Chapter 18: Neuromorphic Computing

This chapter delves into neuromorphic computing systems, hardware architectures inspired by the structure and function of biological neural systems. These approaches offer potentially revolutionary advantages in energy efficiency and computational capability for specific tasks.

18.0 Chapter Goals

- Understand the principles and advantages of neuromorphic computing
- Explore spiking neural networks as a brain-inspired computational paradigm
- Learn how resistive computing elements can implement synaptic-like behavior
- Examine event-based sensing and processing paradigms
- Implement and simulate a simple spiking neural network

18.1 Neuromorphic Computing Principles

Neuromorphic computing refers to hardware systems that implement neural processing principles directly in circuitry, rather than simulating them on conventional hardware. This approach can offer dramatic improvements in energy efficiency, especially for tasks like pattern recognition and sensory processing.



Key characteristics of neuromorphic systems include:

- Parallel processing: Massive parallelism similar to the brain's architecture
- Co-located processing and memory: Avoiding the von Neumann bottleneck
- Event-driven computation: Computing only when needed, rather than at fixed clock cycles
- In-memory computing: Performing operations where data is stored
- Sparse, asynchronous signaling: Communication through discrete events rather than continuous values

18.1.1 Spiking Neural Networks

Traditional artificial neural networks use continuous activation values, but biological neurons communicate through discrete all-or-nothing action potentials (spikes). Spiking Neural Networks (SNNs) mimic this biological principle:

```
import numpy as np
from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
class SpikingNeuron:
    def __init__(self, threshold=1.0, tau_m=10.0, tau_ref=2.0):
        Simple Leaky Integrate—and—Fire neuron model
        Parameters:
        - threshold: Membrane potential threshold for spike generation
        - tau_m: Membrane time constant (ms)
        - tau ref: Refractory period (ms)
        self.threshold = threshold
        self.tau m = tau m
        self.tau_ref = tau_ref
        # State variables
        self.membrane_potential = 0.0
        self.last spike time = -np.inf
        self.t = 0 # Current time
    def update(self, input_current, dt=1.0):
        Update neuron state and check for spike
        Parameters:
        - input current: Input current to the neuron
        - dt: Time step (ms)
        Returns:
        - 1 if neuron spikes, 0 otherwise
        self.t += dt
        # Check if in refractory period
        if self.t - self.last_spike_time <= self.tau_ref:</pre>
            return 0
        # Update membrane potential (leaky integration)
        d_v = (-self.membrane_potential + input_current) / self.tau_m
        self.membrane potential += d v * dt
        # Check for spike
        if self.membrane_potential >= self.threshold:
            self.membrane potential = 0.0 # Reset
            self.last_spike_time = self.t
            return 1
        return 0
```

Unlike rate-based ANNs, SNNs encode information in the precise timing of spikes and can be more energy-efficient by only computing when spikes occur. Information can be encoded in several ways:

- 1. Rate coding: Information represented by the frequency of spikes
- 2. **Temporal coding**: Information in the precise timing of spikes
- 3. Population coding: Information distributed across multiple neurons
- 4. Rank-order coding: Information in the order of neuron firing

18.1.2 Resistive Computing and Memristors

A key limitation in conventional computing is the energy cost of moving data between memory and processing units (the "von Neumann bottleneck"). In contrast, the brain co-locates memory and computation in synapses.

Memristors are resistive devices whose resistance changes based on the history of current flow through them. They can implement synaptic weights directly in hardware:

```
class Memristor:
   def __init__(self, r_on=100, r_off=10000, initial_state=0.5):
       Simple memristor model
       Parameters:
       - r on: Low resistance state (ohms)
       - r off: High resistance state (ohms)
       - initial_state: Initial state variable (0-1)
       self.r_on = r_on
       self.r off = r off
       self.state = initial_state # Internal state variable (0-1)
   def get resistance(self):
        """Calculate current resistance based on internal state"""
       return self.r on + self.state * (self.r off - self.r on)
   def update(self, voltage, dt=1e-6, learn_rate=1e-4):
       Update memristor state based on applied voltage
       Parameters:
       - voltage: Applied voltage
       - dt: Time step
        - learn_rate: Learning rate parameter
       # Simplified nonlinear update rule
       if voltage > 0:
            # Increase resistance (depression)
            self.state = min(1.0, self.state + learn_rate * voltage * dt)
            # Decrease resistance (potentiation)
            self.state = max(0.0, self.state + learn_rate * voltage * dt)
```

Memristor crossbar arrays can implement matrix multiplication operations directly in hardware with orders of magnitude less energy than digital implementations. This is especially valuable for neural network inference, where the same weights are used repeatedly.

Benefits of memristor-based computation include:

- **Energy efficiency**: 10-100× lower energy per operation
- **Density**: Higher integration density than CMOS transistors
- Non-volatility: Retaining state without power
- Analog computation: Native implementation of multiply-accumulate operations

18.1.3 Event-Based Sensors

Event-based sensors like Dynamic Vision Sensors (DVS) mimic the retina by only transmitting information when pixels detect changes in brightness:

```
def simulate dvs output(video frames, threshold=0.1):
   Simulate output of a Dynamic Vision Sensor from video frames
   Parameters:
   - video_frames: Sequence of image frames (T, H, W)
   - threshold: Change threshold for generating events
   Returns:
   - events: List of (x, y, t, polarity) tuples
   events = []
   prev_frame = video_frames[0]
   for t, frame in enumerate(video_frames[1:], 1):
       # Calculate log intensity change
       log_diff = np.log(frame + 1e-6) - np.log(prev_frame + 1e-6)
       # Generate ON events (positive changes)
       on events = np.where(log diff > threshold)
       for y, x in zip(on_events[0], on_events[1]):
            events.append((x, y, t, 1)) # x, y, time, polarity
       # Generate OFF events (negative changes)
       off_events = np.where(log_diff < -threshold)
        for y, x in zip(off_events[0], off_events[1]):
            events.append((x, y, t, -1)) # x, y, time, polarity
       prev frame = frame
   return events
```

This event-based approach drastically reduces data transmission and power requirements, enabling high-speed vision processing with minimal energy. Event-based sensors have several advantages:

- **High dynamic range**: >120dB vs. 60-70dB for conventional cameras
- **High temporal resolution**: Microsecond-level precision
- Low bandwidth: 10-100× less data than conventional video
- Low latency: Events transmitted immediately when detected
- Low power: 1000× more efficient than conventional imaging

18.1.4 Brain-Inspired Chips

Several neuromorphic hardware platforms have demonstrated remarkable efficiency:

- 1. **IBM TrueNorth**: 1 million digital neurons with 256 million synapses, consuming only ~70mW of power.
- 2. **Intel Loihi**: Implements on-chip learning with ~130,000 neurons and 130 million synapses per chip.
- 3. **SpiNNaker**: Massively parallel architecture with ARM processors designed specifically for neural simulations.
- 4. **BrainScaleS**: Analog/mixed-signal system operating at accelerated time scales.

These systems achieve energy efficiencies 100-1000× better than conventional architectures for certain tasks:

```
def compare_energy_efficiency():
    """
    Compare energy efficiency for image recognition task
    (based on published benchmarks)
    """
    architectures = {
        "GPU (NVIDIA V100)": {"joules_per_inference": 1.0, "accuracy": 0.76},
        "CPU (Intel Xeon)": {"joules_per_inference": 5.0, "accuracy": 0.76},
        "FPGA": {"joules_per_inference": 0.1, "accuracy": 0.75},
        "Loihi": {"joules_per_inference": 0.001, "accuracy": 0.74},
        "TrueNorth": {"joules_per_inference": 0.0001, "accuracy": 0.70}
}

# Calculate energy efficiency (accuracy per joule)
for arch, stats in architectures.items():
    efficiency = stats["accuracy"] / stats["joules_per_inference"]
    print(f"{arch}: {efficiency:.1f} accuracy/joule")
```

18.2 Applications of Neuromorphic Computing

Neuromorphic systems are particularly well-suited for specific applications:

18.2.1 Edge Computing and IoT

Low-power neuromorphic chips are ideal for intelligent edge devices:

- Sensor Processing: Processing sensor data locally with minimal power
- Anomaly Detection: Identifying unusual patterns without continuous transmission
- **Keyword Spotting**: Recognizing specific audio triggers
- Smart Cameras: Event-based vision for surveillance and monitoring

18.2.2 Robotics and Autonomous Systems

Neuromorphic computing enables efficient sensorimotor processing:

- Real-time Control: Low-latency sensory processing and actuation
- Obstacle Avoidance: Fast processing of visual information for navigation
- Power Efficiency: Extended operation time on limited power budgets
- Adaptive Behavior: On-chip learning for environmental adaptation

18.2.3 Brain-Computer Interfaces

The low power and event-driven nature of neuromorphic systems makes them ideal for neural interfaces:

- Neural Signal Processing: Efficient processing of sparse neural signals
- Closed-loop Stimulation: Real-time response to detected neural patterns
- Portable Medical Devices: Neurological monitoring with long battery life
- Neuroprosthetics: Direct processing of neural signals for prosthetic control

18.3 Simulation and Implementation

18.3.1 Software Frameworks for Neuromorphic Computing

Several frameworks support the development and simulation of spiking neural networks:

- 1. Brian2: Python-based simulator for spiking neural networks
- 2. **NEST**: Simulator for large-scale networks of spiking neurons
- 3. **PyNN**: API for simulator-independent specification of neural network models
- 4. Nengo: Python library for building and simulating neural models
- 5. **BindsNET**: SNN framework built on PyTorch
- 6. **Norse**: Deep learning with spiking neural networks in PyTorch

18.3.2 Converting ANNs to SNNs

Converting traditional artificial neural networks to spiking neural networks allows leveraging existing deep learning methods:

```
def convert_ann_to_snn(ann_model, simulation_time=100, dt=1.0):
   Convert a trained ANN to a rate-based SNN
   Parameters:
   - ann model: Trained artificial neural network
   - simulation time: Simulation duration in ms
   - dt: Time step in ms
   Returns:
   - snn_model: Equivalent spiking neural network
   # In practice, this would involve:
   # 1. Extracting weights from ANN
   # 2. Creating appropriate SNN architecture
   # 3. Setting thresholds based on activation statistics
   # 4. Adjusting for rate-based operation
   # Placeholder implementation
   snn model = create empty snn model()
   # For each layer in the ANN
   for layer_idx, layer in enumerate(ann_model.layers):
        if hasattr(layer, 'weight'):
            # Copy weights
            weights = layer.weight.data.numpy()
            set_snn_weights(snn_model, layer_idx, weights)
            # Set appropriate thresholds based on activation statistics
            activation scale = estimate activation scale(ann model, layer idx)
            set_snn_thresholds(snn_model, layer_idx, activation_scale)
   return snn model
```

Key challenges in ANN-to-SNN conversion include:

- Activation function mapping: Converting ReLU to spike rates
- Threshold calibration: Setting appropriate firing thresholds
- Temporal dynamics: Handling the time dimension
- Training-aware conversion: Optimizing ANNs specifically for SNN conversion

18.4 Code Lab: Spiking Neural Network

Let's implement a simple spiking neural network that demonstrates the key principles of neuromorphic computing:

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from matplotlib.animation import FuncAnimation
class SpikingNeuralNetwork:
   def __init__(self, n_input, n_hidden, n_output, dt=1.0):
       Simple Spiking Neural Network with LIF neurons
       Parameters:
       - n_input: Number of input neurons
       - n hidden: Number of hidden neurons
       - n_output: Number of output neurons
       - dt: Simulation time step (ms)
        0.00
       self.n_input = n_input
       self.n hidden = n hidden
       self.n output = n output
       self.dt = dt
       # Initialize random weights
       self.w input hidden = np.random.normal(0, 0.1, (n hidden, n input))
        self.w_hidden_output = np.random.normal(0, 0.1, (n_output, n_hidden))
       # Neuron parameters
        self.v_rest = -70.0 # resting potential (mV)
        self.v_reset = -75.0 # reset potential (mV)
        self.v threshold = -55.0 # threshold potential (mV)
        self.tau_m = 10.0 # membrane time constant (ms)
       self.refractory_period = 2.0 # refractory period (ms)
       # State variables
        self.v hidden = np.ones(n hidden) * self.v rest
        self.v_output = np.ones(n_output) * self.v_rest
        self.refractory time hidden = np.zeros(n hidden)
        self.refractory_time_output = np.zeros(n_output)
       # Recording
        self.spike_history_hidden = []
        self.spike history output = []
       self.v history hidden = []
        self.v history output = []
       self.t = 0 # Current time
   def reset state(self):
        """Reset network state"""
        self.v hidden = np.ones(self.n hidden) * self.v rest
        self.v_output = np.ones(self.n_output) * self.v_rest
        self.refractory_time_hidden = np.zeros(self.n_hidden)
       self.refractory_time_output = np.zeros(self.n_output)
        self.spike_history_hidden = []
        self.spike history output = []
```

```
self.v history hidden = []
    self.v history output = []
    self.t = 0
def step(self, input spikes):
    Run one simulation step
    Parameters:
    - input spikes: Binary array of input spikes (0 or 1)
    - output spikes: Binary array of output spikes
    self.t += self.dt
    # Update hidden layer
    # Calculate input current from input layer spikes
    input_current = np.dot(self.w_input_hidden, input_spikes)
    # Check which neurons are not in refractory period
    active hidden = self.refractory time hidden <= 0
    # Update membrane potentials for active neurons
    dv_hidden = (-self.v_hidden + self.v_rest + input_current) / self.tau_m
    self.v hidden[active hidden] += dv hidden[active hidden] * self.dt
    # Check for spikes in hidden layer
    hidden spikes = (self.v hidden >= self.v threshold).astype(int)
    # Reset membrane potential and set refractory period for spiked neurons
    if np.any(hidden spikes):
        spike_indices = np.where(hidden_spikes)[0]
        self.v hidden[spike indices] = self.v reset
        self.refractory_time_hidden[spike_indices] = self.refractory_period
    # Decrement refractory time
    self.refractory time hidden -= self.dt
    self.refractory_time_hidden = np.maximum(0, self.refractory_time_hidden)
    # Update output layer (similar process)
    output current = np.dot(self.w hidden output, hidden spikes)
    active_output = self.refractory_time_output <= 0</pre>
    dv output = (-self.v output + self.v rest + output current) / self.tau m
    self.v output[active output] += dv output[active output] * self.dt
    output_spikes = (self.v_output >= self.v_threshold).astype(int)
    if np.any(output spikes):
        spike_indices = np.where(output_spikes)[0]
        self.v output[spike indices] = self.v reset
        self.refractory_time_output[spike_indices] = self.refractory_period
```

```
self.refractory_time_output -= self.dt
    self.refractory time output = np.maximum(0, self.refractory time output)
   # Record history
    self.spike history hidden.append(hidden spikes.copy())
    self.spike history output.append(output spikes.copy())
    self.v_history_hidden.append(self.v_hidden.copy())
    self.v history output.append(self.v output.copy())
   return output spikes
def run_simulation(self, input_pattern, simulation_time=100):
   Run simulation for specified time with given input pattern
   Parameters:
   - input_pattern: Function that returns input spikes at each time step
   - simulation time: Total simulation time (ms)
   Returns:
   - output history: History of output spikes
   steps = int(simulation time / self.dt)
   self.reset state()
   output history = []
   for step in range(steps):
        t = step * self.dt
        input_spikes = input_pattern(t)
        output spikes = self.step(input spikes)
        output history.append(output spikes)
   return np.array(output history)
def plot_activity(self, figsize=(12, 8)):
    """Plot spike raster and membrane potentials"""
    if not self.spike history hidden:
        print("No simulation data to plot")
       return
   fig, axs = plt.subplots(4, 1, figsize=figsize, sharex=True)
   # Convert spike history to arrays
    spikes hidden = np.array(self.spike history hidden)
    spikes output = np.array(self.spike history output)
   # Time array
   time = np.arange(0, len(spikes hidden) * self.dt, self.dt)
   # Plot hidden layer spikes
   for i in range(self.n hidden):
        spike_times = time[spikes_hidden[:, i] > 0]
        axs[0].scatter(spike times, np.ones like(spike times) * i, color='bla
```

```
axs[0].set ylabel('Hidden Neuron')
axs[0].set title('Hidden Layer Spike Raster')
# Plot output layer spikes
for i in range(self.n output):
    spike times = time[spikes output[:, i] > 0]
    axs[1].scatter(spike_times, np.ones_like(spike_times) * i, color='red
axs[1].set ylabel('Output Neuron')
axs[1].set_title('Output Layer Spike Raster')
# Plot membrane potentials
v hidden = np.array(self.v history hidden)
v output = np.array(self.v history output)
# Plot a few hidden neurons
for i in range(min(3, self.n hidden)):
    axs[2].plot(time, v_hidden[:, i], label=f'Neuron {i}')
axs[2].axhline(y=self.v threshold, color='r', linestyle='--', label='Thre
axs[2].set_ylabel('Membrane Potential (mV)')
axs[2].set_title('Hidden Layer Membrane Potentials')
axs[2].legend()
# Plot all output neurons
for i in range(self.n output):
    axs[3].plot(time, v_output[:, i], label=f'Neuron {i}')
axs[3].axhline(y=self.v threshold, color='r', linestyle='--', label='Thre
axs[3].set_xlabel('Time (ms)')
axs[3].set ylabel('Membrane Potential (mV)')
axs[3].set_title('Output Layer Membrane Potentials')
axs[3].legend()
plt.tight_layout()
return fig
```

Let's demonstrate this SNN with a simple pattern recognition task:

```
def run_snn_demo():
    # Create a simple SNN with 5 input, 10 hidden, and 2 output neurons
    snn = SpikingNeuralNetwork(5, 10, 2)
    # Define input patterns (simplified)
    def pattern 1(t):
        # Pattern 1: neurons 0, 1, 2 active
        period = 20 # ms
        return np.array([1 if t % period < 5 else 0,
                        1 if (t % period) > 3 and (t % period) < 8 else 0,
                        1 if (t % period) > 6 and (t % period) < 12 else 0,
                        0, 01)
    def pattern 2(t):
        # Pattern 2: neurons 2, 3, 4 active
        period = 20 # ms
        return np.array([0, 0,
                        1 if (t % period) > 2 and (t % period) < 7 else 0,
                        1 if (t % period) > 5 and (t % period) < 10 else 0,
                        1 if (t % period) > 8 and (t % period) < 15 else 0])
    # Run simulations with different input patterns
    print("Running simulation for pattern 1...")
    snn.run simulation(pattern 1, 200)
    fig1 = snn.plot activity()
    plt.figure(fig1.number)
    plt.suptitle("Pattern 1 Response")
    print("Running simulation for pattern 2...")
    snn.run simulation(pattern 2, 200)
    fig2 = snn.plot activity()
    plt.figure(fig2.number)
    plt.suptitle("Pattern 2 Response")
    plt.show()
    return "SNN demo completed"
```

18.5 Future Directions

Neuromorphic computing is evolving rapidly with several exciting research directions:

18.5.1 Hardware Advances

• 3D Integration: Increasing neuron and synapse density through vertical stacking

- Novel Materials: Exploring phase-change memory, ferroelectric devices, and spintronic devices
- Hybrid Systems: Combining neuromorphic and conventional computing architectures
- Nanoscale Devices: Moving toward truly brain-like densities with nanoscale components

18.5.2 Algorithms and Learning

- Local Learning Rules: Developing biologically plausible learning algorithms that don't require global backpropagation
- **Temporal Coding**: Moving beyond rate coding to leverage timing information
- Structural Plasticity: Algorithms that modify network topology, not just weights
- Neuromodulatory Influences: Incorporating attention, reward, and other modulatory effects

18.5.3 System Integration

- Sensorimotor Loops: Closing the loop between sensing and acting in neuromorphic systems
- Hybrid Learning: Combining traditional deep learning with neuromorphic approaches
- Multi-chip Systems: Scaling to brain-like numbers of neurons and synapses
- Standardized Interfaces: Developing common interfaces for neuromorphic hardware

18.6 Take-aways

- **Neuromorphic computing mimics the brain's architecture** to achieve dramatic improvements in energy efficiency for specific types of computation.
- **Spiking neural networks** use discrete, event-based communication similar to biological neurons, enabling sparse computation.
- **Resistive computing elements** like memristors can implement synaptic weights directly in hardware, overcoming the von Neumann bottleneck.
- **Event-based sensors** drastically reduce data bandwidth and power requirements by only transmitting information when changes occur.
- **Neuromorphic hardware platforms** demonstrate 100-1000× improvements in energy efficiency for pattern recognition and sensory processing tasks.

18.7 Further Reading

- Davies, M., et al. (2018). <u>Loihi: A Neuromorphic Manycore Processor with On-Chip Learning</u>. IEEE Micro, 38(1), 82-99.
- Schuman, C. D., et al. (2017). <u>A Survey of Neuromorphic Computing and Neural Networks in Hardware</u>. arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.06963.
- Diehl, P. U., et al. (2015). <u>Fast-classifying, high-accuracy spiking deep networks through</u> weight and threshold balancing. IEEE International Joint Conference on Neural Networks.
- Tavanaei, A., et al. (2019). <u>Deep learning in spiking neural networks</u>. Neural Networks, 111, 47-63.
- Pfeiffer, M., & Pfeil, T. (2018). <u>Deep learning with spiking neurons: Opportunities and challenges</u>. Frontiers in Neuroscience, 12, 774.