## Et cetera

#### Ben Antieau, Viktor Burghardt, Noah Riggenbach, Lucy Yang

#### Abstract

Dumping ground for other stuff: Notes, one-off observations, stuff that we can collectively use when preparing talks, etc.  $\_$ 

L: I make no

promises re: organization

but I will do my best to keep it reasonably readable

7

# Contents

1	Talk prep	1
2	References	1
3	Questions and directions	1
4	Thoughts & observations	2
5	Desperate Flailing	2
6	Modules with genuine involution	3

## 1 Talk prep

#### 2 References

- Involutions of Azumaya algebras by First and Williams (2020 Documenta)
- Counterexamples in involutions of Azumaya algebras by First and Williams; much more readable than the 2020 Documenta paper

## 3 Questions and directions

Categorification and structure

Question 3.1 (Morita theory for  $\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\mathsf{p}}$ ). Let R be a Poincaré ring. Suppose given two R-algebras (suitably interpreted so their module categories are canonically endowed with R-linear Poincaré structures—perhaps  $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}$ ) A, B. Can we characterize

$$\hom_{\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty B}^p}\left(\left(\operatorname{Mod}_A^{\omega}, \mathfrak{P}_A\right), \left(\operatorname{Mod}_B^{\omega}, \mathfrak{P}_B\right)\right)$$

in terms of something bimodule-like?

**Question 3.2.** On page 2 of the *Counterexamples* paper, First and Williams write that "existence of an extraordinary involution means classification of Azumaya algebras with involution... *cannot* be reduced to questions about projective modules and hermitian forms on them."

What if we replaced projective modules by perfect complexes?

**Question 3.3.** First-Williams show (see discussion in §4 of the *Counterexamples* paper) that coarse type classify many (most?) Azumaya algebras up to (étale-local) *isomorphism*.

What is a suitable derived version of "coarse type"?

**Question 3.4** (asked by Andrew Nov 2, 2024). C. Schlichtkrull shows in this paper that a map  $BGL_1(R) \to K(R) \to THH(R) \to R$  in terms of the Hopf map  $\eta$ .

Is there a "Poincaré" version of this result?

### 4 Thoughts & observations

**Question 4.1.** When R has the Tate Poincaré structure and  $(\operatorname{Mod}_A^{\omega}, M_A, N_A, N_A \to M_A^{tC_2})$  is invertible, then by invertibility have an equivalence  $\operatorname{hom}_R(A,R) \simeq N_A \otimes_R N_{A^{\operatorname{op}}}$  of  $A \otimes_R A^{\operatorname{op}}$ -modules. Restricting the left-hand side along the unit map  $R \to A$  gives a map  $N_A \otimes_R N_{A^{\operatorname{op}}} \to \operatorname{hom}_R(R,R) \simeq R$ . Is this a perfect (R-linear) pairing?

I think using that  $R^{\varphi C_2} \simeq R$  and combining the linear and bilinear part conditions, we get something like

$$M_A \otimes_R M_{A^{\mathrm{op}}} \simeq (N_A \otimes_R N_{A^{\mathrm{op}}})^{\otimes_R 2}$$
 as  $A \otimes_R A^{\mathrm{op}}$ -bimodules.

Is this useful?

Brauer-Severi schemes We know there is a correspondence between Azumaya algebras A over X and Brauer-Severi schemes. What does a Poincaré structure on  $\operatorname{Mod}_A^{\omega}$  mean 'geometrically' for  $D_{\operatorname{coh}}^b$  of the corresponding Brauer-Severi scheme? (Lucy: I didn't get very far here, but just typing up what I had)

- $\operatorname{Mod}_A^{\omega}$  corresponds to  $\alpha$ -twisted sheaves on X (see Proposition 3.2.2.1 of Max Lieblich's thesis)
- The bounded derived category of  $\alpha$ -twisted sheaves on X includes as one 'piece' of a semiorthogonal decomposition on  $D^b_{\text{coh}}$  of the corresponding Brauer-Severi scheme (see Theorem 5.1 here)

# 5 Desperate Flailing

This section is a cronical of my thoughts about  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\mathbf{Q}}$ .

**Goal** The goal is to build a Poincaré ring  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\mathfrak{Q}} := (\operatorname{Mod}_R, \mathfrak{Q}_R)$  such that  $B\mathbb{G}_m^{\mathfrak{Q}}(\underline{S}) = \operatorname{Pic}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\underline{S})$  for any Poincaré ring  $\underline{S}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $\underline{S}$  be a Poincaré ring. Then  $\pi_0(\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Pn}(\operatorname{Mod}_S)}(S,u)) = \{s \in \pi_0(S)^{\times} | s = 1 \text{ in } \pi_0(S^{C_2})\}.$ 

Proof. Since the functor  $\operatorname{Pn}(\operatorname{Mod}_S) \to \operatorname{Mod}_S$  is conservative it follows that an element of  $\pi_0(\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Pn}(\operatorname{Mod}_S)}(S, u))$  must have underlying map an element of  $\pi_0\operatorname{Aut}(S) = \pi_0(S)^{\times}$ . Then in order for  $s \in \pi_0(S)^{\times}$  to induce a map  $(S, u) \to (S, u)$ , the induced map  $s^* : S^{C_2} \to S^{C_2}$  must satisfy  $s^*(u) = u$ . The pullback is given by multiplication by s, so this requirement translates into s being the unit, as desired.

The problem I thought existed maybe doesn't. Here is a candidate construction:

**Construction 5.2.** Define R to be the  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$  ring given by  $\mathbb{S}\{x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}\} \otimes_{\mathbb{S}\{z\}} \mathbb{S}$  where the map  $\mathbb{S}\{z\} \to \mathbb{S}\{x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}\}$  is induced by the map  $z \mapsto xy$ , and the map  $\mathbb{S}\{z\} \to \mathbb{S}$  is induced by  $z \mapsto 1$ . We can give R an  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$  ring structure in  $\mathrm{Sp}^{BC_2}$  by taking the trivial action on  $\mathbb{S}\{z\}$  and  $\mathbb{S}$ , and taking the action induced by  $x \mapsto y$  and  $y \mapsto x$  on  $\mathbb{S}\{x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}\}$ . Thus in  $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp}^{BC_2})$  the ring R corepresents the functor  $S \mapsto \{s \in \pi_0(S)^\times | s\sigma(s) = 1\}$ .

Now take  $\underline{R}$  to be the Poincaré ring with underlying Borel  $C_2$  structure as described in the previous paragraph and geometric fixed points  $R^{\varphi C_2} = \mathbb{S}$  and the map  $R^{\varphi C_2} \to R^{tC_2}$  given by the unit map. Endowing  $R^{\varphi C_2}$  with the R-module structre given by  $x,y\mapsto 1$ , it remains to show that the unit map  $R^{\varphi C_2} \to R^{tC_2}$  factors the Tate valued Frobenius  $R\to R^{tC_2}$  in order to promote  $\underline{R}$  to a Poincaré ring. By construction of R it is then enough to show that on  $\pi_0$  the Tate valued Frobenius sends  $x,y\mapsto 1$  in  $\pi_0(R^{tC_2})$ . This map sends both x and y to  $xy\in\pi_0(R^{tC_2})$ . These are equal to 1 in  $\pi_0(R^{tC_2})$  since the functor  $(-)^{tC_2}$  is lax-monoidal so  $R^{tC_2}$  is a modules over  $\mathbb{S}\{x^{\pm 1},y^{\pm 1}\}^{tC_2}\otimes_{\mathbb{S}\{z\}^{tC_2}}\mathbb{S}^{tC_2}$  which has the image of xy equal to 1.

Now consider another Poincaré ring  $\underline{S}$ . We then have that maps  $\pi_0(\operatorname{Maps}(\underline{R},\underline{S}))$  is the data of a unit  $s \in \pi_0(S)^{\times}$ , a path  $s\sigma(s) \to 1$  in  $\Omega^{\infty}S$ , and paths  $x, y \to 1$  in  $\Omega^{\infty}S^{\varphi C_2}$ . This then agrees with  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\varphi}$  by the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $S \in \operatorname{CAlg}(\operatorname{Sp}^{BC_2})$  and  $s \in \pi_0(S)^{\times}$ . Then  $s\sigma(s) = 1$  in  $\pi_0(S)$  if and only if  $(s \otimes s)^*$  acts by 1 on  $\pi_0(S^{hC_2}) = \pi_0(\operatorname{Hom}_{S \otimes S}(S \otimes S, S)^{hC_2})$ .

*Proof.* The 'only if' direction follows from the fact that the map  $S^{hC_2} \to S$  is an S-bimodule map. Now suppose that  $s\sigma(s) = 1$  in S. Then before taking homotopy fixed points the induced map  $s^* = id$  because S is  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$ .

## 6 Modules with genuine involution

Remark 6.1 (Lucy). I'm just going to put drafts of stuff pertaining to hermitian modules here. Eventually when it gets to be more complete, I will hopefully move this entire section over to the main file.

Meta-commentary There are (at least) three things we want to do:

- (a) Define a category of 'bimodules with involution over algebras with anti-involution' equipped with a forgetful functor  $\Theta \colon \mathrm{BMod_{inv}}(-) \to \mathbb{E}_1 \, \mathrm{Alg}(-)^{hC_2}$ .
- (b) Show that  $\Theta$  is a coCartesian fibration. For this, it suffices to show that it is a *Cartesian* fibration and that it satisfies the hypotheses of [Lur09, Corollary 5.2.2.5]
  - I used to think that we could obtain this by 'bootstrapping' a result from Higher Algebra, plus some facts about assembly. This doesn't seem to be working, so I'm just going to try to do this directly (imitating certain aspects of Chapter 4 of higher algebra.)
- (c) Define a relative tensor product for hermitian bimodules
- (d) Show that the formula for the cocartesian pushforward along a map  $A \to B$  in  $\mathbb{E}_1 \operatorname{Alg}(-)^{hC_2}$  is something like  $\otimes_{A \otimes A^{\operatorname{op}}} (B \otimes B^{\operatorname{op}}) \otimes_{B \otimes B^{\operatorname{op}}} B$ .
  - In Higher Algebra, the formula for the cocartesian pushforward is proven in [Lur17, §4.6]; in particular, this is in the section on duality. In particular, see Proposition 4.6.2.17 and the paragraph immediately preceding this.
  - I don't know how to do this yet—while (a) and (b) are not useful if I can't show (c), I can't suss out the feasibility of (c) without (a) and (b) already in place.

**Definition 6.2.** Define a colored operad Assoc<sub> $\sigma$ </sub> as follows:

- (i) The colored operad has a single object, which we denote by a.
- (ii) For every finite set I, the set of operations  $\operatorname{Mul}_{\operatorname{Assoc}_{\sigma}}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{a}_{i}\right\}_{i\in I},\mathfrak{a}\right)\simeq\mathcal{L}I\times\{\pm1\}^{I}$ , where  $\mathcal{L}I$  is the set of linear orderings on I and an element of  $\{\pm1\}^{I}$  is a function  $I\to\{\pm1\}$ .
- (iii) Suppose given a map of finite sets  $\alpha \colon I \to J$ , together with operations  $(\preceq_j, f_j \colon I_j \to \{\pm 1\}) \in \operatorname{Mul}_{\operatorname{Assoc}_\sigma} \left( \{\mathfrak{a}_i\}_{\alpha(i)=j}, \mathfrak{a} \right)$  and  $(\preceq_J, g \colon J \to \{\pm 1\}) \in \operatorname{Mul}_{\operatorname{Assoc}_\sigma} \left( \{\mathfrak{a}_j\}_{j \in J}, \mathfrak{a} \right)$ . Define a linear ordering on the set I as follows:  $i \leq i'$  if  $\alpha(i) \preceq_J \alpha(i')$  or  $\alpha(i) = \alpha(i') = j$  and  $i \preceq_j i'$  and g(j) = +1 or  $\alpha(i) = \alpha(i') = j$  and  $i \succeq_j i'$  and g(j) = -1. Finally, define a function

$$I \to \{\pm 1\}$$
  
 $i \mapsto f_{\alpha(i)}(i) \cdot g(\alpha(i)),$ 

where the multiplication on  $\{\pm 1\}$  is the usual one.

L: or whateve we want to keep calling these

L: This is just an imitation of [Lur17, Definition 4.1.1.1], modified in accordance with ideas from §5.4.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Or just  $\mathbb{E}_2$ .

**Remark 6.3.** There is a map of colored operads  $\iota$ : Assoc  $\to$  Assoc $_{\sigma}$  which is the identity on objects and on operations  $\operatorname{Mul}_{\operatorname{Assoc}}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{a}_{i}\right\}_{i\in I},\mathfrak{a}\right)\simeq\mathcal{L}I\to\operatorname{Mul}_{\operatorname{Assoc}_{\sigma}}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{a}_{i}\right\}_{i\in I},\mathfrak{a}\right)\simeq\mathcal{L}I\times\left\{\pm 1\right\}^{I}$  is  $\operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{L}I}\times\left\{c_{1}\right\}$  where  $c_{1}$  is the constant function on I with value 1.

There is another map of colored operads  $\iota^{\text{rev}}$ : Assoc  $\to$  Assoc $_{\sigma}$  which is the identity on objects and on operations  $\text{Mul}_{\text{Assoc}}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{a}_{i}\right\}_{i\in I},\mathfrak{a}\right)\simeq\mathcal{L}I\to \text{Mul}_{\text{Assoc}_{\sigma}}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{a}_{i}\right\}_{i\in I},\mathfrak{a}\right)\simeq\mathcal{L}I\times\left\{\pm1\right\}^{I}$  sends a linear ordering  $\ell$  to  $(\ell^{\text{rev}},c_{-1})$  where  $c_{-1}$  is the constant function on I with value 1.

**Definition 6.4.** Let  $\operatorname{Assoc}_{\sigma}^{\otimes}$  denote the associated  $\infty$ -operad (via Construction 2.1.1.7 and Example 2.1.1.21 of [Lur17]).

#### Remark 6.5. Unwinding definitions

- Objects Assoc $_{\sigma}^{\otimes}$  are finite pointed sets  $\langle n \rangle \in \operatorname{Fin}_*$
- Morphisms  $\langle m \rangle \to \langle n \rangle$  consist of
  - $-\alpha:\langle m\rangle\to\langle n\rangle$  a map of finite pointed sets
  - for each  $i \in \langle n \rangle^{\circ}$ , a linear ordering  $\leq_i$  on the inverse image  $\alpha^{-1}(\{i\})$
  - a map of sets  $s: \alpha^{-1}(\langle m \rangle^{\circ}) \to \{\pm 1\}$
- For each pair of morphisms

$$(\beta: \langle \ell \rangle \to \langle m \rangle, \leq_i, s)$$
  $(\alpha: \langle m \rangle \to \langle n \rangle, \leq_i, t)$ ,

the composite is the triple  $(\alpha \circ \beta, \preceq''_j, u)$  where  $\preceq''_j$  is the ordering on  $(\alpha \circ \beta)^{-1}(\{i\})$  so that if  $a, b \in \langle \ell \rangle$  so that  $\alpha(\beta(a)) = \alpha(\beta(b))$ , then  $a \preceq''_j b$  if  $\beta(a) \preceq_i \beta(b)$  or  $\beta(a) =_i \beta(b) = i$  and  $a \preceq_i b$  if s(i) = 1 or  $a \succeq_i b$  if s(i) = -1. Finally  $u(l) = s(l) \cdot t(\beta(l))$ .

**Remark 6.6.** The maps  $\iota, \iota^{\text{rev}}$  of Remark 6.3 induce maps of  $\infty$ -operads  $\operatorname{Assoc}^{\otimes} \to \operatorname{Assoc}^{\otimes}_{\sigma}$ . Note that each object  $\langle n \rangle \in \operatorname{Assoc}^{\otimes}_{\sigma}$  has a distinguished automorphism  $\operatorname{rev}_{\langle n \rangle}$  of order two given by the identity map on  $\langle n \rangle$  and the constant map  $c_{-1} \colon \langle n \rangle^{\circ} \to \{\pm 1\}$  at -1. There is a canonical natural equivalence  $\iota \stackrel{\sim}{\Longrightarrow} \iota^{\text{rev}}$  whose component at  $\langle n \rangle$  is  $\operatorname{rev}_{\langle n \rangle}$ .

#### **Definition 6.7.** Define a category $\Delta_{\sigma}$

- objects are pairs  $([n], s: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\})$
- a morphism from  $([n], s: \{1, \dots, n\} \to \{\pm 1\})$  to  $([m], t: \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \to \{\pm 1\})$  is an order-preserving map  $[n] \to [m]$  in  $\Delta$ .

Construction 6.8. Define a functor Cut:  $\Delta_{\sigma}^{\text{op}} \to \operatorname{Assoc}_{\sigma}^{\otimes}$ :

- For each ([n], s), we have  $Cut([n], s) = \langle n \rangle$ .
- Given a morphism  $\alpha : ([n], s) \to ([m], t)$ , the associated morphism  $\operatorname{Cut}([n], s) \to \operatorname{Cut}([m], t)$  consists of
  - On underlying finite pointed sets  $\langle m \rangle \rightarrow \langle n \rangle$ , Cut agrees with that appearing in [Lur17, Construction 4.1.2.9]
  - Identifying the cut  $\{k \mid k < j\} \sqcup \{k \mid k \geq j\}$  with the morphism j 1 < j, we may regard  $s: \langle n \rangle^{\circ} \to \{\pm 1\}$  and likewise  $t: \langle m \rangle^{\circ} \to \{\pm 1\}$ . Define  $u: \operatorname{Cut}(\alpha)^{-1}(\langle n \rangle^{\circ}) \to \{\pm 1\}$  to be the unique function so that  $u(j)t(j) = s(\operatorname{Cut}(\alpha)(j))$ .

**Lemma 6.9.** The functor  $\operatorname{Cut} \colon \Delta_{\sigma}^{\operatorname{op}} \to \operatorname{Assoc}_{\sigma}^{\otimes}$  exhibits  $\Delta_{\sigma}^{\operatorname{op}}$  as an approximation to the  $\infty$ -operad  $\operatorname{Assoc}_{\sigma}^{\otimes}$ . L: I think the proof of this lemma is not too different from the proof of Proposition 4.1.2.11 of [Lur17]; the point here is just to unravel the definitions of locally coCartesian and Cartesian; the morphisms in  $\Delta_{\sigma}^{\operatorname{op}}$  are a little more complicated than  $\Delta^{\operatorname{op}}$ , but not by much.

Notation 6.10. Let  $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes} \to \operatorname{Assoc}_{\sigma}^{\otimes}$  exhibit  $\mathcal{C}$  as  $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}$ -monoidal. Let  $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$  denote the fiber product  $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes} \times_{\operatorname{Assoc}_{\sigma}^{\otimes}} \Delta_{\sigma}^{\operatorname{op}}$ .

L: Note that when s, t are identically one, the resulting order  $\preceq''_j$  agrees with the lexicographic order defined in [Lur17, Remark 4.1.1.4].

L: maybe better to write s as a function defined on the set of morphisms i < i + 1 in [n]

L: weakly enriched?? maybe need to add a new defn..

**Definition 6.11.** Say that a morphism  $([n], s) \to ([m], t)$  is *inert* if the induced map  $\operatorname{Cut}([m], t) \to \operatorname{Cut}([n], s)$  is an inert morphism in  $\operatorname{Assoc}_{\sigma}^{\otimes}$ .

**Definition 6.12.** A  $\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}$ -planar operad is an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$  equipped with a functor  $q: \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \to \Delta_{\sigma}^{\mathrm{op}}$  so that

- 1. For every object  $X \in \mathcal{O}^{\circledast}$  and every inert morphism  $\alpha \colon ([n], s) \to q(X)$  in  $\Delta_{\sigma}$ , there is a q-cocartesian morphism  $\overline{\alpha} \colon X \to Y$  satisfying  $q(\overline{\alpha}) = \alpha$
- 2. Let X be an object satisfying q(X) = ([n], s), and choose q-cocartesian morphisms  $\overline{\alpha}_i \colon X \to X_i$  corresponding to the morphism  $([i-1 < i], s_i) \to ([n], s)$  which is the inclusion on underlying sets and satisfies  $s_i(i) = s(i)$ . Then the morphisms  $\overline{\alpha}_i$  exhibit X as the q-product of the  $X_i$ .
- 3. For each  $n \ge 0$ , the construction  $C \mapsto \{C_i\}_{1 \le i \le n}$  induces an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories

$$\mathcal{O}^{\circledast} \times_{\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}_{-}} \{([n], s)\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left(\mathcal{O}^{\circledast} \times_{\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}_{-}} \{([1], s|_{\{i\}})\}\right)^{\times n}$$

We say that a morphism  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}$ -planar operad is *inert* if it is q-cocartesian and  $q(\alpha)$  is inert in  $\Delta_{\sigma}^{\text{op}}$  in the sense of Definition 6.11.

**Definition 6.13.** Let  $q: \mathcal{O}^{\circledast} \to \Delta_{\sigma}^{\text{op}}$  be a  $\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}$ -planar operad. An  $\mathbb{A}_{\infty}^{\sigma}$ -algebra object of  $\mathcal{O}^{\circledast}$  is a section of q which carries inert morphisms to inert morphisms. Write  $\operatorname{Alg}_{\mathbb{A}_{\infty}^{\sigma}}(\mathcal{O})$  for the full subcategory of  $\operatorname{Fun}_{\Delta_{\sigma}^{\operatorname{op}}}(\Delta_{\sigma}^{\operatorname{op}}, \mathcal{O}^{\circledast})$  on  $\mathbb{A}_{\infty}^{\sigma}$ -algebra objects.

**Proposition 6.14.** Let  $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \to \operatorname{Assoc}_{\sigma}^{\otimes}$  be a fibration of  $\infty$ -operads. Then precomposition with the functor Cut of Construction 6.8 induces an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories

$$\operatorname{Alg}_{\operatorname{Assoc}_{\sigma}}(\mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Alg}_{\mathbb{A}_{\infty}^{\sigma}}(\mathcal{O})$$
.

Proof. Combine Lemma 6.9 with [Lur17, Theorem 2.3.3.23].

**Definition 6.15.** Define a colored operad  $BM_{inv}$ 

- (i) The set of objects of  $BM_{inv}$  has two elements, which we denote by  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}$ .
- (ii) Let  $\{X_i\}_{i\in I}$  be a finite collection of objects of  $\mathbf{BM}_{\mathrm{inv}}$  and let Y be another object of  $\mathbf{BM}_{\mathrm{inv}}$ . If  $Y=\mathfrak{a}$ , then  $\mathrm{Mul}_{\mathbf{BM}_{\mathrm{inv}}}$  ( $\{X_i\}_{i\in I},Y$ ) is the set of pairs consisting of a linear ordering on I and a function  $I\to\{\pm 1\}$  if  $X_i=\mathfrak{a}$  for all i, and empty otherwise. If  $Y=\mathfrak{m}$ , then  $\mathrm{Mul}_{\mathbf{BM}_{\mathrm{inv}}}$  ( $\{X_i\}_{i\in I},Y$ ) is the set of pairs consisting of a linear ordering  $\{i_1< i_2< \cdots < i_n\}$  on I and a function  $I\to\{\pm 1\}$  IF  $X_{i_1}=\mathfrak{m}$  and  $X_j=\mathfrak{a}$  for all  $j\neq i_1$ , and  $\mathrm{Mul}_{\mathbf{BM}_{\mathrm{inv}}}$  ( $\{X_i\}_{i\in I},Y$ ) is empty otherwise.
- (iii) The composition law on  $\mathbf{BM}_{\mathrm{inv}}$  is determined by the composition of linear orderings, with reversal of linear orderings according to Definition 6.2

Remark 6.16. Restricting to the object  $\mathfrak{a} \in BM_{inv}$ , we see that  $BM_{inv}$  has a sub-colored operad which is canonically identified with  $Assoc_{inv}$  of Definition 6.2.

**Definition 6.17.** Let  $\mathcal{BM}_{inv}^{\otimes}$  denote the associated  $\infty$ -operad (via Construction 2.1.1.7 and Example 2.1.1.21 of [Lur17]).

**Remark 6.18.** We can describe the category  $\mathcal{BM}_{inv}^{\otimes}$  as follows:

- (1) An object of  $\mathcal{BM}_{\text{inv}}^{\otimes}$  is a pair  $(\langle n \rangle, S)$  where S is a subset of  $\langle n \rangle^{\circ}$ .
- (2) Morphisms  $(\langle m \rangle, T) \to (\langle n \rangle, S)$  consist of a map  $\alpha \colon \langle m \rangle \to \langle n \rangle$  in Assoc $_{\sigma}^{\otimes}$  satisfying:
  - The map  $\alpha$  takes  $T \cup \{*\}$  to  $S \cup \{*\}$
  - For each  $s \in S$ , then  $\alpha^{-1}(\{s\})$  contains exactly one element of t, and that element is minimal with respect to the linear ordering on  $\alpha^{-1}(\{s\})$ .

L: I've changed things a little so that S (in the notation of Higher Algebra) has been replaced by  $S^c$ -this way,we can regard [n] as representing the ordered set  $\{-n < -n + 1 < \cdots - 1 < 0 < 1 < \cdots < n - 1 < n\}$  where  $C_2$  acts by  $\cdot(-1)$  (or something along these lines). This is really a generalization of Notation 4.2.1.7 but for  $RM^{\otimes}$ .

L: compare Higher Algebra Notation 4.2.1.6

Remark 6.19. Each morphism  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Mul}_{\mathbf{BM}_{\operatorname{inv}}}(\{X_i\}_{i\in I}, Y)$  determines a linear ordering  $\ell$  on the set I and a function  $s\colon I \to \{\pm 1\}$ . Passing from  $\varphi$  to the pair  $(\ell, s)$  determines a map of colored operads  $j\colon \mathbf{BM}_{\operatorname{inv}} \to \mathbf{Assoc}_{\operatorname{inv}}$ . The map j induces a morphism of  $\infty$ -operads  $\operatorname{Assoc}_{\sigma}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{BM}_{\operatorname{inv}}^{\otimes}$  which we will also denote by j. For any monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$ , restriction along j sends an  $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}$ -algebra  $A\colon \operatorname{Assoc}_{\sigma} \to \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$  to the pair (A, A) where A is regarded as an involutive bimodule over itself.

L: more general?

Construction 6.20. Define a functor MCut:  $\Delta_{\sigma}^{\text{op}} \to BM_{\text{inv}}^{\otimes}$ :

underlying pointed sets,  $\theta$  takes the form

L: hermitian

• For each ([n], s), we have  $\mathrm{MCut}([n], s) = \langle n+1 \rangle \simeq \mathrm{RCut}_0([n])$  where RCut is from [Lur17, Construction 4.8.4.4].

L: maybe this overloaded notation is not good. I'm running out of ideas.

• Given a morphism  $\alpha \colon ([n], s) \to ([m], t)$ , the associated morphism  $\mathrm{MCut}([m], t) \to \mathrm{MCut}([n], s)$  consists of

L: check later

work out!

- On underlying finite pointed sets  $\langle m+1 \rangle \to \langle n+1 \rangle$ , MCut agrees with (the reverse of) that appearing in [Lur17, Construction 4.2.2.6]

- Identifying the cut  $\{k \mid k < j\} \sqcup \{k \mid k \geq j\}$  with the morphism j - 1 < j, we may regard  $s: \langle n+1 \rangle^{\circ} \to \{\pm 1\}$  and likewise  $t: \langle m+1 \rangle^{\circ} \to \{\pm 1\}$ . Define  $u: \mathrm{MCut}(\alpha)^{-1}(\langle n+1 \rangle^{\circ}) \to \{\pm 1\}$ 

to be the unique function so that  $u(j)t(j) = s(\mathrm{MCut}(\alpha)(j))$ . **Remark 6.21.** We can identify  $\mathrm{Assoc}_{\sigma}^{\otimes}$  with the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{BM}_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\otimes}$  spanned by objects of the form  $(\langle n \rangle, \langle n \rangle^{\circ})$ . We can regard Construction 6.8 as defining a functor  $\Delta_{\sigma}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{BM}_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\otimes}$ . For each  $([n], s) \in \Delta_{\sigma}^{\mathrm{op}}$ , there is a map of sets  $\theta \colon \mathrm{MCut}([n], s) \to \mathrm{Cut}([n], s)$  defined as in [Lur17, Remark 4.2.2.8]. Concretely, on

 $s) \in \Delta^{\mathrm{op}}_{\sigma},$  retely, on

L: check that the signs s

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \colon \langle n+1 \rangle &\to \langle n \rangle \\ k &\mapsto \begin{cases} k-1 & \text{ if } k > 0 \\ * & \text{ if } k = 0, * \,. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This construction determines a morphism  $\gamma$  in the  $\infty$ -category Fun  $(\Delta_{\sigma}^{op}, \mathcal{BM}_{inv}^{\otimes})$ , or equivalently a map  $\gamma \colon \Delta_{\sigma}^{op} \times \Delta^{1} \to \mathcal{BM}_{inv}^{\otimes}$ .

**Lemma 6.22.** The morphism  $\gamma \colon \Delta_{\sigma}^{op} \times \Delta^{1} \to \mathcal{BM}_{inv}^{\otimes}$  defined in Remark 6.21 exhibits  $\Delta_{\sigma}^{op} \times \Delta^{1}$  as an approximation to the  $\infty$ -operad  $\mathcal{BM}_{inv}^{\otimes}$ .

**Definition 6.23.** Let  $q \colon \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{BM}_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\otimes}$  be a fibration of  $\infty$ -operads, so q exhibits  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\otimes}$  as weakly bi-enriched over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\otimes}$ . Let  $\gamma$  be as in Remark 6.21. Let  ${}^{\sigma}\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathbb{A}_{\infty}^{\sigma}}(\mathcal{M})$  denote the full subcategory of  $\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathcal{BM}_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\otimes}}\left(\Delta_{\sigma}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \Delta^{1}, \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}\right)$  spanned by those maps  $f \colon \Delta_{\sigma}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \Delta^{1} \to \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$  satisfying

- 1. The restriction of f to  $\Delta_{\sigma}^{\text{op}} \times \{1\}$  belongs to  $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{A}^{\sigma}} (\mathcal{O})$  of Definition 6.13
- 2. If  $\alpha$ :  $([m], s) \to ([n], t)$  so that  $\alpha(0) = 0$ , then the induced map  $f([m], s, 0) \to f([n], t, 0)$  is an inert map in  $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$
- 3. for each object ([n], s) in  $\Delta_{\sigma}^{\text{op}}$ , the induced map  $f([n], s, 0) \to f([n], s, 1)$  is an inert map in  $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$

**Definition 6.24.** Let  $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes} \to \operatorname{Assoc}_{\sigma}^{\otimes}$  be a fibration of  $\infty$ -operads and let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an  $\infty$ -category. Suppose given a fibration of  $\infty$ -operads  $q \colon \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{BM}_{\operatorname{inv}}^{\otimes}$  together with equivalences  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\otimes} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\otimes} \simeq \mathcal{M}$ . Let  ${}^{\sigma}\operatorname{Mod}(\mathcal{M})$  denote the  $\infty$ -category  $\operatorname{Alg}_{/\mathcal{BM}}(\mathcal{O})$ . We will refer to  ${}^{\sigma}\operatorname{Mod}(\mathcal{M})$  as the  $\infty$ -category of hermitian module objects of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Composition with the inclusion  $\operatorname{Assoc}_{\sigma}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{BM}_{\operatorname{inv}}^{\otimes}$  induces a categorical fibration

$${}^{\sigma}\mathrm{Mod}\left(\mathcal{M}\right)=\mathrm{Alg}_{/\mathcal{BM}}\left(\mathcal{O}\right) \to \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathrm{Assoc}_{\sigma}}(\mathcal{C})$$
.

If A is an  $\operatorname{Assoc}_{\sigma}$ -algebra object of  $\mathcal{C}$ , we let  ${}^{\sigma}\operatorname{Mod}_{A}(\mathcal{M})$  denote the fiber  ${}^{\sigma}\operatorname{Mod}(\mathcal{M}) \times_{\operatorname{Alg}_{\operatorname{Assoc}_{\sigma}}(\mathcal{C})} \{A\}$ . We will refer to  ${}^{\sigma}\operatorname{Mod}_{A}(\mathcal{M})$  as the  $\infty$ -category of hermitian A-module objects of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Example 6.25.** Let  $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{BM}^{\otimes}$  be a fibration of  $\infty$ -operads. Restriction along the map of  $\infty$ -operads  $\mathcal{BM}^{\otimes} \to \operatorname{Assoc}_{\sigma}^{\otimes}$  induced by Remark 6.19 induces a map  $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{C}) \to {}^{\sigma} \operatorname{Mod}(\mathcal{C})$  which is a section of the projection map  ${}^{\sigma} \operatorname{Mod}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \operatorname{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ .

L: Lurie gives this a name (Definition 4.2.1.12 weakly enriched)—not sure what to call this. something bienriched?

L: see Example 4.2.1.17 of higher algebra

L: fibration?

**Notation 6.26.** Let  $q: \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{BM}_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\otimes}$  be a fibration of  $\infty$ -operads, so q exhibits  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\otimes}$  as weakly bi-enriched over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\otimes}$ . Define a new simplicial set  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\otimes}$  by the following universal property

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{sSet}/\Delta_{\sigma}^{\mathrm{op}}}\left(K,\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\circledast}\right) \simeq \mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{sSet}/\mathcal{BM}_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\otimes}}\left(K\times\Delta^{1},\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}\right) \, .$$

Here we regard  $K \times \Delta^1$  as a simplicial set over  $\mathcal{BM}_{\text{inv}}^{\otimes}$  via the composite  $K \times \Delta^1 \to \Delta_{\sigma}^{\text{op}} \times \Delta^1 \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{BM}_{\text{inv}}^{\otimes}$  where  $\gamma$  is from Remark 6.21.

Unwinding definitions, we see that a vertex in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\otimes}$  lying over an object  $([n], s: \{1, ..., n\} \to \{\pm 1\}) \in \Delta_{\sigma}^{\text{op}}$  corresponds to a morphism  $\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$  whose image in  $\mathcal{BM}_{\text{inv}}^{\otimes}$  is the map  $(\langle n+1\rangle, \{0\}) \to (\langle n\rangle, \varnothing)$ . Now let  $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$  denote the full simplicial subset of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\otimes}$  spanned by those vertices for which  $\alpha$  is inert.

**Remark 6.27.** Let  $q: \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{BM}_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\otimes}$  be a fibration of  $\infty$ -operads, so q exhibits  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\otimes}$  as weakly bi-enriched over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\otimes}$ . By [Lur09, Example 4.3.1.4 & Proposition 4.3.2.15], composition with the inclusion  $\{0\} \to \Delta^1$  induces a trivial Kan fibration  $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \times_{\mathcal{BM}_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\otimes}} \Delta_{\sigma}^{\mathrm{op}}$ . In particular, the fiber of  $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$  over an object  $([n], s) \in \Delta_{\sigma}^{\mathrm{op}}$  is canonically equivalent to  $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{C}^{\times n}$ .

Finally, since q is a categorical fibration and categorical fibrations are closed under pullback and composition with trivial fibrations, q induces categorical fibrations  $\mathcal{M}^{\circledast} \to \mathcal{C}^{\circledast} \to \Delta_{\sigma}^{\text{op}}$ .

**Lemma 6.28.** Let  $q \colon \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{BM}_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\otimes}$  be a cocartesian fibration of  $\infty$ -operads, so q exhibits  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\otimes}$  as tensored over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\otimes}$ . Then the associated functor  $\mathcal{M}^{\circledast} \to \mathcal{C}^{\circledast}$  (Notation 6.10) is a locally coCartesian fibration.

**Proposition 6.29.** Let  $q: \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{BM}_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\otimes}$  be a cocartesian fibration of  $\infty$ -operads, so q exhibits  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\otimes}$  as tensored over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\otimes}$ . Then precomposition with the functor MCut of Construction 6.20 induces an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories

$${}^{\sigma}\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathrm{Alg}_{/\mathcal{BM}_{\mathrm{inv}}}(\mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{\sigma}\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathbb{A}_{\infty}^{\sigma}}(\mathcal{M}) \ .$$

*Proof.* Combine Lemma 6.22 with [Lur17, Theorem 2.3.3.23].

# 7 Categorification and structure

In the course of thinking about the 'involutive' generalization of the statement that given an  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -algebra, its category of modules is  $\mathbb{E}_0$  (and conversely, that given an object in a stable  $\infty$ -category, that its endomorphism spectrum is an  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -algebra), I have run up against some questions.

**Question 7.1.** • Can we sidestep an involutive version of the construction of endomorphism categories of [Lur17, §4.7.1]?

• Suppose  $\mathcal{C}$  is a monoidal  $\infty$ -category and  $\mathcal{M}$  is an  $\infty$ -category which is enriched over  $\mathcal{C}$  in the sense of [Lur17, §4.2.1]. Is  $\mathcal{M}^{op}$  enriched over  $\mathcal{C}$ ?

#### References

- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*. Vol. 170. Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009, pp. xviii+925. ISBN: 978-0-691-14049-0; 0-691-14049-9. DOI: 10.1515/9781400830558. URL: https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400830558.
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. *Higher algebra*. Manuscript available at https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf. 2017.

L: this might be off-revisit later!

L: Jacob explains this in a really terse way–just by citing Prop 4.3.2.15 of HTT. It does just follow from definitions/observations there are many (for instance, definition of inert edge).