

# COMPLEX ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

NOTES TAKEN BY RIEUNITY

ABSTRACT.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Holomorphic functions	2
3. Solving $\partial u = f$	4
4. Proof of Hartogs theorem	6

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n), i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  be polynomials with coefficient in  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . An *affine algebraic variety* is the common zero of  $X = X(f_1, \dots, f_k) = \{x : f_i(x) = 0 \forall i\}$ . We incorporate the field into the notation

$$X(\mathbb{C}) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : f_i(x) = 0 \forall i\},$$

$$X(\mathbb{R}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f_i(x) = 0 \forall i\} \text{ (} f_i \text{ have real coefficients)}.$$

These can be thought of naturally as topological spaces with the topology they inherit from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  or  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (Alternately, you can use the “Zariski topology” induced by declaring that zero sets of polynomials are closed).

It is frequently inconvenient that  $X(\mathbb{C})$  is essentially never compact. To remedy this, we shift our attention to projective space:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{CP}^n &= \frac{\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}}{\text{dilations}} \\ &= \{(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}\} / (z_0, \dots, z_n) \sim (\lambda z_0, \dots, \lambda z_n), \forall \lambda \neq 0 \\ &= \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} / u(1), \end{aligned}$$

hence compact.

Given homogeneous polynomials  $F_i(x_0, \dots, x_n)$  we obtain a “projective variety”  $X = X(F_1, \dots, F_k) = \{x \in \mathbb{CP}^n : F_i(x) = 0 \forall i\}$ . As before, if the polynomial have real coefficients, we have  $X(\mathbb{R}) = \{x \in \mathbb{RP}^n : F_i(x) = 0 \forall i\}$ .

We can ask about the relation between the topology and geometry of  $X(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $X(\mathbb{C})$  and the algebraic properties of  $X$ . For example, say  $X$  is the zero set of a single homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$  called  $F$ , can we recover  $d$  from looking at  $X(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $X(\mathbb{R})$ ? (Only a sensible question for  $F$  irreducible.) It turns out that  $X_F(\mathbb{C})$  determines a homology class  $[X_F(\mathbb{C})] \in H_{2n-2}(\mathbb{CP}^n; \mathbb{Z})$ , and this group is cyclic with generator  $[H]$  induced by  $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$ , and  $[X_F(\mathbb{C})] = d \cdot [H]$ .

We can recover  $d$  from the intrinsic geometry of  $X_F(\mathbb{C})$  using the “Chern classes” in  $H^*(?)$ . Over the real numbers,  $H_{n-1}(\mathbb{RP}^n; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is cyclic with generator  $[H]$  and  $[X_F(\mathbb{R})] = d \cdot [H]$ , so we recover  $d \pmod 2$ . It is possible to show that  $X_F(\mathbb{R})$  does not provide an upper bound for  $d$ .

From a different point of view, the Nash embedding theorem shows that any smooth manifold over  $\mathbb{R}$  is diffeomorphic to  $X(R)$  for some real smooth projective variety. For complex manifolds the analogue statement is false. To be diffeomorphic to a complex projective variety, a manifold must be complex, Kähler, Hodge, and then it will have an embedding into some  $\mathbb{CP}^n$  and Chow’s theorem guarantees that it’s algebraic.

## 2. HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

This section we want to talk about holomorphic functions.

Recall some concepts / theorems from one complex variable. Let  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  open,

- a.  $f$  is holomorphic  $\Leftrightarrow f$  is analytic:  $\forall z_0 \in \mathcal{U}, \exists \varepsilon > 0$  s.t.  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n, \forall z \in B_\varepsilon(z_0)$ .
- b.  $f$  is holomorphic  $\Leftrightarrow f$  satisfies Cauchy integral formula:  $f \in \mathbb{C}^1$  and  $\forall z_0 \in \mathcal{U}, \varepsilon$  small enough

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(z_0)} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz.$$

- c.  $f$  is holomorphic  $\Leftrightarrow f$  satisfies Cauchy-Riemann equations. Writing  $z = x + iy, x, y \in \mathbb{R}, f(x, y) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y), u, v$  real values,  $u, v$  are continuous differentiable and satisfy

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}.$$

Let’s introduce the differential operators:

$$\partial_z = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x - i\partial_y), \partial_{\bar{z}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x + i\partial_y).$$

Then  $\partial_z z = 1 = \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{z}, \partial_z \bar{z} = 0 = \partial_{\bar{z}} z$ . In these terms Cauchy-Riemann equations are  $\partial_{\bar{z}} f = 0$ .

Geometrically,  $f : \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2, f\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$  induces  $D_{z_0} f : T_{z_0} \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow T_{f(z_0)} \mathbb{R}^2$  with respect to the standard basis, this is the real Jacobian of  $f$

:

$$J_{\mathbb{R}}(f) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Example 2.1.**

$$(D_{(x_0, y_0)} f)(\partial_x) = \partial_t|_{t=0} f(x_0 + t, y_0) = \partial_t|_{t=0} \begin{bmatrix} u(x_0 + t, y) \\ v(x_0 + t, y) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \end{bmatrix}.$$

After we complexify,  $D_{z_0}^{\mathbb{C}} f : T_{z_0} \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow T_{f(z)} \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . We can write this matrix in the basis  $\partial_z, \partial_{\bar{z}}$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \partial_z(u + iv) & \partial_{\bar{z}}(u + iv) \\ \partial_z(u - iv) & \partial_{\bar{z}}(u - iv) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_z f & \partial_{\bar{z}} f \\ \partial_z \bar{f} & \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f} \end{bmatrix}.$$

(note:  $\partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f} = \overline{\partial_z f}$ ,  $\overline{\partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f}} = \partial_z f$ , etc.) The function  $f$  is holomorphic if and only if this matrix is diagonal. The complex Jacobian for  $f$  holomorphic is  $J_{\mathbb{C}} f = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_z f & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{f} \end{bmatrix}$ .

Holomorphic functions of one variable satisfy some important theorems:

- Maximum principle:  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{C}$  open, connected,  $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic, nonconstant, then  $|f|$  has no local maximum in  $\mathcal{U}$ . If  $\mathcal{U}$  is bounded and  $f$  extends to a continuous  $\bar{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\max |f|$  occurs on  $\partial \bar{\mathcal{U}}$ .
- Identity theorem:  $f, g : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic and  $\mathcal{U}$  connected. If

$$\{z \in \mathcal{U} : f(z) = g(z)\}$$

contains an open set then it is all of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

- Extension theorem:  $f : B_{\varepsilon}(z_0) \setminus \{z_0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic and bounded, then it extends to a holomorphic function  $B_{\varepsilon}(z_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .
- Liouville's theorem:  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic and bounded implies  $f$  is constant.
- Riemann mapping theorem: If  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a simply connected, proper open set, then  $\mathcal{U}$  is biholomorphic to the unit ball  $B_1(0)$ .
- Residue theorem: If  $f : B_{\varepsilon}(0) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic and  $f(z) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_j z^j$  is its Laurent series. Then

$$a_{-1} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon/2}(0)} f(z) dz.$$

**Definition 2.1.**  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  open,  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  continuously differentiable, then  $f$  is holomorphic at  $a \in U$  if for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  the function of one variable

$$z_j \mapsto f(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, z_j, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_n)$$

is holomorphic. i.e.,  $\partial_{\bar{z}_j} f = 0, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

If we write

$$df = \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} dz_j + \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j} d\bar{z}_j.$$

Denote the first term  $\partial f$  and the second  $\bar{\partial} f$ , then  $f$  is holomorphic iff  $\bar{\partial} f = 0$ .

**Definition 2.2.** For  $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $R \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$ , the polydisc around  $a$  with multindices  $R$  is the set  $D(a, R) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_j - a_j| < R_j, \text{ for all } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ . If  $R = (1, \dots, 1)$  and  $a = 0$  we abbreviate  $D(0, 1)$  by  $\mathbb{D}$  and refer to it as the unit disc in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Repeatedly applying the Cauchy formula in 1-variable, we obtain the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.3.**  $f : D(w, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic and  $z \in D(w, \varepsilon)$  then

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{\partial D(w, \varepsilon)} \frac{f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)}{(\zeta_1 - z_1) \cdots (\zeta_n - z_n)} d\zeta_1 \cdots d\zeta_n.$$

Using this we can show that for any point  $w \in U$ ,  $\exists$  multi disc  $D(w, \varepsilon)$  inside  $U$  such that for all  $z \in D(w, \varepsilon)$ ,  $f(z) = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial_z^\alpha f}{\alpha!} (z - w)^\alpha$ . Here  $\alpha$  is a multi-index  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N}_0)^n$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} (z - w)^\alpha &= (z_1 - w_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (z_n - w_n)^{\alpha_n} \\ \alpha! &= \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n! \\ \partial_z^\alpha f &= \partial_{z_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{z_n}^{\alpha_n} f. \end{aligned}$$

From the list above, the maximum principle, the identity theorem and Liouville's theorem generalize easily. Riemann extension theorem holds but is harder to prove and Riemann mapping definitely fails. There are also phenomenon that do not have analogues in one complex variable.

**Theorem 2.4** (Hartogs Extension Theorem).  $n \geq 2$ ,  $f : U \setminus K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic with  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  open,  $K \subset U$  compact. If  $U \setminus K$  is connected, then  $\exists! F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic extending  $f$ .

### 3. SOLVING $\partial u = f$

This section, we will talk about how severable complex variable holomorphic functions are NOT like one complex variable holomorphic functions.

**Example 3.1.** Consider  $H = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z| < 1, \frac{1}{2} < |w| < 1\} \cup \{|z| < \frac{1}{2}, |w| < 1\}$

Let  $f$  be holomorphic function on  $H$ , then we claim:  $\exists F$  holomorphic on  $\mathbb{D} = \{|z| < 1, |w| < 1\}$  such that  $F|_H = f$ . In fact

$$F(z, w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=r} \frac{f(z, \zeta)}{\zeta - w} d\zeta. \quad \frac{1}{2} < r < 1$$

is holomorphic. Indeed,

$$\partial_{\bar{z}} \left( \frac{f(z, \zeta)}{\zeta - w} \right) = 0.$$




FIGURE 1.

For any fixed  $z$  with  $|z| < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $w \mapsto f(z, w)$  is holomorphic on all of  $\{|w| < 1\}$  and so we have  $F(z, w) = f(z, w)$  for any  $|z| < \frac{1}{2}, |w| < r$  by the Cauchy integral formula. Hence  $F = f$  on all  $H$ .

The region  $H = \mathbb{D} \setminus \text{some closed subset of } \mathbb{D}$  may not use Hartogs extension theorem directly since  $\mathbb{D} \setminus H$  is not compact. We provide it here just to give some intuition of the difference between several variables and one variable condition.

Before the proof of Hartogs extension theorem, we do some preparations.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $f \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ , then*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} f \, dz = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} \, d\bar{z} dz = 2i \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} \, dx dy.$$

Note: When we write  $d\bar{z}dz$ , it means  $d\bar{z} \wedge dz = 2i dx \wedge dy$ , the same for  $dx dy$ , hence not the usual one.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ , then*

$$u(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{u(z)}{z - \zeta} \, dz - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}}(z)}{z - \zeta} \, dx dy.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $\zeta$ , let  $\varepsilon < d(\zeta, \partial\overline{\Omega})$  and let  $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{z \in \Omega : |z - \zeta| \geq \varepsilon\}$ . Applying Lemma to  $f(z) = \frac{u(z)}{z - \zeta}$ , we obtain

$$\int_{\partial\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{u(z)}{z - \zeta} \, dz = 2i \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}}}{z - \zeta} \, dx dy.$$

As  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , the LHS converges to  $\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{u(z)}{z-\zeta} dz - 2\pi i u(\zeta)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ . let  $u(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\phi(z)}{z-\zeta} dx dy$ . Then  $u$  is an analytic function outside of the  $\text{supp}\phi$  and  $u$  is smooth on  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\partial_{\bar{z}}u = \phi$ .

*Proof.* Interchanging derivatives and the integral, we see that  $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  and by a change variables we have

$$u(\zeta) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\phi(\zeta - z)}{z} dx dy.$$

So

$$\partial_{\bar{\zeta}}u(\zeta) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial_{\bar{\zeta}}\phi(\zeta - z)}{z} dx dy = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}}u(z)}{\zeta - z} dx dy.$$

Applying the proposition to any disc containing  $\text{supp}\phi$ , we get that this equals  $\phi(\zeta)$ , i.e.,  $\partial_{\bar{\zeta}}u = \phi$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** Even though  $\phi$  has compact support, no solution of  $\partial_{\bar{z}}u = \phi$  can have compact support if  $\int_{\mathbb{C}} \phi(z) dx dy \neq 0$ . Indeed, if  $u(\zeta) = 0 \forall |\zeta| > R$  for  $R$  sufficiently large, then

$$0 = \int_{|z|=R} u(z) dz = \int_{|z|<R} \partial_{\bar{z}}u dx dy = 2i \int_{|z|<R} \phi dx dy.$$

**Theorem 3.4.** Suppose  $f_j \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $n > 1$  satisfy  $\partial_{\bar{z}_j}f_k = \partial_{\bar{z}_k}f_j, \forall j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then there exists a  $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$  such that  $\partial_{\bar{z}_j}u = f_j, \forall j$ .

*Proof.* Define

$$u(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{f_1(\zeta, z_2, \dots, z_n)}{\zeta - z_1} d\bar{\zeta} d\zeta = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{f_1(z_1 - \zeta, z_2, \dots, z_n)}{\zeta} d\bar{\zeta} d\zeta.$$

We note that  $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  and since  $f_1$  has compact support,  $u$  vanishes if  $|z_2| + \dots + |z_n|$  large enough. By the previous theorem,  $\partial_{\bar{z}_1}u = f_1$ . Also differentiating,

$$\partial_{\bar{z}_j}u = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}_1}f_j(\zeta, z_2, \dots, z_n)}{\zeta - z_1} d\bar{\zeta} d\zeta = f_j.$$

Hence  $u$  solve the system of equations. Let  $K = \bigcup_{j=1}^n \text{supp}f_j$ ,  $u$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$  and we know that  $u$  is zero if  $|z_2| + \dots + |z_n|$  sufficiently large. So by the identity theorem  $u$  must vanish on the component of  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus K \Rightarrow u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$ .  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF HARTOGS THEOREM