# Personal Activity Prediction

Omyung Richard Kwon July 16, 2017

#### Introduction

The goal of this project is to predict the manner in which test subjects did the exercise. This is the "classe" variable in the training set. I may use any of the other variables to predict with. I am to create a report describing how I built my model, how I used cross validation, what I think the expected out of sample error is, and why I made the choices you did. Finally, I will also use the prediction model to predict 20 different test cases

Following deliverables will be submitted \* A link to the Github repo \* repo will contain R markdown file \* repo will contain a compiled HTML file w/ all the result

### Load & Prepare Data

Both training and test data files are pre-downloaded to the local folder.

```
#rm(list=ls())

# set working directory where the data files are located
setwd("C:\\Users\\kwonr\\Projects\\Machine Learning")

raw.train <- read.csv("pml-training.csv", na.strings="NA")
raw.test <- read.csv("pml-testing.csv", na.strings="NA")

dim (raw.train)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 19622 160
```

```
dim (raw.test)
```

```
## [1] 20 160
```

```
set.seed(1534)
```

Trim the unnecessary predictors. The original data contained 159 predictor variables. After getting rid of mostly NULL columns and near zero columns, I am left with 53 predictors + 1 category column. All the credit for this process goes to the folks who have posted this topic on the Week 4 forum.

The training data is split into 60/40 segments so that we can estimate the out-of-sample error.

## Modeling & Prediction

This is a classification problem. So, I want to model using two popular and highly accurate modeling techniques - random forest and boosting.

The random forest modeling took a long time initially. Too long. So, going back to the Week 4 forum, I see that other people had same problem and also saw Len's suggestion on how to improve the performance. Read about it at below location. [Len's Suggestion on improving the performance of Random Forest model] (https://github.com/lgreski/datasciencectacontent/blob/master/markdown/pml-randomForestPerformance.md

(https://github.com/lgreski/datasciencectacontent/blob/master/markdown/pml-randomForestPerformance.md))

Random Forest modeling first via caret package. 10-fold cross validation used

```
#random forest

library(parallel)

library(doParallel)
```

```
## Loading required package: foreach
```

```
## Loading required package: iterators
```

```
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
           Reference
## Prediction A B
                       С
         A 2232
                  5
              0 1508 8
##
          В
                           0
                   5 1360 1
##
          C
              0
##
              0 0 0 1285
         D
##
                  0
                     0 0 1440
##
## Overall Statistics
##
##
               Accuracy: 0.9973
##
                 95% CI: (0.9959, 0.9983)
     No Information Rate: 0.2845
##
##
     P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
##
                  Kappa: 0.9966
## Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
##
                    Class: A Class: B Class: C Class: D Class: E
## Sensitivity
                     1.0000 0.9934 0.9942 0.9992 0.9986
## Specificity
                     0.9991 0.9987 0.9991 0.9997 1.0000
                     0.9978 0.9947 0.9956 0.9984 1.0000
## Pos Pred Value
## Neg Pred Value
                     1.0000 0.9984 0.9988 0.9998 0.9997
## Prevalence
                     0.2845 0.1935 0.1744 0.1639 0.1838
## Detection Rate 0.2845 0.1922 0.1733 0.1638 0.1835
## Detection Prevalence 0.2851 0.1932 0.1741 0.1640 0.1835
## Balanced Accuracy
                    0.9996 0.9961 0.9966 0.9995 0.9993
```

#### Random Forest Model:

- \* Accuracy: 0.9977 (99.8%)
- \* Out of Sample Error: 1 0.9977 = .0023 (0.23%)

Now, onto the boosting modeling using gbm (boosting with trees). Same 10-fold cross validation was used

```
## Loading required package: gbm
```

```
## Loading required package: survival

## ## Attaching package: 'survival'

## The following object is masked from 'package:caret':
##
## cluster

## Loading required package: splines

## Loading required package: plyr

## wodel_gbm$finalModel

pred_gbm <- predict(model_gbm, newdata=testing)
confusionMatrix(pred_gbm, testing$classe)</pre>
```

```
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
           Reference
## Prediction A B
                       С
         A 2225 22
                       0
          B 2 1484 15
##
          C 0 11 1351 6
##
##
              3 1 2 1272 15
                   0
                       0 1 1422
##
## Overall Statistics
##
               Accuracy : 0.9883
##
                 95% CI: (0.9856, 0.9905)
     No Information Rate: 0.2845
##
##
     P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
##
                  Kappa: 0.9852
##
  Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
##
                    Class: A Class: B Class: C Class: D Class: E
## Sensitivity
                     0.9969 0.9776 0.9876 0.9891 0.9861
## Specificity
                     0.9961 0.9954 0.9974 0.9968 0.9995
                     0.9902 0.9808 0.9876 0.9838 0.9979
## Pos Pred Value
                     0.9987 0.9946 0.9974 0.9979 0.9969
## Neg Pred Value
## Prevalence
                     0.2845 0.1935 0.1744 0.1639 0.1838
## Detection Rate 0.2836 0.1891 0.1722 0.1621 0.1812
## Detection Prevalence 0.2864 0.1928 0.1744 0.1648 0.1816
                    0.9965 0.9865 0.9925 0.9930 0.9928
## Balanced Accuracy
```

#### **GBM Boosting Model:**

- \* Accuracy: 0.9883 (98.8%)
- \* Out of Sample Error: 1 0.9883 (1.17%)

The Random Forest result shows the better and more accurate result.

### **Final Test Set Prediction**

Using the random forest model, run the prediction against the final test data set.

```
all_predictors <- colnames(training)

new.test <- raw.test[, names(raw.test) %in% all_predictors]
predFinal <- predict (model_rf, newdata=new.test)
predFinal</pre>
```

```
## [1] BABAAEDBAABCBAEEABBB
## Levels: ABCDE
```