

Introduction to Algebra (I) Homework 1

B13902022 賴昱錡

2025 年 9 月 16 日

1

(a)

By some calculation as belows, $i = 2, 3, 6$ satisfies the given condition that $f_i(f_i(x)) = x$ asides from $i = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}f_2(f_2(x)) &= 1 - (1 - x) = x \\f_3(f_3(x)) &= \frac{1}{\frac{1}{x}} = x \\f_6(f_6(x)) &= \frac{\frac{x}{x-1}}{\frac{x}{x-1} - 1} = x\end{aligned}$$

(b)

By some observation, $f_1(x)$ satisfies the condition $f_i(x) = x$, $f_2(x), f_3(x), f_6(x)$ satisfies $f_i(f_i(x)) = x$, and $f_4(x), f_5(x)$ satisfies the condition $f_i(f_i(f_i(x))) = x$.

For set S , we can do the same operation several times to form original permutation. The 1st method is doing (1) for one time, the 2nd method is doing (12), (23) or (13) for 2 times, and the 3rd method is doing (123) or (132) for 3 times.

Thus, considering the counts of composition and the operations, we can correspond $f_2(x)$ to (12), $f_3(x)$ to (23), $f_6(x)$ to (13). Also $f_1(x)$ to (1), and $f_4(x)$ to (123), and $f_5(x)$ to (132).

(c)

For $i, j \in 2, 3, 6$, $i \neq j$, considering all possible compositions, thus, $f_i(f_j(x)) \neq f_j(f_i(x))$ is true.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_2(f_3(x)) &= 1 - \frac{1}{x} \\
 f_3(f_2(x)) &= \frac{1}{1-x} \\
 f_2(f_6(x)) &= 1 - \frac{x}{x-1} \\
 f_6(f_2(x)) &= \frac{1-x}{-x} \\
 f_3(f_6(x)) &= \frac{x-1}{x} \\
 f_6(f_3(x)) &= \frac{\frac{1}{x}}{\frac{1}{x}-1} = \frac{1}{1-x}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, consider their corresponding element in S , we have: (In my correspondence, if f_i corresponds to operation a , f_j corresponds to operation b , then $f_i(f_j(x))$ corresponds to doing a then b ($a \rightarrow b$))

- $(12) \rightarrow (13)$ results in (132) .
- $(13) \rightarrow (12)$ results in (123) .
- $(12) \rightarrow (23)$ results in (123) .
- $(23) \rightarrow (12)$ results in (132) .
- $(13) \rightarrow (23)$ results in (132) .
- $(23) \rightarrow (13)$ results in (123) .

The corresponding element in S also satisfies the given condition.

(d)

By 1(b), $f_1(x)$ is corresponding to (1) , and $f_4(x)$ to (123) , and $f_5(x)$ to (132) .

2

(a)

The elements satisfying the condition when $n = 5$ include 1, 2, 3. For $x = 1$, we can choose $y = 1$. For $x = 2$, we can choose $y = 3$. For $x = 3$, we can choose $y = 2$. For $x = 4$, we can choose $y = 4$. There are 4 elements satisfying the condition.

(b)For $n = 6$:

- $x = 1, y = 1 \Rightarrow 1 * 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$
- $x = 5, y = 5 \Rightarrow 5 * 5 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$

(c)For $n = 8$:

- $x = 1, y = 1 \Rightarrow 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$
- $x = 3, y = 3 \Rightarrow 9 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$
- $x = 5, y = 5 \Rightarrow 25 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$
- $x = 7, y = 7 \Rightarrow 49 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$

(d)For $n = 13$:

- $x = 1, y = 1 \Rightarrow 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$
- $x = 2, y = 7 \Rightarrow 14 \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$
- $x = 3, y = 9 \Rightarrow 27 \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$
- $x = 4, y = 10 \Rightarrow 40 \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$
- $x = 5, y = 8 \Rightarrow 40 \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$
- $x = 6, y = 11 \Rightarrow 66 \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$
- $x = 7, y = 2 \Rightarrow 14 \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$
- $x = 8, y = 5 \Rightarrow 40 \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$
- $x = 9, y = 3 \Rightarrow 27 \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$
- $x = 10, y = 4 \Rightarrow 40 \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$
- $x = 11, y = 6 \Rightarrow 66 \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$
- $x = 12, y = 12 \Rightarrow 144 \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$

(e)

For $n = 30$:

- $x = 1, y = 1 \Rightarrow 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{30}$
- $x = 7, y = 13 \Rightarrow 91 \equiv 1 \pmod{30}$
- $x = 11, y = 11 \Rightarrow 121 \equiv 1 \pmod{30}$
- $x = 13, y = 7 \Rightarrow 91 \equiv 1 \pmod{30}$
- $x = 17, y = 23 \Rightarrow 391 \equiv 1 \pmod{30}$
- $x = 19, y = 19 \Rightarrow 361 \equiv 1 \pmod{30}$
- $x = 23, y = 17 \Rightarrow 391 \equiv 1 \pmod{30}$
- $x = 29, y = 29 \Rightarrow 841 \equiv 1 \pmod{30}$

3

(a)

We have to prove that "There exists integer a, b such that $ax + bn = 1$." is **necessary and sufficient** for $x \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}^\times$ (Here $x \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$).

For any $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$, by definition, there exists integer $a \in [1, n-1]$ (Because $a \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, the condition $a = 0$ is impossible for $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$), such that $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, so ax can be written as the form $ax = -bn + 1, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b = \frac{ax-1}{-n}$. Thus, there exists integer a, b such that $ax + bn = 1$, and the **necessity** of "There exists integer a, b such that $ax + bn = 1$." has been proven.

If there exists integer a, b such that $ax + bn = 1$, integer a can be written as the form $a = un + v, u \in \mathbb{Z}, v \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ (By basic division). Make a substitution:

$$\begin{aligned}(un + v)x + bn &= 1 \\ vx &= -unx - bn + 1 = n(-ux - b) + 1\end{aligned}$$

We can observe that $vx \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, thus, $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$, and the sufficiency has been proven.

In conclusion, "There exists integer a, b such that $ax + bn = 1$." is **necessary and sufficient** for $x \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}^\times$ (Here $x \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$).

(b)

In (b), we need to prove that "n is prime" is **necessary and sufficient** for $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ having $n - 1$ elements.

Before proving the sufficiency, we have to prove the theorem called **Bézout's Identity**:

Also, for simplicity, (a, b) means $\gcd(a, b)$ in the following proof.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, ab \neq 0$

$d = \gcd(a, b)$ be the greatest common divisor of a and b .

Then $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ax + by = d$. Also, d is the smallest positive integer combination of a and b .

Proof:

Given any two non-zero integer a, b , Let set $S = \{ax + by : x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge ax + by > 0\}$

It's trivial that S is not an empty set (For example, $a > 0, x = 1, y = 0$ or $a < 0, x = 1, y = 0, ax + by \in S$, thus, S is not an empty set). Since all elements in S are positive integers, by well ordering principle, S contains a least element d . And write it as the form $d = au + bv$, where u and v are integers.

Consider a 's euclidean division: $a = qd + r, q \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq r < d$, we have:

$$r = a - qd = a - q(au + bv) = a(1 - qu) - bq v$$

Because both $1 - qu$ and qv are integers, $r \in S \cup \{0\}$ (because $0 \leq r < d$). Also, d is the least element in S , this implies that r is not belonging to S , it must be 0. Thus, $d|a$. Similarly, $d|b$.

Consider arbitrary common divisor c of a, b , $\exists s, t$ such that $a = cs, b = ct$. So, $d = au + bv = c(us + vt)$, because $us + vt \in \mathbb{Z}$, we know $c|d \wedge c \leq d$.

Since d is greater than all divisors, $d = \gcd(a, b)$, it's also the least element in S by previous definition.

To prove the sufficiency of n being prime, assume n is prime. Then for every $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}), x \neq 0$ we have $(x, n) = 1$. By Bézout's Identity, there exists integers a, b such that $ax + bn = 1$. This implies $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ by 3(a). Thus, there are $n - 1$ elements in $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ (Since except for 0, $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ contains $n - 1$ elements).

To prove the necessity of n being prime, assume there are $n - 1$ elements in $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$, the group has all integers on the interval $[1, n - 1]$. And this implies that there exists integer a and b such that $ax + bn = 1$ by 3(a). (choose arbitrary $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$)

Let $\gcd(x, n) = k \neq n, x = ku, n = kv, u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Pluggin it in $ax + bn = 1$ we get $k(ua + vb) = 1$, since $ua + vb \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 1$ is impossible. So, $(x, n) = 1$. Because all integers on $[1, n - 1]$ is coprime to n , n is a prime number obviously. Here the necessity is proved.

In conclusion, "n is prime" is **necessary and sufficient** for $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ having $n - 1$ elements. Thus, $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ has $n - 1$ elements if and only if n is prime.

4

(a)

Suppose e and e' are identity elements of group $(G, *)$, by the definition of group, $\forall g \in G$, there are:

$$g * e = e * g = g, \quad g * e' = e' * g = g$$

Thus, $e = e * e' = e' * e = e'$, the identity element of a group is unique.

Suppose h and h' are the inverse element of an element $x \in G$, and e is the identity element of group $(G, *)$, by the definition:

$$x * h = h * x = e, \quad x * h' = h' * x = e$$

And $h * (x * h') = (h * x) * h' = e * h' = h'$, thus, the inverse for every $x \in G$ is unique.

(b)

We can consider four cases: a group having 1,2,3 and 4 elements (obviously an empty set is not a group due to the lack of identity and inverse).

Any group with only one element (Let it be $\{x\}$) trivially follows the commutative rule, since the order doesn't matter:

$$x * x = x \Rightarrow x = x^{-1} = e$$

A group G with 2 elements must be in the form $\{e, a\}$ (By definition, group must has one unique identity element), where e is the identity and a is a non-identity element. (In the case $a^{-1} = a, e^{-1} = e$). By the definition, $a * e = e * a = a$. Thus, every group with 2 elements is abelian.

Similarly, a group G with 3 elements must be in the form $\{e, a, b\}$ ($a \neq b \neq e$, e is the identity), since $a \neq e, b \neq e, ab \in G$, we have:

$$a * b = e$$

a and b are inverse to each other, $a * b = b * a$. This is the only non-trivial case, $a * e = e * a$ and $b * e = e * b$ are true by definition. Thus, every group with 3 elements is also abelian group.

Similarly, a group G with 4 elements must be in the form $\{e, a, b, c\}$ ($a \neq b \neq c \neq e$, e is the identity). Assume that the group is **not** an abelian group, that is, there exists one pair of non-identity elements (Without loss of generosity, let the pair be a and b) such that $a * b \neq b * a$.

$a * b \neq a, a * b \neq b$ since the identity is unique. Also, $a * b \neq e$, because $a * b = e$ implies $b * a = e$ as well. To make $a * b \in G$, the only possibility is $a * b = c$.

Consider $b * a$, it is not equal to a , b and e because of the same reason mentioned in last paragraph. But $b * a \in G$ by the definition of group, the only possibility is $b * a = a * b = c$, which creates a contradiction. Thus, any group with 4 elements are abelian.

After proving as above, we can have the conclusion that if G has at most four elements, for all $x, y \in G$, we have $x * y = y * x$.

(c)

If every element $x \in G$ satisfies $x * x = e$, then $x = x^{-1}$. So:

$$\begin{aligned}x * y &= x^{-1} * y^{-1} \\&= (y * x)^{-1} \\&= y * x\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, for all $x, y \in G$ we have $x * y = y * x$.