

ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY - LOTHAR GÖTTSCHE

LECTURE 19

WANG YUNLEI

Lemma 1 (Nakayama). *Let A be a local ring and $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ be its maximal ideal. Let M be a finitely generated A -module:*

- (1) *if $M = \mathfrak{m}M$, then $M = \{0\}$;*
- (2) *write $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$, let $f_1, \dots, f_r \in M$ such that $\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_r$ generate $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ as k -vector space. Then f_1, \dots, f_r generate M as an A -module.*

Proof. (2) Let $N := \langle f_1, \dots, f_r \rangle \subset M$. To show $N = M$ is equivalent to show $M/N = \{0\}$. Since $\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_r$ generate $M/\mathfrak{m}M$, we have

$$(N + \mathfrak{m}M)/\mathfrak{m}M = M/\mathfrak{m}M.$$

This equation implies

$$N + \mathfrak{m}M = M.$$

Then we get $\mathfrak{m} \cdot (M/N) = (\mathfrak{m}M + n)/N = M/N$, it implies $M/N = \{0\}$ by using the first conclusion of the lemma. \square

Definition 1 (Discrete Valuation Ring). Let A be a local ring, \mathfrak{m} be its maximal ideal. Further more, assume A is also an integral domain. Then A is called a discrete valuation ring (DVR) if the following conditions hold:

- (1) \mathfrak{m} is a principal ideal, i.e. $\mathfrak{m} = \langle t \rangle$ for some $t \in \mathfrak{m}$ (such a t is called a uniformizing parameter);
- (2) if t is a uniformizing parameter, then every element $f \in A$ can be written as $f = at^n$ for $a \in A$ a unit and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Remark. If t is a uniformizing parameter, then $\mathfrak{m}^n = \langle t^n \rangle$.

This remark can be proved by induction. It is obvious that $\langle t^n \rangle \subset \mathfrak{m}^n$. The opposite inclusion is true for $n = 0, 1$, assume $\langle t^{n-1} \rangle = \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}$ is true. Then every element in \mathfrak{m} can be written as sum of elements of the form $abt^n = at \cdot bt^{n-1}$ with $a, b \in A$, hence $\mathfrak{m}^n \subset \langle t^n \rangle$.

Exercise 1. *Prove that for a curve C and a nonsingular point $p \in C$, $\mathcal{O}_{C,p}$ is a discrete valuation ring.*

Proposition 1. (1) *Let A be a ring, $I \subset A$ be an ideal, $\pi : A \rightarrow A/I$ be a projective map. Then the map*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\text{ideals of } A/I\} & \rightarrow & \{\text{ideals of } A \text{ containing } I\} \\ J & \rightarrow & \pi^{-1}(J) \end{array}$$

is injective.

- (2) *If A is a noetherian ring, $I \subset A$ is an ideal, then A/I is also noetherian.*

(3) *Let X be a variety, $p \in X$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is noetherian.*

Proof. (3) To show $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is noetherian, as $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ only depends on a neighborhood of p , we can assume $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ is an affine variety. Then $A(X)$ is noetherian. The map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\text{ideals in } \mathcal{O}_{X,p}\} & \rightarrow & \{\text{ideals in } A(X)\} \\ I & \rightarrow & I \cap A(X) \end{array}$$

is injective, hence $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is noetherian. □

Email address: `wcghdpwy1@126.com`