAP

- Money is one of financial assets and functions as **medium of exchange, unit of account, and store of value**, and it also is the settlement of debts.

PREVIOUS LECTURE RECAP

- Money is one of financial assets and functions as **medium of exchange, unit of account, and store of value**, and it also is the settlement of debts.
- (Fiat) Money is prevailing in the current economic system. The trade-off between money and other financial assets reflects in interest rate changes in financial market (as we did in Keynes' preference analysis of financial market).

PREVIOUS LECTURE RECAP

- Money is one of financial assets and functions as **medium of exchange, unit of account, and store of value**, and it also is the settlement of debts.
- (Fiat) Money is prevailing in the current economic system. The trade-off between money and other financial assets reflects in interest rate changes in financial market (as we did in Keynes' preference analysis of financial market).
- In the categorization of money, we see demand deposits are defined as M_1 . **The natural question here is whether or not banking activities related to money?**

PREVIOUS LECTURE RECAP

- Money is one of financial assets and functions as **medium of exchange, unit of account, and store of value**, and it also is the settlement of debts.
- (Fiat) Money is prevailing in the current economic system. The trade-off between money and other financial assets reflects in interest rate changes in financial market (as we did in Keynes' preference analysis of financial market).
- In the categorization of money, we see demand deposits are defined as M_1 . **The natural question here is whether or not banking activities related to money?**
- In this lecture, we are going to explore *why do we need financial intermediaries such as banks in the economy* in the first place.

OUTLINE

1 RECAP

2 INTRODUCTION

3 ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION

- Adverse Selection
- Moral Hazard

4 BANKS IN ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION REDUCTION

- Information Gathering
- Default Risk Deduction: Collateral and Net Worth
- Moral Hazard Prevention
- Credit Rationing

5 SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

- Remember the fictional case we have in the first lecture. When you plan to raise funds in a party, your invited friends (prospective investors) are going to ask you tons of questions.

INTRODUCTION

- Remember the fictional case we have in the first lecture. When you plan to raise funds in a party, your invited friends (prospective investors) are going to ask you tons of questions.
- Why do they ask you so many questions? **Because you know better about the business (in that case, a computer store) than most of them.**

INTRODUCTION

- Remember the fictional case we have in the first lecture. When you plan to raise funds in a party, your invited friends (prospective investors) are going to ask you tons of questions.
- Why do they ask you so many questions? **Because you know better about the business (in that case, a computer store) than most of them.**
- This is an interesting economic phenomenon, known as ***asymmetric information***.

INTRODUCTION

- Remember the fictional case we have in the first lecture. When you plan to raise funds in a party, your invited friends (prospective investors) are going to ask you tons of questions.
- Why do they ask you so many questions? **Because you know better about the business (in that case, a computer store) than most of them.**
- This is an interesting economic phenomenon, known as ***asymmetric information***.
- Asymmetric information problem simply states that when one party with more private information is likely to take advantage of the information, engaging in a transaction that is adverse for the counterpart.

OUTLINE

1 RECAP

2 INTRODUCTION

3 ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION

- Adverse Selection
- Moral Hazard

4 BANKS IN ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION REDUCTION

- Information Gathering
- Default Risk Deduction: Collateral and Net Worth
- Moral Hazard Prevention
- Credit Rationing

5 SUMMARY

ADVERSE SELECTION

- *Adverse selection* happens *before* the transaction.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- *Adverse selection* happens *before* the transaction.
- It refers generally to a situation where sellers have information that buyers do not have, or vice versa, about some aspect of product quality, for example.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- *Adverse selection* happens *before* the transaction.
- It refers generally to a situation where sellers have information that buyers do not have, or vice versa, about some aspect of product quality, for example.
- Example: Suppose there is an insurance company, Zhejiang Gongshang Insurance, selling health insurance to the public. Statistics indicate that persons who love smoking are more likely to have lung cancer.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- *Adverse selection* happens *before* the transaction.
- It refers generally to a situation where sellers have information that buyers do not have, or vice versa, about some aspect of product quality, for example.
- Example: Suppose there is an insurance company, Zhejiang Gongshang Insurance, selling health insurance to the public. Statistics indicate that persons who love smoking are more likely to have lung cancer.
- Zhejiang Gongshang Insurance would charge 1,000 RMB per month for persons with no smoking history, while 2,000 RMB per month for smokers.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- It is reasonable because Zhejiang Gongshang Insurance expects to pay more for smokers when they were in hospital treatments.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- It is reasonable because Zhejiang Gongshang Insurance expects to pay more for smokers when they were in hospital treatments.
- With no appropriate measurements, smokers intend to hide the history of smoking, since they possess those private information.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- It is reasonable because Zhejiang Gongshang Insurance expects to pay more for smokers when they were in hospital treatments.
- With no appropriate measurements, smokers intend to hide the history of smoking, since they possess those private information.
- If they got lung cancer, smokers are eligible to ask Insurance to cover the medicine bills.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- It is reasonable because Zhejiang Gongshang Insurance expects to pay more for smokers when they were in hospital treatments.
- With no appropriate measurements, smokers intend to hide the history of smoking, since they possess those private information.
- If they got lung cancer, smokers are eligible to ask Insurance to cover the medicine bills.
- How about, at this time, Zhejiang Gongshang Insurance raising the premium from 1,000 RMB to 1,500 RMB per month?

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Non-smokers might find the premium is too expensive, while smokers are still in favor of buying the insurance, because the premium is still less than the medicine coverage that insurance would provide.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Non-smokers might find the premium is too expensive, while smokers are still in favor of buying the insurance, because the premium is still less than the medicine coverage that insurance would provide.
- As a result, smokers who are most likely to bring about *adverse* consequences to Insurance are *selected*.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Non-smokers might find the premium is too expensive, while smokers are still in favor of buying the insurance, because the premium is still less than the medicine coverage that insurance would provide.
- As a result, smokers who are most likely to bring about *adverse* consequences to Insurance are *selected*.
- Adverse selection is a big idea in economic theory, because the problem arises in many types of markets.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Non-smokers might find the premium is too expensive, while smokers are still in favor of buying the insurance, because the premium is still less than the medicine coverage that insurance would provide.
- As a result, smokers who are most likely to bring about *adverse* consequences to Insurance are *selected*.
- Adverse selection is a big idea in economic theory, because the problem arises in many types of markets.
- In 1970, George Akerlof of the University of California, Berkley, published the classical paper on adverse selection; he won the Nobel Prize in Economics in 2002.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- In the paper, Akerlof presented a life example about used cars to show how adverse selection causes markets to malfunction. It is also known as **Lemon Problem**.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- In the paper, Akerlof presented a life example about used cars to show how adverse selection causes markets to malfunction. It is also known as **Lemon Problem**.
- In English, "lemon" means goods with bad quality, whereas "peach" means goods with good quality.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- In the paper, Akerlof presented a life example about used cars to show how adverse selection causes markets to malfunction. It is also known as **Lemon Problem**.
- In English, "lemon" means goods with bad quality, whereas "peach" means goods with good quality.
- To be more life connected, I present used cell phones to replace used cars.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- In the paper, Akerlof presented a life example about used cars to show how adverse selection causes markets to malfunction. It is also known as **Lemon Problem**.
- In English, "lemon" means goods with bad quality, whereas "peach" means goods with good quality.
- To be more life connected, I present used cell phones to replace used cars.
- Suppose there is a used Huawei cell phones trade market on campus.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Some are peaches, and some are lemons.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Some are peaches, and some are lemons.
- If everyone knew the quality of each Huawei cells (Mate 10) in the market, the price of each cell would reflect its quality.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Some are peaches, and some are lemons.
- If everyone knew the quality of each Huawei cells (Mate 10) in the market, the price of each cell would reflect its quality.
- Peaches would sell more than lemons.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Some are peaches, and some are lemons.
- If everyone knew the quality of each Huawei cells (Mate 10) in the market, the price of each cell would reflect its quality.
- Peaches would sell more than lemons.
- The market would also function if *nobody* knew the quality of each cell phone. (Why?)

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Akerlof, however, considers an intermediate case in which information is asymmetric.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Akerlof, however, considers an intermediate case in which information is asymmetric.
- The seller of a cell phone knows its quality because she has experience using it. But the buyer does not know the quality: to him, all cell phones (Mate 10) are alike.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Akerlof, however, considers an intermediate case in which information is asymmetric.
- The seller of a cell phone knows its quality because she has experience using it. But the buyer does not know the quality: to him, all cell phones (Mate 10) are alike.
- It would be a single price for all Mate 10, as in the case when nobody observes quality.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Akerlof, however, considers an intermediate case in which information is asymmetric.
- The seller of a cell phone knows its quality because she has experience using it. But the buyer does not know the quality: to him, all cell phones (Mate 10) are alike.
- It would be a single price for all Mate 10, as in the case when nobody observes quality.
- But now there is a problem. When owners of good Mate 10 saw a price based on average quality, which is less than what they believe their cells deserve, they will hold onto the cell phones rather than selling them.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- In contrast, owners of lemons will early dump their cars for a price based on average quality.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- In contrast, owners of lemons will early dump their cars for a price based on average quality.
- At this price, the market will be flooded with lemons.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- In contrast, owners of lemons will early dump their cars for a price based on average quality.
- At this price, the market will be flooded with lemons.
- When only lemons are available, buyers realize they will end up with one lemon, and so the price falls further.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- In contrast, owners of lemons will early dump their cars for a price based on average quality.
- At this price, the market will be flooded with lemons.
- When only lemons are available, buyers realize they will end up with one lemon, and so the price falls further.
- Then only cell phones with terrible quality are available around the market.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Let us see how lemon problem would jeopardize securities markets.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Let us see how lemon problem would jeopardize securities markets.
- When a firm issues a security, it knows more than buyers do about the security's likely returns.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Let us see how lemon problem would jeopardize securities markets.
- When a firm issues a security, it knows more than buyers do about the security's likely returns.
- Case I: stocks. The value of stock depends on the firm's future earnings.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Let us see how lemon problem would jeopardize securities markets.
- When a firm issues a security, it knows more than buyers do about the security's likely returns.
- Case I: stocks. The value of stock depends on the firm's future earnings.
- Investors could forecast these earnings on the firm's past performance and its future plans.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Let us see how lemon problem would jeopardize securities markets.
- When a firm issues a security, it knows more than buyers do about the security's likely returns.
- Case I: stocks. The value of stock depends on the firm's future earnings.
- Investors could forecast these earnings on the firm's past performance and its future plans.
- Some firms will know their stock is undervalued, that is, selling price is less than its intrinsic value.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Let us see how lemon problem would jeopardize securities markets.
- When a firm issues a security, it knows more than buyers do about the security's likely returns.
- Case I: stocks. The value of stock depends on the firm's future earnings.
- Investors could forecast these earnings on the firm's past performance and its future plans.
- Some firms will know their stock is undervalued, that is, selling price is less than its intrinsic value.
- Some will know their stock is overvalued, that is, selling price is more than its intrinsic value.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Overvalued stocks would be flooded in the stock market.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Overvalued stocks would be flooded in the stock market.
- Investors would realize this behavior, and only lemons are traded in the stock market.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Overvalued stocks would be flooded in the stock market.
- Investors would realize this behavior, and only lemons are traded in the stock market.
- This belief pushes down stock prices. More firms stop issuing stock, so prices fall further.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Overvalued stocks would be flooded in the stock market.
- Investors would realize this behavior, and only lemons are traded in the stock market.
- This belief pushes down stock prices. More firms stop issuing stock, so prices fall further.
- A vicious circle can cause the market to break down.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- Overvalued stocks would be flooded in the stock market.
- Investors would realize this behavior, and only lemons are traded in the stock market.
- This belief pushes down stock prices. More firms stop issuing stock, so prices fall further.
- A vicious circle can cause the market to break down.
- Case II: bond market. Adverse selection is a problem in bond markets when **default risk is significant**.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- In this case, the "quality" of a bond is the probability of **default**.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- In this case, the "quality" of a bond is the probability of **default**.
- Interest rate on a bond reflects the public's assessment of this risk.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- In this case, the "quality" of a bond is the probability of **default**.
- Interest rate on a bond reflects the public's assessment of this risk.
- A firm knows that its true default risk is higher or lower than the public thinks.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- In this case, the "quality" of a bond is the probability of **default**.
- Interest rate on a bond reflects the public's assessment of this risk.
- A firm knows that its true default risk is higher or lower than the public thinks.
- If it is higher, the issuing bonds is a good deal.

ADVERSE SELECTION

- In this case, the "quality" of a bond is the probability of **default**.
- Interest rate on a bond reflects the public's assessment of this risk.
- A firm knows that its true default risk is higher or lower than the public thinks.
- If it is higher, the issuing bonds is a good deal.
- Once again, low-quality securities can flood the market, causing it to break down.

ADVERSE SELECTION

A NUMERICAL QUESTION

- Suppose there are two firms: a *safe firm* and a *risky firm*.

ADVERSE SELECTION

A NUMERICAL QUESTION

- Suppose there are two firms: a *safe firm* and a *risky firm*.
- The safe firm plans to sell a \$100 bond to finance a project, and the project is expected to earn \$125 for sure.

ADVERSE SELECTION

A NUMERICAL QUESTION

- Suppose there are two firms: a *safe firm* and a *risky firm*.
- The safe firm plans to sell a \$100 bond to finance a project, and the project is expected to earn \$125 for sure.
- The risky firm plans to sell a \$100 bond to finance a project, and the project can earn \$150 with $2/3$ probability, and \$0 with $1/3$ probability.

ADVERSE SELECTION

A NUMERICAL QUESTION

- Suppose there are two firms: a *safe firm* and a *risky firm*.
- The safe firm plans to sell a \$100 bond to finance a project, and the project is expected to earn \$125 for sure.
- The risky firm plans to sell a \$100 bond to finance a project, and the project can earn \$150 with $2/3$ probability, and \$0 with $1/3$ probability.
- Bond investors will buy a \$100 bond with expected payment at least \$110.

ADVERSE SELECTION

A NUMERICAL QUESTION

- **Case I: Symmetric Information** The safe firm sells its bond and pay \$110, thus earns \$15 profit ($\$125 - \110). Investors will buy this bond.

ADVERSE SELECTION

A NUMERICAL QUESTION

- **Case I: Symmetric Information** The safe firm sells its bond and pay \$110, thus earns \$15 profit (\$125 - \$110). Investors will buy this bond.
- Investors know that risky firm has $1/3$ probability to default. So *what is the expected payment from investing in a risky firm's bond?*

ADVERSE SELECTION

A NUMERICAL QUESTION

- **Case I: Symmetric Information** The safe firm sells its bond and pay \$110, thus earns \$15 profit (\$125 - \$110). Investors will buy this bond.
- Investors know that risky firm has 1/3 probability to default. So *what is the expected payment from investing in a risky firm's bond?*
- $\frac{1}{3}\$0 + \frac{2}{3}x = \$110, \rightarrow x = \$165.$

ADVERSE SELECTION

A NUMERICAL QUESTION

- **Case I: Symmetric Information** The safe firm sells its bond and pay \$110, thus earns \$15 profit (\$125 - \$110). Investors will buy this bond.
- Investors know that risky firm has 1/3 probability to default. So *what is the expected payment from investing in a risky firm's bond?*
- $\frac{1}{3}\$0 + \frac{2}{3}x = \$110, \rightarrow x = \$165.$
- \$165 is above the profit of successful investment by the risky firm, i.e., \$150. So there is no bond issuance from the risky firm.

ADVERSE SELECTION

A NUMERICAL QUESTION

- **Case II: Asymmetric Information** In this case, investors expected to get paid with probability of $\frac{5}{6}(\frac{1}{2} \times 1 + \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{5}{6})$.

ADVERSE SELECTION

A NUMERICAL QUESTION

- **Case II: Asymmetric Information** In this case, investors expected to get paid with probability of $\frac{5}{6}(\frac{1}{2} \times 1 + \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{5}{6})$.
- The promised payment from bond issuer should be \$132 (i.e. $\frac{5}{6} \times x = \$110$).

ADVERSE SELECTION

A NUMERICAL QUESTION

- **Case II: Asymmetric Information** In this case, investors expected to get paid with probability of $\frac{5}{6}(\frac{1}{2} \times 1 + \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{5}{6})$.
- The promised payment from bond issuer should be \$132 (i.e. $\frac{5}{6} \times x = \$110$).
- For safe firm, \$132 exceeds its earning of \$125, so no bond issued.

ADVERSE SELECTION

A NUMERICAL QUESTION

- **Case II: Asymmetric Information** In this case, investors expected to get paid with probability of $\frac{5}{6}(\frac{1}{2} \times 1 + \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{5}{6})$.
- The promised payment from bond issuer should be \$132 (i.e. $\frac{5}{6} \times x = \$110$).
- For safe firm, \$132 exceeds its earning of \$125, so no bond issued.
- For risky firm, it has 2/3 probability to earn \$18 (\$150-\$132), so bond issued.

ADVERSE SELECTION

A NUMERICAL QUESTION

- **Case II: Asymmetric Information** In this case, investors expected to get paid with probability of $\frac{5}{6}(\frac{1}{2} \times 1 + \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{5}{6})$.
- The promised payment from bond issuer should be \$132 (i.e. $\frac{5}{6} \times x = \$110$).
- For safe firm, \$132 exceeds its earning of \$125, so no bond issued.
- For risky firm, it has 2/3 probability to earn \$18 (\$150-\$132), so bond issued.
- However, when investors realizes that only risky firms issue bonds in the market, they would require \$165 for bond payment to get expected payment of \$110. So, in the end, there would be no bond issuance.

MORAL HAZARD

- **Moral hazard**, on the other hand, happens after the transaction.

MORAL HAZARD

- **Moral hazard**, on the other hand, happens after the transaction.
- It refers to the situation when one party in an economic relationship cannot observe the actions of others.

MORAL HAZARD

- **Moral hazard**, on the other hand, happens after the transaction.
- It refers to the situation when one party in an economic relationship cannot observe the actions of others.
- It is impossible to ensure that everyone behaves in a desirable way, that is, a "hazard" of harmful behavior.

MORAL HAZARD

- **Moral hazard**, on the other hand, happens after the transaction.
- It refers to the situation when one party in an economic relationship cannot observe the actions of others.
- It is impossible to ensure that everyone behaves in a desirable way, that is, a "hazard" of harmful behavior.
- Use Zhejiang Gongshang Insurance to illustrate moral hazard.

MORAL HAZARD

- Suppose Lee is a non-smoker, and purchase an insurance policy.

MORAL HAZARD

- Suppose Lee is a non-smoker, and purchase an insurance policy.
- Zhejiang Gongshang Insurance would like Lee to behave in a way that minimizes the claims it must pay, .e.g, no smoking.

MORAL HAZARD

- Suppose Lee is a non-smoker, and purchase an insurance policy.
- Zhejiang Gongshang Insurance would like Lee to behave in a way that minimizes the claims it must pay, .e.g, no smoking.
- However, once Lee has insurance, he may start smoking.

MORAL HAZARD

- Suppose Lee is a non-smoker, and purchase an insurance policy.
- Zhejiang Gongshang Insurance would like Lee to behave in a way that minimizes the claims it must pay, .e.g, no smoking.
- However, once Lee has insurance, he may start smoking.
- This moral hazard hurts both insurance companies and Lee. Smoking would cause Lee to have lung cancer more likely, and also cause insurance companies to pay more.

MORAL HAZARD

- This kind of moral hazard is also called *principal-agent problem*.

MORAL HAZARD

- This kind of moral hazard is also called *principal-agent problem*.
- In a public company, shareholders are owners of this company, i.e., principal, whereas the chief executive officer (CEO) is an agent of this company.

MORAL HAZARD

- This kind of moral hazard is also called *principal-agent problem*.
- In a public company, shareholders are owners of this company, i.e., principal, whereas the chief executive officer (CEO) is an agent of this company.
- It is hard to have agent's behavior aligned with interests of shareholders.

MORAL HAZARD

- This kind of moral hazard is also called *principal-agent problem*.
- In a public company, shareholders are owners of this company, i.e., principal, whereas the chief executive officer (CEO) is an agent of this company.
- It is hard to have agent's behavior aligned with interests of shareholders.
- The CEO's actions determine the profits that go to all shareholders. In theory, her job is to maximize profits.

MORAL HAZARD

- However, CEOs may be tempted to do things that benefit themselves but reduce profits.

MORAL HAZARD

- However, CEOs may be tempted to do things that benefit themselves but reduce profits.
- Dennis Kozlowski, for example, charged Tyco for extravagant personal expenses, such as \$5.7 million cost of decorating this apartment on Fifth Avenue in New York.

MORAL HAZARD

- However, CEOs may be tempted to do things that benefit themselves but reduce profits.
- Dennis Kozlowski, for example, charged Tyco for extravagant personal expenses, such as \$5.7 million cost of decorating this apartment on Fifth Avenue in New York.
- The decoration include a shower curtain that cost \$6,000, a \$2,200 waste basket, and \$2,900 worth of cost hanger.

MORAL HAZARD

- However, CEOs may be tempted to do things that benefit themselves but reduce profits.
- Dennis Kozlowski, for example, charged Tyco for extravagant personal expenses, such as \$5.7 million cost of decorating this apartment on Fifth Avenue in New York.
- The decoration include a shower curtain that cost \$6,000, a \$2,200 waste basket, and \$2,900 worth of cost hanger.
- In 2001, he spent \$2.1 million for his wife's extravagant birthday party.

MORAL HAZARD

- The moral hazard problem is less severe in bond markets. As long as the firm makes promised payments on its bonds, bondholders don't care if a firm's managers waste money on salaries or parties.

MORAL HAZARD

- The moral hazard problem is less severe in bond markets. As long as the firm makes promised payments on its bonds, bondholders don't care if a firm's managers waste money on salaries or parties.
- Yet, managers may increase default risk on bonds by misusing funds.

MORAL HAZARD

- The moral hazard problem is less severe in bond markets. As long as the firm makes promised payments on its bonds, bondholders don't care if a firm's managers waste money on salaries or parties.
- Yet, managers may increase default risk on bonds by misusing funds.
- For example, a drug company can divert funds from its normal investments to gamble everything on a cancer drug.

MORAL HAZARD

- The moral hazard problem is less severe in bond markets. As long as the firm makes promised payments on its bonds, bondholders don't care if a firm's managers waste money on salaries or parties.
- Yet, managers may increase default risk on bonds by misusing funds.
- For example, a drug company can divert funds from its normal investments to gamble everything on a cancer drug.
- Such a gamble is attractive if financed with borrowed money.

MORAL HAZARD

A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

- Suppose a firm can issue a bond with \$100 to finance its investment project, and the investors expect to get \$110 payment from this investment.

MORAL HAZARD

A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

- Suppose a firm can issue a bond with \$100 to finance its investment project, and the investors expect to get \$110 payment from this investment.
- After bond issuance, bond investors have no control of firm's decision-making. The firm has two options: (1) safe investment to earn \$125 for sure, and (2) risky investment to earn \$150 with 2/3 probability.

MORAL HAZARD

A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

- Suppose a firm can issue a bond with \$100 to finance its investment project, and the investors expect to get \$110 payment from this investment.
- After bond issuance, bond investors have no control of firm's decision-making. The firm has two options: (1) safe investment to earn \$125 for sure, and (2) risky investment to earn \$150 with 2/3 probability.
- The expected profit from safe investment is $1 \times (\$125 - \$110) = \$15$.

MORAL HAZARD

A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

- Suppose a firm can issue a bond with \$100 to finance its investment project, and the investors expect to get \$110 payment from this investment.
- After bond issuance, bond investors have no control of firm's decision-making. The firm has two options: (1) safe investment to earn \$125 for sure, and (2) risky investment to earn \$150 with 2/3 probability.
- The expected profit from safe investment is $1 \times (\$125 - \$110) = \$15$.
- The expected profit from risky investment is $\frac{1}{3} \times 0 + \frac{2}{3} \times (\$150 - \$110) = \26.7 .

MORAL HAZARD

A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

- So the firm would pursue the risky investment.

MORAL HAZARD

A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

- So the firm would pursue the risky investment.
- When the investors realize that the firm could only engage in risky investment, they could ask for \$165 payment to get expected payment of \$110. Such a payment exceeds \$150, therefore, no bond issued.

OUTLINE

1 RECAP

2 INTRODUCTION

3 ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION

- Adverse Selection
- Moral Hazard

4 BANKS IN ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION REDUCTION

- Information Gathering
- Default Risk Deduction: Collateral and Net Worth
- Moral Hazard Prevention
- Credit Rationing

5 SUMMARY

BANKS IN ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION REDUCTION

- Asymmetric information makes it hard for firms to issue securities, especially for small and medium enterprises.

BANKS IN ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION REDUCTION

- Asymmetric information makes it hard for firms to issue securities, especially for small and medium enterprises.
- This is where banks come in. Firms and individuals can borrow from banks even if they are not well known to investors.

BANKS IN ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION REDUCTION

- Asymmetric information makes it hard for firms to issue securities, especially for small and medium enterprises.
- This is where banks come in. Firms and individuals can borrow from banks even if they are not well known to investors.
- They get funds indirectly, because investors just deposit funds in banks.

BANKS IN ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION REDUCTION

- Asymmetric information makes it hard for firms to issue securities, especially for small and medium enterprises.
- This is where banks come in. Firms and individuals can borrow from banks even if they are not well known to investors.
- They get funds indirectly, because investors just deposit funds in banks.
- Banks have several methods for reducing information asymmetries.

INFORMATION GATHERING

- Banks reduce adverse selection by gathering information about borrowers.

INFORMATION GATHERING

- Banks reduce adverse selection by gathering information about borrowers.
- Applicants for bank loans must provide information about their finance positions: assets, existing debts, profit history etc..

INFORMATION GATHERING

- Banks reduce adverse selection by gathering information about borrowers.
- Applicants for bank loans must provide information about their finance positions: assets, existing debts, profit history etc..
- Banks reduce **free-rider problem** by keeping loans as private (non-tradeable) assets.

INFORMATION GATHERING

- Banks enhance information gathering by **developing long-term relationships** - lending repeatedly to the same borrowers.

INFORMATION GATHERING

- Banks enhance information gathering by **developing long-term relationships** - lending repeatedly to the same borrowers.
- It is easier for banks to control default risk, and to minimize the cost of information gathering.

INFORMATION GATHERING

- Banks enhance information gathering by **developing long-term relationships** - lending repeatedly to the same borrowers.
- It is easier for banks to control default risk, and to minimize the cost of information gathering.
- It is also beneficial for firms or individuals to grant loans quickly and cheaper (lower information process cost).

INFORMATION GATHERING

- Banks enhance information gathering by **developing long-term relationships** - lending repeatedly to the same borrowers.
- It is easier for banks to control default risk, and to minimize the cost of information gathering.
- It is also beneficial for firms or individuals to grant loans quickly and cheaper (lower information process cost).
- It is a **dynamic game theory** with credible penalty.

COLLATERAL

- **Collateral** is an asset of a borrower, such as a building or a firm's inventory, that it pledges to a bank when it takes out a loan.

COLLATERAL

- **Collateral** is an asset of a borrower, such as a building or a firm's inventory, that it pledges to a bank when it takes out a loan.
- The bank can seize the asset if the borrower defaults.

COLLATERAL

- **Collateral** is an asset of a borrower, such as a building or a firm's inventory, that it pledges to a bank when it takes out a loan.
- The bank can seize the asset if the borrower defaults.
- Collateral benefits a lender in two ways:

COLLATERAL

- **Collateral** is an asset of a borrower, such as a building or a firm's inventory, that it pledges to a bank when it takes out a loan.
- The bank can seize the asset if the borrower defaults.
- Collateral benefits a lender in two ways:
 - ① reduce the loss when default

COLLATERAL

- **Collateral** is an asset of a borrower, such as a building or a firm's inventory, that it pledges to a bank when it takes out a loan.
- The bank can seize the asset if the borrower defaults.
- Collateral benefits a lender in two ways:
 - ① reduce the loss when default
 - ② reduce the probability of default

COLLATERAL

- **Collateral** is an asset of a borrower, such as a building or a firm's inventory, that it pledges to a bank when it takes out a loan.
- The bank can seize the asset if the borrower defaults.
- Collateral benefits a lender in two ways:
 - ① reduce the loss when default
 - ② reduce the probability of default
- A firm gets a bank loan from a bank with a collateral with \$50.
Will this firm to pursue risky investment? The answer is **NO**.

COLLATERAL

- **Collateral** is an asset of a borrower, such as a building or a firm's inventory, that it pledges to a bank when it takes out a loan.
- The bank can seize the asset if the borrower defaults.
- Collateral benefits a lender in two ways:
 - ① reduce the loss when default
 - ② reduce the probability of default
- A firm gets a bank loan from a bank with a collateral with \$50.
Will this firm to pursue risky investment? The answer is **NO**.
- The risky investment strategy generates $\frac{1}{3}(-\$50) + \frac{2}{3}\$40 = \$10$, which is less than safe investment strategy $\$125 - \$110 = \$15$.

NET WORTH

- A loan contract may also set a minimum for a borrower's **net worth**, also known as its **capital**.

NET WORTH

- A loan contract may also set a minimum for a borrower's **net worth**, also known as its **capital**.
- Net worth indicates the borrower's "skin in the game", i.e., more net worth, less likely to default.

NET WORTH

- A loan contract may also set a minimum for a borrower's **net worth**, also known as its **capital**.
- Net worth indicates the borrower's "skin in the game", i.e., more net worth, less likely to default.
- Assume that a borrower needs \$10,000 to finance an investment project, while she gets another \$10,000 of her own money into this project. In this case, the project (i.e., asset) is worth \$20,000, debt (i.e., liability) \$10,000, and net worth (or capital) \$10,000.

NET WORTH

- A loan contract may also set a minimum for a borrower's **net worth**, also known as its **capital**.
- Net worth indicates the borrower's "skin in the game", i.e., more net worth, less likely to default.
- Assume that a borrower needs \$10,000 to finance an investment project, while she gets another \$10,000 of her own money into this project. In this case, the project (i.e., asset) is worth \$20,000, debt (i.e., liability) \$10,000, and net worth (or capital) \$10,000.
- If the project goes south by \$5,000, given unchanged liability, she losses \$5,000 with her own capital. That is, her net worth becomes \$5,000.

NET WORTH

- A loan contract may also set a minimum for a borrower's **net worth**, also known as its **capital**.
- Net worth indicates the borrower's "skin in the game", i.e., more net worth, less likely to default.
- Assume that a borrower needs \$10,000 to finance an investment project, while she gets another \$10,000 of her own money into this project. In this case, the project (i.e., asset) is worth \$20,000, debt (i.e., liability) \$10,000, and net worth (or capital) \$10,000.
- If the project goes south by \$5,000, given unchanged liability, she losses \$5,000 with her own capital. That is, her net worth becomes \$5,000.
- Since she still has positive capital in this investment (game), she would still manage it prudently with the hope that she would increase the capital in the future.

MORAL HAZARD PREVENTION

- Banks also use **covenants** in loan contracts. Covenants are restrictions on the actions of borrowers that reduce default risk.

MORAL HAZARD PREVENTION

- Banks also use **covenants** in loan contracts. Covenants are restrictions on the actions of borrowers that reduce default risk.
- A "negative" covenant may forbid certain risky activities on the part of borrower. e.g., no bonus payment for management level, no dividends by loan to shareholders, no bond redemption with bank loans, etc..

MORAL HAZARD PREVENTION

- Banks also use **covenants** in loan contracts. Covenants are restrictions on the actions of borrowers that reduce default risk.
- A "negative" covenant may forbid certain risky activities on the part of borrower. e.g., no bonus payment for management level, no dividends by loan to shareholders, no bond redemption with bank loans, etc..
- Banks could use **compensating balances** (minimum checking deposit that a borrower must maintain at the bank that has lent it money) to monitor borrower's financial activities.

CREDIT RATIONING

- **Credit rationing** is another way to prevent potential risk. Even borrowers bid for higher interest rate, banks refuse to lend its money.

CREDIT RATIONING

- **Credit rationing** is another way to prevent potential risk. Even borrowers bid for higher interest rate, banks refuse to lend its money.
- It happens, in particular, during financial crisis. The reason is that borrowers who has higher probability to default intend to bid for higher interest-rate loans from banks. Those borrowers have no intension to pay back the loans.

CREDIT RATIONING

- **Credit rationing** is another way to prevent potential risk. Even borrowers bid for higher interest rate, banks refuse to lend its money.
- It happens, in particular, during financial crisis. The reason is that borrowers who has higher probability to default intend to bid for higher interest-rate loans from banks. Those borrowers have no intension to pay back the loans.
- Although bidding for higher interest rates indicate higher likelihood of adverse selection, it still puts small and medium enterprises which are so dependent on bank loans to be cut off credit line and to confront with bankruptcy.

OUTLINE

- 1 RECAP
- 2 INTRODUCTION
- 3 ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION
 - Adverse Selection
 - Moral Hazard
- 4 BANKS IN ASYMMETRIC INFORMATION REDUCTION
 - Information Gathering
 - Default Risk Deduction: Collateral and Net Worth
 - Moral Hazard Prevention
 - Credit Rationing
- 5 SUMMARY

SUMMARY

- In this lecture, we take *asymmetric information* perspective to explore the existence of financial institutions, especially banks.

SUMMARY

- In this lecture, we take *asymmetric information* perspective to explore the existence of financial institutions, especially banks.
- Banks provide an active role to reduce asymmetric information, so that funds can be channelled from surplus units to deficit units smoothly.

SUMMARY

- In this lecture, we take *asymmetric information* perspective to explore the existence of financial institutions, especially banks.
- Banks provide an active role to reduce asymmetric information, so that funds can be channelled from surplus units to deficit units smoothly.
- Banks, in this sense, earn the profit by taking advantage of its technology to process information.

SUMMARY

- In this lecture, we take *asymmetric information* perspective to explore the existence of financial institutions, especially banks.
- Banks provide an active role to reduce asymmetric information, so that funds can be channelled from surplus units to deficit units smoothly.
- Banks, in this sense, earn the profit by taking advantage of its technology to process information.
- To be specific, banks are able to transform *information-sensitive assets* (e.g., corporation loans) into *information-insensitive liabilities* (e.g. bank deposit).

SUMMARY

- In this lecture, we take *asymmetric information* perspective to explore the existence of financial institutions, especially banks.
- Banks provide an active role to reduce asymmetric information, so that funds can be channelled from surplus units to deficit units smoothly.
- Banks, in this sense, earn the profit by taking advantage of its technology to process information.
- To be specific, banks are able to transform *information-sensitive assets* (e.g., corporation loans) into *information-insensitive liabilities* (e.g. bank deposit).
- In this perspective, banks can profit from information processing. In next lecture, we will take a close look at banking to find out more answers to the question *Why do we need banks in economic activities?*