

Background

Fitness landscape research typically models adaptation on static landscapes, where the fitness values and dimensionality of genotype space are fixed. Biologically, this simulates a population adapting to a new, constant environment, stopping once a fitness peak is reached. However, this approach does not incorporate other phenomena that occur during evolution, such as changes in the environment (otherwise known as "seascapes"), or particularly, changes in the organism's genome size (genome evolution). Since, over long time scales, both adaptation and genome evolution are processes relevant to the evolution of the organism, it is important to create a model that can incorporate both to augment our understanding of how genomes expand and streamline, and ultimately, how new genes and genomic complexity might arise. Furthermore, simulation of such an adaptive system gives rise to path dependency (a high-order autoregressive/history-dependent markov process), for which diverse literature across ecology [1], evolution [2], public policy [3], and strategic management [4] has noted difficulty in creating general predictive frameworks. I will utilize an extension of the Rough Mount Fuji model combined with a Counter-Based Random Number Generator to model population dynamics on these "Expanding Landscapes" and explore the following questions:

Research Questions

Simulation/Experimental

- What balance is reached between adaptation and genome evolution? Is one mechanism of navigating the landscape preferred to the other? How can this relationship be quantified?
- How does this balance shift as the ruggedness of the landscape changes? As the strength of drift changes?
- What diversity do we see arise in the population (in terms of hamming distance between genotypes, and number of unique genomes)? Is this generated continuously, or is there burstiness? [5]
- How repeatably are certain maxima reached, or certain loci unlocked? Under which conditions, and to what degree, does path dependency determine the order of events observed?

Theoretical

- How does the formulation of the model fit into a framework of path dependent processes from literature? [6]
- What does a historical contingency look like in this model?

- Is there an interpretation of the model that is compatible with experimental evidence of gene evolution? That is, such that new sequences are selectively near-neutral and generally fix through drift rather than selection. [7]
- Can we extend this to ecological community models? Technically all genomes and genotypes are genetically compatible. Can we modify the model to restrict reproduction between only genotypes a maximum hamming distance, and if so, do we see stable equilibria of multiple populations emerge? Additionally, niche theory predicts that the order of community assembly matters. Would the order of loci unlocking then also dictate the community composition?

Timeline

February 17 Thesis start - background research & drafting model

March 7 Master thesis start form due to program admin

March 28 THEE Project proposal due to Claudia

March 31 Deadline to implement preliminary version of RMF model

April 1 Reduce hours to 60% to work on Suman's project (until August)

May 5 Goal date to formalize theoretical basis

May 5 Begin drafting thesis outline (Introduction, Materials & Methods)

August 1 Return to 100% work

Sometime in August (Mostly) stop research, 100% writing

August 14 FS25 Deadline Submit thesis to Claudia & Stephan for grading

September 14 FS25 Deadline Final thesis submission to Dean's office

September 17 HS25 Deadline Submit thesis to Claudia & Stephan for grading

October 17 HS25 Deadline Final thesis submission to Dean's office

If I submit by the September deadline and not in October, I avoid needing to register for another semester. I am not sure if it is feasible to get the writing done in 2 weeks beginning of August, and/or if I will have the right experimental results at that time.

References

- [1] Tadashi Fukami. “Historical Contingency in Community Assembly: Integrating Niches, Species Pools, and Priority Effects”. In: *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 46. Volume 46, 2015 (Dec. 2015), pp. 1–23. ISSN: 1543-592X, 1545-2069. DOI: [10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110411-160340](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110411-160340). (Visited on 03/26/2025) (cit. on p.).
- [2] Stuart A. Kauffman. “Prolegomenon to Patterns in Evolution”. In: *Biosystems*. SI :Patterns in Evolution 123 (Sept. 2014), pp. 3–8. ISSN: 0303-2647. DOI: [10.1016/j.biosystems.2014.03.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystems.2014.03.004). (Visited on 11/20/2024) (cit. on p.).
- [3] B. Guy Peters, Jon Pierre, and Desmond S. King. “The Politics of Path Dependency: Political Conflict in Historical Institutionalism”. In: *The Journal of Politics* 67.4 (2005), pp. 1275–1300. ISSN: 0022-3816. DOI: [10.1111/j.1468-2508.2005.00360.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2508.2005.00360.x). JSTOR: [10.1111/j.1468-2508.2005.00360.x](https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1111/j.1468-2508.2005.00360.x). (Visited on 03/26/2025) (cit. on p.).
- [4] Nicolaj Siggelkow. “Firms as Systems of Interdependent Choices”. In: *Journal of Management Studies* 48.5 (July 2011), pp. 1126–1140. ISSN: 0022-2380, 1467-6486. DOI: [10.1111/j.1467-6486.2011.01010.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2011.01010.x). (Visited on 03/26/2025) (cit. on p.).
- [5] K.-I. Goh and A.-L. Barabási. “Burstiness and Memory in Complex Systems”. In: *Europhysics Letters* 81.4 (Jan. 2008), p. 48002. ISSN: 0295-5075. DOI: [10.1209/0295-5075/81/48002](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/81/48002). (Visited on 03/07/2025) (cit. on p.).
- [6] John E. Jackson and Ken Kollman. “Modeling, Measuring, and Distinguishing Path Dependence, Outcome Dependence, and Outcome Independence”. In: *Political Analysis* 20.2 (Apr. 2012), pp. 157–174. ISSN: 1047-1987, 1476-4989. DOI: [10.1093/pan/mpr050](https://doi.org/10.1093/pan/mpr050). (Visited on 03/10/2025) (cit. on p.).
- [7] Michael Lynch. “The Frailty of Adaptive Hypotheses for the Origins of Organismal Complexity”. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 104.suppl_1 (May 2007), pp. 8597–8604. DOI: [10.1073/pnas.0702207104](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702207104). (Visited on 02/28/2025) (cit. on p.).