

Category Theory

Based on notes by Tom Leinster

Notes taken by Rieunity

April 1, 2021 — April 12, 2021

The learning notes are a collection of some notions and important theorems about category theory. I learned it from the note *Basic Category Theory* written by Tom Leinster. Most of the content is from this note, others are from the Stack Project and *The Rising Sea: Foundations of Algebraic Geometry*.

Contents

1	Basic notions	3
2	Natural transformations	5
3	Adjoint s	8
4	Yoneda lemma	13
5	Limits	17

1 Basic notions

Definition 1.1. A *category* \mathcal{A} consists of:

- a collection $\text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$ of objects;
- for each $A, B \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$, a collection $\mathcal{A}(A, B)$ of *maps* or *arrows* or *morphisms* from A to B ;
- for each $A, B, C \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$, a function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(B, C) \times \mathcal{A}(A, B) &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}(A, C) \\ (g, f) &\mapsto g \circ f, \end{aligned}$$

called *composition*;

- for each $A \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$, an element 1_A of $\mathcal{A}(A, A)$, called the *identity* on A

satisfying the following axioms:

- **associativity:** for each $f \in \mathcal{A}(A, B)$, $g \in \mathcal{A}(B, C)$ and $h \in \mathcal{A}(C, D)$, we have $(h \circ g) \circ f = h \circ (g \circ f)$;
- **identity laws:** for each $f \in \mathcal{A}(A, B)$, we have $f \circ 1_A = f = 1_B \circ f$.

Remark. We often write $A \in \mathcal{A}$ to mean $A \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$, $f : A \rightarrow B$ or $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ to mean $f \in \mathcal{A}(A, B)$, and gf to mean $g \circ f$.

Definition 1.2. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be categories. A *functor* $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ consists of:

- a function

$$\text{ob}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{ob}(\mathcal{B}),$$

written as $A \mapsto F(A)$;

- for each $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$, a function

$$\mathcal{A}(A, A') \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(F(A), F(A')),$$

written as $f \mapsto F(f)$,

satisfying the following axioms:

- $F(f' \circ f) = F(f') \circ F(f)$ whenever $A \xrightarrow{f} A' \xrightarrow{f'} A''$ in \mathcal{A} ;
- $F(1_A) = 1_{F(A)}$ whenever $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Here are two ways of constructing new categories from old.

Definition 1.3. Every category \mathcal{A} has an *opposite* or *dual* category \mathcal{A}^{op} , defined by reversing the arrows. Formally, $\text{ob}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}) = \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(B, A) = \mathcal{A}(A, B)$ for all objects A and B .

Definition 1.4. Given categories \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , A *product category* $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ is a category in which

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ob}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}) &= \text{ob}(\mathcal{A}) \times \text{ob}(\mathcal{B}), \\ (\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B})((A, B), (A', B')) &= \mathcal{A}(A, A') \times \mathcal{B}(B, B')..\end{aligned}$$

Since we have the notion of dual category, we also have the notion of dual functor, which is formally called contravariant functor.

Definition 1.5. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be categories. A *contravariant functor* from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} is a functor $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$.

Definition 1.6. A functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is *faithful* (respectively, *full*) if for each $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$, the function

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(A, A') &\longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(F(A), F(A')) \\ f &\longmapsto F(f).\end{aligned}$$

is injective (respectively, surjective).

2 Natural transformations

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be categories and let $\mathcal{A} \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xrightarrow{G} \end{smallmatrix} \mathcal{B}$ be functors. A *natural transformation* $\alpha : F \rightarrow G$ is a family $\left(F(A) \xrightarrow{\alpha_A} G(A) \right)_{A \in \mathcal{A}}$ of morphisms in \mathcal{B} for every map $A \xrightarrow{f} A'$ in \mathcal{A} , the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(A') \\ \alpha_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{A'} \\ G(A) & \xrightarrow{G(f)} & G(A') \end{array} \quad (1)$$

commutes. The morphisms α_A are called the components of α . We also write

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F & \\ \mathcal{A} & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \Downarrow \alpha \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} & \mathcal{B} \\ & G & \end{array}$$

to mean that α is a natural transformation from F to G .

Given natural transformations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F & \\ \mathcal{A} & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \Downarrow \alpha \\ \Downarrow \beta \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} & \mathcal{B} \\ & H & \end{array}$$

There is a composite natural transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F & \\ \mathcal{A} & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \Downarrow \beta \circ \alpha \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} & \mathcal{B} \\ & H & \end{array}$$

defined by $(\beta \circ \alpha)_A = \beta_A \circ \alpha_A$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. It is sometimes called *vertical composition*.

There is also an identity natural transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F & \\ \mathcal{A} & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \Downarrow 1_F \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} & \mathcal{B} \\ & F & \end{array}$$

on any functor F , defined by $(1_F)_A = 1_{F(A)}$.

Definition 2.2. For any two categories \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , there is a category whose objects are the functors between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} and whose morphisms are the natural transformations between them. The composition law and identity morphism are defined and shown above. This is called the *functor category* from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} and written as $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}]$.

Definition 2.3. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be categories. A *natural isomorphism* between functors from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} is an isomorphism in $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}]$. In other words, let α be a natural transformation from F to G where F and G are functors from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} , then α is a natural isomorphism if and only if $\alpha_A : F(A) \rightarrow G(A)$ is an isomorphism for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Since natural isomorphism is just isomorphism in a particular category $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}]$, we already have notation for this:

$$F \cong G.$$

Definition 2.4. Let F, G be two functors from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} , we say that

$$F(A) \cong G(A) \text{ naturally in } A$$

if F and G are naturally isomorphic.

Definition 2.5. An *equivalence* between categories \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} consists of a pair of functors $\mathcal{A} \xrightleftharpoons[G]{F} \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$G \circ F \cong 1_{\mathcal{A}} \text{ and } F \circ G \cong 1_{\mathcal{B}}. \quad (2)$$

We say that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are equivalent if there is an equivalence between them and write $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{B}$. The functors F and G are equivalences.

Remark. Consider the category of all finite sets **FinSet** (and mappings between those). That's a huge category. However in a sense it should not be so huge, since essentially there are only as many finite sets as there are natural numbers. Consider another category \mathcal{A} , which is only the finite sets of the form $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Now for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathcal{A} has one set-representative of that size while **FinSet** has many, but in **FinSet** all these sets of the same size are isomorphic and we should not treat isomorphic sets as being different.

Hence it doesn't make any real difference if we use **FinSet** or \mathcal{A} to deal with finite sets. So they ought to be the same. And they are equivalent but not isomorphic.¹

¹This remark is from [here](#).

Definition 2.6. Let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a functor, we say F is *essentially surjective on objects* if for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $F(A) \cong B$.

Proposition 2.7. A functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an equivalence if and only if it is full, faithful and essentially surjective on objects.

Proof. First assume two natural isomorphisms

$$\eta : G \circ F \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{A}}, \quad \varepsilon : F \circ G \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Let $f, f' : A \rightarrow A'$ and $F(f) = F(f') : F(A) \rightarrow F(A')$, then $G \circ F(f) = G(F(f)) = G(F(f')) = G \circ F(f') : G(F(A)) \rightarrow G(F(A'))$. Then $\eta \circ (G \circ F(f)) = \eta \circ (G \circ F(f')) \Rightarrow f = f'$. Hence F is faithful. Let $g \in \text{Mor}(F(A), F(A'))$, then $g = (F \circ G) \circ (\varepsilon(g))$. Then there exists $f = G \circ \varepsilon(g)$ s.t. $F(f) = g$, hence F is full. Given any $B \in \mathcal{B}$, let $A = G(B)$, then $F(A) = F \circ G(B) \cong B$. The converse is to construct natural isomorphisms η and ε by reversing the deduction above. \square

Recall vertical composition introduced previously, there is also *horizontal composition*, which takes natural transformations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & F & & F' & \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{A}' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{A}'' \\ & G & & G' & \end{array}$$

and produces a natural transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F' \circ F & \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{A}' \\ & G' \circ G & \end{array}$$

The component of $\alpha' * \alpha$ at $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is defined to be the diagonal of the naturality square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F'(F(A)) & \xrightarrow{F'(\alpha_A)} & F'(G(A)) \\ \alpha'_{F(A)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha'_{G(A)} \\ G'(F(A)) & \xrightarrow{G'(\alpha_A)} & G'(G(A)). \end{array}$$

That is

$$(\alpha' * \alpha)_A = \alpha'_{G(A)} \circ F'(\alpha_A) = G'(\alpha_A) \circ \alpha'_{F(A)}.$$

3 Adjoints

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightleftharpoons[G]{F} \mathcal{B}$ be categories and functors. We say that F is a *left adjoint* of G , or that G is a *right adjoint* of F if there are bijections

$$\mathcal{B}(F(A), B) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(A, G(B)) \quad (3)$$

functorial in $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$. In other words, this means that there is a given isomorphism of functors $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ from $\mathcal{B}(F(-), -)$ to $\mathcal{A}(-, G(-))$.

Remark. Here are two understandings:

- a. Given (A, B) and $(A', B') \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{B})$, $f : A' \rightarrow A$, $g : B \rightarrow B'$, then we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}(F(A), B) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}(F(A'), B') \\ \alpha_{(A, B)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{(A', B')} \\ \mathcal{A}(A, G(B)) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}(A', G(B')). \end{array}$$

Let $B = F(A)$, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}(F(A), F(A)) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}(F(A'), B') \\ \alpha_{(A, F(A))} \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{(A', B')} \\ \mathcal{A}(A, G(F(A))) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}(A', G(B')). \end{array}$$

Hence for any object A of \mathcal{A} we obtain a morphism $\eta_A : A \rightarrow G(F(A))$ corresponding to $1_{F(A)}$. Similarly, for any object B of \mathcal{B} we obtain a morphism $\epsilon_B : F(G(B)) \rightarrow B$ corresponding to $1_{G(B)}$. These maps are called *adjunction maps*. The adjunction maps are functorial in A and B , hence we obtain morphisms of functors

$$\eta : 1_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow G \circ F \quad (\text{unit}) \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon : F \circ G \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{B}} \quad (\text{counit}).$$

- b. Given objects $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$, the correspondence between $F(A) \rightarrow B$ and $A \rightarrow G(B)$ is denoted by a horizontal bar, in both directions:

$$\begin{aligned} (F(A) \xrightarrow{g} B) &\mapsto (A \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} G(B)), \\ (A \xrightarrow{f} G(B)) &\mapsto (F(A) \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} B). \end{aligned}$$

So $\bar{\bar{f}} = f$ and $\bar{\bar{g}} = g$. We call \bar{f} the *transpose* of f , and similarly for g . Then “functorial in $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is equivalent to

$$\overline{\left(F(A) \xrightarrow{g} B \xrightarrow{q} B' \right)} = \left(A \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} G(B) \xrightarrow{G(q)} G(B') \right) \quad (4)$$

and

$$\overline{\left(A' \xrightarrow{p} A \xrightarrow{f} G(B) \right)} = \left(F(A') \xrightarrow{F(p)} F(A) \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} B \right). \quad (5)$$

The above two identities can also be written as

$$\overline{q \circ g} = G(q) \circ \bar{g} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\overline{f \circ p} = \bar{f} \circ F(p). \quad (7)$$

In fact, the bijection that satisfies the above two conditions are equivalent to the definition of adjoint functors.

Lemma 3.2. *Given an adjunction $F \dashv G$ with unit η and ϵ , the triangles*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{F\eta} & FGF \\ & \searrow 1_F & \downarrow \epsilon_F \\ & & F \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\eta G} & GFG \\ & \searrow 1_G & \downarrow G\epsilon \\ & & G \end{array}$$

commute. These are called triangle identities. They are commutative diagrams in the functor categories $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}]$ and $[\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}]$, respectively.

Proof. An equivalent statement is that the triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(\eta_A)} & FGF(A) \\ & \searrow 1_{F(A)} & \downarrow \epsilon_{F(A)} \\ & & F(A) \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G(B) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{G(B)}} & GFG(B) \\ & \searrow 1_{G(B)} & \downarrow G(\epsilon_B) \\ & & G(B) \end{array}$$

commute for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Since $\overline{1_{GF(A)}} = \epsilon_{F(A)}$, equation (5) gives

$$\overline{\left(A \xrightarrow{\eta_A} GF(A) \xrightarrow{1} GF(A) \right)} = \left(F(A) \xrightarrow{F(\eta_A)} FGF(A) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{F(A)}} F(A) \right).$$

But the left-hand side is $\overline{\eta_A} = \overline{1_{F(A)}} = 1_{F(A)}$, proving the first triangle identity. The second follows by duality. \square

Lemma 3.3. Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightleftharpoons[\substack{\perp \\ G}]{F} \mathcal{B}$ be an adjunction, with unit η and counit ϵ . Then

$$\bar{g} = G(g) \circ \eta_A$$

for any $g : F(A) \rightarrow B$, and

$$\bar{f} = \epsilon_B \circ F(f)$$

for any $f : A \rightarrow G(B)$.

Theorem 3.4. Take categories and functors $\mathcal{A} \xrightleftharpoons[\substack{\perp \\ G}]{F} \mathcal{B}$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between:

- (a) adjunctions between F and G (with F on the left and G on the right);
- (b) pairs $\left(1_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\eta} GF, FG \xrightarrow{\epsilon} 1_{\mathcal{B}}\right)$ of natural transformations satisfying the triangle identities.

(a) \Rightarrow (b) direction has been proved and the proof of converse is a direct calculate.

Definition 3.5. Given categories and functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{B} & \\ & \downarrow Q & \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathcal{C}, \end{array}$$

the *comma category* $(P \Rightarrow Q)$ (often written as $(P \downarrow Q)$) is the category defined as follows:

- objects are triples (A, h, B) with $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $B \in \mathcal{B}$, and $h : P(A) \rightarrow Q(B)$ in \mathcal{C} ;
- maps $(A, h, B) \rightarrow (A', h', B')$ are pairs $(f : A \rightarrow A', g : B \rightarrow B')$ of maps such that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P(A) & \xrightarrow{P(f)} & P(A') \\ h \downarrow & & \downarrow h' \\ Q(B) & \xrightarrow{Q(g)} & Q(B') \end{array}$$

commutes.

Remark. Given $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$, P and Q as above, there are canonical functors and a canonical natural transformations as shown:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (P \Rightarrow Q) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow Q \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

in a suitable 2-categorical sense, $(P \Rightarrow Q)$ is universal with this property.

Definition 3.6. Let \mathcal{A} be a category and $A \in \mathcal{A}$. The *slice category* of \mathcal{A} over A , denoted by \mathcal{A}/A , is the category whose objects are maps into A and whose maps are commutative triangles. More precisely, an object is a pair (X, h) with $X \in \mathcal{A}$ and $h : X \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{A} , and a map $(X, h) \rightarrow (X', h')$ in \mathcal{A}/A is a map $f : X \rightarrow X'$ in \mathcal{A} making the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & X' \\ & \searrow h & \swarrow h' \\ & A & \end{array}$$

commute.

Slice categories are a special case of comma categories $(1_{\mathcal{A}} \Rightarrow A)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{1} & \\ & \downarrow A & \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{A}}} & \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

Dually, there is a *coslice category* $A/\mathcal{A} \cong (A \Rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{A}})$, whose objects are the maps out of A .

Lemma 3.7. Take an adjunction $\mathcal{A} \xrightleftharpoons[G]{F} \mathcal{B}$ and an object $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the unit map $\eta_A : A \rightarrow GF(A)$ is an initial object of $(A \Rightarrow G)$.

Theorem 3.8. Take categories and functors $\mathcal{A} \xrightleftharpoons[G]{F} \mathcal{B}$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between:

- (a) adjunctions between F and G (with F on the left and G on the right);

- (b) *natural transformations $\eta : 1_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow GF$ such that $\eta_A : A \rightarrow GF(A)$ is initial in $(A \Rightarrow G)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Corollary 3.9. *Let $G : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a functor. Then G has a left adjoint if and only if for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the category $(A \Rightarrow G)$ has an initial object.*

4 Yoneda lemma

Definition 4.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a locally small category and $A \in \mathcal{A}$. We define a functor

$$H^A = \mathcal{A}(A, -) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

as follows:

- for objects $B \in \mathcal{A}$, put $H^A(B) = \mathcal{A}(A, B)$;
- for maps $B \xrightarrow{g} B'$ in \mathcal{A} , define

$$H^A(g) = \mathcal{A}(A, g) = g_* = g \circ - : \mathcal{A}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(A, B')$$

by

$$p \mapsto g \circ p$$

for all $p : A \rightarrow B$.

Definition 4.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a locally small category. A functor $X : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is *representable* if $X \cong H^A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{A}$. A *representation* of X is a choice of an object $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and an isomorphism between H^A and X .

Any map $A' \xrightarrow{f} A$ induces a natural transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H^A & \\ \mathcal{A} & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \Downarrow H^f \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} & \mathbf{Set} \\ & H^{A'} & \end{array}$$

(also called $\mathcal{A}(f, -)$, f^* or $- \circ f$), whose B -component (for $B \in \mathcal{A}$) is the function

$$\begin{aligned} H^A(B) = \mathcal{A}(A, B) &\rightarrow H^{A'}(B) = \mathcal{A}(A', B) \\ p &\mapsto p \circ f. \end{aligned}$$

Each H^A is covariant, but they come together to form a contravariant functor, as in the following definition.

Definition 4.3. Let \mathcal{A} be a locally small category. The functor

$$H^\bullet : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow [\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Set}]$$

is defined on objects A by $H^\bullet(A) = H^A$ and on maps f by $H^\bullet(f) = H^f$.

Definition 4.4. Let \mathcal{A} be a locally small category and $A \in \mathcal{A}$. We define a functor

$$H_A = \mathcal{A}(-, A) : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

as follows:

- for objects $B \in \mathcal{A}$, put $H_A(B) = \mathcal{A}(B, A)$;
- for maps $B' \xrightarrow{g} B$ in \mathcal{A} , define

$$H_A(g) = \mathcal{A}(g, A) = g^* = - \circ g : \mathcal{A}(B, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(B', A)$$

by

$$p \mapsto p \circ g$$

for all $p : B \rightarrow A$

Definition 4.5. Let \mathcal{A} be a locally small category. A functor $X : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is *representable* if $X \cong H_A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{A}$. A *representation* of X is a choice of an object $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and an isomorphism between H_A and X .

Any map $A \xrightarrow{f} A'$ in \mathcal{A} induces a natural transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H_A & \\ \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow H_f \\ \downarrow \end{array} & \mathcal{A}' \\ & H_{A'} & \end{array}$$

(also called $\mathcal{A}(-, f)$, f_* or $f \circ p$), whose B -component (for $B \in \mathcal{A}$) is the function

$$\begin{aligned} H_A(B) = \mathcal{A}(B, A) &\rightarrow H_{A'}(B) = \mathcal{A}(B, A') \\ p &\mapsto f \circ p. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.6. Let \mathcal{A} be a locally small category. The *Yoneda embedding* of \mathcal{A} is the functor

$$H_{\bullet} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set}]$$

defined on objects A by $H_{\bullet}(A) = H_A$ and on maps f by $H_{\bullet}(f) = H_f$.

Proposition 4.7. Let \mathcal{A} be a locally small category, and let $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$ with $H_A \cong H_{A'}$. Then we have $A \cong A'$.

Proof. By definition, we have a natural isomorphism α such that for any $B, B' \in \mathcal{A}$ and any map $f : B' \rightarrow B$, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}(B, A) & \xrightarrow{H_A(f)} & \mathcal{A}(B', A) \\ \alpha_B \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{B'} \\ \mathcal{A}(B, A') & \xrightarrow{H_{A'}(f)} & \mathcal{A}(B', A') \end{array}$$

commutes. Let $B = A$ and $B' = A'$, then for any $g \in \mathcal{A}(A, A)$ and $f : A' \rightarrow A$, we have

$$\alpha_A(g) \circ f = \alpha_{A'}(g \circ f)$$

for any $g : A \rightarrow A$. Then $\alpha_A(g) \circ f \in \mathcal{A}(A', A')$. Let $f = \alpha_{A'}^{-1}(1_{A'})$ and $g = 1_A$, we obtain

$$\alpha_A(1_A) \circ \alpha_{A'}^{-1}(1_{A'}) = 1_{A'}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\alpha_{A'}(1_{A'}) \circ \alpha_A^{-1}(1_A) = 1_A.$$

□

Definition 4.8. Let \mathcal{A} be a locally small category. The functor

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

is defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A, B) & \mapsto & \mathcal{A}(A, B) \\ \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ f \\ \downarrow \\ g \end{array} & \mapsto & \downarrow g \circ - \circ f \\ (A', B') & \mapsto & \mathcal{A}(A', B'). \end{array}$$

In other words, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B) = \mathcal{A}(A, B)$ and $(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(f, g))(p) = g \circ p \circ f$, whenever $A' \xrightarrow{f} A \xrightarrow{p} B \xrightarrow{g} B'$.

Remark. (a) Given sets A and B , we have product $A \times B$ and the set B^A (or $\mathbf{Set}(A, B)$) of functions from A to B . Fix a set B , taking the product with B defines a functor

$$\begin{aligned} - \times B : \mathbf{Set} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Set} \\ A &\longmapsto A \times B. \end{aligned}$$

There is also a functor

$$\begin{aligned} (-)^B : \mathbf{Set} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Set} \\ C &\longmapsto C^B. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, there is an adjunction between them, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{Set}(A \times B, C) \cong \mathbf{Set}(A, C^B)$$

for any sets A and C .

- (b) Similarly, for any category \mathcal{B} , there is an adjunction $(- \times \mathcal{B}) \dashv [\mathcal{B}, -]$ of functors $\mathbf{CAT} \rightarrow \mathbf{CAT}$, that is, there is a canonical bijection

$$\mathbf{CAT}(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \cong \mathbf{CAT}(\mathcal{A}, [\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}])$$

for $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{CAT}$. Under this bijection, the functors

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \quad \text{and} \quad H^{\bullet} : \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow [\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{Set}]$$

correspond to one another and carries the same information.

- (c) Now we can use this bijection to explain naturality in the definition of adjunction. Take categories $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow[F]{\perp} \mathcal{B}$, then we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{1 \times G} & \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{A} \\ F^{\mathrm{op}} \times 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} \\ \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}} & \mathbf{Set}. \end{array}$$

Definition 4.9. Let A be an object of a category. A *generalized element* of A is a map with codomain A . A map $S \rightarrow A$ is a generalized element of A of *shape* S .

Definition 4.10. Let \mathcal{A} be a category. A *presheaf* on \mathcal{A} is a functor $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we have a representable presheaf H_A , and we are asking how the rest of the presheaf category $[\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{Set}]$ looks from the viewpoint of H_A . In other words, if X is another presheaf, what are the maps $H_A \rightarrow X$?

Theorem 4.11 (Yoneda). *Let \mathcal{A} be a locally small category. Then*

$$[\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{Set}](H_A, X) \cong X(A) \tag{8}$$

naturally in $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $X \in [\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{Set}]$.

5 Limits

Definition 5.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a category and $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$. A *product* of X and Y consists of an object P and maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P & \\ p_1 \swarrow & & \searrow p_2 \\ X & & Y \end{array}$$

with the property that for all objects and maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A & \\ f_1 \swarrow & & \searrow f_2 \\ X & & Y \end{array} \quad (9)$$

in \mathcal{A} , there exists a unique map $\bar{f} : A \rightarrow P$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A & \\ & \vdots \bar{f} & \\ & P & \\ f_1 \swarrow & & \searrow f_2 \\ X & & Y \\ p_1 \swarrow & & \searrow p_2 \end{array} \quad (10)$$

commutes. The maps p_1 and p_2 are called *projections*.

Definition 5.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a category, I a set, and $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ a family of objects of \mathcal{A} . A *product* of $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ consists of an object P and a family of maps

$$\left(P \xrightarrow{p_i} X_i \right)_{i \in I}$$

with the property that for all objects A and families of maps

$$\left(A \xrightarrow{f_i} X_i \right)_{i \in I} \quad (11)$$

there exists a unique map $\bar{f} : A \rightarrow P$ such that $p_i \circ \bar{f} = f_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Definition 5.3. A *fork* in a category consists of objects and maps

$$A \xrightarrow{f} X \rightrightarrows_t^s Y \quad (12)$$

such that $sf = tf$. Let \mathcal{A} be a category and let $X \rightrightarrows_t^s Y$ be objects and maps in \mathcal{A} . An *equalizer* of s and t is an object E together with a map $E \xrightarrow{i} X$ such that

$$E \xrightarrow{i} X \rightrightarrows_t^s Y$$

is a fork, and with the property that for any fork (12), there exists a unique map $\bar{f} : A \rightarrow E$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & & \\ \bar{f} \downarrow & \searrow f & \\ E & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array} \quad (13)$$

commutes.

Definition 5.4. Let \mathcal{A} be a category, and take objects and maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y & \\ & \downarrow t & \\ X & \xrightarrow{s} & Z \end{array} \quad (14)$$

in \mathcal{A} . A *pullback* of this diagram is an object $P \in \mathcal{A}$ together with maps $p_1 : P \rightarrow X$ and $p_2 : P \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{p_2} & Y \\ p_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow t \\ X & \xrightarrow{s} & Z \end{array} \quad (15)$$

commutes, and with the property that for any commutative squar

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f_2} & Y \\ f_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow t \\ X & \xrightarrow{s} & Z \end{array} \quad (16)$$

in \mathcal{A} , there is a unique map $\bar{f} : A \rightarrow P$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A & & & & \\
 \downarrow f_1 & \searrow \bar{f} & & \searrow f_2 & \\
 & P & \xrightarrow{p_2} & Y & \\
 & \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow t & \\
 & X & \xrightarrow{s} & Z &
 \end{array} \quad (17)$$

commutes.

Definition 5.5. Let \mathcal{A} be a category and \mathbf{I} a small category. A functor $\mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a *diagram* in \mathcal{A} of *shape* \mathbf{I} .

Definition 5.6. Let \mathcal{A} be a category, \mathbf{I} a small category, and $D : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ a diagram in \mathcal{A} .

- (a) A *cone* on D is an object $A \in \mathcal{A}$ (the vertex of the cone) together with a family

$$\left(A \xrightarrow{f_I} D(I) \right)_{I \in \mathbf{I}} \quad (18)$$

of maps in \mathcal{A} such that for all maps $I \xrightarrow{u} J$ in \mathbf{I} , the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & D(I) \\
 & \nearrow f_I & \downarrow Du \\
 A & & \\
 & \searrow f_J & \\
 & & D(J)
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

- (b) A *limit* of D is a cone $\left(L \xrightarrow{p_I} D(I) \right)_{I \in \mathbf{I}}$ with the property that for any cone (18) on D , there exists a unique map $\bar{f} : A \rightarrow L$ such that $p_I \circ \bar{f} = f_I$ for all $I \in \mathbf{I}$. The maps p_I are called the *projections* of the limit. We write $L = \lim_{\leftarrow I} D$.

Example 5.1. Let categories \mathbf{T} , \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{P} be

$$\mathbf{T} = \bullet \quad \bullet, \quad \mathbf{E} = \bullet \rightrightarrows \bullet, \quad \mathbf{P} = \begin{array}{ccc} & & \bullet \\ & & \downarrow \\ \bullet & \longrightarrow & \bullet \end{array} \quad (19)$$

Let \mathcal{A} be any category.

- (a) A diagram D of shape \mathbf{T} in \mathcal{A} is a pair (X, Y) of objects of \mathcal{A} . A cone on D is an object A together with maps $f_1 : A \rightarrow X$ and $f_2 : A \rightarrow Y$, and a limit of D is a product of X and Y .

More generally, let I be a set and write \mathbf{I} for the discrete category on I . A functor $D : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is an I -indexed family $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ of objects of \mathcal{A} , and a limit of D is exactly a product of the family $(X_i)_{i \in I}$.

- (b) A diagram D of shape \mathbf{E} in \mathcal{A} is a parallel pair $X \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{s} \\ \xrightarrow{t} \end{smallmatrix} Y$ of maps in \mathcal{A} . A cone on D consists of objects and maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A & \\ f \swarrow & & \searrow g \\ X & \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{s} \\ \xrightarrow{t} \end{smallmatrix} & Y \end{array}$$

such that $s \circ f = g$ and $t \circ f = g$. But since g is determined by f , it is equivalent to say that a cone on D consists of an object A and a map $f : A \rightarrow X$ such that

$$A \xrightarrow{f} X \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{s} \\ \xrightarrow{t} \end{smallmatrix} Y$$

is a fork. A limit of D is a universal fork on s and t , that is, an equalizer of s and t .

- (c) A diagram D of shape \mathbf{P} in \mathcal{A} consists of objects and maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y & \\ & \downarrow t & \\ X & \xrightarrow{s} & Z \end{array}$$

in \mathcal{A} . Performing a simplification similar to that in (b), we see that a cone on D is a commutative square (16).

- (d) Let $\mathbf{I} = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)^{\text{op}}$. A diagram $D : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ consists of objects and maps

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{s_3} X_2 \xrightarrow{s_2} X_1 \xrightarrow{s_1} X_0.$$

For example, suppose that we have a set X_0 and a chain of subsets

$$\cdots \subset X_2 \subset X_1 \subset X_0.$$

The inclusion maps form a diagram in \mathbf{Set} of the type above, and its limit is $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i$.

Definition 5.7. (a) Let \mathbf{I} be a small category. A category \mathcal{A} has *limits of shape \mathbf{I}* if for every diagram D of shape \mathbf{I} in \mathcal{A} , a limit of D exists.

(b) A category *has all limits* (or properly, *has small limits*) if it has limits of shape \mathbf{I} for all small categories.

Definition 5.8. A category is *finite* if it contains only finitely many maps (in which case it also contains only finitely many objects). A *finite limit* is a limit of shape \mathbf{I} for some finite category \mathbf{I} .

Proposition 5.9. Let \mathcal{A} be a category.

- (a) If \mathcal{A} has all products and equalizers then \mathcal{A} has all limits.
- (b) If \mathcal{A} has binary products, a terminal object and equalizers then \mathcal{A} has finite limits.

Definition 5.10. Let \mathcal{A} be a category. A map $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ in \mathcal{A} is *monic* (or a *monomorphism*) if for all objects A and maps $A \rightrightarrows X$, $\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ x' \end{smallmatrix}$,

$$f \circ x = f \circ x' \implies x = x'.$$

Definition 5.11. Let \mathcal{A} be a category and \mathbf{I} a small category. Let $D : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a diagram in \mathcal{A} , and write D^{op} for the corresponding functor $\mathbf{I}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$. A *cocone* on D is a cone on D^{op} , and a *colimit* of D is a limit of D^{op} .

Explicitly, a cocone on D is an object $A \in \mathcal{A}$ together with a family

$$\left(D(I) \xrightarrow{f_I} A \right)_{I \in \mathbf{I}} \quad (20)$$

of maps in \mathcal{A} such that for all maps $I \xrightarrow{u} J$ in \mathbf{I} , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(I) & & \\ \downarrow Du & \searrow f_I & \\ & & A \\ \uparrow f_J & \nearrow & \\ D(J) & & \end{array}$$

commutes. A colimit of D is a cocone

$$\left(D(I) \xrightarrow{p_I} C \right)_{I \in \mathbf{I}}$$

with the property that for any cocone (20) on D , there is a unique map $\bar{f} : C \rightarrow A$ such that $\bar{f} \circ p_I = f_I$ for all $I \in \mathbf{I}$.

Definition 5.12. A *sum* or *coproduct* is a colimit over a discrete category.

Let $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of objects of a category. Their sum (if it exists) is written as $\sum_{i \in I} X_i$ or $\coprod_{i \in I} X_i$.

Definition 5.13. A *coequalizer* is a colimit of shape **E**.

In other words, given a diagram $X \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{s} \\ \xrightarrow{t} \end{smallmatrix} Y$, a coequalizer of s and t is a map $Y \xrightarrow{p} C$ satisfying $p \circ s = p \circ t$ and universal with this property.

Definition 5.14. A *pushout* is a colimit of shape

$$\mathbf{P}^{\text{op}} = \begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \longrightarrow & \bullet \\ \downarrow & & \\ \bullet & & \cdot \end{array}$$

In other words, the pushout of a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{s} & Y \\ t \downarrow & & \\ Z & & \end{array} \quad (21)$$

is (if it exists) a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{s} & Y \\ t \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z & \longrightarrow & \cdot \end{array}$$

that is universal as such. In other words still, a pushout in a category \mathcal{A} is a pullback in \mathcal{A}^{op} .

Example 5.2. A diagram $D : (\mathbb{N}, \leq) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ consists of objects and maps

$$X_0 \xrightarrow{s_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{s_2} X_2 \xrightarrow{s_3} \dots$$

in \mathcal{A} . Colimits of such diagrams are traditionally called *direct limits*.

Definition 5.15. Let \mathcal{A} be a category. A map $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ in \mathcal{A} is *epic* (or an *epimorphism*) if for all objects Z and maps $Y \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xrightarrow{g'} \end{smallmatrix} Z$,

$$g \circ f = g' \circ f \implies g = g'.$$