# Answers to the mid-term exam on Compilers

### Christian Rinderknecht

# 25 October 2005

**Question 1.** Let the alphabet  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$  and the following regular expressions:

$$r = a(a|b)^*ba$$
  
$$s = (ab)^*|(ba)^*|(a^*|b^*)$$

The language denoted by r is noted L(r) and the language denoted by s is noted L(s).

Find a word x such as

- 1.  $x \in L(r)$  and  $x \notin L(s)$
- 2.  $x \notin L(r)$  and  $x \in L(s)$
- 3.  $x \in L(r)$  and  $x \in L(s)$
- 4.  $x \notin L(r)$  and  $x \notin L(s)$

**Answer 1.** The method to answer these questions is simply to try small words by constructing them in order to satisfy the constraints.

1. The shortest word x belonging to L(r) is found by taking  $\varepsilon$  in place of  $(a|b)^*$ . So x = aba. Let us check if  $x \in L(s)$  or not. L(s) is made of the union of four sub-languages (subsets). To make this clear, let us remove the useless parentheses on the right side:

$$s = (ab)^* | (ba)^* | a^* | b^*$$

Therefore, membership tests on L(s) have to be split into four: one membership test on  $(ab)^*$ , one on  $(ba)^*$ , one on  $a^*$  and another one on  $b^*$ . In other words:

$$x \in L(s) \Leftrightarrow x \in L((ab)^*) \text{ or } x \in L((ba)^*) \text{ or } x \in L(a^*) \text{ or } x \in L(b^*)$$

Let us test the membership with x = aba:

(a) The words in  $L((ab)^*)$  are  $\varepsilon$ , ab, abab... Thus  $aba \notin L((ab)^*)$ .

- (b) The words in  $L((ba)^*)$  are  $\varepsilon$ , ba, baba... Hence  $aba \notin L((ba)^*)$ .
- (c) The words in  $L(a^*)$  are  $\varepsilon$ , a, aa... Therefore  $aba \notin L(a^*)$ .
- (d) The words in  $L(b^*)$  are  $\varepsilon$ , b, bb... So  $aba \notin L(b^*)$ .

Finally the conclusion is  $aba \notin L(s)$ , which is what we were looking for.

- 2. What is the shortest word belonging to L(s)? Since the four sub-languages composing L(s) are starred, it means that  $\varepsilon \in L(s)$ . Since we showed at the item (1) that aba is the shortest word of L(r), it means that  $\varepsilon \notin L(r)$  because  $\varepsilon$  is of length 0.
- 3. This question is a bit more difficult. After a few tries, we cannot find any x such as  $x \in L(r)$  and  $x \in L(s)$ . Then we may try to prove that  $L(r) \cap L(s) = \emptyset$ , i.e. there is no such x. How should we proceed? The idea is to use the decomposition of L(s) into for sub-languages and try to prove

$$L(r) \cap L((ab)^*) = \varnothing$$
  

$$L(r) \cap L((ba)^*) = \varnothing$$
  

$$L(r) \cap L(a^*) = \varnothing$$
  

$$L(r) \cap L(b^*) = \varnothing$$

Indeed, if all these four equations are true, they imply  $L(r) \cap L(s) = \emptyset$ .

- (a) Any word in L(r) finishes with a whereas any word in  $L((ab)^*)$  finishes with b or is  $\varepsilon$ . Thus  $L(r) \cap L((ab)^*) = \emptyset$ .
- (b) For the same reason,  $L(r) \cap L(b^*) = \emptyset$ .
- (c) Any word in L(r) contains both a and b whereas any word in  $L(a^*)$  contains only b or is  $\varepsilon$ . Therefore  $L(r) \cap L(a^*) = \emptyset$ .
- (d) Any word in L(r) starts with a whereas any word in  $L((ba)^*)$  starts with b or is  $\varepsilon$ . Thus  $L(r) \cap L((ba)^*) = \emptyset$ .

Finally, since all the four equations are false, they imply that

$$L(r) \cap L(s) = \emptyset$$
.

4. Let us construct letter by letter a word x which does not belong neither to L(r) not L(s). First, we note that all words in L(r) start with a, so we can try to start x with b: this way  $x \notin L(r)$ . So we have  $x = b \dots$  and we have to fill the dots with some letters in such a way that  $x \notin L(s)$ .

We use again the decomposition of L(s) into four sub-languages and make sure that x does not belong to any of those sub-languages.

First, because x starts with  $a, x \notin L(b^*)$  and  $x \notin L((ba)^*)$ .

Now, we have to add some more letters such as  $x \notin L(a^*)$  and  $x \notin L((ab)^*)$ .

Since any word in  $L(a^*)$  has a a as second letter or is  $\varepsilon$ , we can choose the second letter of x to be b. This way  $x = ab \dots \notin L(a^*)$ .

Finally, we have to add more letters to make sure that

$$x = ab \dots \notin L((ab)^*)$$

Any word in  $L((ab)^*)$  is either  $\varepsilon$  or ab or abab..., hence the third letter is a. Therefore, let us choose b as the third letter of x and we thus have  $x = aba \notin L((ab)^*)$ . Summary:

$$aba \notin L(r) \ aba \notin L(b^*) \ aba \notin L((ba)^*) \ aba \notin L(a^*) \ aba \notin L((ab)^*)$$

which is equivalent to

$$aba \notin L(r)$$
 and  $aba \notin L((ab)^*) \cup L((ba)^*) \cup L(a^*) \cup L(b^*) = L(s)$ 

Therefore x = aba is one possible answer.

**Question 2.** Given the binary alphabet  $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$  and the order on letters a < b < c, write regular definitions for the following languages.

- 1. All words starting and ending with c.
- 2. All words in which the third last letter is b.
- 3. All words containing exactly three a.
- 4. All words containing at least one *b* before a *c*.
- 5. All words in which the letters are in increasing order.

## Answer 2.

- 1. The constraint on the words is that they must be of the shape  $c \dots c$  where the dots stand for "any combination of a, b and c." In other words, one answer is  $c(a|b|c)^*c|c$ .
- 2. The question implies that the words we are looking for are of the form ...  $b_{-}$  where the dots stand for "any sequence of a, b and c" and each \_ stands for a regular expression denoting any letter. Any letter is described by  $(a \mid b \mid c)$ ; therefore one possible answer is

$$(a|b|c)^*b(a|b|c)(a|b|c)$$

3. The words we search contain, at any place, exactly three a, so are of the form  $\dots a \dots a \dots a \dots$ , where the dots stand for "any letter except a", i.e., "any number of b or c." In other words:

$$(b|c)^*a(b|c)^*a(b|c)^*a(b|c)^*$$

4. The words we search are of the form  $\dots b \dots c \dots$ , where the dots stand for "All words possible words made of a, b or c." Therefore it is easy to understand that a short answer is

$$(a|b|c)^*b(a|b|c)^*c(a|b|c)^*$$

5. Because the alphabet is made only of two letters, the answer is easy: we put first all the *a*, then all the *b* and finally all the *c*:

$$a^{\star}b^{\star}c^{\star}$$

Question 3. Simplify, if possible, the following regular expressions.

$$(\varepsilon | a^* | b^* | a | b)^*$$
$$a(a|b)^* b | (ab)^* | (ba)^*$$

#### Answer 3.

1. The first regular expression can be simplified in the following way:

$$(\varepsilon | a^{\star} | b^{\star} | a | b)^{\star} = (\varepsilon | a^{\star} | b^{\star} | b)^{\star} \qquad since L(a) \subset L(a^{\star})$$

$$= (\varepsilon | a^{\star} | b^{\star})^{\star} \qquad since L(b) \subset L(b^{\star})$$

$$= (\varepsilon | a^{+} | b^{+})^{\star} \qquad since \{\varepsilon\} \subset L(x^{\star})$$

$$= (a^{+} | b^{+})^{\star} \qquad since (\varepsilon | x)^{\star} = x^{\star}$$

Words in  $L((a^+|b^+)^*)$  are of the form  $(a \dots a)(b \dots b)(a \dots a)(b \dots b) \dots$ , so we recognise  $(a|b)^*$ . Therefore  $(\varepsilon|a^*|b^*|a|b)^* = (a|b)^*$ .

2. The second regular expression can be simplified in the following way. We note first that the expression is made of the disjunction of three regular sub-expressions (i.e. it is a union of three sub-languages). The simplest idea is then to check whether one of these sub-languages is redundant, i.e. if one is included in another. If so, we can simply remove it from the expression.

$$a(a|b)^*b|(ab)^*|(ba)^* = a(a|b)^*b|\varepsilon|(ab)^+|(ba)^* \quad since(ab)^* = \varepsilon|(ab)^+|$$
$$= a(a|b)^*b|(ab)^+|(ba)^* \quad since(\varepsilon) \subset L((ba)^*)$$

We have:

$$(ab)^{+} = (ab)(ab)...(ab)$$
$$= a(ba)(ba)...(ba)b \mid ab$$
$$= a(ba)^{*}b$$

Also  $(ba) \subset (a \mid b)^*$  and then  $(ba)^* \subset (a \mid b)^*$ , because  $(a \mid b)^*$  contains all the words. Therefore  $a(ba)^*b \subset a(a \mid b)^*b$ , i.e.  $(ab)^+ \subset a(a \mid b)^*b$ .

As a consequence, one possible answer is

$$a(a|b)^*b|(ab)^*|(ba)^* = a(a|b)^*b|(ba)^*$$

The intersection between  $L(a(a|b)^*b)$  and  $L((ba)^*)$  is empty because all the words of the former start with a, while all the words of the other start with b (or is  $\varepsilon$ ). Therefore we cannot simply further this way.