

CSE 305

Computer Architecture

Computer Architecture

- Course Teachers
 - Rifat Shahriyar (rifat1816@gmail.com)
 - Johra Muhammad Moosa
- Textbook
 - Computer Organization and Design (The Hardware/Software Interface)
 - David A. Patterson and John L. Hennessy (5th Ed.)
- Homepage
 - <http://rifatshahriyar.github.io/CSE305.html>

Chapter 1

Computer Abstractions and Technology

The Computer Revolution


- Progress in computer technology
 - Underpinned by Moore's Law
- Makes novel applications feasible
 - Computers in automobiles
 - Cell phones
 - Human genome project
 - World Wide Web
 - Search Engines
- Computers are pervasive

Moore's Law

- The number of transistors in a dense integrated circuit doubles approximately every two years
- Named after Gordon Moore, the co-founder of Intel
 - 1965 - described a doubling every year
 - 1975 - revised the forecast to doubling every two years
 - The period is often quoted as 18 months

Moore's Law

Microprocessor Transistor Counts 1971-2011 & Moore's Law




Classes of Computers

- Personal computers
 - General purpose, variety of software
 - Subject to cost/performance tradeoff
- Server computers
 - Network based
 - High capacity, performance, reliability
 - Range from small servers to building sized

Classes of Computers

- Supercomputers
 - High-end scientific and engineering calculations
 - Highest capability but represent a small fraction of the overall computer market
- Embedded computers
 - Hidden as components of systems
 - Stringent power/performance/cost constraints

The PostPC Era



The PostPC Era

- Personal Mobile Device (PMD)
 - Battery operated
 - Connects to the Internet
 - Hundreds of dollars
 - Smart phones, tablets, electronic glasses
- Cloud computing
 - Warehouse Scale Computers (WSC)
 - Software as a Service (SaaS)
 - Portion of software run on a PMD and a portion run in the Cloud
 - Amazon and Google

What You Will Learn

- How programs are translated into the machine language
 - And how the hardware executes them
- The hardware/software interface
- What determines program performance
 - And how it can be improved
- How hardware designers improve performance
- What is parallel processing

Understanding Performance

- Algorithm
 - Determines number of operations executed
- Programming language, compiler, architecture
 - Determine number of machine instructions executed per operation
- Processor and memory system
 - Determine how fast instructions are executed
- I/O system (including OS)
 - Determines how fast I/O operations are executed

Eight Great Ideas

- Design for ***Moore's Law***
- Use ***abstraction*** to simplify design
- Make the ***common case fast***
- Performance *via parallelism*
- Performance *via pipelining*
- Performance *via prediction*
- ***Hierarchy*** of memories
- ***Dependability*** *via redundancy*



MOORE'S LAW



ABSTRACTION



COMMON CASE FAST



PARALLELISM



PIPELINING



PREDICTION




HIERARCHY



DEPENDABILITY


Below Your Program



- Application software
 - Written in high-level language
- System software
 - Compiler: translates HLL code to machine code
 - Operating System: service code
 - Handling input/output
 - Managing memory and storage
 - Scheduling tasks & sharing resources
- Hardware
 - Processor, memory, I/O controllers


Levels of Program Code

- High-level language
 - Level of abstraction closer to problem domain
 - Provides for productivity and portability
 - Assembly language
 - Textual representation of instructions
 - Hardware representation
 - Binary digits (bits)
 - Encoded instructions and data




Components of a Computer

The BIG Picture




- Same components for all kinds of computer
 - Desktop, server, embedded
- Input/output includes
 - User-interface devices
 - Display, keyboard, mouse
 - Storage devices
 - Hard disk, CD/DVD, flash
 - Network adapters
 - For communicating with other computers

Anatomy of a Computer




Anatomy of a Mouse

- Optical mouse
 - LED illuminates desktop
 - Small low-res camera
 - Basic image processor
 - Looks for x, y movement
 - Buttons & wheel
- Supersedes roller-ball mechanical mouse



Through the Looking Glass

- LCD screen: picture elements (pixels)
 - Mirrors content of frame buffer memory




Touchscreen

- PostPC device
- Supersedes keyboard and mouse
- Resistive and Capacitive types
 - Most tablets, smart phones use capacitive
 - Capacitive allows multiple touches simultaneously



Opening the Box



Inside the Processor (CPU)

- Datapath: performs operations on data
- Control: sequences datapath, memory, I/O devices ...
- Cache memory
 - Small fast SRAM memory for immediate access to data



Inside the Processor

■ Apple A5




Inside the Processor

- AMD Barcelona: 4 processor cores




Inside the Processor

■ Northbridge and Southbridge



Inside the Processor

■ Northbridge and Southbridge



Abstractions

The BIG Picture

- Abstraction helps us deal with complexity
 - Hide lower-level detail
- Instruction set architecture (ISA)
 - The hardware/software interface
- Application binary interface
 - The ISA plus system software interface
- Implementation
 - The details underlying and interface

Abstractions

Problem




Operating System

*Interface between
Architecture
Software and Hardware*

Circuits

A Safe Place for Data

- Volatile main memory
 - Loses instructions and data when power off
- Non-volatile secondary memory
 - Magnetic disk
 - Flash memory
 - Optical disk (CDROM, DVD)




Networks

- Communication and resource sharing
- Local area network (LAN): Ethernet
 - Within a building
- Wide area network (WAN: the Internet)
- Wireless network: WiFi, Bluetooth



Technology Trends





- Electronics technology continues to evolve
 - Increased capacity and performance
 - Reduced cost



Year	Technology	Relative performance/cost
1951	Vacuum tube	1
1965	Transistor	35
1975	Integrated circuit (IC)	900
1995	Very large scale IC (VLSI)	2,400,000
2013	Ultra large scale IC	250,000,000,000

Defining Performance

- Which airplane has the best performance?



Response Time and Throughput

- Response time
 - How long it takes to do a task
- Throughput
 - Total work done per unit time
 - e.g., tasks/transactions/... per hour
- How are response time and throughput affected by
 - Replacing the processor with a faster version?
 - Adding more processors?
- We'll focus on response time for now...

Relative Performance

- Define Performance = 1/Execution Time
- “X is n time faster than Y”

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Performance}_x / \text{Performance}_y \\ = \text{Execution time}_y / \text{Execution time}_x = n\end{aligned}$$


- Example: time taken to run a program
 - 10s on A, 15s on B
 - $\text{Execution Time}_B / \text{Execution Time}_A$
 $= 15s / 10s = 1.5$
 - So A is 1.5 times faster than B

Measuring Execution Time

- Elapsed time
 - Total response time, including all aspects
 - Processing, I/O, OS overhead, idle time
 - Determines system performance
- CPU time
 - Time spent processing a given job
 - Discounts I/O time, other jobs' shares
 - Comprises user CPU time and system CPU time
 - Different programs are affected differently by CPU and system performance

CPU Clocking

- Operation of digital hardware governed by a constant-rate clock



- Clock period: duration of a clock cycle
 - e.g., $250\text{ps} = 0.25\text{ns} = 250 \times 10^{-12}\text{s}$
- Clock frequency (rate): cycles per second
 - e.g., $4.0\text{GHz} = 4000\text{MHz} = 4.0 \times 10^9\text{Hz}$

CPU Time

CPU Time = CPU Clock Cycles \times Clock Cycle Time

$$= \frac{\text{CPU Clock Cycles}}{\text{Clock Rate}}$$

- Performance improved by
 - Reducing number of clock cycles
 - Increasing clock rate
 - Hardware designer must often trade off clock rate against cycle count

CPU Time Example

- Computer A: 2GHz clock, 10s CPU time
- Designing Computer B
 - Aim for 6s CPU time
 - Can do faster clock, but causes $1.2 \times$ clock cycles
- How fast must Computer B clock be?

$$\text{Clock Rate}_B = \frac{\text{Clock Cycles}_B}{\text{CPU Time}_B} = \frac{1.2 \times \text{Clock Cycles}_A}{6s}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Clock Cycles}_A &= \text{CPU Time}_A \times \text{Clock Rate}_A \\ &= 10s \times 2\text{GHz} = 20 \times 10^9\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Clock Rate}_B = \frac{1.2 \times 20 \times 10^9}{6s} = \frac{24 \times 10^9}{6s} = 4\text{GHz}$$

Instruction Count and CPI

Clock Cycles = Instruction Count \times Cycles per Instruction

CPU Time = Instruction Count \times CPI \times Clock Cycle Time

$$= \frac{\text{Instruction Count} \times \text{CPI}}{\text{Clock Rate}}$$

- Instruction Count for a program
 - Determined by program, ISA and compiler
- Average cycles per instruction
 - Determined by CPU hardware
 - If different instructions have different CPI
 - Average CPI affected by instruction mix

CPI Example

- Computer A: Cycle Time = 250ps, CPI = 2.0
- Computer B: Cycle Time = 500ps, CPI = 1.2
- Same ISA
- Which is faster, and by how much?

$$\text{CPU Time}_A = \text{Instruction Count} \times \text{CPI}_A \times \text{Cycle Time}_A$$

$$= I \times 2.0 \times 250\text{ps} = I \times 500\text{ps}$$

A is faster...

$$\text{CPU Time}_B = \text{Instruction Count} \times \text{CPI}_B \times \text{Cycle Time}_B$$

$$= I \times 1.2 \times 500\text{ps} = I \times 600\text{ps}$$

$$\frac{\text{CPU Time}_B}{\text{CPU Time}_A} = \frac{I \times 600\text{ps}}{I \times 500\text{ps}} = 1.2$$

...by this much

CPI in More Detail

- If different instruction classes take different numbers of cycles

$$\text{Clock Cycles} = \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{CPI}_i \times \text{Instruction Count}_i)$$

- Weighted average CPI

$$\text{CPI} = \frac{\text{Clock Cycles}}{\text{Instruction Count}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\text{CPI}_i \times \frac{\text{Instruction Count}_i}{\text{Instruction Count}} \right)$$


Relative frequency

CPI Example

- Alternative compiled code sequences using instructions in classes A, B, C

Class	A	B	C
CPI for class	1	2	3
IC in sequence 1	2	1	2
IC in sequence 2	4	1	1

- Sequence 1: IC = 5
 - Clock Cycles
 $= 2 \times 1 + 1 \times 2 + 2 \times 3$
 $= 10$
 - Avg. CPI = $10/5 = 2.0$
- Sequence 2: IC = 6
 - Clock Cycles
 $= 4 \times 1 + 1 \times 2 + 1 \times 3$
 $= 9$
 - Avg. CPI = $9/6 = 1.5$



Performance Summary

The BIG Picture

$$\text{CPU Time} = \frac{\text{Seconds}}{\text{Program}} = \frac{\text{Instructions}}{\text{Program}} \times \frac{\text{Clock cycles}}{\text{Instruction}} \times \frac{\text{Seconds}}{\text{Clock cycle}}$$


- Performance depends on
 - Algorithm: affects IC, possibly CPI
 - Programming language: affects IC, CPI
 - Compiler: affects IC, CPI
 - Instruction set architecture: affects IC, CPI, T_c

Power Trends



(a) Power / performance tradeoff by processor.

Uniprocessor Performance



Constrained by power, instruction-level parallelism,
memory latency

Multiprocessors

- Multicore microprocessors
 - More than one processor per chip
- Requires explicitly parallel programming
 - Compare with instruction level parallelism
 - Hardware executes multiple instructions at once
 - Hidden from the programmer
 - Hard to do
 - Programming for performance
 - Load balancing
 - Optimizing communication and synchronization

Pitfall: Amdahl's Law

- Improving an aspect of a computer and expecting a proportional improvement in overall performance

$$T_{\text{improved}} = \frac{T_{\text{affected}}}{\text{improvement factor}} + T_{\text{unaffected}}$$

- Example: multiply accounts for 80s/100s
 - How much improvement in multiply performance to get 5 × overall?

$$20 = \frac{80}{n} + 20$$

- Can't be done!

- Corollary: make the common case fast

Fallacy: Low Power at Idle

- Look back at X4 power benchmark
 - At 100% load: 295W
 - At 50% load: 246W (83%)
 - At 10% load: 180W (61%)
- Google data center
 - Mostly operates at 10% – 50% load
 - At 100% load less than 1% of the time
- Consider designing processors to make power proportional to load

Pitfall: MIPS as a Performance Metric

- MIPS: Millions of Instructions Per Second
 - Doesn't account for
 - Differences in ISAs between computers
 - Differences in complexity between instructions

$$\begin{aligned}\text{MIPS} &= \frac{\text{Instruction count}}{\text{Execution time} \times 10^6} \\ &= \frac{\text{Instruction count}}{\frac{\text{Instruction count} \times \text{CPI}}{\text{Clock rate}} \times 10^6} = \frac{\text{Clock rate}}{\text{CPI} \times 10^6}\end{aligned}$$

- CPI varies between programs on a given CPU

Pitfall: MIPS as a Performance Metric

- **Computer A**
 - 10 Billion instructions, 4GHz Clock rate
 - CPI = 1
- **Computer B**
 - 8 Billion instructions, 4GHz Clock rate
 - CPI = 1.1
- 1) Which has the highest MIPS rating?
- 2) Which is faster?

Concluding Remarks

- Cost/performance is improving
 - Due to underlying technology development
- Hierarchical layers of abstraction
 - In both hardware and software
- Instruction set architecture
 - The hardware/software interface
- Execution time: the best performance measure
- Power is a limiting factor
 - Use parallelism to improve performance