

Challenge 06:

Nonperturbative S-matrix Bootstrap with Gravity

Comprehensive Technical Report

Domain:	Quantum Gravity & Particle Physics
Difficulty:	High
Timeline:	6–12 months
Prerequisites:	S-matrix theory, dispersion relations, partial-wave unitarity

Contents

1	Executive Summary	3
2	Scientific Context and Motivation	3
2.1	The S-matrix Bootstrap Philosophy	3
2.2	S-matrix Axioms	4
2.2.1	Axiom 1: Unitarity	4
2.2.2	Axiom 2: Crossing Symmetry	4
2.2.3	Axiom 3: Analyticity	4
2.2.4	Axiom 4: Polynomial Boundedness (Regge Behavior)	4
2.3	Gravity-Specific Constraints	5
2.3.1	Weinberg Soft Graviton Theorem	5
2.3.2	Graviton Pole Structure	5
2.3.3	Causality and the Regge Bound	5
2.4	The Core Question	5
2.5	Why This Matters	5
3	Mathematical Formulation	6
3.1	Kinematics: Mandelstam Variables	6
3.2	Scattering Angle	6
3.3	Partial-Wave Expansion	6
3.4	Unitarity Constraints	7
3.5	Crossing Symmetry	7
3.6	Dispersion Relations	7
3.6.1	Roy-Steiner Equations	8
3.7	Weinberg Soft Theorem	8
3.8	Regge Bound	8
3.9	Optimization Problem Formulation	9
4	Implementation Approach	9
4.1	Phase 1: Partial-Wave Infrastructure (Months 1–2)	9
4.1.1	Legendre Polynomial Computation	9
4.1.2	Partial-Wave Projection	10
4.1.3	Phase Space and Unitarity Check	11
4.2	Phase 2: Dispersion Relations (Months 2–4)	12
4.2.1	Twice-Subtracted Dispersion Relation	12
4.2.2	Roy-Steiner System	14
4.3	Phase 3: Crossing Symmetry Implementation (Months 4–5)	15
4.4	Phase 4: Soft Theorem Constraints (Months 5–6)	17
4.5	Phase 5: Regge Bound Implementation (Months 6–7)	19
4.6	Phase 6: SDP Optimization (Months 7–9)	20
4.7	Phase 7: Certificate Extraction and Verification (Months 9–11)	24
4.8	Phase 8: Formal Verification (Months 11–12)	27
5	Detailed Research Directions	28
5.1	Direction 1: Single-Coefficient Bounds	28
5.2	Direction 2: Multi-Parameter Allowed Regions	28
5.3	Direction 3: Comparison with Positivity Bounds	29
5.4	Direction 4: Spinning External States	29
5.5	Direction 5: UV Completion Identification	29

6	Success Criteria	29
6.1	Minimum Viable Result (6 months)	29
6.2	Strong Result (9 months)	30
6.3	Publication-Quality Result (12 months)	30
7	Verification Protocol	30
7.1	For Claimed Feasibility (Wilson Coefficients Allowed)	30
7.2	For Claimed Infeasibility (Wilson Coefficients Forbidden)	31
8	Common Pitfalls and Mitigations	33
8.1	Numerical Precision Loss	33
8.2	Roy Equation Non-Convergence	33
8.3	Partial-Wave Truncation	33
8.4	SDP Solver Issues	34
8.5	Soft Theorem Pole Handling	34
9	Resources and References	34
9.1	Essential Papers	34
9.2	Software and Tools	34
9.3	Background Reading	35
10	Milestone Checklist	35
10.1	Infrastructure (Months 1–2)	35
10.2	Dispersion Relations (Months 2–4)	35
10.3	Constraints (Months 4–6)	35
10.4	Optimization (Months 6–9)	36
10.5	Verification (Months 9–11)	36
10.6	Formalization (Months 11–12)	36
11	Conclusion	36

1 Executive Summary

The **S-matrix bootstrap** is a powerful non-perturbative approach to constraining scattering amplitudes using only fundamental physical principles: **unitarity**, **crossing symmetry**, and **analyticity**. Unlike perturbative methods that expand in small coupling constants, the bootstrap makes *no assumption about weak coupling* and produces **rigorous, model-independent bounds** on effective field theory (EFT) parameters.

Analysis Note

This challenge combines the classical S-matrix bootstrap philosophy—born in the 1960s—with modern computational techniques: semidefinite programming, high-precision numerics, and formal verification. When gravity is included, additional powerful constraints emerge from Weinberg’s soft graviton theorem and Regge boundedness.

The central goal is to determine **which EFT Wilson coefficients are compatible with a consistent UV completion that includes gravity**. This directly addresses the Swampland program: identifying low-energy theories that *cannot* arise from quantum gravity.

Physical Insight

Why Non-Perturbative? Perturbative methods assume small coupling $g \ll 1$ and expand $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0 + g\mathcal{M}_1 + g^2\mathcal{M}_2 + \dots$. The S-matrix bootstrap instead uses only:

- Unitarity: $S^\dagger S = 1$ (exact, not perturbative)
- Crossing: Particle \leftrightarrow antiparticle under $s \leftrightarrow u$
- Analyticity: Amplitudes are analytic except on physical cuts

These hold at *any* coupling strength.

2 Scientific Context and Motivation

2.1 The S-matrix Bootstrap Philosophy

The S-matrix program, pioneered by Heisenberg, Chew, Mandelstam, and others in the 1960s, sought to derive all particle physics from consistency conditions on scattering amplitudes. While the original program was superseded by QCD and the Standard Model, its core insight remains profound:

Physical principles alone—without reference to a specific Lagrangian—impose powerful constraints on what amplitudes are possible.

Analysis Note

The modern revival of the S-matrix bootstrap combines these classical ideas with computational advances (convex optimization, high-precision arithmetic) and the theoretical framework of effective field theory. The result is a systematic method for deriving *rigorous bounds* on EFT parameters.

2.2 S-matrix Axioms

2.2.1 Axiom 1: Unitarity

The S-matrix must preserve probability:

$$\boxed{S^\dagger S = S S^\dagger = \mathbf{1}} \quad (1)$$

For the scattering amplitude \mathcal{M} , this implies the **optical theorem**:

$$\text{Im}\mathcal{M}(s, t=0) = s\sigma_{\text{total}}(s) \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

where σ_{total} is the total cross-section.

For partial waves (defined below), unitarity becomes:

$$\text{Im}a_J(s) = \rho(s)|a_J(s)|^2 \quad (3)$$

where $\rho(s) = \sqrt{1 - 4m^2/s}$ is the phase space factor.

2.2.2 Axiom 2: Crossing Symmetry

Amplitudes involving particles and antiparticles are related by analytic continuation:

$$\boxed{A(s, t, u) = A(t, s, u) = A(u, t, s)} \quad (4)$$

For identical particles, this is a powerful constraint relating different kinematic regimes.

2.2.3 Axiom 3: Analyticity

The amplitude $\mathcal{M}(s, t)$ is **analytic** in the complex s -plane except on physical cuts:

- **Right-hand cut:** $s \geq 4m^2$ (particle production threshold)
- **Left-hand cut:** $u \geq 4m^2$ (crossed channel, maps to $s \leq 0$)

This analyticity, combined with the Schwarz reflection principle, enables powerful dispersion relations.

2.2.4 Axiom 4: Polynomial Boundedness (Regge Behavior)

At high energies (large $|s|$ with fixed t), the amplitude cannot grow faster than a polynomial:

$$|\mathcal{M}(s, t)| \leq C|s|^N \quad \text{as } |s| \rightarrow \infty \quad (5)$$

For theories with gravity, the Regge bound is:

$$\boxed{|\mathcal{M}(s, t)| \lesssim s^2 \quad (\text{gravity Regge bound})} \quad (6)$$

This ensures convergence of dispersion relations with at most two subtractions.

Physical Insight

Why s^2 for Gravity? The graviton exchange diagram contributes $\mathcal{M} \sim s^2/t$ at tree level. The s^2 growth is the maximum consistent with causality and locality—faster growth would imply acausal propagation.

2.3 Gravity-Specific Constraints

2.3.1 Weinberg Soft Graviton Theorem

When a graviton with momentum $q \rightarrow 0$ is emitted from an n -particle amplitude:

$$M_{n+1}(p_1, \dots, p_n; q, \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 0} \kappa \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\varepsilon_{\mu\nu} p_i^\mu p_i^\nu}{p_i \cdot q} M_n(p_1, \dots, p_n) \quad (7)$$

where $\kappa = \sqrt{8\pi G}$ and $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}$ is the graviton polarization tensor.

This **universal** behavior fixes the residues at $t = 0$ and $u = 0$ poles, providing strong constraints on the amplitude.

2.3.2 Graviton Pole Structure

The graviton exchange contributes a pole at $t = 0$:

$$\mathcal{M}(s, t) \supset \frac{8\pi G s^2}{t} + (\text{higher poles/cuts}) \quad (8)$$

The coefficient of this pole is fixed by the equivalence principle—gravity couples universally to energy-momentum.

2.3.3 Causality and the Regge Bound

Causality (no superluminal signaling) combined with locality implies the Regge bound $\mathcal{M} \sim s^2$. Violations would allow faster-than-light communication through cleverly designed scattering experiments.

2.4 The Core Question

Central Research Question

What are the allowed regions for EFT Wilson coefficients when gravity is coupled to matter, derived purely from S-matrix axioms?

Consider the effective Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{GR}} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{\Lambda^{2n}} \mathcal{O}_{2n+4} \quad (9)$$

where \mathcal{O}_{2n+4} are dimension- $(2n+4)$ operators built from ϕ and curvature.

Which values of $\{c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots\}$ admit a UV completion consistent with unitarity, crossing, and analyticity?

2.5 Why This Matters

- (1) **Swampland Constraints:** Identifies which low-energy theories *cannot* arise from quantum gravity
- (2) **Model-Independent Bounds:** Results hold for *any* UV completion, not specific models
- (3) **Non-Perturbative:** Valid at any coupling strength, not just weak coupling
- (4) **Rigorous Mathematics:** Produces actual theorems with machine-verifiable proofs
- (5) **Phenomenology:** Constrains quantum gravity corrections to Standard Model and gravity

3 Mathematical Formulation

3.1 Kinematics: Mandelstam Variables

Consider $2 \rightarrow 2$ scattering: $\phi(p_1) + \phi(p_2) \rightarrow \phi(p_3) + \phi(p_4)$.

Definition 3.1 (Mandelstam Variables). The Lorentz-invariant kinematic variables are:

$$s = (p_1 + p_2)^2 = (p_3 + p_4)^2 \quad (\text{center-of-mass energy squared}) \quad (10)$$

$$t = (p_1 - p_3)^2 = (p_2 - p_4)^2 \quad (\text{momentum transfer squared}) \quad (11)$$

$$u = (p_1 - p_4)^2 = (p_2 - p_3)^2 \quad (\text{crossed channel}) \quad (12)$$

with the constraint:

$$\boxed{s + t + u = 4m^2} \quad (13)$$

The physical scattering region (where particles are on-shell with real momenta) corresponds to:

- s -channel: $s \geq 4m^2, t \leq 0, u \leq 0$
- t -channel: $t \geq 4m^2, s \leq 0, u \leq 0$
- u -channel: $u \geq 4m^2, s \leq 0, t \leq 0$

3.2 Scattering Angle

In the center-of-mass frame:

$$\cos \theta = 1 + \frac{2t}{s - 4m^2} \quad (14)$$

where θ is the scattering angle. The physical range $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ corresponds to $\cos \theta \in [-1, 1]$.

3.3 Partial-Wave Expansion

Definition 3.2 (Partial-Wave Expansion). The amplitude can be expanded in Legendre polynomials:

$$\boxed{A(s, t) = 16\pi \sum_{J=0}^{\infty} (2J+1) a_J(s) P_J(\cos \theta)} \quad (15)$$

where:

- J is the angular momentum (spin)
- $a_J(s)$ are the **partial-wave amplitudes**
- $P_J(\cos \theta)$ are Legendre polynomials

The inverse relation (partial-wave projection) is:

$$a_J(s) = \frac{1}{32\pi} \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos \theta) P_J(\cos \theta) A(s, t(\cos \theta)) \quad (16)$$

Analysis Note

The partial-wave expansion separates the energy dependence (in $a_J(s)$) from the angular dependence (in P_J). Unitarity and analyticity are most naturally stated in terms of partial waves.

3.4 Unitarity Constraints

Theorem 3.1 (Partial-Wave Unitarity). Below the inelastic threshold (elastic scattering only):

$$\boxed{\text{Im}a_J(s) = \rho(s)|a_J(s)|^2} \quad (17)$$

where $\rho(s) = \sqrt{1 - 4m^2/s}$ is the two-body phase space factor.

This implies the **unitarity bound**:

$$|a_J(s)| \leq \frac{1}{\rho(s)} \quad (18)$$

Parametrizing $a_J = |a_J|e^{i\delta_J}$ where δ_J is the phase shift:

$$a_J(s) = \frac{e^{2i\delta_J(s)} - 1}{2i\rho(s)} = \frac{\sin \delta_J(s)}{\rho(s)} e^{i\delta_J(s)} \quad (19)$$

Physical Insight

Unitarity Circle: The unitarity condition $\text{Im}a_J = \rho|a_J|^2$ confines a_J to a circle in the complex plane. Defining $\tilde{a}_J = \rho \cdot a_J$, unitarity becomes $\text{Im}\tilde{a}_J = |\tilde{a}_J|^2$, which describes a circle of radius $1/2$ centered at $i/2$.

3.5 Crossing Symmetry

For identical particles:

$$\boxed{A(s, t, u) = A(t, s, u) = A(u, t, s)} \quad (20)$$

This is a **non-linear constraint** on the partial waves, mixing different J values through the Legendre expansion.

Theorem 3.2 (Crossing Relations for Partial Waves). Crossing symmetry implies:

$$\sum_{J=0}^{\infty} (2J+1)a_J(s)P_J\left(1 + \frac{2t}{s-4m^2}\right) = \sum_{J=0}^{\infty} (2J+1)a_J(t)P_J\left(1 + \frac{2s}{t-4m^2}\right) \quad (21)$$

3.6 Dispersion Relations

Analyticity and polynomial boundedness imply dispersion relations—integral equations relating the real and imaginary parts of amplitudes.

Definition 3.3 (Fixed- t Dispersion Relation). For fixed $t < 0$:

$$\boxed{A(s, t) = \text{polynomial}(s) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{4m^2}^{\infty} ds' \left[\frac{\text{Im}A(s', t)}{s' - s} + \frac{\text{Im}A(s', t)}{s' - u} \right]} \quad (22)$$

where $u = 4m^2 - s - t$.

The number of subtractions in the polynomial depends on the Regge behavior:

- $A \sim s^0$: No subtractions needed
- $A \sim s^1$: One subtraction
- $A \sim s^2$ (gravity): Two subtractions

3.6.1 Roy-Steiner Equations

The Roy equations (and their Steiner generalization) provide a self-consistent system for partial waves:

$$\text{Re}a_J(s) = \text{subtraction terms} + \sum_{J'} \int_{4m^2}^{\infty} ds' K_{JJ'}(s, s') \text{Im}a_{J'}(s') \quad (23)$$

where $K_{JJ'}$ is the crossing kernel.

Analysis Note

Roy equations were originally developed for pion-pion scattering and have achieved remarkable precision. Their extension to gravity-coupled systems is a key challenge of this project.

3.7 Weinberg Soft Theorem

Theorem 3.3 (Weinberg Soft Graviton Theorem). As the graviton momentum $q \rightarrow 0$:

$$M_{n+1}(\{p_i\}; q, \varepsilon) = \kappa \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\varepsilon_{\mu\nu} p_i^\mu p_i^\nu}{p_i \cdot q} M_n(\{p_i\}) + \mathcal{O}(q^0) \quad (24)$$

where $\kappa = \sqrt{8\pi G_N}$.

For $2 \rightarrow 2$ scattering, this fixes the residue at the graviton pole:

$$\mathcal{M}(s, t) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{8\pi G_N s^2}{t} + \text{regular} \quad (25)$$

Critical Consideration

Soft Theorem Subtleties: The leading soft theorem is *universal* and follows from gauge invariance. Subleading soft theorems exist but depend on the matter content. Our bootstrap uses only the leading (universal) behavior.

3.8 Regge Bound

Theorem 3.4 (Regge Bound for Gravity). For fixed $t < 0$ and $|s| \rightarrow \infty$:

$$|A(s, t)| \leq C(t) \cdot s^2 \quad (26)$$

This is saturated by graviton exchange at tree level.

The Regge bound ensures:

1. Dispersion relations converge with two subtractions
2. Causality is preserved (no superluminal signaling)
3. The Froissart-Martin bound is satisfied

3.9 Optimization Problem Formulation

The S-matrix bootstrap becomes a **semidefinite program (SDP)**:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Maximize/Minimize: } c_i \quad (\text{Wilson coefficient}) \\
& \text{Subject to: } \text{Im} a_J(s) = \rho(s) |a_J(s)|^2 \quad (\text{unitarity}) \\
& \quad \quad \quad A(s, t) = A(t, s) \quad (\text{crossing}) \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{Dispersion relations hold} \quad (\text{analyticity}) \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{Soft theorem residue} = 8\pi G_N s^2/t \quad (\text{gravity}) \\
& \quad \quad \quad |A(s, t)| \leq C s^2 \quad (\text{Regge})
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Research Direction

SDP Relaxation: The unitarity constraint is quadratic, making the problem non-convex. Standard approaches:

1. Parametrize $a_J = \sin \delta_J e^{i\delta_J} / \rho$ (automatically satisfies unitarity)
2. Use moment matrices and SDP hierarchy
3. Discretize and use MILP for integrality

4 Implementation Approach

4.1 Phase 1: Partial-Wave Infrastructure (Months 1–2)

Goal: Build a robust partial-wave calculator with high-precision arithmetic.

4.1.1 Legendre Polynomial Computation

```

1 from mpmath import mp, mpf
2 import scipy.special as sp
3
4 mp.dps = 100 # 100 decimal places
5
6 def legendre_polynomial(J: int, z: complex) -> complex:
7     """
8     Compute P_J(z) for complex z.
9
10    Uses recurrence relation for numerical stability:
11    (J+1) P_{J+1}(z) = (2J+1) z P_J(z) - J P_{J-1}(z)
12
13    Args:
14        J: Angular momentum quantum number (non-negative integer)
15        z: Argument (can be complex)
16
17    Returns:
18        P_J(z) to mp.dps precision
19    """
20    if J == 0:
21        return mpf(1)
22    elif J == 1:
23        return z
24
25    P_prev = mpf(1) # P_0
26    P_curr = z      # P_1
27

```

```

28     for j in range(1, J):
29         P_next = ((2*j + 1) * z * P_curr - j * P_prev) / (j + 1)
30         P_prev = P_curr
31         P_curr = P_next
32
33     return P_curr
34
35
36 def legendre_Q(J: int, z: complex) -> complex:
37     """
38     Compute Legendre function of the second kind Q_J(z).
39
40     Q_J appears in the partial-wave expansion for the
41     crossed channel amplitude.
42     """
43     # Use integral representation for |z| > 1
44     if abs(z) > 1:
45         def integrand(t):
46             return legendre_polynomial(J, t) / (z - t)
47
48         result = mp.quad(integrand, [-1, 1]) / 2
49         return result
50     else:
51         # Use series expansion near z = 1
52         return _legendre_Q_series(J, z)

```

Listing 1: High-precision Legendre polynomials

4.1.2 Partial-Wave Projection

```

1 from mpmath import mp, quad
2 import numpy as np
3
4 def partial_wave_projection(amplitude_func, J: int, s: float,
5                             m: float) -> complex:
6     """
7     Project amplitude onto partial wave J:
8
9     a_J(s) = (1/32pi) * integral_{-1}^{1} d(cos theta)
10              * P_J(cos theta) * A(s, t(cos theta))
11
12     Args:
13         amplitude_func: Function A(s, t) -> complex
14         J: Angular momentum
15         s: Center-of-mass energy squared
16         m: Particle mass
17
18     Returns:
19         Partial-wave amplitude a_J(s)
20     """
21     s0 = 4 * m**2
22
23     def integrand(cos_theta):
24         # Convert cos(theta) to t
25         t = (s - s0) * (cos_theta - 1) / 2
26
27         # Evaluate amplitude and Legendre polynomial
28         A_val = amplitude_func(s, t)
29         P_J = legendre_polynomial(J, cos_theta)
30
31         return P_J * A_val
32

```

```

33     # Numerical integration with high precision
34     result = mp.quad(integrand, [-1, 1])
35
36     return result / (32 * mp.pi)
37
38
39 def reconstruct_amplitude(partial_waves: dict, s: float, t: float,
40                           m: float, J_max: int) -> complex:
41     """
42     Reconstruct amplitude from partial waves:
43
44      $A(s,t) = 16\pi \sum_J (2J+1) a_J(s) P_J(\cos \theta)$ 
45
46     Args:
47         partial_waves: Dictionary {J: a_J(s)} or function
48         s, t: Mandelstam variables
49         m: Particle mass
50         J_max: Maximum J to include in sum
51
52     Returns:
53         Reconstructed amplitude
54     """
55     s0 = 4 * m**2
56     cos_theta = 1 + 2 * t / (s - s0)
57
58     A = 0
59     for J in range(J_max + 1):
60         if callable(partial_waves):
61             a_J = partial_waves(J, s)
62         else:
63             a_J = partial_waves.get(J, 0)
64
65         P_J = legendre_polynomial(J, cos_theta)
66         A += (2 * J + 1) * a_J * P_J
67
68     return 16 * mp.pi * A

```

Listing 2: Partial-wave projection from amplitude

Analysis Note

Truncation Error: The partial-wave sum is truncated at J_{\max} . For physical amplitudes, high- J contributions are suppressed. Verify convergence by increasing J_{\max} and checking stability.

4.1.3 Phase Space and Unitarity Check

```

1 import numpy as np
2
3 def phase_space(s: float, m: float) -> float:
4     """
5     Two-body phase space factor:  $\rho(s) = \sqrt{1 - 4m^2/s}$ 
6     """
7     s0 = 4 * m**2
8     if s <= s0:
9         return 0.0
10    return np.sqrt(1 - s0 / s)
11
12
13 def check_elastic_unitarity(a_J: complex, s: float, m: float,
14                             tol: float = 1e-10) -> dict:

```

```

15 """
16 Check elastic unitarity:  $\text{Im}(a_J) = \rho * |a_J|^2$ 
17
18 Returns dictionary with check results.
19 """
20 rho = phase_space(s, m)
21
22 lhs = a_J.imag
23 rhs = rho * abs(a_J)**2
24
25 error = abs(lhs - rhs)
26 passed = error < tol
27
28 # Also check unitarity bound:  $|a_J| \leq 1/\rho$ 
29 bound_satisfied = abs(a_J) <= 1/rho + tol if rho > 0 else True
30
31 return {
32     'elastic_unitarity_error': error,
33     'elastic_unitarity_passed': passed,
34     'unitarity_bound_satisfied': bound_satisfied,
35     'a_J': a_J,
36     's': s,
37     'rho': rho
38 }
39
40
41 def parametrize_unitary_partial_wave(delta_J: float, s: float,
42                                     m: float) -> complex:
43     """
44     Parametrize partial wave in terms of phase shift.
45
46      $a_J = \sin(\delta_J) * \exp(i * \delta_J) / \rho$ 
47
48     This automatically satisfies elastic unitarity.
49     """
50     rho = phase_space(s, m)
51     if rho == 0:
52         return 0.0
53
54     return np.sin(delta_J) * np.exp(1j * delta_J) / rho

```

Listing 3: Unitarity verification

4.2 Phase 2: Dispersion Relations (Months 2–4)

Goal: Implement Roy-Steiner type dispersion relations for gravity-coupled amplitudes.

4.2.1 Twice-Subtracted Dispersion Relation

```

1 from scipy.integrate import quad
2 import numpy as np
3
4 def twice_subtracted_dispersion(s: complex, t: float,
5                                 imaginary_amplitude,
6                                 s0: float, s1: float,
7                                 a0: complex, a1: complex,
8                                 m: float, s_max: float = 1e6) -> complex:
9     """
10     Twice-subtracted dispersion relation (required for gravity).
11
12      $A(s,t) = a_0 + a_1 * s + (s^2 / \pi) * \int ds'$ 

```

```

13         * Im A(s',t) / (s'^2 * (s' - s))
14         + crossed channel contribution
15
16     Args:
17         s: Evaluation point (can be complex)
18         t: Fixed momentum transfer (t < 0)
19         imaginary_amplitude: Function Im A(s', t) for s' real
20         s0, s1: Subtraction points
21         a0, a1: Subtraction constants
22         m: Particle mass
23         s_max: Upper cutoff for integral
24
25     Returns:
26         A(s, t) from dispersion relation
27     """
28     threshold = 4 * m**2
29
30     def integrand_s_channel(s_prime):
31         if s_prime <= threshold:
32             return 0.0
33
34         Im_A = imaginary_amplitude(s_prime, t)
35         denominator = s_prime**2 * (s_prime - s)
36         return Im_A / denominator
37
38     # s-channel integral
39     s_integral, s_error = quad(
40         lambda sp: integrand_s_channel(sp).real,
41         threshold, s_max, limit=200
42     )
43     s_integral += 1j * quad(
44         lambda sp: integrand_s_channel(sp).imag,
45         threshold, s_max, limit=200
46     )[0]
47
48     # u-channel (crossed) contribution
49     # u = 4m^2 - s - t, so s' in u-channel maps to u' = 4m^2 - s' - t
50     def integrand_u_channel(u_prime):
51         if u_prime <= threshold:
52             return 0.0
53
54         s_prime_crossed = threshold - u_prime - t
55         Im_A_u = imaginary_amplitude(u_prime, t) # u-channel imaginary part
56         denominator = u_prime**2 * (u_prime - (threshold - s - t))
57         return Im_A_u / denominator
58
59     u_integral, u_error = quad(
60         lambda up: integrand_u_channel(up).real,
61         threshold, s_max, limit=200
62     )
63
64     # Combine
65     dispersive_part = (s**2 / np.pi) * (s_integral + u_integral)
66
67     return a0 + a1 * s + dispersive_part
68
69
70 def roy_equation_kernel(J: int, Jp: int, s: float, sp: float,
71                         m: float) -> float:
72     """
73     Compute Roy equation kernel  $K_{\{J,J'\}}(s, s')$ .
74
75     This encodes the mixing between partial waves

```

```

76     due to crossing symmetry.
77     """
78     threshold = 4 * m**2
79
80     # The kernel involves Legendre Q functions
81     #  $K_{JJ'} = (2J'+1) / \pi * \int dz P_J(z) Q_{J'}(z')$ 
82     # where  $z'$  is related to  $z$  by crossing
83
84     # Simplified formula for identical scalars
85     cos_theta = 1 + 2 * (threshold - s - sp) / (s - threshold)
86
87     if abs(cos_theta) <= 1:
88         kernel = (2 * Jp + 1) * legendre_polynomial(J, cos_theta)
89         kernel *= legendre_polynomial(Jp, cos_theta) / np.pi
90     else:
91         kernel = (2 * Jp + 1) * legendre_polynomial(J, cos_theta)
92         kernel *= legendre_Q(Jp, cos_theta) / np.pi
93
94     return float(kernel.real)

```

Listing 4: Twice-subtracted dispersion relation for gravity

4.2.2 Roy-Steiner System

```

1  import numpy as np
2  from scipy.linalg import solve
3
4  def setup_roy_steiner_system(s_grid: np.ndarray, J_max: int,
5                               m: float, subtraction_constants: dict):
6
7      """
8      Set up the Roy-Steiner integral equation system.
9
10     Re  $a_J(s) = \text{sub}_J(s) + \sum_{J'} \int ds' K_{JJ'}(s,s') \text{Im } a_{J'}(s')$ 
11
12     Args:
13         s_grid: Grid of s values
14         J_max: Maximum angular momentum
15         m: Particle mass
16         subtraction_constants: Dict with subtraction polynomial coefficients
17
18     Returns:
19         Matrix A, vector b for linear system  $A * (\text{Im } a) = b - (\text{Re } a)$ 
20     """
21     N_s = len(s_grid)
22     N_J = J_max + 1
23     N_total = N_s * N_J
24
25     # Build kernel matrix
26     K = np.zeros((N_total, N_total))
27
28     for i, s in enumerate(s_grid):
29         for J in range(N_J):
30             row_idx = i * N_J + J
31
32             for ip, sp in enumerate(s_grid):
33                 for Jp in range(N_J):
34                     col_idx = ip * N_J + Jp
35
36                     # Kernel value (with s-grid spacing for integration)
37                     ds = s_grid[1] - s_grid[0] if ip < N_s - 1 else 0
38                     K[row_idx, col_idx] = roy_equation_kernel(

```

```

39         ) * ds
40
41     # Subtraction terms
42     b = np.zeros(N_total)
43     for i, s in enumerate(s_grid):
44         for J in range(N_J):
45             row_idx = i * N_J + J
46             b[row_idx] = subtraction_constants.get(
47                 (J, 0), 0
48             ) + subtraction_constants.get((J, 1), 0) * s
49
50     return K, b
51
52
53 def solve_roy_steiner_iteratively(K: np.ndarray, b: np.ndarray,
54                                  unitarity_func,
55                                  max_iter: int = 100,
56                                  tol: float = 1e-8):
57     """
58     Solve Roy-Steiner equations iteratively with unitarity.
59
60     1. Start with initial guess for Im a_J
61     2. Use Roy equations to get Re a_J
62     3. Use unitarity Im a_J = rho |a_J|^2 to update Im a_J
63     4. Repeat until convergence
64     """
65     N = len(b)
66     Im_a = np.zeros(N) # Initial guess
67
68     for iteration in range(max_iter):
69         # Step 2: Roy equation gives Re a
70         Re_a = b - K @ Im_a
71
72         # Step 3: Unitarity update
73         Im_a_new = unitarity_func(Re_a, Im_a)
74
75         # Check convergence
76         change = np.max(np.abs(Im_a_new - Im_a))
77         if change < tol:
78             print(f"Converged after {iteration+1} iterations")
79             return Re_a, Im_a_new
80
81         Im_a = Im_a_new
82
83     print("Warning: Did not converge")
84     return Re_a, Im_a

```

Listing 5: Roy-Steiner equation system

Critical Consideration

Convergence Issues: Roy-Steiner iterations may not converge for all parameter ranges. Monitor the iteration and implement damping if needed: $\text{Im } a^{(n+1)} = \alpha \cdot \text{Im } a_{\text{new}} + (1 - \alpha) \cdot \text{Im } a^{(n)}$.

4.3 Phase 3: Crossing Symmetry Implementation (Months 4–5)

Goal: Enforce crossing symmetry $A(s, t) = A(t, s)$ as constraints.

```

1 import numpy as np
2
3 def crossing_constraint_residual(partial_waves: dict, s: float,

```



```

4         t: float, m: float,
5         J_max: int) -> float:
6
7     """
8     Compute  $|A(s,t) - A(t,s)|$  as a measure of crossing violation.
9
10    For identical particles,  $A(s,t) = A(t,s)$  must hold.
11    """
12    # A(s,t)
13    A_st = reconstruct_amplitude(partial_waves, s, t, m, J_max)
14
15    # A(t,s): need to reconstruct with s <-> t swapped
16    # This requires partial waves in the t-channel
17    A_ts = reconstruct_amplitude(partial_waves, t, s, m, J_max)
18
19    return abs(A_st - A_ts)
20
21 def build_crossing_matrix(s_grid: np.ndarray, t_grid: np.ndarray,
22                           m: float, J_max: int) -> np.ndarray:
23     """
24     Build matrix encoding crossing symmetry constraints.
25
26     For each (s,t) test point, crossing gives a linear constraint
27     on the partial-wave coefficients (when parametrized appropriately).
28     """
29     N_s = len(s_grid)
30     N_t = len(t_grid)
31     N_J = J_max + 1
32
33     # Each (s,t) point gives one equation
34     # Variables are the partial-wave values at each s-grid point
35     N_vars = N_s * N_J
36     N_constraints = N_s * N_t
37
38     C = np.zeros((N_constraints, N_vars), dtype=complex)
39
40     constraint_idx = 0
41     for s in s_grid:
42         for t in t_grid:
43             if s == t:
44                 continue # Trivially satisfied
45
46             threshold = 4 * m**2
47
48             # Coefficient for A(s,t) term
49             cos_theta_st = 1 + 2 * t / (s - threshold)
50             for i_s, s_val in enumerate(s_grid):
51                 for J in range(N_J):
52                     if abs(s_val - s) < 1e-10:
53                         col_idx = i_s * N_J + J
54                         P_J_st = legendre_polynomial(J, cos_theta_st)
55                         C[constraint_idx, col_idx] += 16 * np.pi * (2*J+1) *
56                         P_J_st
57
58             # Coefficient for -A(t,s) term
59             cos_theta_ts = 1 + 2 * s / (t - threshold)
60             for i_s, s_val in enumerate(s_grid):
61                 for J in range(N_J):
62                     if abs(s_val - t) < 1e-10:
63                         col_idx = i_s * N_J + J
64                         P_J_ts = legendre_polynomial(J, cos_theta_ts)
65                         C[constraint_idx, col_idx] -= 16 * np.pi * (2*J+1) *
66                         P_J_ts

```

```

65         constraint_idx += 1
66
67     return C[:constraint_idx]
68
69
70 def verify_crossing_symmetry(amplitude_func, test_points: list,
71                             tol: float = 1e-8) -> dict:
72     """
73     Verify crossing symmetry at multiple test points.
74     """
75     results = {
76         'all_passed': True,
77         'max_violation': 0.0,
78         'violations': []
79     }
80
81     for s, t in test_points:
82         u = 4 * m**2 - s - t # Assuming massive particles
83
84         A_st = amplitude_func(s, t)
85         A_ts = amplitude_func(t, s)
86         A_us = amplitude_func(u, s)
87
88         # Check all crossing relations
89         error_st_ts = abs(A_st - A_ts)
90         error_st_us = abs(A_st - A_us)
91
92         max_error = max(error_st_ts, error_st_us)
93         results['max_violation'] = max(results['max_violation'], max_error)
94
95         if max_error > tol:
96             results['all_passed'] = False
97             results['violations'].append({
98                 's': s, 't': t, 'u': u,
99                 'error_st_ts': error_st_ts,
100                 'error_st_us': error_st_us
101             })
102
103     return results
104

```

Listing 6: Crossing symmetry constraints

4.4 Phase 4: Soft Theorem Constraints (Months 5–6)

Goal: Implement Weinberg soft graviton theorem as boundary conditions.

```

1 import numpy as np
2
3 def weinberg_soft_residue(s: float, m: float, G_N: float) -> float:
4     """
5     Compute the required residue at t=0 from Weinberg's theorem.
6
7     M(s,t) -> 8*pi*G_N * s^2 / t as t -> 0
8
9     Args:
10         s: Center-of-mass energy squared
11         m: Particle mass
12         G_N: Newton's constant
13
14     Returns:
15         Coefficient of 1/t pole (should be 8*pi*G_N*s^2)
16     """

```

```

17     return 8 * np.pi * G_N * s**2
18
19
20 def extract_t_zero_residue(amplitude_func, s: float,
21                             t_values: list = None) -> float:
22     """
23     Extract the residue of the amplitude at t=0.
24
25     Uses fitting:  $M(s,t)$  approx  $R/t$  + regular
26
27     Args:
28         amplitude_func: Function  $M(s, t)$  -> complex
29         s: Fixed s value
30         t_values: Small t values to use for extrapolation
31
32     Returns:
33         Extracted residue R
34     """
35     if t_values is None:
36         t_values = [-1e-4, -1e-5, -1e-6, -1e-7]
37
38     # Fit  $M(s,t) * t$  to extract residue
39     residues = []
40     for t in t_values:
41         M_val = amplitude_func(s, t)
42         residue_estimate = M_val * t
43         residues.append(residue_estimate)
44
45     # Extrapolate to  $t \rightarrow 0$ 
46     # Use Richardson extrapolation or simple average
47     residue = np.mean(residues)
48
49     return residue
50
51
52 def soft_theorem_constraint(partial_waves_func, s_grid: np.ndarray,
53                             m: float, G_N: float) -> np.ndarray:
54     """
55     Build constraint vector from soft theorem.
56
57     The sum over partial waves at t=0 must give the correct residue.
58     """
59     constraints = []
60
61     for s in s_grid:
62         if s <= 4 * m**2:
63             continue
64
65         # Expected residue from Weinberg
66         expected_residue = weinberg_soft_residue(s, m, G_N)
67
68         # Residue from partial-wave sum at t=0 ( $\cos_{\theta} = 1$ )
69         #  $A(s,0) = 16\pi * \sum_J (2J+1) a_J(s) P_J(1)$ 
70         # Note:  $P_J(1) = 1$  for all J
71
72         # The constraint is:  $\sum_J (2J+1) a_J(s) = \text{expected} / (16\pi) + O(1)$ 
73         # Actually, need to handle the pole structure carefully
74
75         constraints.append({
76             's': s,
77             'expected_residue': expected_residue,
78             'constraint_type': 'soft_theorem'
79         })

```

```

80
81     return constraints
82
83
84 def verify_soft_theorem(amplitude_func, s_values: list,
85                         m: float, G_N: float,
86                         tol: float = 1e-6) -> dict:
87     """
88     Verify that the amplitude satisfies Weinberg's soft theorem.
89     """
90     results = {'passed': True, 'checks': []}
91
92     for s in s_values:
93         expected = weinberg_soft_residue(s, m, G_N)
94         actual = extract_t_zero_residue(amplitude_func, s)
95
96         error = abs(actual - expected) / abs(expected)
97         check = {
98             's': s,
99             'expected_residue': expected,
100             'actual_residue': actual,
101             'relative_error': error,
102             'passed': error < tol
103         }
104
105         results['checks'].append(check)
106         if not check['passed']:
107             results['passed'] = False
108
109     return results

```

Listing 7: Weinberg soft theorem implementation

4.5 Phase 5: Regge Bound Implementation (Months 6–7)

```

1 import numpy as np
2
3 def check_regge_bound(amplitude_func, t: float, s_values: list,
4                       C_max: float = 1e10) -> dict:
5     """
6     Verify  $|A(s,t)| \leq C * s^2$  for large  $s$ .
7
8     Args:
9         amplitude_func: Amplitude function
10        t: Fixed momentum transfer ( $t < 0$ )
11        s_values: Large  $s$  values to test
12        C_max: Maximum allowed constant  $C$ 
13
14    Returns:
15        Dictionary with Regge bound check results
16    """
17    results = {'passed': True, 'violations': [], 'max_ratio': 0}
18
19    for s in s_values:
20        A_val = abs(amplitude_func(s, t))
21        ratio = A_val / s**2
22
23        results['max_ratio'] = max(results['max_ratio'], ratio)
24
25        if ratio > C_max:
26            results['passed'] = False
27            results['violations'].append({

```

```

28         's': s,
29         't': t,
30         'amplitude': A_val,
31         'ratio': ratio
32     })
33
34     return results
35
36
37 def regge_bound_constraint_matrix(s_grid: np.ndarray, t: float,
38                                 m: float, J_max: int,
39                                 C_bound: float) -> tuple:
40     """
41     Build constraints  $|A(s,t)| \leq C*s^2$  as linear inequalities.
42
43     Since A is linear in partial waves (for fixed s), this becomes:
44     Re A  $\leq C*s^2$ 
45     -Re A  $\leq C*s^2$ 
46     Im A  $\leq C*s^2$ 
47     -Im A  $\leq C*s^2$ 
48
49     (Conservative version using component bounds)
50     """
51     N_s = len(s_grid)
52     N_J = J_max + 1
53     N_vars = N_s * N_J
54
55     # Four constraints per s value
56     A_ineq = []
57     b_ineq = []
58
59     for i, s in enumerate(s_grid):
60         if s <= 4 * m**2:
61             continue
62
63         cos_theta = 1 + 2 * t / (s - 4 * m**2)
64
65         # Build row: coefficient of each partial wave variable
66         row = np.zeros(N_vars)
67         for J in range(N_J):
68             col_idx = i * N_J + J
69             P_J = float(legendre_polynomial(J, cos_theta).real)
70             row[col_idx] = 16 * np.pi * (2 * J + 1) * P_J
71
72         # Re A  $\leq C*s^2$ 
73         A_ineq.append(row)
74         b_ineq.append(C_bound * s**2)
75
76         # -Re A  $\leq C*s^2$ 
77         A_ineq.append(-row)
78         b_ineq.append(C_bound * s**2)
79
80     return np.array(A_ineq), np.array(b_ineq)

```

Listing 8: Regge bound verification and constraints

4.6 Phase 6: SDP Optimization (Months 7–9)

Goal: Set up and solve the full semidefinite program for Wilson coefficient bounds.

```

1 import cvxpy as cp
2 import numpy as np
3

```

```

4 def setup_smatrix_bootstrap_sdp(s_grid: np.ndarray, J_max: int,
5                               m: float, G_N: float,
6                               n_wilson: int) -> tuple:
7     """
8     Set up the complete S-matrix bootstrap optimization.
9
10    Variables:
11        - Partial wave real parts: Re a_J(s) for each (J, s)
12        - Partial wave imaginary parts: Im a_J(s) for each (J, s)
13        - Wilson coefficients: c_1, c_2, ..., c_{n_wilson}
14
15    Constraints:
16        1. Unitarity: Im a_J = rho |a_J|^2 (as SDP relaxation)
17        2. Crossing symmetry: A(s,t) = A(t,s)
18        3. Dispersion relations: Re a_J satisfies Roy equations
19        4. Soft theorem: t=0 residue matches Weinberg
20        5. Regge bound: |A(s,t)| <= C*s^2
21
22    Returns:
23        Problem, variables dictionary
24    """
25    N_s = len(s_grid)
26    N_J = J_max + 1
27    threshold = 4 * m**2
28
29    # Variables
30    # Partial waves (separated into real and imaginary)
31    a_real = {}
32    a_imag = {}
33    for J in range(N_J):
34        a_real[J] = cp.Variable(N_s, name=f'a_real_{J}')
35        a_imag[J] = cp.Variable(N_s, nonneg=True, name=f'a_imag_{J}')
```

```

36
37    # Wilson coefficients
38    c = cp.Variable(n_wilson, name='wilson_coeffs')
39
40    constraints = []
41
42    # =====
43    # Constraint 1: Unitarity (SDP relaxation)
44    # =====
45    # Im a_J >= rho * |a_J|^2 (relaxed from equality)
46    # Equivalently: [Im a_J, Re a_J; Re a_J, Im a_J/rho] >> 0
47
48    for J in range(N_J):
49        for i, s in enumerate(s_grid):
50            if s <= threshold:
51                continue
52
53            rho = np.sqrt(1 - threshold / s)
54
55            # Unitarity bound: |a_J|^2 <= Im a_J / rho
56            # i.e., a_real^2 + a_imag^2 <= a_imag / rho
57            # Equivalent SDP constraint:
58            # [[a_imag/rho, a_real], [a_real, a_imag/rho]] >> 0
59            # and a_imag >= 0
60
61            # Use SOC constraint: ||(a_real, a_imag - 1/(2*rho))||_2 <= a_imag
62            # Simplified: directly impose |a_J| <= 1/rho
63            constraints.append(
64                a_real[J][i]**2 + a_imag[J][i]**2 <= 1/rho**2
65            )

```

```

66
67 # =====
68 # Constraint 2: Crossing symmetry
69 # =====
70 # Sample crossing points and impose  $A(s,t) = A(t,s)$ 
71
72 crossing_points = generate_crossing_test_points(s_grid, m)
73
74 for s_test, t_test in crossing_points:
75     #  $A(s,t)$  from partial waves at  $s_{\text{test}}$ 
76     A_st = build_amplitude_expression(a_real, a_imag, s_test, t_test,
77                                     s_grid, m, J_max)
78
79     #  $A(t,s)$  from partial waves at  $t_{\text{test}}$  (if  $t_{\text{test}}$  in  $s_{\text{grid}}$ )
80     A_ts = build_amplitude_expression(a_real, a_imag, t_test, s_test,
81                                     s_grid, m, J_max)
82
83     if A_ts is not None:
84         constraints.append(A_st == A_ts)
85
86 # =====
87 # Constraint 3: Dispersion relations
88 # =====
89 # Relate partial waves to Wilson coefficients through
90 # low-energy expansion of dispersion relation
91
92 K_roy, b_sub = setup_roy_kernel(s_grid, J_max, m)
93
94 # Subtraction constants depend on Wilson coefficients
95 for J in range(N_J):
96     for i, s in enumerate(s_grid):
97         if s <= threshold:
98             continue
99
100     # Roy equation:  $\text{Re } a_J(s) = \text{sub}_J(s, c) + \text{integral}[K * \text{Im } a_J']$ 
101     subtraction = build_subtraction_polynomial(s, J, c)
102
103     # Integral contribution (discretized)
104     integral_term = 0
105     for Jp in range(N_J):
106         for ip, sp in enumerate(s_grid):
107             if sp <= threshold:
108                 continue
109             K_val = K_roy[i * N_J + J, ip * N_J + Jp]
110             integral_term += K_val * a_imag[Jp][ip]
111
112     constraints.append(a_real[J][i] == subtraction + integral_term)
113
114 # =====
115 # Constraint 4: Soft graviton theorem
116 # =====
117 # At  $t = 0$ : residue of amplitude pole =  $8\pi G_N s^2$ 
118
119 for i, s in enumerate(s_grid):
120     if s <= threshold:
121         continue
122
123     # Sum over J at  $t=0$  ( $\cos_{\text{theta}} = 1$ ,  $P_J(1) = 1$ )
124     amplitude_at_t0 = 0
125     for J in range(N_J):
126         amplitude_at_t0 += 16 * np.pi * (2*J + 1) * (
127             a_real[J][i] + 1j * a_imag[J][i]
128         )

```

```

129     # This should match soft theorem (modulo pole structure)
130     # In practice, constrain the coefficient of certain terms
131     soft_constraint = build_soft_theorem_constraint(
132         amplitude_at_t0, s, G_N
133     )
134     if soft_constraint is not None:
135         constraints.append(soft_constraint)
136
137     # =====
138     # Constraint 5: Regge bound
139     # =====
140     # |A(s,t)| <= C * s^2 for large s
141
142     C_regge = 1e6 # Bound constant (should be determined physically)
143     t_test_regge = -1.0 # Fixed t for Regge test
144
145     for i, s in enumerate(s_grid):
146         if s < 100 * threshold: # Only for large s
147             continue
148
149         A_bound = C_regge * s**2
150         A_expr = build_amplitude_expression(
151             a_real, a_imag, s, t_test_regge, s_grid, m, J_max
152         )
153
154         # |A| <= bound (conservative: |Re A| <= bound, |Im A| <= bound)
155         constraints.append(A_expr <= A_bound)
156         constraints.append(-A_expr <= A_bound)
157
158     # Store all variables
159     variables = {
160         'a_real': a_real,
161         'a_imag': a_imag,
162         'wilson': c
163     }
164
165     return constraints, variables
166
167
168 def solve_wilson_bound(coeff_index: int, direction: str,
169                       s_grid: np.ndarray, J_max: int,
170                       m: float, G_N: float, n_wilson: int) -> dict:
171     """
172     Find upper or lower bound on a Wilson coefficient.
173
174     Args:
175         coeff_index: Which coefficient to bound (0-indexed)
176         direction: 'max' or 'min'
177         Other args: Problem parameters
178
179     Returns:
180         Dictionary with bound and certificate
181     """
182     constraints, variables = setup_smatrix_bootstrap_sdp(
183         s_grid, J_max, m, G_N, n_wilson
184     )
185
186     c = variables['wilson']
187
188     # Objective
189     if direction == 'max':
190         objective = cp.Maximize(c[coeff_index])

```



```

192     else:
193         objective = cp.Minimize(c[coeff_index])
194
195     # Solve
196     problem = cp.Problem(objective, constraints)
197
198     try:
199         problem.solve(solver=cp.MOSEK, verbose=True)
200     except:
201         problem.solve(solver=cp.SCS, verbose=True, max_iters=10000)
202
203     result = {
204         'status': problem.status,
205         'bound': None,
206         'wilson_values': None,
207         'partial_waves': None,
208         'dual_certificate': None
209     }
210
211     if problem.status in [cp.OPTIMAL, cp.OPTIMAL_INACCURATE]:
212         result['bound'] = c[coeff_index].value
213         result['wilson_values'] = c.value
214         result['partial_waves'] = {
215             J: {
216                 'real': variables['a_real'][J].value,
217                 'imag': variables['a_imag'][J].value
218             }
219             for J in range(J_max + 1)
220         }
221
222         # Extract dual certificate
223         result['dual_certificate'] = [
224             cons.dual_value for cons in constraints
225         ]
226
227     return result

```

Listing 9: Full S-matrix bootstrap SDP

Analysis Note

Solver Choice: MOSEK is recommended for its precision on SDPs. If unavailable, SCS (free, open-source) works but may require more iterations. Always verify solutions with the verification functions.

4.7 Phase 7: Certificate Extraction and Verification (Months 9–11)

```

1  import json
2  import numpy as np
3
4  def extract_certificate(problem_result: dict, constraint_types: list) -> dict:
5      """
6      Extract machine-verifiable certificate from optimization result.
7
8      For feasible bounds: witness (explicit partial waves + Wilson coeffs)
9      For infeasible bounds: dual certificate (Farkas lemma)
10     """
11     certificate = {
12         'type': None,
13         'data': {},
14         'verification_info': {}

```

```

15 }
16
17 if problem_result['status'] == 'optimal':
18     certificate['type'] = 'feasibility_witness'
19     certificate['data'] = {
20         'wilson_coefficients': problem_result['wilson_values'].tolist(),
21         'partial_waves': {
22             str(J): {
23                 'real': pw['real'].tolist(),
24                 'imag': pw['imag'].tolist()
25             }
26             for J, pw in problem_result['partial_waves'].items()
27         }
28     }
29
30 elif problem_result['status'] == 'infeasible':
31     certificate['type'] = 'impossibility_dual'
32     certificate['data'] = {
33         'dual_variables': [
34             d.tolist() if hasattr(d, 'tolist') else d
35             for d in problem_result['dual_certificate']
36         ],
37         'constraint_types': constraint_types
38     }
39
40 return certificate
41
42
43 def verify_feasibility_certificate(certificate: dict, params: dict) -> dict:
44     """
45     Verify that a feasibility certificate is valid.
46
47     Checks:
48     1. Unitarity:  $\text{Im } a_J = \rho |a_J|^2$  (approximately)
49     2. Crossing:  $A(s, t) = A(t, s)$ 
50     3. Dispersion relations
51     4. Soft theorem
52     5. Regge bound
53     """
54     results = {
55         'valid': True,
56         'checks': {}
57     }
58
59     # Extract data
60     wilson = np.array(certificate['data']['wilson_coefficients'])
61     partial_waves = certificate['data']['partial_waves']
62
63     m = params['m']
64     G_N = params['G_N']
65     s_grid = params['s_grid']
66     J_max = params['J_max']
67
68     threshold = 4 * m**2
69
70     # Check 1: Unitarity
71     unitarity_errors = []
72     for J_str, pw in partial_waves.items():
73         J = int(J_str)
74         for i, s in enumerate(s_grid):
75             if s <= threshold:
76                 continue
77

```

```

78     a_real = pw['real'][i]
79     a_imag = pw['imag'][i]
80     rho = np.sqrt(1 - threshold / s)
81
82     # Check  $\text{Im } a = \rho |a|^2$ 
83     expected_imag = rho * (a_real**2 + a_imag**2)
84     error = abs(a_imag - expected_imag)
85     unitarity_errors.append(error)
86
87 max_unitarity_error = max(unitarity_errors)
88 results['checks']['unitarity'] = {
89     'max_error': max_unitarity_error,
90     'passed': max_unitarity_error < 1e-6
91 }
92
93 if not results['checks']['unitarity']['passed']:
94     results['valid'] = False
95
96 # Check 2: Crossing (sample points)
97 # ... similar structure
98
99 # Check 3: Dispersion relations
100 # ... similar structure
101
102 # Check 4: Soft theorem
103 # ... similar structure
104
105 # Check 5: Regge bound
106 # ... similar structure
107
108 return results
109
110
111 def export_certificate_smt2(certificate: dict, filename: str):
112     """
113     Export certificate in SMT-LIB2 format for Z3 verification.
114     """
115     with open(filename, 'w') as f:
116         f.write("; S-matrix bootstrap certificate\n")
117         f.write("; Generated automatically\n\n")
118
119         if certificate['type'] == 'impossibility_dual':
120             # Declare variables
121             dual = certificate['data']['dual_variables']
122             n_dual = len(dual)
123
124             for i in range(n_dual):
125                 f.write(f"(declare-const y_{i} Real)\n")
126
127             f.write("\n; Dual feasibility: y >= 0\n")
128             for i in range(n_dual):
129                 f.write(f"(assert (>= y_{i} 0))\n")
130
131             # Add constraint that objective is positive
132             f.write("\n; Dual objective > 0 (proves primal infeasibility)\n")
133             f.write("(assert (> (+ ")
134             for i, d in enumerate(dual):
135                 f.write(f"(* {d} y_{i}) ")
136             f.write(") 0))\n")
137
138             f.write("\n(check-sat)\n")
139             f.write("(get-model)\n")
140

```

```
141 print(f"Certificate exported to {filename}")
```

Listing 10: Certificate extraction and verification

4.8 Phase 8: Formal Verification (Months 11–12)

```
1 import Mathlib.Analysis.Complex.Basic
2 import Mathlib.Analysis.SpecialFunctions.Trigonometric.Basic
3 import Mathlib.LinearAlgebra.Matrix.Spectrum
4
5 -- Basic definitions for S-matrix bootstrap
6
7 /-- Mandelstam variables for 2->2 scattering -/
8 structure MandelstamVars where
9   s : Real
10  t : Real
11  u : Real
12  constraint : s + t + u = 4 * m^2
13
14 /-- Partial wave amplitude -/
15 def PartialWave (J : Nat) (s : Real) : Complex := sorry
16
17 /-- Phase space factor -/
18 def phaseSpace (s m : Real) : Real :=
19   if s > 4 * m^2 then Real.sqrt (1 - 4 * m^2 / s) else 0
20
21 /-- Elastic unitarity condition -/
22 def elasticUnitarity (a : Complex) (s m : Real) : Prop :=
23   a.im = phaseSpace s m * (a.re^2 + a.im^2)
24
25 /-- Unitarity bound -/
26 theorem unitarity_bound (a : Complex) (s m : Real)
27   (h : elasticUnitarity a s m) (hs : s > 4 * m^2) :
28   Complex.abs a <= 1 / phaseSpace s m := by
29     sorry
30
31 /-- Crossing symmetry -/
32 def crossingSymmetry (A : Real -> Real -> Complex) : Prop :=
33   forall s t, A s t = A t s
34
35 /-- Regge bound for gravity -/
36 def reggeBound (A : Real -> Real -> Complex) (C : Real) : Prop :=
37   forall s t, t < 0 -> Complex.abs (A s t) <= C * s^2
38
39 /-- Weinberg soft theorem residue -/
40 def weinbergResidue (s G_N : Real) : Real :=
41   8 * Real.pi * G_N * s^2
42
43 /-- Main theorem: Wilson coefficient bound -/
44 theorem wilson_bound_c1 (m G_N : Real) (hm : m > 0) (hG : G_N > 0) :
45   forall c1 : Real,
46     (exists (a : Nat -> Real -> Complex),
47       (forall J s, s > 4*m^2 -> elasticUnitarity (a J s) s m) /\
48       (crossingSymmetry (reconstructAmplitude a)) /\
49       (reggeBound (reconstructAmplitude a) (10^6)) /\
50       (satisfiesSoftTheorem a G_N)
51     ) ->
52     c1_lower <= c1 /\ c1 <= c1_upper := by
53     sorry
54
55 -- Certificate verification
56 theorem verify_impossibility_certificate
```

```

57 (dual : List Real) (constraints : List Constraint)
58 (h_dual_positive : forall d in dual, d >= 0)
59 (h_objective_positive : dotProduct dual constraintValues > 0) :
60 not (exists solution, satisfiesAllConstraints solution constraints) := by
61 -- By Farkas lemma
62 sorry

```

Listing 11: Lean 4 formalization sketch

5 Detailed Research Directions

5.1 Direction 1: Single-Coefficient Bounds

Research Direction

First Target: Bound the coefficient c_1 of the dimension-6 operator $\phi^2(\partial\phi)^2/\Lambda^2$.

Approach:

1. Set all other Wilson coefficients to zero: $c_2 = c_3 = \dots = 0$
2. Solve the SDP to maximize and minimize c_1
3. Extract certificates for both upper and lower bounds
4. Verify independently using Z3 and numerical checks

Expected Outcome: Rigorous interval $[c_1^{\min}, c_1^{\max}]$ with machine-verified proof.

5.2 Direction 2: Multi-Parameter Allowed Regions

Research Direction

Goal: Map the allowed region in (c_1, c_2, c_3) space.

Approach:

1. Fix one coefficient and bound the others
2. Scan over fixed values to trace the boundary
3. Use convex hull algorithms to characterize the region
4. Generate 3D visualization of allowed vs. forbidden regions

Novel Contribution: Multi-parameter constraints are significantly stronger than single-parameter bounds—they capture correlations between Wilson coefficients imposed by consistency.

5.3 Direction 3: Comparison with Positivity Bounds

Research Direction

Complementary Approach: EFT positivity bounds use different techniques (forward limit dispersion relations) and may give different systematics.

Questions:

- Are S-matrix bootstrap bounds tighter than positivity bounds?
- Do they probe different physics (e.g., spinning vs. scalar)?
- Can combining both approaches improve overall constraints?

5.4 Direction 4: Spinning External States

Extend from scalar scattering to:

- **Graviton-scalar:** Probes matter-gravity coupling
- **Graviton-graviton:** Pure gravity higher-derivative terms
- **Photon-graviton:** Electromagnetic-gravity coupling

Each channel provides independent constraints on different Wilson coefficients.

5.5 Direction 5: UV Completion Identification

Research Direction

If bounds are saturated: The boundary of the allowed region corresponds to special theories—potentially identifying UV completions.

Known examples:

- String theory amplitudes saturate certain Regge-related bounds
- Higher-spin theories may saturate high- J unitarity bounds

New question: Do the S-matrix bootstrap bounds identify *new* UV completions?

6 Success Criteria

6.1 Minimum Viable Result (6 months)

- ✓ Partial-wave projection working with 50+ digit precision
- ✓ Roy-Steiner type dispersion relations implemented
- ✓ Unitarity constraints imposed (SDP relaxation)
- ✓ Crossing symmetry verified at test points
- ✓ Soft theorem constraints implemented
- ✓ **First rigorous bound:** Single Wilson coefficient bounded
- ✓ Certificate extracted and verified numerically

6.2 Strong Result (9 months)

- ✓ Multi-parameter bounds on $\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$
- ✓ Allowed region characterized and visualized
- ✓ All certificates in machine-verifiable format (JSON/SMT-LIB)
- ✓ Independent verification by Z3 or similar
- ✓ Comparison with existing positivity bounds
- ✓ Novel constraints discovered (tighter or new correlations)

6.3 Publication-Quality Result (12 months)

- ✓ Bounds on all Wilson coefficients up to dimension-8
- ✓ Systematic comparison with string theory predictions
- ✓ Formal proofs in Lean 4 for key bounds
- ✓ Public code repository with documentation
- ✓ ArXiv preprint with certificate repository

7 Verification Protocol

7.1 For Claimed Feasibility (Wilson Coefficients Allowed)

```
1 def verify_wilson_feasibility(wilson_coeffs: np.ndarray,
2                               partial_waves: dict,
3                               params: dict,
4                               tolerance: float = 1e-8) -> dict:
5
6     """
7     Verify that claimed Wilson coefficients are allowed.
8
9     Must check ALL constraints:
10    1. Unitarity for all J, s
11    2. Crossing at sampled points
12    3. Dispersion relations
13    4. Soft theorem
14    5. Regge bound
15    """
16    m = params['m']
17    G_N = params['G_N']
18    s_grid = params['s_grid']
19    J_max = params['J_max']
20
21    results = {
22        'overall_status': 'VERIFIED',
23        'unitarity': None,
24        'crossing': None,
25        'dispersion': None,
26        'soft_theorem': None,
27        'regge': None
28    }
29
30    # 1. Unitarity check
31    unitarity_result = verify_unitarity_all(partial_waves, s_grid, m)
32    results['unitarity'] = unitarity_result
```

```

32     if not unitarity_result['passed']:
33         results['overall_status'] = 'FAILED: Unitarity'
34         return results
35
36     # 2. Crossing symmetry
37     crossing_points = generate_crossing_test_points(s_grid, m, n_points=100)
38     crossing_result = verify_crossing_symmetry(
39         lambda s, t: reconstruct_from_partial_waves(partial_waves, s, t, m,
40             J_max),
41         crossing_points,
42         tol=tolerance
43     )
44     results['crossing'] = crossing_result
45     if not crossing_result['all_passed']:
46         results['overall_status'] = 'FAILED: Crossing'
47         return results
48
49     # 3. Dispersion relations
50     dispersion_result = verify_dispersion_relations(
51         partial_waves, s_grid, m, wilson_coeffs
52     )
53     results['dispersion'] = dispersion_result
54     if not dispersion_result['passed']:
55         results['overall_status'] = 'FAILED: Dispersion'
56         return results
57
58     # 4. Soft theorem
59     soft_result = verify_soft_theorem(
60         lambda s, t: reconstruct_from_partial_waves(partial_waves, s, t, m,
61             J_max),
62         s_values=[s for s in s_grid if s > 4*m**2],
63         m=m, G_N=G_N, tol=tolerance
64     )
65     results['soft_theorem'] = soft_result
66     if not soft_result['passed']:
67         results['overall_status'] = 'FAILED: Soft theorem'
68         return results
69
70     # 5. Regge bound
71     regge_result = check_regge_bound(
72         lambda s, t: reconstruct_from_partial_waves(partial_waves, s, t, m,
73             J_max),
74         t=-1.0,
75         s_values=[s for s in s_grid if s > 100 * 4 * m**2],
76         C_max=1e10
77     )
78     results['regge'] = regge_result
79     if not regge_result['passed']:
80         results['overall_status'] = 'FAILED: Regge bound'
81         return results
82
83     print("All verification checks PASSED")
84     return results

```

Listing 12: Comprehensive feasibility verification

7.2 For Claimed Infeasibility (Wilson Coefficients Forbidden)

1. **Dual certificate extraction:** Get $y \geq 0$ such that $b^T y > 0$ and $A^T y \leq 0$
2. **Farkas lemma verification:** Confirms primal infeasibility
3. **SMT export:** Verify with Z3: z3 certificate.smt2

4. Lean formalization: Machine-checked proof

```
1 def verify_infeasibility_farkas(dual_y: np.ndarray,
2                                 A: np.ndarray,
3                                 b: np.ndarray,
4                                 tolerance: float = 1e-10) -> dict:
5     """
6     Verify that dual certificate proves primal infeasibility.
7
8     Farkas lemma: Exactly one of these holds:
9     (a) Exists  $x \geq 0$  with  $Ax = b$ 
10    (b) Exists  $y$  with  $A^T y \leq 0$  and  $b^T y > 0$ 
11
12    If we have (b), then (a) is impossible.
13    """
14    result = {'valid': True, 'checks': {}}
15
16    # Check  $y \geq 0$ 
17    y_nonneg = np.all(dual_y >= -tolerance)
18    result['checks']['y_nonnegative'] = {
19        'passed': y_nonneg,
20        'min_y': np.min(dual_y)
21    }
22
23    # Check  $A^T y \leq 0$ 
24    AT_y = A.T @ dual_y
25    AT_y_nonpos = np.all(AT_y <= tolerance)
26    result['checks']['AT_y_nonpositive'] = {
27        'passed': AT_y_nonpos,
28        'max_AT_y': np.max(AT_y)
29    }
30
31    # Check  $b^T y > 0$ 
32    bT_y = np.dot(b, dual_y)
33    bT_y_positive = bT_y > tolerance
34    result['checks']['bT_y_positive'] = {
35        'passed': bT_y_positive,
36        'value': bT_y
37    }
38
39    # Overall validity
40    result['valid'] = y_nonneg and AT_y_nonpos and bT_y_positive
41
42    if result['valid']:
43        print("Farkas certificate VERIFIED: Region is FORBIDDEN")
44    else:
45        print("Farkas certificate INVALID")
46
47    return result
```

Listing 13: Infeasibility verification via Farkas

8 Common Pitfalls and Mitigations

8.1 Numerical Precision Loss

Critical Consideration

Problem: Standard double precision (~ 15 digits) is insufficient for S-matrix bootstrap. Crossing symmetry violations at 10^{-14} level can accumulate and corrupt results.

Solution:

- Use `mpmath` with `mp.dps = 100` or higher
- Verify all intermediate results to 50+ digits
- Use interval arithmetic for rigorous error bounds

8.2 Roy Equation Non-Convergence

Critical Consideration

Problem: Iterative solution of Roy equations may fail to converge, oscillate, or converge to wrong solution.

Solutions:

- Use damping: $a^{(n+1)} = \alpha a_{\text{new}} + (1 - \alpha)a^{(n)}$ with $\alpha \sim 0.3$
- Start with physical initial guess (e.g., tree-level)
- Monitor convergence and try multiple initializations

8.3 Partial-Wave Truncation

Critical Consideration

Problem: Truncating at finite J_{max} may miss important high- J contributions.

Solutions:

- Start with $J_{\text{max}} = 10$, increase until results stabilize
- Use asymptotic bounds on high- J partial waves
- For physical amplitudes, high- J suppressed by $1/J!$ -like factors

8.4 SDP Solver Issues

Critical Consideration

Problem: SDP solvers may return inaccurate solutions, claim infeasibility incorrectly, or time out.

Solutions:

- **Always verify** claimed solutions independently
- Try multiple solvers (MOSEK, SCS, CVXOPT)
- Scale problem to improve numerical conditioning
- For large problems, use iterative methods or decomposition

8.5 Soft Theorem Pole Handling

Critical Consideration

Problem: The graviton pole at $t = 0$ requires careful treatment—naive evaluation gives infinity.

Solutions:

- Subtract pole analytically and work with regular part
- Impose soft theorem as constraint on residue, not full amplitude
- Use dispersion relations that handle poles correctly

9 Resources and References

9.1 Essential Papers

1. Paulos, Penedones, Toledo, van Rees, Vieira (2017): “The S-matrix bootstrap I: QFT in AdS” [arXiv:1607.06109] — Modern S-matrix bootstrap framework
2. Caron-Huot, Mazac, Rastelli, Simmons-Duffin (2021): “Sharp boundaries for the swampland” [arXiv:2102.08951] — State-of-the-art bounds with gravity
3. Bellazzini, Miber, Riva (2021): “New phenomenological and theoretical perspective on anomalous ZZ and $Z\gamma$ processes” [arXiv:2103.02990] — Phenomenological applications
4. Tolley, Wang, Zhou (2021): “New positivity bounds from full crossing symmetry” [arXiv:2011.02400] — Crossing-symmetric positivity
5. Arkani-Hamed, Huang, Huang (2021): “Differential Equations for Graviton Scattering” — Modern amplitude methods
6. Weinberg (1965): “Infrared photons and gravitons” — Original soft theorem paper

9.2 Software and Tools

- **mpmath:** Arbitrary precision arithmetic — `pip install mpmath`
- **CVXPY:** Convex optimization modeling — `pip install cvxpy`

- **MOSEK**: Commercial SDP solver (free academic) — <https://mosek.com>
- **SCS**: Open-source splitting conic solver — `pip install scs`
- **Z3**: SMT solver for certificate verification — <https://github.com/Z3Prover/z3>
- **Lean 4**: Proof assistant — <https://lean-lang.org>
- **SymPy**: Symbolic mathematics — `pip install sympy`

9.3 Background Reading

- Eden, Landshoff, Olive, Polkinghorne: “The Analytic S-Matrix” (Cambridge) — Classic on dispersion relations and analyticity
- Martin, Cheung: “Analyticity Properties and Bounds of the Scattering Amplitudes” — Rigorous mathematical treatment
- Elvang & Huang: “Scattering Amplitudes in Gauge Theory and Gravity” (Cambridge) — Modern amplitude methods
- Boyd & Vandenberghe: “Convex Optimization” (Cambridge) — SDP theory and algorithms

10 Milestone Checklist

10.1 Infrastructure (Months 1–2)

- ☐ High-precision Legendre polynomials implemented
- ☐ Partial-wave projection tested on known amplitudes
- ☐ Amplitude reconstruction from partial waves verified
- ☐ Phase space and unitarity bounds coded
- ☐ Tree-level graviton exchange amplitude implemented

10.2 Dispersion Relations (Months 2–4)

- ☐ Twice-subtracted dispersion relation coded
- ☐ Roy-Steiner kernel computed
- ☐ Iterative Roy equation solver working
- ☐ Convergence verified on test cases
- ☐ Subtraction constants linked to Wilson coefficients

10.3 Constraints (Months 4–6)

- ☐ Crossing symmetry constraint matrix built
- ☐ Soft graviton theorem constraints implemented
- ☐ Regge bound constraints added
- ☐ All constraints combined into unified system

10.4 Optimization (Months 6–9)

- ☐ SDP formulation complete
- ☐ Single Wilson coefficient bounded
- ☐ Multi-parameter bounds computed
- ☐ Allowed region characterized
- ☐ Dual certificates extracted

10.5 Verification (Months 9–11)

- ☐ Numerical verification routines complete
- ☐ SMT-LIB export implemented
- ☐ Z3 verification successful
- ☐ All certificates validated

10.6 Formalization (Months 11–12)

- ☐ Lean 4 formalization begun
- ☐ Core theorems stated and proved
- ☐ Certificate verification formalized
- ☐ Documentation and publication draft

11 Conclusion

The nonperturbative S-matrix bootstrap with gravity represents a frontier research program combining classical physics insights (unitarity, crossing, causality) with modern computational methods (semidefinite programming, formal verification). Unlike perturbative approaches, the bootstrap provides *rigorous, model-independent bounds* valid at any coupling strength.

Success in this challenge would:

1. **Constrain the Swampland:** Identify which EFT parameters are incompatible with quantum gravity UV completion
2. **Discover universal features:** The bounds apply to *any* consistent theory, potentially revealing new universal properties of quantum gravity
3. **Produce machine-verified proofs:** All results come with certificates verifiable by independent tools, setting a new standard for rigor in theoretical physics
4. **Connect to phenomenology:** The Wilson coefficient bounds constrain measurable quantum gravity corrections to the Standard Model and general relativity

The methodology—combining dispersion relations, optimization theory, and formal verification—exemplifies a new paradigm for theoretical physics where conjectures become theorems with machine-checked proofs.