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**Prescreening Checklist**

1. Has a Proposal for a Technology Report been submitted and accepted and a copy of the approved proposal included in the Technology Report?

**Yes, we had submitted a proposal in the previous semester, approved by professor Austin Tian who was our previous instructor for the first half of the project in Fall 2019. (CENG 319,317). Yes, a copy of the approved proposal is included in the report on Github.**

1. Has the Technology Report been submitted within one year since the proposal was approved?

**Yes.**

1. Is the Technology Report consistent with the Proposal (as approved and with the comments and suggestions made by the proposal reviewer)?

**Yes.**

1. Is the Technology Report typed, double-spaced and justified left?

**Yes.**

1. Has a 12 point Arial, Univers, or similar Sans Serif font been used?

**Yes, the font type used is Arial.**

1. Is the body of the report a minimum of 3,000 words?

**Yes.**

1. Are the components included and in the following order: Title Page; Declaration of

Authorship; Approved Proposal; Abstract/Executive Summary; Table of Contents;

Lists of Illustrations/Diagrams; Body of the TR; Conclusion(s), and if applicable

Recommendation(s); Bibliography/Technical References; and Appendices?

**Yes.**

1. Is there a signed Declaration of Authorship?

**No, because our group decided to not upload private signatures for security purposes to our repository as it is public to everyone.**

1. Is the report dated?

**Yes, date of April 15th,2020 to coincide with the final report submission.**

1. Is the Technology Report current? (The Technology Report should be less than 5 years old.)

**Yes.**

1. Is there a Title Page?

**Yes.**

1. Is there a Table of Contents?

**Yes.**

1. Does the Table of Contents correctly reflect the Components: Headings,

Illustrations/Diagrams and Appendices?

**Yes.**

1. Are the pages numbered with appropriate page breaks?

**Yes, correctly numbered with odd page breaks for the start of each next section of the report.**

1. Is there an Abstract/Executive Summary and Introduction?

**Yes.**

1. Does the body of the report contain Section Headings?

**Yes.**

1. Are there Conclusion(s), and if applicable, Recommendation(s)?

**Yes.**

1. Is there a Bibliography with appropriately cited Technical References?

**Yes, there is a References section with properly cited references used for the project in APA format.**

**Report Mechanics and Structure Checklist:**

1. Does the Title, in ten words or less, inform readers of the precise subject matter contained in the TR? A title should be concise and include key words for indexing.

**No, it is 13 words.**

1. Does the Abstract or Executive Summary provide a brief overview of the report in approximately 75 to 100 words?

**It is approximately 191 words.**

1. Does the Abstract or Executive Summary summarize the Conclusion(s), and if applicable, the Recommendation(s)?

**Yes.**

1. Does the Introduction state the reason the work was undertaken? What is the industry, organization or context? What is the problem?

**Yes.**

1. Does the Introduction cover the scope of the report? What is included and /or admitted, and what procedures are used?

**Yes.**

1. Do the headings and subheadings in the Body adequately and accurately describe the section or subsection content?

**Yes.**

1. Does the Body include information regarding the methodology? Does it indicate materials, equipment and procedures used and why they were selected over alternatives? Is there sufficient detail so that that the methodology can be duplicated by others?

**Yes.**

1. Does the Body include recent research findings?

**No, there were not any new findings as we’ve done extensive research from semester five in CENG 317 and CENG 319.**

1. Does the Body include results/data from the study?

**Yes**.

1. Are illustrations, tables, diagrams and charts clearly drawn, labelled and numbered?

**Yes.**

1. Is each Conclusion, and if applicable, each Recommendation, stated in a separate paragraph and in a positive way? Conclusions should not be qualified with “it seems”, “probably”, “it may be”, or other words that dilute the strength of the conclusion.

**Yes.**

1. Are the References/Bibliography complete? All materials referenced in the TR should be represented in the list of References/Bibliography.

**Yes.**

1. Do the Appendices support the study? Do the Appendices include substantiating data and calculations? Extraneous material should not be included.

**Yes.**

1. Is the spelling correct? Has either the Canadian or USA spelling system been used consistently through the TR.

**Yes, we fixed any typos, spelling, and grammatical errors based on feedback we received from our weekly deliverables.**

1. Is the language free of jargon? Are acronyms properly introduced? Are abbreviations appropriate and correct? Can someone without specific expertise in the field read and understand the TR?

**Yes.**

1. Is the same voice (I, one, person, etc.) used consistently throughout the Technology Report? There should not be any switching from third person to first person or vice versa.

**Yes.**

1. Do the grammar and punctuation follow normally accepted rules of use? Use Ron

Blicq’s text Technically Write or a similar grammar reference as a guide.

**Yes.**

1. Are thoughts and illustrations/diagrams/charts that do not belong to the writer properly identified and footnoted in the text? Are quotations indicated correctly? Are the authors referenced in footnotes and/or reference list? Do they include the author’s name, the title of the article/book, the date of publication, and the publisher?

**Yes.**

**Report Content:**

This section evaluates the quality of the work completed when addressing the problem statement/hypothesis. Fulfillment of these criteria leads to a TR that makes a contribution to the field under study.

1. Are the Problem Statement and Hypothesis significant to the current state of the field/industry?

**Yes.**

1. Is the Methodology scientifically sound?

**Yes.**

1. Are the engineering technology and applied science principles used in the

Methodology and Analysis appropriate to the subject area?

**Yes.**

1. Are the Data and/or Results complete?

**Yes.**

1. Have the Mathematical formulae been applied appropriately?

**No, mathematical formulae were not needed for this project.**

1. Are the Mathematical calculations done correctly and accurately?

**No, there were no calculations in this project.**

1. Are the Illustrations/Diagrams/Charts technically correct?

**Yes.**

1. Is the Analysis of the results correct?

**Yes.**

1. Is the Analysis complete?

**Yes.**

1. Are the Conclusion(s), and if applicable the Recommendation(s), free of discussion, explanation and opinion?

**Yes, expressed only in facts.**

1. Do the Conclusion(s), and if applicable the Recommendation(s), relate to and resolve the Problem Statement and/or Hypothesis?

**Yes.**

1. Are the Conclusion(s), and if applicable the Recommendation(s), logical?

**Yes, and next-steps are considered for marketing/client purchase, ways to improve the final product, and build on existing features for a wider consumption of the public and move the product into purchasing.**

1. Does the report make a contribution to the industry/field of study?

**Yes.**