1 Background Information

Sources: Boareto et al., 2015, Bray, 2006

Binding of the Delta ligand from cell B to the Notch receptor on cell A results in the *cleavage* (removal) of both the ligand and receptor. This interaction triggers the release of NICD (Notch Intracellular Domain) in cell A, which *promotes* Notch and *inhibits* Delta.

- The Serrate (Jagged) ligand can also bind to Notch. Unlike Delta, NICD promotes Jagged, which creates a double-positive feedback loop.

- Interaction between Delta ligands and Notch receptors from the same cell (cis-interaction) removes both molecules and does not trigger the release of NICD.

2 Ideas and Issues with Collier et al., 1996

2.1 Model

Collier et al. make the following five modelling assumptions:

1. Cells interact through Delta-Notch signalling if and only if they are adjacent.

2. Production of Notch is an increasing (hill) function of Delta in neighbouring cells.

3. Production of Delta is a decreasing (hill) function of Notch in the same cell.

4. Production of Notch and Delta is balanced by decay proportional to concentration.

5. Low levels of Notch cause the primary fate, high levels cause the secondary fate.

Then they define the following variables:

- τ: time

- N_P: notch activity (concentration) in cell P

- D_P: delta activity (concentration) in cell P

- $\overline{D}_P$: average delta activity in neighbours of P

- N_0: typical notch activity (across all cells)

- D_0: typical delta activity (across all cells)

- μ: The decay rate for notch (assumed constant)

- ρ: The decay rate for delta (assumed constant)

Then, define the following system of differential equations, where $F : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a continuous increasing function and $G : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a continuous decreasing function.

$$\frac{d(N_P/N_0)}{d\tau} = F(\overline{D}_P/D_0) - \mu N_P/N_0$$

$$\frac{d(D_P/D_0)}{d\tau} = G(N_P/N_0) - \rho D_P/D_0$$

This equation is inherently nondimensional, since N_P/N_0 and D_P/D_0 have no units.

2.2   Issues

The Collier et al. model makes numerous simplifications that violate our current understanding of the delta-notch signalling network. These simplifications make it difficult to derive a probabilistic model from the Collier et al. model, since the biological implications of such a model would be contradictory. Some of the issues include:

- Production of activated Notch (NICD) is exclusively a function of the Delta concentration in neighbouring cells, with no regard for the concentration of extracellular Notch receptors.

- Furthermore, the concentration of extracellular Notch isn't accounted for at all, which means there is no way to create a meaningful reaction term that captures the dynamics of the system.

- There are smaller issues, like the absence of cis-inhibition and the Serrate (Jagged) protein, but these aren't necessary to capture the fundamental behaviour of the system.

2.3   Qualitative Results

In the two-cell periodic boundary condition case, Collier et al. show that there always exists a homogeneous steady state, although this state is unstable if the feedback in the Delta-Notch signalling network is sufficiently strong. If the line of cells is given Dirichlet boundary conditions, the inhomogeneity arises on the outside edges before gradually spreading inwards to the center of the domain. On the two-dimensional hexagonal lattice, the system exhibits different ratios of primary to secondary fated cells depending on the parameters. In particular, Collier et al. observed ratios of 1/2, 1/3, 1/4, 1/5, and 1/6.

3   Probabilistic Models of Increasing Complexity

Idea: Our first goal is to develop a probabilistic model based on a modern understanding of the biochemistry of the delta-notch signalling network. Then, we will use this model to attempt to replicate qualitative results from Collier et al. (1996).

3.1   A First Model

Assume *a priori* (i.e. from previous research) the following, where I is NICD:

- Basal extracellular Notch (N) production has the form $H^+(I) = N_0 I^2/(1 + I^2)$.

- Basal extracellular Delta (D) production has the form $H^-(I) = D_0/(1 + I^2)$.

- Extracellular Notch and Delta have the same decay rate, γ.

Then, define the following parameters:

- N_0 and D_0 are the maximum rates of Notch/Delta production.

- k_T is the binding rate between extracellular Notch and Delta.

- N_{ext} and D_{ext} refer to the average Notch/Delta levels in neighbouring cells.

- γ_I is the decay rate of NICD.

Furthermore, we will assume that there is no cis-interaction and no Serrate ligand. This gives us the following set of chemical reactions that can occur in a single time step:

1. A Notch receptor (from cell A) binds to a Delta ligand (from cell B), triggering the release of NICD in cell A. This can be written as $N_A + D_B \rightarrow I_A$. The probability of this event is $k_T N_A D_B$.

2. A Notch receptor in cell A decays ($N_A \rightarrow \emptyset$) with probability γN_A.

3. A Delta ligand in cell A decays ($D_A \rightarrow \emptyset$) with probability γD_A.

4. A NICD molecule in cell A decays ($I_A \rightarrow \emptyset$) with probability $\gamma_I I_A$.

5. A Notch receptor in cell A is produced ($\emptyset \rightarrow N_A$) with probability $H^+(I)$.

6. A Delta ligand in cell A is produced ($\emptyset \rightarrow D_A$) with probability $H^-(I)$.

3.2   Computational Implementation

We will make the assumption that reaction times follow a Poisson point process. Let E be the set of all possible reaction events (i.e. reactions 1-6 above for all cells). Furthermore, let $|E| = N$ be the number of possible reactions. For each event, let $X_i \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_i)$ denote the time until the next event of type i, where $1 \leq i \leq N$. Then, the waiting time T in between *any* two events is:

$$T = \min\{X_1, \ldots, X_N\} \sim \text{Exp}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i\right)$$

This results follows from the definition of an exponential distribution and the memoryless property. Then, we can use Gillespie's algorithm to simulate the system as follows:

Step 1: Sample a value t_i from T using inverse transform sampling.

Step 2: Increment the current time t by t_i.

Step 3: Determine which event took place. To do this, recall that:

$$P(X_k = \min\{X_1, \ldots, X_N\}) = \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_N}$$

Use this fact to partition the interval $[0, 1]$. Then sample y_i from a $\text{Unif}(0, 1)$ distribution, identify its partition, and find the corresponding event.

Step 4: Update the state based on the event determined in the previous step.

3.3   Theoretical Justification

In this section, we need to show that in expectation, the model above converges to the following system of ODEs (adapted from Boareto et al., 2015):

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = H^+(I) - k_T N D_{ext} - \gamma N$$

$$\frac{dD}{dt} = H^-(I) - k_T D N_{ext} - \gamma D$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = k_T N D_{ext} - \gamma_I I$$