

Quantum LDPC Codes for Fault-Tolerant Quantum Computing

A Pure Thought Approach to Quantum Error Correction

PRD 21: Quantum Information Theory

Pure Thought AI Research Initiative

January 19, 2026

Abstract

Quantum Low-Density Parity-Check (QLDPC) codes represent a breakthrough in quantum error correction, offering the potential for fault-tolerant quantum computation with constant overhead. Unlike surface codes, which require $O(d^2)$ physical qubits per logical qubit for distance d , QLDPC codes can achieve constant rate $k/n = \Theta(1)$ while maintaining extensive distance. This report presents a comprehensive treatment of QLDPC code construction via hypergraph products, the CSS framework for stabilizer codes, belief propagation decoding, and threshold analysis. We develop the mathematical framework over GF(2), implement complete Python code for code construction and verification, and demonstrate the overhead advantages compared to surface codes.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	The Quantum Error Correction Problem	2
1.2	Classical vs. Quantum LDPC	2
1.3	Recent Breakthroughs	2
2	Stabilizer Formalism	3
2.1	The Pauli Group	3
2.2	Stabilizer Codes	3
2.3	Binary Representation	3
3	CSS Code Construction	4
3.1	Standard Form	4
4	QLDPC Definition	4
5	Hypergraph Product Construction	5
6	Classical LDPC Foundation	7
7	Belief Propagation Decoding	8
8	Threshold Simulation	10

9 Good QLDPC Constructions	11
9.1 Balanced Product	11
9.2 Comparison with Surface Codes	11
10 Certificate Generation	12
11 Success Criteria	13
11.1 Minimum Viable Result (Months 4-5)	13
11.2 Strong Result (Months 7-8)	14
11.3 Publication-Quality Result (Months 9-10)	14
12 Conclusion	14

1 Introduction

Pure Thought Challenge

Central Challenge: Construct explicit families of quantum LDPC codes achieving constant rate $k/n = \Theta(1)$, linear distance $d = \Theta(n)$ or $d = \Theta(\sqrt{n})$, constant stabilizer weight $w = O(1)$, and efficient decoding with threshold $p_{th} > 0$.

1.1 The Quantum Error Correction Problem

Quantum information is fragile—quantum states are destroyed by interactions with the environment (decoherence) and imperfect gate operations. The **no-cloning theorem** prohibits the classical strategy of simply copying quantum data for redundancy. Instead, quantum error correction encodes logical qubits into entangled states of many physical qubits, enabling detection and correction of errors without measuring (and thus destroying) the encoded information.

Key Insight

Key Challenge: Quantum errors are continuous (rotations on the Bloch sphere), but can be discretized to the Pauli group $\{I, X, Y, Z\}$ via the stabilizer formalism. The goal is to design codes where:

1. Errors can be detected by measuring stabilizer generators
2. Syndrome information uniquely identifies low-weight errors
3. Decoding is computationally efficient

1.2 Classical vs. Quantum LDPC

Classical LDPC codes (Gallager 1962) revolutionized classical error correction:

- **Sparse parity checks:** Each check involves $O(1)$ bits
- **Near-capacity:** Achieve Shannon limit with belief propagation decoding
- **Practical:** WiFi (802.11n), 5G, satellite communication

Quantum LDPC codes face additional constraints:

- **CSS condition:** X-type and Z-type stabilizers must commute
- **Locality tradeoff:** High distance requires non-local interactions
- **Degenerate errors:** Multiple errors can have identical syndromes

1.3 Recent Breakthroughs

1. **Panteleev-Kalachev (2022):** Proved existence of $[[n, \Theta(n), \Theta(n)]]$ QLDPC codes
2. **Breuckmann-Eberhardt (2021):** Balanced product codes with explicit construction
3. **Hastings-Haah-O'Donnell (2021):** Fiber bundle codes achieving $[[n, \Theta(n), \Theta(\sqrt{n})]]$

2 Stabilizer Formalism

2.1 The Pauli Group

Definition 2.1 (Pauli Matrices). *The single-qubit Pauli matrices are:*

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

Definition 2.2 (n-Qubit Pauli Group). *The n-qubit Pauli group \mathcal{P}_n consists of all n-fold tensor products:*

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \{\pm 1, \pm i\} \times \{I, X, Y, Z\}^{\otimes n} \quad (2)$$

with multiplication inherited from matrix multiplication.

Lemma 2.3 (Pauli Commutation). *Two Pauli operators either commute or anticommute:*

$$PQ = (-1)^{f(P,Q)}QP \quad (3)$$

where $f(P, Q) \in \{0, 1\}$ is the symplectic inner product.

2.2 Stabilizer Codes

Definition 2.4 (Stabilizer Group). *A stabilizer group $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P}_n$ is an abelian subgroup not containing $-I$.*

Definition 2.5 (Stabilizer Code). *The code subspace is the simultaneous +1 eigenspace of all stabilizers:*

$$\mathcal{C} = \{|\psi\rangle \in (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n} : S|\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle \text{ for all } S \in \mathcal{S}\} \quad (4)$$

Theorem 2.6 (Code Parameters). *For a stabilizer code with $|\mathcal{S}| = 2^{n-k}$ (i.e., $n-k$ independent generators):*

- $n = \text{number of physical qubits}$
- $k = \text{number of logical qubits (dimension of code space} = 2^k)$
- $d = \text{code distance (minimum weight of non-trivial logical operators)}$

Notation: $[[n, k, d]]$.

2.3 Binary Representation

Every Pauli operator (ignoring phase) can be written as $X^a Z^b$ where $a, b \in \{0, 1\}^n$. This gives a binary representation:

Definition 2.7 (Binary Symplectic Representation). *Map $P = X^a Z^b \in \mathcal{P}_n / \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ to $(a|b) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2n}$.*

Theorem 2.8 (Symplectic Inner Product). *Two Pauli operators commute iff their symplectic inner product vanishes:*

$$[P_1, P_2] = 0 \iff a_1 \cdot b_2 + b_1 \cdot a_2 = 0 \pmod{2} \quad (5)$$

3 CSS Code Construction

Definition 3.1 (CSS Code). A **CSS (Calderbank-Shor-Steane) code** is defined by two classical linear codes $C_1, C_2 \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ satisfying:

$$C_2^\perp \subseteq C_1 \quad (6)$$

Theorem 3.2 (CSS Stabilizer Generators). Given C_1 with parity check matrix H_1 and C_2 with parity check matrix H_2 :

- **X-stabilizers:** For each row $h \in H_2$, define $X_h = \bigotimes_{i:h_i=1} X_i$
- **Z-stabilizers:** For each row $g \in H_1$, define $Z_g = \bigotimes_{i:g_i=1} Z_i$

Theorem 3.3 (CSS Commutation). The CSS condition $C_2^\perp \subseteq C_1$ ensures X-stabilizers commute with Z-stabilizers:

$$[X_h, Z_g] = 0 \iff H_2 \cdot H_1^T = 0 \pmod{2} \quad (7)$$

Theorem 3.4 (CSS Parameters). For a CSS code from C_1, C_2 :

$$n = \text{length of } C_1 = \text{length of } C_2 \quad (8)$$

$$k = \dim(C_1) - \dim(C_2^\perp) = \dim(C_1) + \dim(C_2) - n \quad (9)$$

$$d \geq \min(d(C_1 \setminus C_2^\perp), d(C_2 \setminus C_1^\perp)) \quad (10)$$

3.1 Standard Form

For a CSS code, the stabilizer matrix has the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_X & 0 \\ 0 & H_Z \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

where H_X generates X-stabilizers and H_Z generates Z-stabilizers.

Warning

CSS Condition Check: Always verify $H_X H_Z^T = 0$ over \mathbb{F}_2 . Forgetting this check leads to non-commuting stabilizers and an invalid code!

4 QLDPC Definition

Definition 4.1 (QLDPC Code). A CSS code is **quantum LDPC** if the parity check matrices H_X and H_Z are sparse:

- **Row weight:** $w_r = \max_i |H[i, :]| = O(1)$ (constant stabilizer weight)
- **Column weight:** $w_c = \max_j |H[:, j]| = O(1)$ (each qubit in constant checks)

Definition 4.2 (Tanner Graph). The **Tanner graph** $G = (Q \cup C, E)$ is a bipartite graph where:

- $Q = \text{qubit nodes}$ (n vertices)
- $C = \text{check nodes}$ (stabilizer generators)
- $\text{Edge } (q, c) \text{ exists iff qubit } q \text{ appears in check } c$

Definition 4.3 (Girth). The **girth** is the length of the shortest cycle in the Tanner graph. Higher girth improves belief propagation performance.

Key Insight

Why LDPC?: Sparse parity checks enable:

1. Local syndrome measurement (each stabilizer involves $O(1)$ qubits)
2. Efficient BP decoding (message passing on sparse graph)
3. Potential for constant-overhead fault tolerance

5 Hypergraph Product Construction

Theorem 5.1 (Hypergraph Product (Tillich-Zémor 2014)). *Given two classical codes with parity matrices $H_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m_1 \times n_1}$ and $H_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m_2 \times n_2}$, the hypergraph product yields a CSS code with:*

$$H_X = [H_1 \otimes I_{n_2} \quad I_{m_1} \otimes H_2^T] \quad (12)$$

$$H_Z = [I_{n_1} \otimes H_2 \quad H_1^T \otimes I_{m_2}] \quad (13)$$

Theorem 5.2 (HP Code Parameters). *The hypergraph product code has:*

$$n = n_1 n_2 + m_1 m_2 \quad (\text{physical qubits}) \quad (14)$$

$$k \geq k_1 k_2 \quad (\text{logical qubits, where } k_i = n_i - \text{rank}(H_i)) \quad (15)$$

$$d \geq \min(d_1, d_2) \quad (\text{distance}) \quad (16)$$

Lemma 5.3 (CSS Condition for HP). *The hypergraph product automatically satisfies $H_X H_Z^T = 0$:*

$$H_X H_Z^T = (H_1 \otimes I)(I \otimes H_2^T) + (I \otimes H_2)(H_1^T \otimes I) = H_1 \otimes H_2^T + H_1 \otimes H_2^T = 0 \quad (17)$$

Listing 1: Hypergraph Product Implementation

```

1 import numpy as np
2 import galois
3
4 GF2 = galois.GF(2)
5
6 def hypergraph_product(H1: np.ndarray, H2: np.ndarray) -> tuple:
7     """
8         Construct QLDPC code via hypergraph product.
9
10    Args:
11        H1: (m1, n1) parity check matrix for code C1
12        H2: (m2, n2) parity check matrix for code C2
13
14    Returns:
15        H_X, H_Z: Stabilizer matrices for the quantum code
16        """
17    H1_gf2 = GF2(H1)
18    H2_gf2 = GF2(H2)
19
20    m1, n1 = H1.shape
21    m2, n2 = H2.shape
22
23    # Identity matrices
24    I_n1 = GF2.Identity(n1)
25    I_n2 = GF2.Identity(n2)

```

```

26     I_m1 = GF2.Identity(m1)
27     I_m2 = GF2.Identity(m2)
28
29     # X-check matrix: [H1 x I_n2 / I_m1 x H2^T]
30     block1 = np.kron(H1_gf2, I_n2)
31     block2 = np.kron(I_m1, H2_gf2.T)
32     H_X = np.hstack([block1, block2])
33
34     # Z-check matrix: [I_n1 x H2 / H1^T x I_m2]
35     block3 = np.kron(I_n1, H2_gf2)
36     block4 = np.kron(H1_gf2.T, I_m2)
37     H_Z = np.hstack([block3, block4])
38
39     return GF2(H_X), GF2(H_Z)
40
41 def verify_css_commutation(H_X: np.ndarray, H_Z: np.ndarray) -> bool:
42     """Verify CSS commutation: H_X * H_Z^T = 0 (mod 2)."""
43     product = GF2(H_X) @ GF2(H_Z).T
44     return np.all(product == 0)
45
46 def compute_code_parameters(H_X: np.ndarray, H_Z: np.ndarray) ->
47     dict:
48     """Compute [[n, k, d]] code parameters."""
49     n = H_X.shape[1]
50
51     rank_X = np.linalg.matrix_rank(GF2(H_X))
52     rank_Z = np.linalg.matrix_rank(GF2(H_Z))
53
54     # k = n - rank(H_X) - rank(H_Z)
55     k = n - rank_X - rank_Z
56
57     # Distance estimation (for small codes)
58     d_lower = estimate_distance_lower_bound(H_X, H_Z)
59
60     return {'n': n, 'k': k, 'd_lower': d_lower, 'rank_X': rank_X,
61             'rank_Z': rank_Z}
62
63 def estimate_distance_lower_bound(H_X: np.ndarray, H_Z: np.ndarray,
64                                   max_weight: int = 20) -> int:
65     """Estimate distance via exhaustive search (small codes only)."""
66     import itertools
67     n = H_X.shape[1]
68
69     for w in range(1, min(max_weight, n) + 1):
70         for positions in itertools.combinations(range(n), w):
71             e = np.zeros(n, dtype=int)
72             e[list(positions)] = 1
73
74             # Check if e is an undetectable X-error (logical X)
75             syndrome_Z = (GF2(H_Z) @ GF2(e)) % 2
76             if np.all(syndrome_Z == 0):
77                 # Check if it's non-trivial (not a stabilizer)
78                 syndrome_X = (GF2(H_X) @ GF2(e)) % 2
79                 if not np.all(syndrome_X == 0):
80                     return w
81
82             # Check if e is an undetectable Z-error (logical Z)
83             syndrome_X = (GF2(H_X) @ GF2(e)) % 2

```

```

82         if np.all(syndrome_X == 0):
83             syndrome_Z = (GF2(H_Z) @ GF2(e)) % 2
84             if not np.all(syndrome_Z == 0):
85                 return w
86
87     return max_weight

```

6 Classical LDPC Foundation

Listing 2: Generate Random Regular LDPC Code

```

1  from scipy.sparse import lil_matrix
2
3  def generate_random_regular_ldpc(n: int, w_col: int, w_row: int) ->
4      np.ndarray:
5      """
6          Generate  $(w_{\text{row}}, w_{\text{col}})$ -regular LDPC parity check matrix.
7
8      Args:
9          n: Code length (number of bits)
10         w_col: Column weight (each bit in  $w_{\text{col}}$  checks)
11         w_row: Row weight (each check involves  $w_{\text{row}}$  bits)
12
13     Returns:
14         H:  $(m, n)$  parity check matrix over  $GF(2)$ 
15
16     Constraint:  $n * w_{\text{col}} = m * w_{\text{row}}$  (degree sum)
17     """
18     m = (n * w_col) // w_row
19
20     # Progressive edge growth for good girth
21     H = lil_matrix((m, n), dtype=int)
22
23     col_degrees = np.zeros(n, dtype=int)
24     row_degrees = np.zeros(m, dtype=int)
25
26     for col in range(n):
27         for _ in range(w_col):
28             # Find row with minimum degree that doesn't already
29             # connect
30             available_rows = [r for r in range(m)
31                               if row_degrees[r] < w_row and H[r, col] == 0]
32
33             if not available_rows:
34                 # Fallback: random available row
35                 available_rows = [r for r in range(m) if
36                                   row_degrees[r] < w_row]
37
38             if available_rows:
39                 row = min(available_rows, key=lambda r:
40                           row_degrees[r])
41                 H[row, col] = 1
42                 col_degrees[col] += 1
43                 row_degrees[row] += 1

```

```

41     return GF2(H.toarray())
42
43 def compute_tanner_graph_girth(H: np.ndarray) -> int:
44     """Compute girth of Tanner graph."""
45     import networkx as nx
46
47     m, n = H.shape
48     G = nx.Graph()
49
50     # Bipartite: bits 0..n-1, checks n..n+m-1
51     for i in range(m):
52         for j in range(n):
53             if H[i, j] == 1:
54                 G.add_edge(j, n + i)
55
56     try:
57         return nx.girth(G)
58     except:
59         return float('inf') # Tree (no cycles)

```

7 Belief Propagation Decoding

Algorithm 1 Belief Propagation Decoder for QLDPC

```

1: Input: Syndrome  $s \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n-k}$ , parity matrix  $H$ , error prior  $p$ 
2: Output: Error estimate  $\hat{e} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ 
3: Initialize LLRs:  $\lambda_i = \log \frac{1-p}{p}$  for all qubits  $i$ 
4: for iteration = 1, ...,  $T_{\max}$  do
5:   for each check  $c$  do
6:     for each qubit  $q \in N(c)$  do
7:        $\mu_{c \rightarrow q} = 2 \tanh^{-1} \left( (-1)^{s_c} \prod_{q' \in N(c) \setminus q} \tanh(\lambda_{q' \rightarrow c}/2) \right)$ 
8:     end for
9:   end for
10:  for each qubit  $q$  do
11:     $\lambda_q = \log \frac{1-p}{p} + \sum_{c \in N(q)} \mu_{c \rightarrow q}$ 
12:    for each check  $c \in N(q)$  do
13:       $\lambda_{q \rightarrow c} = \lambda_q - \mu_{c \rightarrow q}$ 
14:    end for
15:  end for
16:   $\hat{e}_q = \mathbb{1}[\lambda_q < 0]$  for all  $q$ 
17:  if  $H\hat{e} = s$  then return  $\hat{e}$ 
18:  end if
19: end for
20: return  $\hat{e}$  (may not satisfy syndrome)

```

Listing 3: Belief Propagation Decoder

```

1 def bp_decoder(syndrome: np.ndarray, H: np.ndarray,
2                 p_error: float = 0.01, max_iters: int = 100) ->
3                 np.ndarray:
4     """
5     Min-sum belief propagation decoder for CSS codes.

```

```

5
6     Args:
7         syndrome: Binary syndrome vector
8         H: Parity check matrix
9         p_error: Physical error probability
10        max_iters: Maximum BP iterations
11
12    Returns:
13        error_estimate: Estimated error pattern
14    """
15    m, n = H.shape
16
17    # Log-likelihood ratios
18    llr_prior = np.log((1 - p_error) / p_error)
19    llr = np.full(n, llr_prior)
20
21    # Messages: check->qubit and qubit->check
22    msg_c_to_q = np.zeros((m, n))
23    msg_q_to_c = np.full((m, n), llr_prior)
24
25    for iteration in range(max_iters):
26        # Check-to-qubit messages
27        for c in range(m):
28            qubits = np.where(H[c] == 1)[0]
29
30            for q in qubits:
31                other_qubits = [q2 for q2 in qubits if q2 != q]
32
33                if len(other_qubits) == 0:
34                    msg_c_to_q[c, q] = 0
35                    continue
36
37                # Product of tanh(msg/2)
38                prod = 1.0
39                for q2 in other_qubits:
40                    prod *= np.tanh(msg_q_to_c[c, q2] / 2)
41
42                # Syndrome flip
43                if syndrome[c] == 1:
44                    prod *= -1
45
46                # Clamp for numerical stability
47                prod = np.clip(prod, -0.999, 0.999)
48                msg_c_to_q[c, q] = 2 * np.arctanh(prod)
49
50    # Qubit-to-check messages
51    for q in range(n):
52        checks = np.where(H[:, q] == 1)[0]
53
54        for c in checks:
55            other_checks = [c2 for c2 in checks if c2 != c]
56            msg_q_to_c[c, q] = llr_prior + sum(msg_c_to_q[c2, q]
57                                              for c2 in
58                                              other_checks)
59
59    # Posterior LLRs
60    for q in range(n):
61        checks = np.where(H[:, q] == 1)[0]

```

```

62         llr[q] = llr_prior + sum(msg_c_to_q[c, q] for c in
63             checks)
64
65     # Hard decision
66     error_estimate = (llr < 0).astype(int)
67
68     # Check if syndrome matches
69     computed_syndrome = (GF2(H) @ GF2(error_estimate)) % 2
70     if np.array_equal(computed_syndrome, syndrome):
71         return error_estimate
72
73     return error_estimate

```

8 Threshold Simulation

Listing 4: Decoding Threshold Simulation

```

1 def simulate_threshold(H_X: np.ndarray, H_Z: np.ndarray,
2                         p_range: np.ndarray, num_trials: int = 1000)
3                         -> dict:
4
5     """
6     Estimate decoding threshold via Monte Carlo simulation.
7
8     Args:
9         H_X, H_Z: CSS stabilizer matrices
10        p_range: Array of physical error rates
11        num_trials: Trials per error rate
12
13    Returns:
14        Dictionary with error rates and logical error rates
15
16
17    n = H_X.shape[1]
18    logical_error_rates = []
19
20    for p in p_range:
21        print(f"Testing p = {p:.4f}...")
22        failures = 0
23
24        for trial in range(num_trials):
25            # Sample X errors (depolarizing on X component)
26            error_X = (np.random.rand(n) < p).astype(int)
27
28            # Measure Z-syndrome
29            syndrome_Z = (GF2(H_Z) @ GF2(error_X)) % 2
30
31            # Decode
32            decoded_X = bp_decoder(syndrome_Z, H_Z, p_error=p,
33                                   max_iters=50)
34
35            # Residual error
36            residual = (error_X + decoded_X) % 2
37
38            # Check if residual is a logical error
39            # (commutes with H_Z but non-trivial)
40            syndrome_check = (GF2(H_Z) @ GF2(residual)) % 2

```

```

38         if not np.all(syndrome_check == 0):
39             failures += 1 # Decoder failed
40         elif np.sum(residual) > 0:
41             # Check if it's a non-trivial logical
42             syndrome_X = (GF2(H_X) @ GF2(residual)) % 2
43             if np.all(syndrome_X == 0):
44                 # Pure X-stabilizer (trivial)
45                 pass
46             else:
47                 # Non-trivial logical X
48                 failures += 1
49
50     logical_error_rate = failures / num_trials
51     logical_error_rates.append(logical_error_rate)
52     print(f" Logical error rate: {logical_error_rate:.4f}")
53
54     return {
55         'p_values': p_range.tolist(),
56         'logical_error_rates': logical_error_rates
57     }
58
59 def find_threshold(results: dict) -> float:
60     """Find threshold where logical rate crosses physical rate."""
61     p_vals = np.array(results['p_values'])
62     l_vals = np.array(results['logical_error_rates'])
63
64     for i in range(len(p_vals) - 1):
65         if l_vals[i] < p_vals[i] and l_vals[i+1] >= p_vals[i+1]:
66             # Linear interpolation
67             return (p_vals[i] + p_vals[i+1]) / 2
68
69     return p_vals[-1] # No crossing found

```

9 Good QLDPC Constructions

9.1 Balanced Product

The balanced product generalizes hypergraph product using both generator and parity matrices:

Theorem 9.1 (Balanced Product (Breuckmann-Eberhardt)). *Using generator matrices G_1, G_2 alongside H_1, H_2 yields codes with improved parameters approaching $[[n, \Theta(n), \Theta(n)]]$.*

9.2 Comparison with Surface Codes

Table 1: Overhead Comparison: Surface Code vs. QLDPC

Property	Surface Code	HP-QLDPC	Good QLDPC
Parameters	$[[d^2, 1, d]]$	$[[n, k_1 k_2, d]]$	$[[n, \Theta(n), \Theta(n)]]$
Rate k/n	$O(1/d^2)$	$\Theta(1)$	$\Theta(1)$
Qubits for $k = 100$	$\sim 10^6$	$\sim 10^3$	$\sim 10^2$
Stabilizer weight	4	$O(1)$	$O(1)$
Locality	2D local	Non-local	Non-local

Listing 5: Overhead Comparison

```

1 def compare_overhead(k_logical: int, target_distance: int = 100):
2     """Compare qubit overhead between code families."""
3
4     # Surface code: [[d^2, 1, d]] per logical qubit
5     n_surface = k_logical * target_distance**2
6     rate_surface = k_logical / n_surface
7
8     # Hypergraph product: rate ~0.1, distance ~sqrt(n)
9     # Need d >= target_distance, so n >= d^2 / rate
10    rate_hp = 0.1
11    n_hp = int((target_distance**2) / rate_hp)
12    k_hp = int(n_hp * rate_hp)
13
14    # Good QLDPC: rate ~0.1, distance ~0.01*n
15    # d = 0.01*n >= target_distance => n >= 100*target_distance
16    rate_good = 0.1
17    rel_dist = 0.01
18    n_good = int(target_distance / rel_dist)
19    k_good = int(n_good * rate_good)
20
21    print(f"For k={k_logical} logical qubits, d>={target_distance}:")
22    print(f"  Surface code: n={n_surface:,} physical qubits")
23    print(f"  HP-QLDPC:      n={n_hp:,} physical qubits (k={k_hp})")
24    print(f"  Good QLDPC:    n={n_good:,} physical qubits
25        (k={k_good})")
25    print(f"  Reduction factor (surface/QLDPC):
26          {n_surface/n_good:.0f}x")

```

10 Certificate Generation

Listing 6: QLDPC Certificate Export

```

1 import json
2 import h5py
3
4 def export_qldpc_certificate(H_X: np.ndarray, H_Z: np.ndarray,
5                               code_params: dict, threshold_data:
6                               dict,
7                               output_file: str):
8     """Export complete QLDPC code certificate."""
9
10    # Verify commutation
11    assert verify_css_commutation(H_X, H_Z), "CSS condition
12        violated!"
13
14    # Check LDPC property
15    max_row_X = int(np.max(np.sum(H_X, axis=1)))
16    max_row_Z = int(np.max(np.sum(H_Z, axis=1)))
17    max_col_X = int(np.max(np.sum(H_X, axis=0)))
18    max_col_Z = int(np.max(np.sum(H_Z, axis=0)))
19
20    is_ldpc = max_row_X <= 20 and max_row_Z <= 20
21
22    certificate = {
23        "H_X": H_X.tolist(),
24        "H_Z": H_Z.tolist(),
25        "code_params": code_params,
26        "threshold_data": threshold_data,
27        "is_ldpc": is_ldpc
28    }
29
30    with h5py.File(output_file, "w") as f:
31        f.create_dataset("certificate", data=json.dumps(certificate))
32
33    return output_file

```

```

21     'code_parameters': {
22         'n': code_params['n'],
23         'k': code_params['k'],
24         'd_lower': code_params['d_lower']
25     },
26     'stabilizer_dimensions': {
27         'H_X_shape': list(H_X.shape),
28         'H_Z_shape': list(H_Z.shape)
29     },
30     'ldpc_properties': {
31         'is_ldpc': is_ldpc,
32         'max_row_weight_X': max_row_X,
33         'max_row_weight_Z': max_row_Z,
34         'max_col_weight_X': max_col_X,
35         'max_col_weight_Z': max_col_Z
36     },
37     'commutation_verified': True,
38     'threshold': threshold_data,
39     'certificate_version': '1.0'
40 }
41
42 # JSON certificate
43 with open(f'{output_file}.json', 'w') as f:
44     json.dump(certificate, f, indent=2)
45
46 # HDF5 for matrices
47 with h5py.File(f'{output_file}.h5', 'w') as f:
48     f.create_dataset('H_X', data=np.array(H_X),
49                      compression='gzip')
50     f.create_dataset('H_Z', data=np.array(H_Z),
51                      compression='gzip')
52
53     if 'p_values' in threshold_data:
54         f.create_dataset('threshold/p_values',
55                         data=threshold_data['p_values'])
56         f.create_dataset('threshold/logical_error_rates',
57                         data=threshold_data['logical_error_rates'])
58
59     print(f"Certificate exported to {output_file}.json and
60           {output_file}.h5")
61 return certificate

```

11 Success Criteria

11.1 Minimum Viable Result (Months 4-5)

- $[[90, k \geq 16, d \geq 3]]$ HP code from two $[7, 4, 3]$ Hamming codes
- CSS commutation verified: $H_X H_Z^T = 0$
- QLDPC verified: max stabilizer weight ≤ 10
- BP decoder converges for $p < 0.05$
- Certificate exported and independently verified

11.2 Strong Result (Months 7-8)

- $[[1000, \geq 100, \geq 10]]$ code family constructed
- Rate $k/n \geq 0.1$, relative distance $d/n \geq 0.01$
- Decoding threshold $p_{th} > 1\%$ measured
- Full certificate database for $n = 100, 500, 1000$

11.3 Publication-Quality Result (Months 9-10)

- $[[10000, 1000, 100]]$ code via balanced product
- Threshold $p_{th} > 2\%$ with optimized BP
- 100x overhead reduction vs. surface codes demonstrated
- Novel balanced product variant with improved parameters

12 Verification Protocol

Listing 7: Independent Certificate Verification

```

1 def verify_qldpc_certificate(cert_file: str) -> dict:
2     """
3         Independent verification of QLDPC certificate.
4
5         Performs all necessary checks to validate the code.
6     """
7     import json
8
9     with open(f'{cert_file}.json', 'r') as f:
10        cert = json.load(f)
11
12    with h5py.File(f'{cert_file}.h5', 'r') as f:
13        H_X = GF2(f['H_X'][:])
14        H_Z = GF2(f['H_Z'][:])
15
16    checks = {}
17
18    # Check 1: CSS commutation
19    commutes = verify_css_commutation(H_X, H_Z)
20    checks['css_commutation'] = commutes
21    print(f"CSS commutation: {'PASS' if commutes else 'FAIL'}")
22
23    # Check 2: QLDPC property
24    is_ldpc = cert['ldpc_properties']['is_ldpc']
25    checks['is_ldpc'] = is_ldpc
26    print(f"QLDPC property: {'PASS' if is_ldpc else 'FAIL'}")
27
28    # Check 3: Code parameters match
29    n_claimed = cert['code_parameters']['n']
30    k_claimed = cert['code_parameters']['k']
31
32    n_actual = H_X.shape[1]
33    rank_X = np.linalg.matrix_rank(H_X)
34    rank_Z = np.linalg.matrix_rank(H_Z)

```

```

35     k_actual = n_actual - rank_X - rank_Z
36
37     checks['n_matches'] = (n_claimed == n_actual)
38     checks['k_matches'] = (k_claimed == k_actual)
39     print(f"Parameters: n={n_actual}, k={k_actual}")
40
41     # Check 4: Stabilizer weight bounds
42     max_weight = max(
43         cert['ldpc_properties']['max_row_weight_X'],
44         cert['ldpc_properties']['max_row_weight_Z']
45     )
46     checks['constant_weight'] = (max_weight <= 20)
47     print(f"Max stabilizer weight: {max_weight}")
48
49     # Check 5: Threshold positive (if measured)
50     if 'threshold' in cert and
51         cert['threshold'].get('p_threshold_estimate'):
52         p_th = cert['threshold']['p_threshold_estimate']
53         checks['positive_threshold'] = (p_th > 0)
54         print(f"Threshold: {p_th:.4f}")
55
56     checks['all_passed'] = all(v for k, v in checks.items() if k != 'all_passed')
57
58     return checks

```

12.1 Test Suite

Listing 8: Complete QLDPC Test Suite

```

1 def run_qldpc_test_suite():
2     """Run comprehensive tests on QLDPC implementation."""
3
4     print("=" * 60)
5     print("QLDPC Implementation Test Suite")
6     print("=" * 60)
7
8     # Test 1: Classical LDPC generation
9     print("\n[Test 1] Classical LDPC Generation")
10    H_classical = generate_random_regular_ldpc(n=120, w_col=3,
11        w_row=6)
12    assert H_classical.shape == (60, 120)
13    girth = compute_tanner_graph_girth(H_classical)
14    print(f" Generated (3,6)-regular LDPC: {H_classical.shape}")
15    print(f" Tanner graph girth: {girth}")
16    assert girth >= 4, "Girth too small!"
17    print(" PASS")
18
19    # Test 2: CSS code construction
20    print("\n[Test 2] CSS Code Construction (Steane)")
21    # Hamming [7,4,3] parity matrix
22    H_hamming = GF2([
23        [1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0],
24        [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0],
25        [0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1]
26    ])
27    H_X_stane, H_Z_stane = hypergraph_product(H_hamming, H_hamming)

```

```

27     assert verify_css_commutation(H_X_steanne, H_Z_steanne)
28     params = compute_code_parameters(H_X_steanne, H_Z_steanne)
29     print(f"  Steane HP code: [{[params['n']]}, {params['k']},
30           >={params['d_lower']}]]")
30     print("  PASS")
31
32     # Test 3: Hypergraph product
33     print("\n[Test 3] Hypergraph Product Parameters")
34     H1 = generate_random_regular_ldpc(n=20, w_col=3, w_row=4)
35     H2 = generate_random_regular_ldpc(n=20, w_col=3, w_row=4)
36     H_X, H_Z = hypergraph_product(H1, H2)
37     assert verify_css_commutation(H_X, H_Z)
38     print(f"  HP code shape: H_X={H_X.shape}, H_Z={H_Z.shape}")
39     print("  CSS commutation: verified")
40     print("  PASS")
41
42     # Test 4: BP decoder
43     print("\n[Test 4] Belief Propagation Decoder")
44     n = H_X.shape[1]
45     test_error = np.zeros(n, dtype=int)
46     test_error[:3] = 1 # Weight-3 error
47     syndrome = (GF2(H_Z) @ GF2(test_error)) % 2
48     decoded = bp_decoder(syndrome, H_Z, p_error=0.01, max_iters=50)
49     residual_syndrome = (GF2(H_Z) @ GF2(decoded)) % 2
50     success = np.array_equal(residual_syndrome, syndrome)
51     print(f"  Syndrome decoding: {'PASS' if success else 'FAIL'}")
52
53     print("\n" + "=" * 60)
54     print("ALL TESTS PASSED")
55     print("=" * 60)
56
57     return True

```

13 Advanced Topics

13.1 Single-Shot Decoding

In practice, syndrome measurements are noisy. **Single-shot decoding** corrects data errors using a single round of (noisy) syndrome measurement.

Theorem 13.1 (Single-Shot Property). *A code has the single-shot property if syndrome errors can be corrected alongside data errors without requiring repeated measurements.*

Good QLDPC codes with sufficient redundancy in the syndrome may exhibit single-shot behavior, unlike surface codes which require $O(d)$ measurement rounds.

13.2 Fault-Tolerant Gates

Definition 13.2 (Transversal Gate). *A gate is transversal if it acts as a tensor product $U^{\otimes n}$ on the physical qubits, mapping logical operators to logical operators.*

Warning

By the Eastin-Knill theorem, no code admits a universal transversal gate set. QLDPC codes must use:

- Magic state distillation for T-gates
- Code switching between different QLDPC codes
- Lattice surgery for logical operations

13.3 Expander Graph Constructions

Definition 13.3 (Expander Graph). A d -regular graph G on n vertices is an ϵ -expander if for all $S \subseteq V$ with $|S| \leq n/2$:

$$|N(S)| \geq (1 + \epsilon)|S| \quad (18)$$

where $N(S)$ is the neighbor set of S .

Theorem 13.4 (Sipser-Spielman). LDPC codes from expander graphs have linear distance $d = \Theta(n)$ and efficient unique decoding.

Listing 9: Expander-Based QLDPC Construction

```

1 def construct_expander_qldpc(n_target: int, degree: int = 5) ->
2     tuple:
3         """
4             Construct QLDPC code from random regular expander.
5
6             Uses random d-regular graphs as proxy for Ramanujan graphs.
7
8             import networkx as nx
9
10            # Generate random regular graph (good expander with high
11            # probability)
12            G = nx.random_regular_graph(degree, n_target)
13
14            # Use adjacency matrix as classical parity check
15            A = nx.adjacency_matrix(G).toarray()
16
17            # Apply hypergraph product
18            H_X, H_Z = hypergraph_product(GF2(A), GF2(A))
19
20            # Verify properties
21            assert verify_css_commutation(H_X, H_Z)
22
23            params = compute_code_parameters(H_X, H_Z)
24            print(f"Expander QLDPC: [{[params['n']]}, {params['k']}],
25                  >={params['d_lower']}]]")
26
27            return H_X, H_Z

```

14 Conclusion

Quantum LDPC codes offer a transformative path toward practical fault-tolerant quantum computing:

1. **Constant overhead:** $O(k)$ physical qubits for k logical qubits
2. **Efficient decoding:** BP in $O(n)$ time per iteration

3. **Scalable construction:** Hypergraph product generates codes for arbitrary n

4. **Certificate-based:** All properties machine-verifiable over \mathbb{F}_2

Pure Thought Challenge

Future Directions:

- Hardware-efficient implementations (connectivity constraints)
- Single-shot decoding for measurement errors
- Integration with magic state distillation
- Distributed quantum networks with QLDPC

References

1. R. Gallager, “Low-Density Parity-Check Codes,” IRE Trans. Inform. Theory **8**, 21 (1962)
2. J.-P. Tillich and G. Zémor, “Quantum LDPC Codes with Positive Rate,” IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **60**, 1193 (2014)
3. P. Panteleev and G. Kalachev, “Asymptotically Good Quantum and Locally Testable Classical LDPC Codes,” STOC 2022
4. N.P. Breuckmann and J.N. Eberhardt, “Balanced Product Quantum Codes,” IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **67**, 6653 (2021)
5. M.B. Hastings, J. Haah, R. O’Donnell, “Fiber Bundle Codes,” STOC 2021
6. J. Roffe et al., “Decoding Across the Quantum LDPC Code Landscape,” Phys. Rev. Research **2**, 043423 (2020)