

# Theory

## Reasoning about Calculational Proofs

**Prove that**  $(\circ ((\text{curry map}) f) ((\text{curry map}) g)) == ((\text{curry map}) (\circ f g))$

This means we need to show that

$((\circ ((\text{curry map}) f) ((\text{curry map}) g)) xs) == (((\text{curry map}) (\circ f g)) xs)$

Thus, we will use induction to show that the two functions are equivalent.

### Base Case

Assume that the given list to the function is empty. Then  $xs = '()$ .

```
((\circ ((\text{curry map}) f) ((\text{curry map}) g)) xs)
= {By assumption that xs is empty}
((\circ ((\text{curry map}) f) ((\text{curry map}) g)) '())
= {By apply-compose law}
(((\text{curry map}) f) (((\text{curry map}) g) '()))
= {By apply-curry law}
(((\text{curry map}) f) (\text{map } g '()))
= {By map-nil law}
(((\text{curry map}) f) '())
= {By apply-curry law}
(\text{map } f '())
= {By map-nil law}
'()
= {By map-nil}
(\text{map } (\circ f g) '())
= {By apply-curry law}
(((\text{curry map}) (\circ f g)) ()')
= {By assumption that xs is empty}
(((\text{curry map}) (\circ f g)) xs)
```

## Inductive Case

Let  $xs$  be a non-empty list  $xs = (\text{cons } y \text{ } ys)$  and assume that the inductive hypothesis

$$((\circ ((\text{curry map}) f) ((\text{curry map}) g)) xs) == (((\text{curry map}) (\circ f g)) xs)$$

is true for all lists with length shorter than  $xs$  (aka that it is true for  $ys$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\circ ((\text{curry map}) f) ((\text{curry map}) g)) xs) \\ &= \{\text{By apply-compose law}\} \\ & (((\text{curry map}) f) (((\text{curry map}) g) xs)) \\ &= \{\text{By apply-curry law}\} \\ & (((\text{curry map}) f) (\text{map } g \text{ } xs)) \\ &= \{\text{By apply-curry law}\} \\ & (\text{map } f \text{ } (\text{map } g \text{ } xs)) \\ &= \{\text{By } xs = (\text{cons } y \text{ } ys)\} \\ & (\text{map } f \text{ } (\text{map } g \text{ } (\text{cons } y \text{ } ys))) \\ &= \{\text{By map-cons law}\} \\ & (\text{map } f \text{ } (\text{cons } (g y) (\text{map } g \text{ } ys))) \\ &= \{\text{By map-cons law}\} \\ & (\text{cons } (f (g y)) (\text{map } f \text{ } (\text{map } g \text{ } ys))) \\ &= \{\text{By apply-curry law}\} \\ & (\text{cons } (f (g y)) (\text{map } f \text{ } (((\text{curry map}) g) ys))) \\ &= \{\text{By apply-curry law}\} \\ & (\text{cons } (f (g y)) (((\text{curry map}) f) (((\text{curry map}) g) ys))) \\ &= \{\text{By apply-compose law}\} \\ & (\text{cons } (f (g y)) ((\circ ((\text{curry map}) f) ((\text{curry map}) g)) ys)) \\ &= \{\text{By inductive hypothesis}\} \\ & (\text{cons } (f (g y)) (((\text{curry map}) (\circ f g)) ys)) \\ &= \{\text{By apply-curry law}\} \\ & (\text{cons } (f (g y)) (\text{map } (\circ f g) \text{ } ys)) \\ &= \{\text{By apply-compose law}\} \\ & (\text{cons } ((\circ f g) y) (\text{map } (\circ f g) \text{ } ys)) \\ &= \{\text{By map-cons law}\} \\ & (\text{map } (\circ f g) \text{ } (\text{cons } y \text{ } ys)) \\ &= \{\text{By } xs = (\text{cons } y \text{ } ys)\} \\ & (((\text{curry map}) (\circ f g)) xs) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by induction,

$$((\circ ((\text{curry map}) f) ((\text{curry map}) g)) xs) == (((\text{curry map}) (\circ f g)) xs)$$

So the functions are observational equivalent, so

$$(\circ ((\text{curry map}) f) ((\text{curry map}) g)) == ((\text{curry map}) (\circ f g))$$