

## **▶**Solution ◀

## Question 1: (20 points)

Consider a critical section of the following form where a shared variable **shvar** is updated using a local (non-shared) variable **x** as follows:

```
lock(lk);
if(shvar > 0) {
   shvar = max(shvar, x);
}
unlock(lk);
```

a. (5 points) Write the MIPS assembly code for this critical section, assuming that the address of the 1k variable is in \$a0, the address of the shvar variable is in \$a1, and the value of variable x is in \$a2. Your critical section should not contain any function calls, i.e., you should include the MIPS instructions for lock(), unlock(), max(), and min(). Use 11/sc instructions to implement the lock() operation, and the unlock() operation is simply an ordinary store instruction.

```
Solution:
try:
      addi $t1, $zero, 1
           $t0, 0($a0)
                            # $t0 <-- 1k
      11
           $t0, $zero, try # while the lock is not free try
      bne
           $t1, 0($a0)
      SC
                            # use sc to confirm that got the lock
           $t1, $zero, try # if lock fail, go try again
      beg
           $t3, 0($a1)
                            # $t3 <-- shvar
      lw
           $t3, $zero, done # if(shvar <= 0) goto done
      ble
           $t3, $a2, done
                            # if(shvar >= x) goto done
      bge
           $a2, 0($a1)
                            # shvar <-- x
      SW
done: sw
           $zero, 0($a0)
                            # unlock
```

**b.** (5 points) Solve the above problem, but this time use 11/sc to perform an atomic update of the shvar variable directly, without using lock() and unlock(). Note that in this problem, there is no variable 1k.

```
Solution:

try: 11  $t3, 0($a1)  # $t3 <-- shvar

ble $t3, $zero, done # if(shvar <= 0) goto done

bge $t3, $a2, done # if(shvar >= x) goto done

mov $t1, $a2

sc $t1, 0($a1) # shvar <-- x
```

Instructor: Karim Ali



```
beq $t1, $zero, try done: if(x >= shvar) \ goto \ done, \ the \ c \ code \ says \ shvar = max(shvar,x)
```

c. (5 points) Compare the best-case performance of your code from parts a and b, assuming that each instruction takes one cycle to execute. Note: best-case means that 11/sc always succeeds, the lock is always free when we want to lock(). Iff there is a branch, we take the path that completes the operation with fewer executed instructions.

```
Solution: We will list the sequence of instructions that each code has to execute in the best case (assuming that shvar has to be updated).

a: addi, 11, bne, sc, beq, lw, ble, bge, sw, sw = 10 instructions

b: 11, ble, bge, mov, sc, beq = 6 instructions
```

**d.** (5 points) Using your code from part b as an example, explain what happens when two processors begin to execute this critical section at the same time, assuming that each processor executes exactly one instruction per cycle.

**Solution:** We will assume that in both processors, the sc instruction has to be executed. The sequence of instruction execution in each cycle is as follows (we assume that P0 is the processor that completes the sc first in each case). If either of the processors does not need to execute a sc, then the other processor will succeed in executing it.

Cycle	PO	P1
0	11	11
1	ble	ble
2	bge	bge
3	mov	mov
4	SC	SC
5	beq	beq
6		11
7		bge
8		mov
9		sc
10		beq