

F. L. Nazarov's paper
Local Estimates of Exponential Polynomials and
Their Applications to Inequalities of Uncertainty
Pinciple Type
Part II

Notes taken by 89hao

April 26, 2021

Abstract

This is a learning note about Chapter 1 of Nazarov's paper(see [1]). This chapter is about the Turan lemma and its general form on measurable sets.

Contents

1 Random periodization technique and the Morgan theorem	1
----------------------------------------------------------------	----------

**1 Random periodization technique and the
Morgan theorem**

Lemma 1 (the lattice averaging lemma). *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a positive summable function, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. Then*

$$\int_1^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \varphi(k\varepsilon v) dv \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) dt$$

and

$$\int_1^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \varphi\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon v}\right) dv \leq 4\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) dt.$$

Definition 1. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable set of finite measure. Consider an arbitrary function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ supported on E and fix a positive number ε . Define the random periodization g of the function f by

$$g(t) = g(\varepsilon, v|t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon v}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{k+t}{\varepsilon v}\right).$$

Here v is a random variable equidistributed on the interval $(1, 2)$. The series in the definition of g converges in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ since the measure of the support of f is finite, and is a 1-periodic function.

Definition 2. We denote by \hat{f} the Fourier transform of a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ understood in the sense of the Plancherel theorem, i.e., as a limit in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ of the functions

$$\hat{f}_n(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{-n}^n f(x) e^{-2\pi i \lambda x} dx.$$

By definition, we compute the Fourier coefficients of g

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}_m &= \int_0^1 g(t) e^{-2\pi i m t} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon v}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{k+t}{\varepsilon v}\right) e^{-2\pi i m t} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon v}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^1 f\left(\frac{k+t}{\varepsilon v}\right) e^{-2\pi i m t} dt \\ &\stackrel{t=\varepsilon v \lambda}{=} \sqrt{\varepsilon v} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon v}} f\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon v} + \lambda\right) e^{-2\pi i m \varepsilon v \lambda} d\lambda \\ &= \sqrt{\varepsilon v} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\frac{k}{\varepsilon v}}^{\frac{k+1}{\varepsilon v}} f(\lambda) e^{-2\pi i m \varepsilon v \lambda} d\lambda \\ &= \sqrt{\varepsilon v} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\lambda) e^{-2\pi i m \varepsilon v \lambda} d\lambda \\ &= \sqrt{\varepsilon v} \hat{f}(m \varepsilon v). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.

- (a) $\mu(\{t \in (0, 1) : g(t) \neq 0\}) \leq 2\varepsilon \mu(E).$
- (b) $\mathbf{E} \|g\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq 2\varepsilon |\hat{f}(0)|^2 + 2\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq 2(\varepsilon \mu(E) + 1) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2.$

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}$ be measurable, $0 \in \Sigma$. We consider a random lattice $\Lambda = \Lambda(\varepsilon, v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{s\varepsilon v : s \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and denote $\mathfrak{M} = \{s \in \mathbb{Z} : s\varepsilon v \in \Sigma\}$.

(c) $\mathbf{E}(\text{card}\mathfrak{M} - 1) \leq \frac{\mu(\Sigma)}{\varepsilon}$.

(d) $\mathbf{E}\Sigma_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathfrak{M}} |\hat{g}_m|^2 \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2$.

Proof.

- (a) The measure of the set of all points $t \in (0, 1)$ for which the summand $f\left(\frac{k+t}{\varepsilon v}\right)$ in the series defining g does not vanish is equal to $\mu(\varepsilon v E \cap (k, k+1))$. Therefore,

$$\mu(\{t \in (0, 1) : g(t) \neq 0\}) \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu(\{\varepsilon v E \cap (k, k+1)\}) = \mu(\varepsilon v E) \leq 2\varepsilon \mu(E).^1$$

- (b)

$$\mathbf{E}\|g\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 = \mathbf{E} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{g}_k|^2 = \mathbf{E}|\hat{g}_0|^2 + \mathbf{E} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} |\hat{g}_k|^2.$$

But $|\hat{g}_0|^2 = \varepsilon v |\hat{f}(0)|^2 \leq 2\varepsilon |\hat{f}(0)|^2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} |\hat{g}_k|^2 &= \int_1^2 \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \varepsilon v |\hat{f}(k\varepsilon v)|^2 \right) dv \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \int_1^2 \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} |\hat{f}(k\varepsilon v)|^2 \right) dv \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}|^2 = 2\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to notice that

$$|\hat{f}(0)|^2 = \left| \int_E f \right|^2 \leq \mu(E) \int_E |f|^2 = \mu(E) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2.$$

- (c) Since $\text{card}\mathfrak{M} = 1 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \chi_\Sigma(k\varepsilon v)$, we have

$$\mathbf{E}(\text{card}\mathfrak{M} - 1) = \int_1^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \chi_\Sigma(k\varepsilon v) dv \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_\Sigma = \frac{\mu(\Sigma)}{\varepsilon}.$$

¹Remember that v is a random variable equidistributed on the interval $(1, 2)$.

(d)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathfrak{M}} |\hat{g}_m|^2 &= \int_1^2 \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \varepsilon v |\hat{f}(k\varepsilon v)|^2 \chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma}(k\varepsilon v) \right) dv \\
&\leq 2\varepsilon \int_1^2 \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \left(|\hat{f}(k\varepsilon v)|^2 \chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} \right) (k\varepsilon v) \right) dv \\
&\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}|^2 \chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let E and Σ be two measurable subsets of \mathbb{R} . Borrowing the terminology from Jöricke and Havin, we say that E and Σ annihilate if for every function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ the conditions $\text{supp } f \subset E$, $\text{spec } f \subset \Sigma$ imply that f vanishes identically. We say that E and Σ strongly annihilate if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the inequality

$$(*) \quad \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus E} |f|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2 \right)$$

holds for every function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The strong annihilation condition can be written in a form which is less symmetric but more convenient to verify: E and Σ strongly annihilate if and only if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(**) \quad \int_{\Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2 \leq C' \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2$$

holds for every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ supported on E .

There is a relationship between the best possible constants C and C' :

$$C' = \text{ctg}^2 \alpha, \quad C = \frac{1}{2 \sin^2 \frac{\alpha}{2}} = \frac{1}{1 - \cos \alpha},$$

where α is the angle between the subspaces $L^2(E) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \text{supp } f \subset E\}$ and $L^2(\hat{\Sigma}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \text{spec } f \subset \Sigma\}$ of the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The proof of this statement is a simple exercise in geometry. Denoting by P_E and $P_{\hat{\Sigma}}$ the orthogonal projection onto $L^2(E)$ and $L^2(\hat{\Sigma})$ respectively, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\cos \alpha &= \sup \left\{ |(f, g)| : f \in L^2(E), g \in L^2(\hat{\Sigma}), \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = 1 \right\} \\
&= \sup \left\{ |(P_{\hat{\Sigma}} f, g)| : \dots \right\} \\
&= \sup \left\{ \|P_{\hat{\Sigma}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} : f \in L^2(E), \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = 1 \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
C' &= \sup \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2} : f \in L^2(E), \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = 1 \right\} \\
&= \sup \left\{ \frac{\|P_{\hat{\Sigma}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}{1 - \|P_{\hat{\Sigma}} f\|_{L^2(R)}^2} : f \in L^2(E), \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = 1 \right\} \\
&= \frac{\cos^2 \alpha}{1 - \cos^2 \alpha} \\
&= \operatorname{ctg}^2 \alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

The computation of the constant C is slightly more complicated. Denote by β and γ the angles between f and the subspaces $L^2(E)$ and $L^2(\hat{\Sigma})$, respectively. It is clear that $0 < \beta, \gamma < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\beta + \gamma \geq \alpha$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus E} |f|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2 &= \|f - P_E f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|f - P_{\hat{\Sigma}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\
&= \|f\|^2 - 2(P_E f, f) + \|P_E f\|^2 + \|f\|^2 - 2(P_{\hat{\Sigma}} f, f) + \|P_{\hat{\Sigma}} f\|^2 \\
&= (\sin^2 \beta + \sin^2 \gamma) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \geq 2 \sin^2 \frac{\alpha}{2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

we have $C \leq \frac{1}{2 \sin^2 \frac{\alpha}{2}}$. To verify the reverse inequality, it suffices to exhibit a function f for which the angles β and γ are close to $\frac{\alpha}{2}$. This can be done as follows. One can choose $g \in L^2(E)$ and $h \in L^2(\hat{\Sigma})$ so that $\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}(h, g) \approx \cos \alpha$, and then put $f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(g + h)$.

It should be noted that, proceeding in the same way, one can describe the image of the unit ball of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ under the mapping

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}) \ni f \rightarrow \left(\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus E} |f|^2, \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2 \right) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$$

provided that each of the subspaces $L^2(E)$ and $L^2(\hat{\Sigma})$ contains a vector making an angle arbitrarily close to $\frac{\pi}{2}$ with the other subspace (this condition is certainly satisfied if both E and Σ have zero density at infinity, i.e., if $\lim_{A \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu(E \cap [-A, A])}{A} = \lim_{A \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu(\Sigma \cap [-A, A])}{A} = 0$; the corresponding vectors can be chosen among those of the form $f e^{i\lambda t}$ and $\tau_{\lambda} g$, where $f \in L^2(E)$, $g \in L^2(\hat{\Sigma})$ and λ is a suitable number from a sufficiently large interval centered at 0). This image turns out to be the square $[0, 1]^2$ with the upper-right angle cut off along the curve $\arccos \sqrt{x} + \arccos \sqrt{y} = \alpha$.

Excluding α from the formulas for C and C' , we get

$$C = C' + 1 + \sqrt{C'(C' + 1)} \leq 2C' + \frac{3}{2}.$$

Now we state the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 1. *For every two sets E and Σ of finite measure and every function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the following inequality holds:*

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq 130e^{66\mu(E)\mu(\Sigma)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus E} |f|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2 \right).$$

Proof. As it was shown above, it suffices to prove that

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2 \leq 64e^{\mu(E)\mu(\Sigma)} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2$$

for every function $f \in L^2(E)$. We set $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4\mu(E)}$ and introduce the random periodization g of the function f . By (a),

$$\mu(\{t \in (0, 1) : g(t) = 0\}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mu(F) \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon\mu(E) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

We decompose g into a sum $p + q$, where

$$p(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{m: m\varepsilon v \in \Sigma \cup \{0\}} \hat{g}_m e^{2\pi i m t} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{m \in \mathfrak{M}} \hat{g}_m e^{2\pi i m t}$$

and

$$q(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathfrak{M}} \hat{g}_m e^{2\pi i m t}.$$

We have

$$\mathbf{E} \|q\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 = \mathbf{E} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathfrak{M}} |\hat{g}_m|^2 \stackrel{(d)}{\leq} 2 \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2,$$

whence

$$\mathbf{P} \left(\left\{ \|q\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 > 4 \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2 \right\} \right) < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Next,

$$\mathbf{E}(\text{ord } p - 1) = \mathbf{E}(\text{card} - 1) \stackrel{(c)}{\leq} \frac{\mu(\Sigma)}{\varepsilon} = 4\mu(E)\mu(\Sigma).$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbf{P}(\text{ord } p > 1 + 8\mu(E)\mu(\Sigma)) < \frac{1}{2}.$$

We see that, with positive probability, the following 4 events take place simultaneously:

- (a) $\mu(F) \geq \frac{1}{2}$;
- (b) $\|q\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \leq 4 \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2$;
- (c) $\text{ord } p \leq 1 + 8\mu(E)\mu(\Sigma)$;
- (d) $\varepsilon |\hat{f}(0)|^2 = \frac{1}{4\mu(E)} |\hat{f}(0)|^2 \leq |\hat{p}_0|^2 = |\hat{g}_0|^2$.

Indeed, (a) and (d) always hold, while each of (b) and (c) does not hold with probability less than $\frac{1}{2}$. Since $g|_F \equiv 0$, we have $p|_F = q|_F$ and $\int_F |p|^2 = \int_F |q|^2$. Hence

$$\mu \left(\left\{ t \in F : |p(t)|^2 \geq 16 \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2 \right\} \right) \leq \frac{1}{4},^2$$

and, since $\mu(F) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$\mu \left(\left\{ t \in (0, 1) : |p(t)| \leq 4 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\} \right) \geq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Now a special case of the Turan lemma (Theorem 3 in Part I) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4\mu(E)} |\hat{f}(0)|^2 \leq |\hat{p}_0|^2 &\leq \left(\sum_k |\hat{p}_k| \right)^2 \leq \left(\left(\frac{14}{1/4} \right)^{\text{ord } p-1} 4 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right)^2 \\ &\leq 16 \times 56^{16\mu(E)\mu(\Sigma)} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$|\hat{f}(0)|^2 \leq 64\mu(E)e^{16 \log 56\mu(E)\mu(\Sigma)} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2.$$

If we take the function $f_1(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(x)e^{-2\pi ixy}$ instead of $f(x)$ and the set $\Sigma - y$ instead of Σ , we arrive at the same estimate for $|\hat{f}(y)|$. Integrating this estimate over Σ , we get the inequality

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2 \leq 64\mu(E)\mu(\Sigma)e^{16 \log 56\mu(E)\mu(\Sigma)} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2 \leq 64e^{66\mu(E)\mu(\Sigma)} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2,$$

which proves the theorem. □

²Indeed, if $\mu \left(\left\{ t \in F : |p(t)|^2 \geq 16 \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2 \right\} \right) > \frac{1}{4}$, we would obtain $\|q\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 > 4 \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}|^2$, this contradicts the event (b).

References

- [1] FL Nazarov. “Local estimates of exponential polynomials and their applications to inequalities of uncertainty principle type”. In: *St Petersburg Mathematical Journal* 5.4 (1994), pp. 663–718.