Solution problem 300-6 FYS9n4310

Short rerun of what the problem is:

Si , As predeposition:

T=1100 C, t=10*60 sec

Drive-in diffusion:

t=24*60*60 sec, T=1000 C

What will be the max carrier concentratiom? (See eq 3.23)

Explain equation 3.23

> restart;

We have the following parameters from chapter 3.2 and table 3.2, See solution 300-2 for symbol definitions

The units are eV, cm, sec, K,

$$pars := \{Do = 0.0066, Dom = 12.0, Ea = 3.44, Eam = 4.05, Ego = 1.17, \alpha = 0.000473, \beta = 636, k\}$$

 $= 0.00008617065, nio = 7.3 \cdot 10^{15}$

The idiffusivity will be given by

$$> eq1 := D = Do e^{-\frac{Ea}{kT}} + \frac{Dom e^{-\frac{Eam}{kT}}n}{ni}$$
:

The intrinsic carrier concentration is given by (book eq 3.8)

$$\ge eq2 := ni = nio \ T^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{Eg}{2 k T}} :$$

The bandgap is given by (exept for highdoping effects) is given by (book eq 3.9)

$$> eq3 := Eg = Ego - \frac{\alpha T^2}{\beta + T}$$
:

We calculate the intrinsic carrier concentration ni at 1100C

$$eq4a := ni = 1.726021439 \cdot 10^{19}$$

$$>$$
 eq5:=nmax=1.9e22*exp(-0.453/k/T);# = equation (3.23) in textbook

$$eq5 := nmax = 1.9 \cdot 10^{22} e^{-\frac{0.453}{kT}}$$

_We calculate the maximum electron concentration for As doping at 1100 °C

While we see from Fig 2.4 that the As solid solibility is 1.4e21 at 1100 C (also at 1000 C) So *n*max is lower than Cmax, thus we will use nmax as the electron concentration when calculating the diffusivity

```
> eq6a:=n=evalf(subs(pars union {T=1100+273},rhs(eq5)));
                                   ea6a := n = 4 \cdot 129575315 \cdot 10^{20}
We can then calculate the diffusivity from eq1 by plugging the given and calculated parameters
> eq7a:=evalf(subs(pars union {T=1100+273} union {eq6a} union {eq4a},
   eq1));
                                  ea7a := D = 3.919628108 \cdot 10^{-13}
Notice that we have calculated the diffusivity for a particular value of n, but in the diffusion experiment
the eleectron concetration goes frm nmax and down to ni;
we could check what the diffusivity is if D had followed the equilibrium value of n; We do it in particular
for the lowest value of n, namely n=ni. Not asked for in the text, but the diffusivity is then
> eq7a2:=evalf(subs(pars union {T=1100+273} union {n=rhs(eq4a)} union
   {eq4a},eq1));
                                 eq7a2 := D = 1.787424144 \cdot 10^{-14}
So D is an order of magnitude lower if the equuilibrium concentration of vacancies is present at the
lowest concentrations of As.
The different contributions to D are then
> Dneautral=evalf(subs(pars union \{T=1100+273\}, Do*exp(-Ea/(k*T))));

Dneautral = 1.556595018 \cdot 10^{-15}
> Dm max=evalf(subs(pars union {T=1100+273}union{eq4a}union{eq6a},
   \overline{\text{Dom}} \times \exp(-\text{Eam}/(k \times T)) \times n \times (1/ni));
                                  Dm \ max = 3.904062158 \ 10^{-13}
> Dm min=evalf(subs(pars union {T=1100+273}union{eq4a}union{n=rhs
   (eq4a)},Dom*exp(-Eam/(k*T))*n*(1/ni));
                                  Dm \ min = 1.631764642 \ 10^{-14}
Since we were not asked to solve the problem numerically, we will use the formulas fro 'predeposition'
assuming a constant diffusivity given by eq7a
For the total amount of predopsited dopands for the case of constant diffusivity, we have
> eq8:=QT=2/sqrt(Pi)*Cs*sqrt(D*t);
                                     eq8 := QT = \frac{2 Cs \sqrt{D t}}{\sqrt{\pi}}
We put in the value of the surface concentration, Cs, from the solid solubility from fig. 2.4 in the book,
and the maximum diffusivity
> eq9a:=evalf(subs(pars union {T=273+1100,Cs=1.4e21,t=10*60} union
   {eq7a},eq8));
                                 eq9a := OT = 2.422596871 \cdot 10^{16}
Then we calculate on the drive-in case:
> eq4b:=evalf(subs(pars union {T=1000+273}, subs(eq3,eq2)));
                                  eq4b := ni = 9.984573047 \cdot 10^{18}
> evalf(subs(pars union {T=1000+273},eq5));
                                    nmax = 3.056898526 \ 10^{20}
   eq6b:=n=evalf(subs(pars union {T=1000+273},rhs(eq5)));
```

$$eq6b := n = 3.056898526 \ 10^{20}$$

The carrier concentration could be this high(it will be so at the surface at the beginning of the drive-in), the carrier concentration would be lower at larger depth and for longer times.

If we calculate the diffusivity with a too high carrier conc the diffusivity is too high (but not much) and we will calculate a too low carrier concentration,

So we should calculate this problem numerically, but that's too time consuming for now so we calculte the diffusion profile as if all the diffusion was occurring at a concentration given by the max electrical solubility, just as we did in a)

We have equations we can use for calculating concentration profiles, here we only need the surface concentration

```
> #eq10:=C=QT/sqrt(Pi*D*t)*exp(-(z^2)/4/D/t);

> eq11:=Cs=QT/sqrt(Pi*D*t);

eq11 := Cs = \frac{QT}{\sqrt{\pi D t}}
> evalf(subs(pars union {eq9a} union {eq7b} union {t=24*60*60},eq11))
```

 $Cs = 2.517964751 \cdot 10^{20}$

OK we see that this is lower than the electrical solubility

Answer: The maximum electron concentration will be $2.5 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$

Regarding equation (3.23)

I have called these terms electrical solubility and solid solubility.

The maximum solid solubility is given as the concentration one needs to be below in order to not get precipitation of other phases. In this case SiliconArsenides (- or ArsenoSilicides). However not all Arsenic that is not in precipitates will be electrically active. That seems to be confirmed experimentally. There can be many reasons for this. One is cluster formation of As. For example two or more As atoms together or two or more together with Vacancies to form electrically inactive and relatively stable clusters. Dislocation, or defect compensation is another effect. Dislocation trapping another.