Et cetera

Ben Antieau, Viktor Burghardt, Noah Riggenbach, Lucy Yang

Abstract

Dumping ground for other stuff: Notes, one-off observations, stuff that we can collectively use when preparing talks, etc.

L: I make no promises re: organization but I will do my best to keep it reasonably readable

Contents

1 Talk prep

2 References

- Involutions of Azumaya algebras by First and Williams (2020 *Documenta*)
- Counterexamples in involutions of Azumaya algebras by First and Williams; much more readable than the 2020 Documenta paper

3 Questions and directions

4 Thoughts & observations

Question 4.1. When R has the Tate Poincaré structure and $(\mathrm{Mod}_A^\omega, M_A, N_A, N_A \to M_A^{tC_2})$ is invertible, then by invertibility have an equivalence $\hom_R(A, R) \simeq N_A \otimes_R N_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}$ of $A \otimes_R A^{\mathrm{op}}$-modules. Restricting the left-hand side along the unit map $R \to A$ gives a map $N_A \otimes_R N_{A^{\mathrm{op}}} \to \hom_R(R, R) \simeq R$. Is this a perfect ($R$-linear) pairing?

I *think* using that $R^{\varphi C_2} \simeq R$ and combining the linear and bilinear part conditions, we get something like

$$M_A \otimes_R M_{A^{\mathrm{op}}} \simeq (N_A \otimes_R N_{A^{\mathrm{op}}})^{\otimes_R 2} \qquad \text{as } A \otimes_R A^{\mathrm{op}}\text{-bimodules.}$$

Is this useful?

Brauer-Severi schemes We know there is a correspondence between Azumaya algebras A over X and Brauer-Severi schemes. What does a Poincaré structure on Mod_A^ω mean 'geometrically' for D^b_{coh} of the corresponding Brauer-Severi scheme? (Lucy: I didn't get very far here, but just typing up what I had)

- Mod_A^ω corresponds to α-twisted sheaves on X (see Proposition 3.2.2.1 of Max Lieblich's thesis)
- The bounded derived category of α-twisted sheaves on X includes as one 'piece' of a semiorthogonal decomposition on D^b_{coh} of the corresponding Brauer-Severi scheme (see Theorem 5.1 here)