

Poincaré Schemes

Ben Antieau, Viktor Burghardt, Noah Riggenbach, Lucy Yang

Abstract

We do stuff

N: Change this

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Poincaré ring spectra	1
3	Modules over Poincaré ring spectra	3
4	Poincaré schemes	4

1 Introduction

Theorem 1.1. *Let \underline{A} be an affine Poincaré scheme with underlying \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectrum with involution A . Then the natural maps*

$$\pi_i(\mathrm{PnPic}(\underline{A})) \rightarrow \pi_i(\mathrm{Pic}(A))$$

are surjective on 2-torsion.

Theorem 1.2. *Let A be an \mathbb{E}_∞ ring with involution, and let \underline{NA} be the associated Tate affine Poincaré scheme. Let $\mathrm{Br}_\nu(A)$ be the Brauer group of Azumaya algebras over A with involution. Then the natural map*

$$\mathrm{PnBr}(\underline{NA}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}_\nu(A)$$

is an equivalence

Theorem 1.3. *The functors $\mathrm{PnPic}, \mathrm{PnBr} : \mathrm{APS} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$ are fppf sheaves.*

Theorem 1.4. *There is a Poincaré group scheme \mathbb{G}_m° such that*

$$B\mathbb{G}_m^\circ \simeq \mathrm{PnPic}$$

as fppf stacks.

2 Poincaré ring spectra

We begin by defining the ring theoretic building blocks of Poincaré schemes and the corresponding category they live in. Affine Poincaré Schemes will then be the dual objects, similar to how affine schemes are dual to commutative rings.

Notation 2.1. Let R be an \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectrum. We will drop \mathbb{E}_∞ from our notation and simply call R a *ring spectrum*.

N: I think there is some interaction with the homotopy fixed points, or maybe even the genuine fixed points

N: I think we need to define this for ring spectra. For A discrete this is done in [4].

N: probably of \mathbb{E}_∞ do-dads

Definition 2.2. Let R be a ring spectrum. A *Poincaré structure* on R is a symmetric monoidal Poincaré ∞ -category $\mathfrak{P} : (\text{Mod}_R^\omega)^\text{op} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$. We call such a symmetric monoidal Poincaré ∞ -category a *Poincaré ring spectrum*. We will denote the full subcategory of $\text{CAlg}(\text{Cat}_\infty^p)$ spanned by Poincaré ring spectra by CAlg^p and call it the ∞ -category of Poincaré ring spectra.

Remark 2.3. Poincaré ring spectra, as defined in Definition 2.2, were studied in . Note that we chose a different notation. In Poincaré ring spectra are being referred to as \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring spectra with genuine involution.

Remark 2.4. Let R be a ring spectrum. By there is a natural equivalence between symmetric monoidal Poincaré structures on Mod_R^ω and algebra objects over the genuine C_2 -spectrum NR . In particular, a Poincaré structure on R can be identified with the following data:

- A C_2 -action on R via maps of ring spectra, i.e. a functor $\lambda : BC_2 \rightarrow \text{CAlg}$.
- An R -algebra $R \rightarrow C$.
- An R -algebra map $C \rightarrow R^{tC_2}$.

Here R^{tC_2} is the Tate construction with respect to the above action. Since the Tate construction is symmetric monoidal, R^{tC_2} is naturally an R -algebra. A ring spectrum equipped with a Poincaré structure will be called a *Poincaré ring spectrum*.

Remark 2.5. By Remark 2.4, a Poincaré structure on a ring spectrum R with a C_2 -action via maps of ring spectra is a factorization $R \rightarrow C \rightarrow R^{tC_2}$ in CAlg of the natural map $R \rightarrow R^{tC_2}$.

Remark 2.6. Let \mathcal{M} be the full subcategory of Cat_∞^p spanned by Poincaré ∞ -categories with underlying ∞ -category Mod_R^ω for some ring spectrum R . Then the symmetric monoidal structure of Cat_∞^p restricts to a symmetric monoidal structure on \mathcal{M} by Example 2.10 and . Then we have $\text{CAlg}^p \simeq \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{M})$. In particular, the symmetric monoidal structure of $\text{CAlg}(\text{Cat}_\infty^p)$ restricts to a symmetric monoidal structure on CAlg^p .

Notation 2.7. Let R be a ring spectrum. We will denote by \underline{R} the spectrum R with trivial action. More precisely, $\underline{R} : BC_2 \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ is the constant functor.

Example 2.8. Let R be a ring spectrum. If $2 \in \pi_0(R)$ is invertible, we have $\underline{R}^{tC_2} \simeq 0$. A Poincaré structure on R with the trivial action is then given by an R -algebra $R \rightarrow C$.

Example 2.9. Let R be a ring spectrum equipped with a C_2 -action via maps of ring spectra. The natural R -algebra structure on R^{tC_2} induces a Poincaré structure on R given by the factorization $R \xrightarrow{\text{id}} R \rightarrow R^{tC_2}$. We will call this Poincaré structure the *Tate Poincaré structure on R* .

Example 2.10. The sphere spectrum \mathbb{S} together with the Tate Poincaré structure will be called the *universal Poincaré ring spectrum*.

Example 2.11. Let R be a ring spectrum equipped with a C_2 -action via maps of ring spectra. The identity map $\text{id} : R^{tC_2} \rightarrow R^{tC_2}$ induces a Poincaré structure on R given by the factorization $R \rightarrow R^{tC_2} \xrightarrow{\text{id}} R^{tC_2}$. We will call this Poincaré structure the *symmetric Poincaré structure on R* .

Example 2.12. Let R be a connective ring spectrum equipped with a C_2 -action via maps of ring spectra. The connective cover $\tau_{\geq 0}(R^{tC_2}) \rightarrow R^{tC_2}$ of R^{tC_2} induces a Poincaré structure on R given by the factorization $R \rightarrow \tau_{\geq 0}(R^{tC_2}) \rightarrow R^{tC_2}$. We will call this Poincaré structure the *genuine symmetric Poincaré structure on R* .

Definition 2.13. Let A and R be Poincaré ring spectra. A *map of Poincaré ring spectra* between A and R is a map of ring spectra $f : A \rightarrow R$ compatible with the corresponding Poincaré structures via the following additional data:

-

3 Modules over Poincaré ring spectra

Let A be a Poincaré ring spectrum. Then A is an algebra object in the ∞ -category of Poincaré ∞ -categories $\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{P}}$. We may thus consider the ∞ -category of modules over it $\text{Mod}_A(\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{P}})$, which we will simply denote by Mod_A . In this section we will use modules over Poincaré ring spectra to define analogues of the Brauer and Picard groups for Poincaré ring spectra.

Notation 3.1. We will abbreviate the ∞ -category of modules over a Poincaré ring A by Mod_A .

Recall that the functor $\text{Pn}: \text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{P}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\infty \text{Mon}(\text{Spc})$ is lax symmetric monoidal with respect to tensor product of Poincaré ∞ -categories and smash product of \mathbb{E}_∞ -monoids [2, Corollary 5.2.8].

Definition 3.2. Let A be a Poincaré ring spectrum. We define the *Picard space* of A to be

$$\text{Pic}^{\text{P}}(A) := \text{Pic}(\text{Pn}(A)).$$

Remark 3.3. Let $(\text{Mod}_R^\omega, \mathfrak{Q}_R)$ be a Poincaré ring spectrum, where $(M_R = R, N_R = R^{\varphi C_2}, N_R \rightarrow M_R^{tC_2})$ is the module with genuine involution associated to \mathfrak{Q}_R . Then a point in the Poincaré Picard space is the data of a pair (\mathcal{L}, q) , where \mathcal{L} is an invertible module in Mod_R^ω and q is a point in $\mathfrak{Q}_R(\mathcal{L})$. By [2, Proposition 1.3.11], the data of q is equivalent to the data of points in the lower left and upper right corner of the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{Q}(\mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{hom}_R(\mathcal{L}, R^{\varphi C_2}) \ni \ell(q) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ b(q) \in \text{hom}_{R \otimes R}(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}, R)^{hC_2} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{hom}_R(\mathcal{L}, R^{tC_2}) \end{array} \quad (3.1)$$

and a path between their images in the lower right corner. In particular, the adjoint of $b(q)$ must define a nondegenerate hermitian form on \mathcal{L} , that is, an equivalence $\mathcal{L} \simeq \text{hom}_R(\mathcal{L}, R^*)$ where R^* is considered as an R -module via the action of the generator of C_2 .

Write $(\mathcal{L}^\vee, q^\vee)$ is for the inverse of (\mathcal{L}, q) . By definition of invertibility, there exists an R -linear map $\ell(q^\vee): \mathcal{L}^\vee \rightarrow R^{\varphi C_2}$ so that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L} \otimes_R \mathcal{L}^\vee & \xrightarrow{\ell(q) \otimes \ell(q^\vee)} & R^{\varphi C_2} \otimes_R R^{\varphi C_2} \\ \sim \downarrow \text{ev} & & \downarrow \text{multiplication} \\ R & \xrightarrow{\text{given}} & N_R \end{array} \quad (3.2)$$

Definition 3.4. Let A be a Poincaré ring spectrum. We define the *Poincaré Brauer space* of A as

$$\text{Br}^{\text{P}}(A) := \text{Pic}(\text{Mod}_A(\text{Cat}_{\infty, \text{idem}}^{\text{P}}))$$

. The assignment $A \mapsto \text{Br}^{\text{P}}(A)$ defines a functor

$$\text{Br}^{\text{P}}: \text{CAlg}^{\text{P}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\infty \text{Mon}^{\text{gp}}(\text{Spc})$$

valued in group-like \mathbb{E}_∞ -monoids in spaces (or equivalently, connective spectra).

Recall that a Poincaré ∞ -category is called idempotent complete if the underlying stable ∞ -category is idempotent complete. The full subcategory of $\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{P}}$ spanned by idempotent complete Poincaré ∞ -categories is denoted by $\text{Cat}_{\infty, \text{idem}}^{\text{P}}$ [3, Definition 1.3.2].

Remark 3.5. The symmetric monoidal forgetful functor $\text{Mod}_A(\text{Cat}_{\infty, \text{idem}}^{\text{P}}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_A(\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{ex}})$ induces a map $\text{Br}^{\text{P}}(A) \rightarrow \text{Br}(A)$ of grouplike \mathbb{E}_∞ -monoids, where $\text{Br}(A)$ is the Brauer space $\text{br}_{\text{alg}}(A)$ of [1, pp. 1154–1155].

Proposition 3.6. Let A be a Poincaré ring spectrum. Then we have a canonical equivalence

$$\Omega \text{Br}^{\text{P}}(A) \simeq \text{Pic}^{\text{P}}(A).$$

V: this is the beginning of an attempt to define brauer groups for poincare ring spectra

L: I find this a little confusing/ambiguous

L: add equivariance/symmetry data

L: What else do we need to do to show that we have an equivalence of functors?

Proof. Since $\Omega \text{Br}^p(R)$ is given by the space of automorphisms of any object in $\text{Br}^p(R)$, it suffices to determine the space of autoequivalences of $(\text{Mod}_R^\omega, \mathfrak{Y}_R)$. An autoequivalence is the data of a pair (f, η) where $f: \text{Mod}_R^\omega \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^\omega$ is an exact R -linear autoequivalence and $\eta: \mathfrak{Y}_R \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{Y}_R \circ f^{\text{op}}$ is a natural equivalence. Since $\text{Cat}_{\infty R}^p \rightarrow \text{Cat}^{\text{ex}}_R$ is symmetric monoidal, f is of the form $- \otimes_R \mathcal{L}$ where \mathcal{L} is an invertible R -module. Since taking bilinear and linear parts is functorial/by [2, Proposition 1.3.11], η is equivalently the data of a pair of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} b(\eta): \text{hom}_{R \otimes R}((- \otimes \mathcal{L}) \otimes (- \otimes \mathcal{L}), R)^{hC_2} &\simeq \text{hom}_{R \otimes R}(- \otimes -, R)^{hC_2} \\ \ell(\eta): \text{hom}_R(- \otimes \mathcal{L}, R^{\varphi C_2}) &\simeq \text{hom}_R(-, R^{\varphi C_2}) \end{aligned}$$

plus a path between their images in $\text{hom}_R(\mathcal{L}, R^{tC_2})$. The transformation $b(\eta)$ is equivalent to the data of an R -bilinear equivalence $R \simeq \mathcal{L}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee$, and the transformation $\ell(\eta)$ is equivalent to the data of an $R^{\varphi C_2}$ -linear equivalence $\ell(\eta): R^{\varphi C_2} \otimes_R \mathcal{L}^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} R^{\varphi C_2}$.

Now consider the composites

$$\begin{aligned} R \otimes_R \mathcal{L}^\vee &\xrightarrow{\text{unit} \otimes \text{id}} R^{\varphi C_2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee \xrightarrow{\ell(\eta)} R^{\varphi C_2} \\ R \otimes_R \mathcal{L} &\xrightarrow{\text{unit} \otimes \text{id}} R^{\varphi C_2} \otimes \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\ell(\eta)^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{L}}} R^{\varphi C_2}. \end{aligned}$$

These correspond to the $\ell(q^\vee), \ell(q)$ of Remark 3.3, respectively. In particular, the condition that $\ell(q^\vee), \ell(q)$ make the diagram (3.2) commute is equivalent to the condition that $\ell(\eta)$ is an equivalence by an adjunction argument. \square

4 Poincaré schemes

Definition 4.1. Let APS be the $(\infty, 1)$ -category defined by the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{APS} & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}(\Delta^2, \text{CAlg}(\text{Sp})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow d_1^* \\ \text{CAlg}(\text{Sp}^{BC_2}) & \xrightarrow{U(-) \rightarrow (-)^{tC_2}} & \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{CAlg}(\text{Sp})) \end{array}$$

where $U: \text{Sp}^{BC_2} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ is the functor which forgets the C_2 -action.

We record here a few structural results about this category.

Theorem 4.2. *The following statements about APS hold:*

1. *The category APS is a cocomplete and symmetric monoidal infinite category;*
2. *the pullback diagram above is homotopy Cartesian;*
3. *the functor $\text{APS} \rightarrow \text{CAlg}(\text{Sp}^{BC_2})$ is symmetric monoidal and (co)continuous;*
4. *the functor $\text{APS} \rightarrow \text{CAlg}(\text{Sp})^{\Delta^2}$ is lax symmetric monoidal;*
5. *and the functor $\text{APS} \rightarrow \text{CAlg}(\text{Sp})^{\Delta^2} \xrightarrow{ev[1]} \text{CAlg}(\text{Sp})$ is symmetric monoidal.*

Proof. For (2) it is enough to show that d_1^* is a cartesian fibration which follows from [5, Corollary 2.4.6.5]. There is a (pseudo-)functor

$$\begin{aligned} F: \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{CAlg}(\text{Sp})) &\rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty \\ (\varphi: A \rightarrow B) &\mapsto ((\text{CAlg}(\text{Sp})_{A/-/B})_{/\varphi}) \end{aligned}$$

V: todo

L: maybe one of these should be conjugate dual here?

L: is the $R^{\varphi C_2}$ -linearity of this \simeq correct?

L: under construction – not sure what to say about the $(-)^{tC_2}$ part yet.

L: Is this reference correct? The conclusion asserts that some map of simplicial sets is a *categorical* fibration. The following argument is ‘sketchy’ –

which sends a square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \xrightarrow{\psi} & D \end{array} \quad (4.1)$$

regarded as a morphism from φ to ψ , to the functor

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})_{A/-/B})_{/\varphi} &\rightarrow (\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})_{C/-/D})_{/\psi} \\ (A \rightarrow R \rightarrow B) &\mapsto C \simeq A \otimes_A C \xrightarrow{\varphi \otimes \mathrm{id}_C} B \otimes_A C \rightarrow D \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where $B \otimes_A C \rightarrow D$ is the canonical map induced by the commuting square (4.1). The functor F classifies the cocartesian fibration d_1^* .

For (3), let $p : K \rightarrow \mathrm{APS}$ be a map of simplicial sets, K a small simplicial set. Suppose the $K^\triangleright \rightarrow \mathrm{APS}$ be an extension such that $K^\triangleright \rightarrow \mathrm{APS} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp}^{BC_2})$ is a colimit diagram. By [5, Proposition 2.4.3.2] the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{APS}_{p/} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})_{p/-}^{\Delta^2} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp}^{BC_2})_{p/} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})_{p/-}^{\Delta^1} \end{array}$$

is again homotopy cartesian. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{APS}}(p(\infty), -) &\simeq \mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp}^{BC_2})}(p(\infty), -) \times_{\mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})^{\Delta^1}}(p(\infty), -)} \mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})^{\Delta^2}}(p(\infty)) \\ &\simeq \end{aligned}$$

□

We will denote elements of APS by $\underline{A} = (A, s : A^{\Phi_{C_2}} \rightarrow A^{t_{C_2}})$. Here $s : A^{\Phi_{C_2}} \rightarrow A^{t_{C_2}}$ is the image of \underline{A} under the top horizontal map above. The use of the notation $A^{\Phi_{C_2}}$ is justified by the following.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathrm{APS} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})$ be the composition of the functors*

$$\mathrm{APS} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^2, \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})) \xrightarrow{ev_{[1]}} \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp}).$$

Then this functor factors as a composition $\mathrm{APS} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}) \xrightarrow{(-)^{\Phi_{C_2}}} \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})$.

Proof. The commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^2, \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})) & & \\ & & \downarrow d_1^* & \searrow d_0^* & \\ & & & & \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})) \\ & \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp}^{BC_2}) \xrightarrow{U(-) \rightarrow (-)^{t_{C_2}}} & \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})) & & \downarrow ev_{[1]} \\ & \searrow id & \searrow ev_{[1]} & & \\ & & \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp}^{BC_2}) \xrightarrow{(-)^{t_{C_2}}} & & \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp}) \end{array}$$

induces a functor on the pullback infinity categories $\mathrm{APS} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2})$ which makes the corresponding cube commute. The functor $ev_{[1]} : \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^2, \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})) \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})$ factors through d_0^* and so $\mathrm{APS} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^2, \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})) \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})$ is equivalent to the composition

$$\mathrm{APS} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})) \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})$$

and the composition of the last two maps is the geometric fixed point functor as desired. □

The following Lemma gives the justification of the name Poincaré scheme.

Construction 4.4. We shall construct a functor

$$\mathrm{Perf}^{\mathrm{Pn}} : \mathrm{APS} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{Pn}}$$

to the category of Poincaré infinity categories.

Recall that $\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^h \rightarrow (\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{ex}})^{op}$ is a cocartesian fibration [2, §1.4.] We will first construct a map of cocartesian fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{APS} & \cdots \rightarrow & \mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^h \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp}^{BC_2}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{ex}})^{op} \end{array}, \quad (4.3)$$

then show that the dotted arrow factors through the subcategory $\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^p \subseteq \mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^h$. To construct a map of cartesian fibrations, it suffices to exhibit a natural transformation of classifying functors. Unraveling the definitions, by Theorem 3.2.13 of [2] we must exhibit for each $A \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})^{BC_2}$, a functor

$$(\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})_{A/-/A^{tC_2}})_{/\varphi} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{N^{C_2}(A^e)}(\mathrm{Sp}^{C_2}) \quad (4.4)$$

(where $\varphi: A \rightarrow A^{tC_2}$ is the Tate-valued Frobenius and N^{C_2} is the Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel norm) which is natural in A .

That the resulting functor factors through the subcategory Cat_{∞}^p follows from Proposition 3.1.3 and Lemma 3.3.3 of *loc. cit.*

Lemma 4.5. *The functor of Construction 4.4 is symmetric monoidal and has essential image the subcategory spanned by objects $(\mathrm{Perf}(R), \mathfrak{Y})$ which are \mathbb{E}_{∞} -algebras.*

Definition 4.6. A map $f: \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{B} \in \mathrm{APS}$ is faithfully flat if the underlying map $f: A \rightarrow B$ is faithfully flat and the map $f^{\Phi C_2}: A^{\Phi C_2} \rightarrow B^{\Phi C_2}$ is also faithfully flat.

Lemma 4.7. *The fpqc covers on APS form a Grothendieck site.*

References

- [1] Benjamin Antieau and David Gepner. “Brauer groups and étale cohomology in derived algebraic geometry”. In: *Geom. Topol.* 18.2 (2014), pp. 1149–1244. ISSN: 1465-3060,1364-0380. DOI: 10.2140/gt.2014.18.1149. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2140/gt.2014.18.1149>.
- [2] Baptiste Calmès, Emanuele Dotto, Yonatan Harpaz, Fabian Hebestreit, Markus Land, Kristian Moi, Denis Nardin, Thomas Nikolaus, and Wolfgang Steimle. *Hermitian K-theory for stable ∞ -categories I: Foundations*. 2020. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2009.07223. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.07223>.
- [3] Baptiste Calmès, Emanuele Dotto, Yonatan Harpaz, Fabian Hebestreit, Markus Land, Kristian Moi, Denis Nardin, Thomas Nikolaus, and Wolfgang Steimle. *Hermitian K-theory for stable ∞ -categories II: Cobordism categories and additivity*. 2020. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2009.07224. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.07224>.
- [4] Uriya A. First and Ben Williams. “Involutions of Azumaya Algebras”. en. In: *DOCUMENTA MATHEMATICA* Vol 25 (2020 2020), p. 527–633. DOI: 10.25537/DM.2020V25.527-633. URL: <https://www.elibm.org/article/10012038>.
- [5] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*. Vol. 170. Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009, pp. xviii+925. ISBN: 978-0-691-14049-0; 0-691-14049-9. DOI: 10.1515/9781400830558. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400830558>.

L: For symmetric monoidal structure—maybe want to swap out Mod_{NA} for CAlg_{NA} ?