

# Homework 2

## Problem 1

Let  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , and set  $S = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3, 4\}, \Omega\}$ .

- (a) Prove that  $S$  is a semi-algebra.  
(b) Define  $\chi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows:

$$\chi(\emptyset) = 0, \chi(\{1\}) = \chi(\{2\}) = \chi(\{3, 4\}) = 1, \chi(\Omega) = 4.$$

Show that  $\chi$  is "pairwise" additive:  $\chi(A \cup B) = \chi(A) + \chi(B)$  whenever  $A, B$ , and  $A \cup B$  are all in  $S$ . Show also that  $\chi$  is not additive over all finite disjoint unions.

(This shows it is important, when dealing with semi-algebras and other classes not closed under finite union, to spell out the full statement of "finite additivity" in all proofs.)

## Solution

### (a) $S$ is a semi-algebra.

#### 1. Non-empty and Contains $\Omega$ :

Clearly,  $\Omega \in S$  and  $\emptyset \in S$ .

#### 2. Closed under Intersection:

We need to check that the intersection of any two sets in  $S$  is also in  $S$ :

- $\emptyset \cap A = \emptyset$  for any  $A \in S$ .
- $\{1\} \cap \{2\} = \emptyset$ .
- $\{1\} \cap \{3, 4\} = \emptyset$ .
- $\{2\} \cap \{3, 4\} = \emptyset$ .
- $\{3, 4\} \cap \Omega = \{3, 4\}$ .
- $\{1\} \cap \Omega = \{1\}$ .

- $\{2\} \cap \Omega = \{2\}$ .
- $\Omega \cap \Omega = \Omega$ .

All these intersections are in  $S$ , so  $S$  is closed under intersections.

### 3. Complement Property:

We need to check that the complement of any set in  $S$  can be written as a finite disjoint union of sets in  $S$ :

- $\emptyset^c = \Omega$ .
- $\{1\}^c = \{2, 3, 4\} = \{2\} \cup \{3, 4\}$
- $\{2\}^c = \{1, 3, 4\} = \{1\} \cup \{3, 4\}$
- $\{3, 4\}^c = \{1, 2\} = \{1\} \cup \{2\}$
- $\Omega^c = \emptyset$ .

Thus,  $S$  satisfies the complement condition.

Since  $S$  is closed under intersections and complements can be expressed as finite disjoint unions of sets in  $S$ ,  $S$  is a semi-algebra.

## (b) $\chi$ is "pairwise" additive but not additive over all finite disjoint unions.

### 1. Pairwise Additivity:

We need to show that  $\chi(A \cup B) = \chi(A) + \chi(B)$  whenever  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $A \cup B$  are all in  $S$ :

- $\chi(\emptyset \cup A) = \chi(A) = \chi(\emptyset) + \chi(A)$  for any  $A \in S$ .
- $\chi(\{1\} \cup \{2\}) = \chi(\{1, 2\}) = 2 = \chi(\{1\}) + \chi(\{2\})$ .
- $\chi(\{1\} \cup \{3, 4\}) = \chi(\{1, 3, 4\}) = 2 = \chi(\{1\}) + \chi(\{3, 4\})$ .
- $\chi(\{2\} \cup \{3, 4\}) = \chi(\{2, 3, 4\}) = 2 = \chi(\{2\}) + \chi(\{3, 4\})$ .
- $\chi(\{1\} \cup \Omega) = \chi(\Omega) = 4 = \chi(\{1\}) + \chi(\Omega)$ .

In all cases, pairwise additivity holds.

### 2. Non-Additivity over All Finite Disjoint Unions:

Consider the sets  $\{1\}$ ,  $\{2\}$ , and  $\{3, 4\}$  which are disjoint and their union is  $\Omega$ :

$$\chi(\{1\} \cup \{2\} \cup \{3, 4\}) = \chi(\Omega) = 4$$

but

$$\chi(\{1\}) + \chi(\{2\}) + \chi(\{3, 4\}) = 1 + 1 + 1 = 3.$$

Thus,  $\chi$  is not additive over all finite disjoint unions.

Therefore,  $\chi$  is pairwise additive but not additive over all finite disjoint unions. ■

---

## Problem 2

(Exercise 4.12 in Driver) Let  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  be sets, and let  $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq 2^{\Omega_1}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq 2^{\Omega_2}$  be semi-algebras. Show that

$$S = \mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2 = \{A_1 \times A_2 : A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2\} \subseteq 2^{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2}$$

is a semi-algebra.

## Solution

To prove that  $S$  is a semi-algebra, we need to show the following properties:

### 1. Empty Set and Whole Set:

Since  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  are semi-algebras, they both contain the empty set  $\emptyset$  and their respective whole sets  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$ . Therefore,

$$\emptyset \times \emptyset = \emptyset \in S,$$

and

$$\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \in S.$$

Thus,  $\emptyset \in S$  and  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \in S$ .

## 2. Closed under Intersection:

Let  $X_1 = A_1 \times A_2$  and  $X_2 = B_1 \times B_2$  where  $A_1, B_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$  and  $A_2, B_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 \cap X_2 &= (A_1 \times A_2) \cap (B_1 \times B_2) \\ &= (A_1 \cap B_1) \times (A_2 \cap B_2) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  are semi-algebras,  $A_1 \cap B_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$  and  $A_2 \cap B_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ . Thus,

$$(A_1 \cap B_1) \times (A_2 \cap B_2) \in S.$$

Hence,  $S$  is closed under intersection.

## 3. Complementation Property:

Let  $X = A_1 \times A_2$  where  $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$  and  $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ . We need to show that  $X^c$  can be expressed as a finite union of disjoint sets in  $S$ .

We decompose  $X^c$  into a union of three parts:

$$X^c = (A_1^c \times A_2) \cup (A_1 \times A_2^c) \cup (A_1^c \times A_2^c).$$

To show that LHS = RHS:

$$\begin{aligned} X^c &= (A_1 \times A_2)^c \\ &= \{(x, y) : (x, y) \notin A_1 \times A_2\} \\ &= \{(x, y) : x \notin A_1 \text{ or } y \notin A_2\} \\ &= \{(x, y) : x \in A_1^c \text{ and } y \in A_2\} \\ &\quad \cup \{(x, y) : x \in A_1 \text{ and } y \in A_2^c\} \\ &\quad \cup \{(x, y) : x \in A_1^c \text{ and } y \in A_2^c\} \\ &= (A_1^c \times A_2) \cup (A_1 \times A_2^c) \cup (A_1^c \times A_2^c) \end{aligned}$$

To show that these three parts are disjoint, observe that:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (A_1^c \times A_2) \cap (A_1 \times A_2^c) \\
&= (A_1^c \cap A_1) \times (A_2 \cap A_2^c) = \emptyset \\
& (A_1^c \times A_2) \cap (A_1^c \times A_2^c) \\
&= (A_1^c \cap A_1^c) \times (A_2 \cap A_2^c) = \emptyset \\
& (A_1 \times A_2^c) \cap (A_1^c \times A_2^c) \\
&= (A_1 \cap A_1^c) \times (A_2^c \cap A_2^c) = \emptyset
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  are semi-algebras,  $A_1^c \in \mathcal{A}_1$  and  $A_2^c \in \mathcal{A}_2$ . Therefore,

$$(A_1^c \times A_2) \in S, \quad (A_1 \times A_2^c) \in S, \quad (A_1^c \times A_2^c) \in S.$$

Thus,  $X^c$  can be expressed as a finite union of disjoint sets in  $S$ .

Since  $S$  satisfies all three properties of a semi-algebra, we conclude that  $S$  is indeed a semi-algebra. ■

---

### Problem 3

(Exercise 4.3 in Driver) Let  $A_n, B_n \subseteq \Omega$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Show that

$$\left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right) \setminus \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right) \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \setminus B_n).$$

Use this to show that

$$\left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right) \triangle \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right) \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \triangle B_n).$$

### Solution

Let  $A_n, B_n \subseteq \Omega$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will prove the following two statements:

$$1. \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right) \setminus \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right) \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \setminus B_n)$$

**Proof:**

Let  $x \in \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right) \setminus \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right)$ . This means:

$$1. x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$

$$2. x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$$

From (1),  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in A_k$ . From (2),  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, x \notin B_n$ . In particular,  $x \notin B_k$ .

Therefore,  $x \in A_k \setminus B_k \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \setminus B_n)$ .

Thus, we have

$$\left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right) \setminus \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right) \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \setminus B_n)$$

$$2. \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right) \triangle \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right) \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \triangle B_n)$$

**Proof:**

Recall that for any sets  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $X \triangle Y = (X \setminus Y) \cup (Y \setminus X)$ .

Let  $L = \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right) \triangle \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right)$  and  $R = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \triangle B_n)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \left( \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right) \setminus \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right) \right) \cup \left( \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right) \setminus \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right) \right) \\ &\subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \setminus B_n) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (B_n \setminus A_n) \quad (\text{by part 1}) \\ &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} ((A_n \setminus B_n) \cup (B_n \setminus A_n)) \\ &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \triangle B_n) = R \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have shown that  $L \subseteq R$ , which completes the proof. ■

## Problem 4

(Exercise 4.4 in Driver) Let  $A, B, C \subseteq \Omega$ . Recall that the **symmetric difference** of sets is  $A \triangle B = (A \cap B^c) \cup (B \cap A^c)$ .

(a) Show that  $A \cap C^c \subseteq (A \cap B^c) \cup (B \cap C^c)$ .

(b) Use part (a) to show that

$$A \Delta C \subseteq (A \Delta B) \cup (B \Delta C).$$

(c) Now, let  $\nu : 2^\Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be an outer measure. Show that the function  $d : 2^\Omega \times 2^\Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by  $d(A, B) = \nu(A \Delta B)$  satisfies the **triangle inequality**:

$$d(A, C) \leq d(A, B) + d(B, C).$$

## Solution

$$(a) A \cap C^c \subseteq (A \cap B^c) \cup (B \cap C^c).$$

**Proof:** Let  $x \in A \cap C^c$ . Then  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin C$ . We consider two cases:

1. If  $x \notin B$ , then  $x \in A \cap B^c \subseteq (A \cap B^c) \cup (B \cap C^c)$ .
2. If  $x \in B$ , then  $x \in B \cap C^c \subseteq (A \cap B^c) \cup (B \cap C^c)$ .

In both cases,  $x \in (A \cap B^c) \cup (B \cap C^c)$ . Therefore,  
 $A \cap C^c \subseteq (A \cap B^c) \cup (B \cap C^c)$ . ■

$$(b) A \Delta C \subseteq (A \Delta B) \cup (B \Delta C).$$

**Proof:** Recall that  $A \Delta C = (A \cap C^c) \cup (C \cap A^c)$ .

From part (a), we have:

1.  $A \cap C^c \subseteq (A \cap B^c) \cup (B \cap C^c)$
2.  $C \cap A^c \subseteq (C \cap B^c) \cup (B \cap A^c)$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} A \Delta C &= (A \cap C^c) \cup (C \cap A^c) \\ &\subseteq [(A \cap B^c) \cup (B \cap C^c)] \cup [(C \cap B^c) \cup (B \cap A^c)] \\ &= (A \cap B^c) \cup (B \cap A^c) \cup (B \cap C^c) \cup (C \cap B^c) = (A \Delta B) \cup (B \Delta C) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $A \Delta C \subseteq (A \Delta B) \cup (B \Delta C)$ . ■

(c)  $d(A, C) \leq d(A, B) + d(B, C)$ .

**Proof:** From part (b), we know that  $A \triangle C \subseteq (A \triangle B) \cup (B \triangle C)$ .

Since  $\nu$  is an outer measure, it is monotone and countably subadditive. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d(A, C) &= \nu(A \triangle C) \\ &\leq \nu((A \triangle B) \cup (B \triangle C)) \\ &\leq \nu(A \triangle B) + \nu(B \triangle C) \\ &= d(A, B) + d(B, C) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $d(A, C) \leq d(A, B) + d(B, C)$ , which proves the triangle inequality. ■

---

## Problem 5

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a field over  $\Omega$ , and let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a probability measure on  $(\Omega, \sigma(\mathcal{A}))$ . Let  $B \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ . Prove that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a set  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(A \triangle B) < \epsilon$ .

i.e.  $\mathcal{A}$  is "dense" in  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ .

[Hint: show that the collection of all sets  $B$  satisfying this property is a  $\sigma$ -field.]

## Proof

To prove this statement, we will follow an approach similar to the method discussed in class for extending a field to a  $\sigma$ -field.. We'll construct a set analogous to a "compact set" and prove that it is a  $\sigma$ -field, thereby establishing our conclusion.

Define  $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \{B \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}) : \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ such that } \mathbb{P}(A \triangle B) < \epsilon\}$ .

We will prove that  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field.

1. First,  $\emptyset \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ : For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $A = \emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}(\emptyset \triangle \emptyset) = 0 < \epsilon$ .
2. If  $B \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ , then  $B^c \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ : Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $B \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ , there exists  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(A \triangle B) < \epsilon$ .  
Note that  $A \triangle B = A^c \triangle B^c$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{P}(A^c \triangle B^c) = \mathbb{P}(A \triangle B) < \epsilon$ .  
Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a field,  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus,  $B^c \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ .



3. If  $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ , then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ :

**Step 1:** Let  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{A}$ . We will show that  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n \triangle A) = 0$ .

Let  $D_n = A_n \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k$ . Then  $\{D_n\}$  are disjoint and  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(A) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(D_n) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{P}(D_n) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N D_n\right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n \triangle A\right) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N D_n \triangle A\right) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(A \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^N D_n\right) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [\mathbb{P}(A) - \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N D_n\right)] \\ &= \mathbb{P}(A) - \mathbb{P}(A) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\bigcup_{n=1}^N D_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  (by  $\mathcal{A}$  is a field) and  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n \triangle A) = 0$ , we have

$$A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$$

**Step 2:** Now, let  $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$  where  $B_n \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ . We will show that  $B \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ :

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . For each  $n$ , choose  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(A_n \triangle B_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{2^{n+1}}$ . Such a choice of  $A_n$  is possible since  $B_n \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ .

Define  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ . Let  $N$  be a sufficiently large positive integer satisfying:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n \triangle A\right) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

By step 1 we know that Such an  $N$  exists. We then have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n \triangle B\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n \triangle A\right) + \mathbb{P}(A \triangle B) \\
 &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \mathbb{P}(A \triangle B) \\
 &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \triangle B_n\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n \triangle B_n) \\
 &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon}{2^{n+1}} = \epsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a field,  $\bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . Therefore, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(A \triangle B) < \epsilon$ , proving that  $B \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ .

Thus,  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field. Since  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ . However, by the definition of  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  we have  $\bar{\mathcal{A}} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ , which shows that  $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ .

Therefore, for any  $B \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(A \triangle B) < \epsilon$ , which completes the proof. ■