

Question. "I, personally, have misgivings about one of the pictures. As any amateur photographer will tell you, a Brownie camera is fitted with a ~~xxxx~~ meniscus lens. That is to say, it is non-focussing, and everything from five feet to finfinity is in focus. On examining plate VII, it becomes apparent that the blurring effect is NOT caused by "the rapid motion of the saucer", since any motion would manifest itself on the film as a streak, from which the direction of motion could be deduced. The highlights, particularly, would show this. But they do not. They are just plain Out Of Focus. Which means. . . that the 'saucer' was less than five feet from the lens, in which case, it couldn't have been more than about 2 ft in diameter."

A. "We are not too happy about that picture ourselves. It is not one of Adamski's but is said to have been taken by a Sgt. Baker who supplied a statement with it. Adamski actually wished us not to include it in the publication, but his request came after the book had gone to press. In the recent radiesthesia tests by Dr. Benham* (*See footnote p. 23) all the pictures except this one have shown a peculiar radiation which, Dr. Benham says, is "not of this planet". More tests will be done on this picture, which is quite a bit of a mystery. The radiation it gives is not of ordinary metal, which one would suspect if it were a fake, but - of rock. How anyone could have carved a stone saucer I just don't see; we are trying to clear up this mystery.

P 23 Footnote. Radiesthesia Analysis. The analysis referred to on page 22 is obtainable in booklet form:- "BIOMETRIC ANALYSIS of the 'FLYING SAUCER' PHOTOGRAPHS" - at 2/9d from the Archers' Court Research Group, Archers' Court, Hastings, Sussex. (Mainly statistical, it will be of interest only to those who are acquainted with Radiesthesia techniques.)

Leslie's remark that it is said to have been taken by Sgt. Baker seems to be a cautious retreat from the position taken in Flying Saucers Have Landed. Plate VI entitled Venusian Flying Saucer has a caption "The second telescopic picture taken at Palomar Gardens, California at 9:10 A.M. 13th December, 1952 as the saucer was rising shows the underside arrangements."

The reproduction is faced by plate VII entitled Flying Saucer Passing Over Low Trees. Under this one the caption reads "This photo taken a few minutes later was made by Sgt. Jerrold E Baker with a Brownie Kodak camera as the saucer flew away and passed rapidly over the low hills on which he was standing. The blurred effect is due to the rapid speed at which the craft was moving."

Now these are simple declarative sentences with no "it is said to have been taken by Sgt. Baker" Since Sgt Baker hadn't been any longer a Sgt at that time, but had been out of the Air Force several months, and since further he had repudiated the picture long before it was printed, these two facts make Mr. Leslie's afterthought a little less than ~~exaggerated~~ candid research or candid photography calls for.

NB Add to the remark about the three portholes, which didn't seem to jibe in the observations of Stephen Darbshire and George Adamski's pictures. The boy said he saw 4 and Leslie said an unpublished picture of Adamski's showed 4. Actually the first picture in the book of Leslie and Adamski shows 5 and judging from the spacing would show at least 12 all the way around though it does not reveal any 4 together. In another photograph 6 are revealed, again in clusters of 3. but in both instances it is possible that a fourth

could be around the bend.

In "Baker's" picture before it was cropped, another identifying mark was a water tower which is a landmark of Palomar Gardens, familiar to us..

Jan 14, 1957, Gene Dorsey came by on his way back to L.A. We hadn't seen him for many months and there was much to be caught up on. He showed two PROCEEDINGS magazines, July and Nov with pictures of saucers. The Nov issue had pictures that seemed of saucer identical with those that George Adamski had taken. These however were not taken in California.

Gene also told us about a Colonel in the AF, the youngest Col that had been, getting the rating at 23. He ~~sixty~~ was the leader in sorties, when the general wasn't doing it. ^{A famous Eighth Air Force} He also was chief briefing officer for General Norstad. He told how a friend of his, a general whom he didn't care to give the name, natch, had told that he had gone through Wright Patterson Field laboratories and had seen himself the bodies of small men - pickled. This was in 1949 or early 1950. Also he had been the pilot for the Sec of Navy and other high ~~fix~~ officials on a ~~tour~~ over Mexico. They had landed where a saucer had been crashed. The space was roped off. The Colonel was not among those to be allowed to go inside the enclosure, but when they vips came back with crates and crates of stuff that they loaded into the plane, one of them had a piece of metal in his hand that was from the saucer. It looked like lead, but was light and very hard. They talked freely with him, and told him many things. This must have been around 1949.

Gene also told about a scientist or engineer friend of his who has been working on a new machine, which proves he is getting the secret of suspension in air of certain metals. ~~xxxxxx~~ The machine itself is only about $1\frac{1}{2}$ to 2 feet wide, and has slots in it. When the machine is on, he can feed for instance a slug of silver to it, and the silver, or copper, does not drop through the slot with gravity, but is suspended in the air, and - oscillates - like the typical action of flying saucers. Steel and other metals just fall straight though and is is not affected by the machine.