#### Some Title Here

By

# RICHARD D. JOYCE B.S (Columbia University) 2009

#### THESIS

Submitted in partial satisfaction of the requirements for the degree of

### DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

in the

OFFICE OF GRADUATE STUDIES

of the

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

DAVIS

Approved:

Stephen K. Robinson, Chair

Ron A. Hess

Michael Feary

Committee in charge

2017

# Contents

| List of Tables Abstract |      |                            | iv |
|-------------------------|------|----------------------------|----|
|                         |      |                            |    |
| 1                       | Inti | roduction                  | 1  |
| 2                       | Des  | sign Evaluation Experiment | 2  |
|                         | 2.1  | Introduction               | 2  |
|                         | 2.2  | Experimental Design        | 2  |
|                         |      | 2.2.1 Task Design          | 2  |
|                         |      | 2.2.2 Instrument Design    | 5  |
|                         | 2.3  | Methods                    | 5  |
|                         | 2.4  | Results                    | 5  |
|                         |      | 2.4.1 Demographics         | 9  |
|                         |      | 2.4.2 Performance Measures | 9  |
|                         |      | 2.4.3 Design Feedback      | 9  |
|                         | 2.5  | Discussion                 |    |
|                         | 2.6  | Conclusion                 | 5  |
|                         |      |                            |    |
| A                       | nner | ndices                     | _  |

# List of Figures

# List of Tables

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Richard~D.~Joyce} \\ {\rm June~2017} \\ {\rm Mechanical~and~Aerospace~Engineering} \end{array}$ 

Some Title Here

# Abstract

put the abstract here

# Acknowledgments

XXXXXXX

# Chapter 1

# Introduction

# Chapter 2

# Design Evaluation Experiment

## 2.1 Introduction

After investigating the technical approach and the benefit to including the passive haptics layer, we seek to investigate the use of the Rapidly Reconfigurable Research Cockpit in a more realistic design evaluation study. The advantages of using the R3C system would not be useful if it masked defects in a design study.

# 2.2 Experimental Design

## 2.2.1 Task Design

The task the subjects were to perform had a number of requirements.

- Ability to simulate designs for completing task on touchscreen and R3C setup
- Tracking task using a standard attitude indicator display controlled with joystick
- Second task that requires use of multiple button to button movements on the instrument
- Sufficient workload such that subjects have high but not full workload

### 2.2.2 Instrument Design

### 2.3 Methods

Subjects were divided into the two groups, TS and VR.

### 2.4 Results

## 2.4.1 Demographics

Twenty-three subjects were recruited from the UC Davis engineering undergraduate and graduate student population. Twelve subjects were placed in the VR group, and the remaining eleven in the TS group. The mean age was  $21.0(\sigma = 3.14)$ , with 19 male and 4 female subjects. The female subjects were balanced between the two groups. Most subjects had no flight experience (two were student pilots), and all of the VR group subjects indicated that they had less than one hour of experience using virtual reality headsets.

#### 2.4.2 Performance Measures

### 2.4.3 Design Feedback

### 2.5 Discussion

### 2.6 Conclusion

Appendices