

UNIT-2

MATHS-SEM3

LONGS

Theorem: ①

If H_1 and H_2 are two subgroups of group G then $H_1 \cup H_2$ is a subgroup iff $H_1 \subseteq H_2$ or $H_2 \subseteq H_1$.

Proof:

Let (G, \cdot) be a group.

Let (H_1, \cdot) and (H_2, \cdot) be subgroups of G .

Let us suppose that $H_1 \cup H_2$ is a subgroup.

Now we have to prove that $H_1 \subseteq H_2$ or $H_2 \subseteq H_1$.

If possible, suppose that $H_1 \not\subseteq H_2$ or $H_2 \not\subseteq H_1$.

Let $H_1 \not\subseteq H_2$,

For $a \in H_1 \Rightarrow a \notin H_2$ — ①

Let $H_2 \not\subseteq H_1$,

For $b \in H_2 \Rightarrow b \notin H_1$ — ②

But $a, b \in H_1 \cup H_2$

Since $H_1 \cup H_2$ is a subgroup.

$\therefore ab \in H_1 \cup H_2$ [\because By closure law]

$\Rightarrow ab \in H_1, ab \in H_2, ab \in H_1 \cap H_2$

Let $ab \in H_1, a^{-1} \in H_1$ [\because By Inverse law]

$a^{-1}(ab) \in H_1$ [\because By closure law]

$(a^{-1}a)b \in H_1$

$(e)b \in H_1$

$b \in H_1$

Which is contradiction to ②

Let $ab \in H_2, b \in H_2 \Rightarrow b^{-1} \in H_2$ [\because By Inverse law]

$(ab)b^{-1} \in H_2$ [\because By closure law]

$a(bb^{-1}) \in H_2$

$$a(e) \in H_2$$

$$a \in H_2$$

Which is contradiction to ①

$$ab \notin H_1, ab \notin H_2, ab \notin H_1 \cap H_2$$

$$\therefore H_1 \subseteq H_2 \text{ (or) } H_2 \subseteq H_1.$$

Conversely suppose that $H_1 \subseteq H_2$ (or) $H_2 \subseteq H_1$

Now we have to prove that $H_1 \cup H_2$ is a Subgroup

$$\text{Since, } H_1 \subseteq H_2,$$

$$\Rightarrow H_1 \cup H_2 = H_2$$

Since, H_2 is a Subgroup,

$$\Rightarrow H_1 \cup H_2 \text{ is a subgroup}$$

$$\text{Since, } H_2 \subseteq H_1,$$

$$H_1 \cup H_2 = H_1$$

Since H_1 is a subgroup

$$\therefore H_1 \cup H_2 \text{ is also a subgroup.}$$

Theorem : (2)

A non empty complex H of a group G is subgroup if and only if

i) $a \in H, b \in H \Rightarrow ab \in H$ ii) $a \in H, a^{-1} \in H$.

Proof :

Let (G, \cdot) be a group.

Let H be a non empty complex of G .

The condition is necessary.

Let us suppose that H is a subgroup.

Now we have to prove that i) $a \in H, b \in H \Rightarrow ab \in H$.

ii) $a \in H, a^{-1} \in H$

Since H is a subgroup,

By closure law,

$$a \in H, b \in H \Rightarrow ab \in H$$

By inverse law,

$$a \in H \Rightarrow a^{-1} \in H$$

The condition is sufficient.

Conversely suppose that i) $a \in H, b \in H \Rightarrow ab \in H$.

ii) $a \in H \Rightarrow a^{-1} \in H$.

Now we have to prove that H is a subgroup.

By (i) \Rightarrow

The binary composition \cdot is closure in

H

Since the elements of H are in G.

The Binary Composition \cdot is closure,
associative in H.

By ii)

" a^{-1} " is the inverse element of a in H.

For $a \in H$, $a^{-1} \in H$

$\Rightarrow aa^{-1} \in H$ [\because By (i)]

$\Rightarrow e \in H$

" e " is the identity element in H.

$\therefore (H, \cdot)$ is itself a group.

$\therefore H$ is a subgroup.

Theorem: II

If H and K are two subgroups of a group G then HK is a subgroup iff $HK = KH$.

Proof:-

Let (G, \cdot) be a group.

Let H and K are two subgroups of G .

The condition is sufficient.

Let us suppose that $HK = KH$.

Now we have to prove that HK is a subgroup.

It is sufficient to prove $(HK)(HK^{-1}) = HK$

$$\text{L.H.S} = (HK)(HK)^{-1} = (HK)(K^{-1}H^{-1})$$

$$= H [K(K^{-1}H^{-1})] \quad [\because \text{By Associative}]$$

$$= H [(KK^{-1})H^{-1}] \quad [\because \text{By Associative}]$$

$$= H [KH^{-1}] \quad [\because \text{By theorem (10)}]$$

$$= (HK)H^{-1} \quad [\because \text{By Associative}]$$

$$= (KH)H^{-1}$$

$$= K(HH^{-1}) \quad [\because \text{By Associative}]$$

$$= KH \quad [\because \text{By theorem (10)}]$$

$$= HK = \text{R.H.S}$$

$\therefore HK$ is a Subgroup.

The condition is necessary.

Conversely Suppose that HK is a Subgroup.

Now we have to prove that $HK = KH$

$$\Rightarrow (HK)^{-1} = HK \quad [\because \text{By theorem (4)}]$$

$$\Rightarrow K^{-1}H^{-1} = HK$$

$$\Rightarrow KH = HK.$$

Theorem : 4 (10M) & (5M)

-Any two left cosets (right) of a subgroup of a group are disjoint or identical.

Identical.

Proof :

Let (G, \cdot) be a group.

Let (H, \cdot) be a subgroup.

Let aH, bH are two left cosets of H in G .

Let aH, bH are disjoint.

Then there is nothing to prove.

Let $aH \cap bH \neq \emptyset$.

Let there exist a common element

$$c \in aH \cap bH$$

$$c \in aH \text{ and } c \in bH$$

$$c = ah_1 \quad c = bh_2$$

Multiply with h_1^{-1} on b.s.

$$(ah_1)h_1^{-1} = (bh_2)h_1^{-1}$$

$$ae = bh_3$$

Multiply with H on b.s.

$$aH = H_3H$$

$$aH = bH.$$

$$[\because H_3H = H].$$

Theorem: ⑤

State and prove Lagrange's theorem on groups [or] [Pd.f unit - 21 - long Q.No.5].

Statement: The order of a subgroup of a finite group divides the order of a group.

Proof:

Let (G, \cdot) be a finite group.

Let (H, \cdot) be a subgroup of G .

Since H is a subgroup of G .

$\Rightarrow H$ is also finite

Case (i)

If $H = G$

$$\Rightarrow O(H) = O(G)$$

Case (ii)

If $H \neq G$

$$\text{Let } O(G) = n$$

$$O(H) = m$$

Let every right coset of H in G has same no. of elements and the no. of right cosets of H in G is finite.

Ha, Hb, Hc, \dots are the right cosets of H in G .

$He = H$ is also a right coset of H in G .

$$O(Ha) = O(Hb) = O(Hc) = \dots = O(H)$$

Let the no. of right cosets of H in G be 'k'

All the 'k' right cosets are disjoint and induce a partition in G ,

$$O(Ha) + O(Hb) + \dots + O(H) = O(G)$$

$$m + m + \dots + m \text{ (k times)} = n$$

$$km = n$$

$$k = \frac{n}{m} = \frac{O(G)}{O(H)}$$

\therefore Hence proved.

i) Every right coset have same no. of elements

ii) No. of right cosets are finite

iii) Ha, Hb, Hc, \dots are right

iv) He is also right coset

$$v) O(Ha) = \dots = O(H)$$

vi) No. of right cosets be 'k'

vii) All right cosets are disjoint and induce a partition in G .