

**Problem §1** (Treil 4.2) Let  $P$  be a **permutation matrix**, i.e. an  $n \times n$  matrix consisting of zeroes and ones such that there is exactly one 1 in every row and every column.

- (a) Can you describe the corresponding linear transformation? That will explain the name.
- (b) Show that  $P$  is invertible. Can you describe  $P^{-1}$ ?
- (c) Show that for some  $N > 0$

$$P^N := \underbrace{PP \dots P}_{N \text{ times}} = I.$$

*Solution:*

- (a) It swaps the basis vectors around (e.g. it *permutes* them); for instance,  $e_i$  becomes  $Te_i = e_j$  for some  $j$  not necessarily equal to  $i$ .
- (b) One can clearly see that no column is a linear combination of other columns (since each row and column only has one 1 element); thus all columns are linearly independent, and so  $\det A \neq 0$ ; i.e.  $P$  is invertible.

Alternatively, let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$  such that  $P_{i,\sigma(i)} = 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since each row and column only has one  $j$  such that  $\sigma(i) = j$  and  $P_{i,j} = 1$ ,  $\sigma$  is unique (if another permutation  $\varphi$  does the same for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then  $\varphi = \sigma$ ). Additionally, for any other permutation  $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_n$  with  $\tau \neq \sigma$ , we have  $P_{i,\tau(i)} = 0$  (since otherwise, we must have  $\sigma = \tau$ ). Thus,

$$\det P = \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{S}_n} p_{1,\phi(1)} \dots p_{n,\phi(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\phi)$$

becomes  $p_{1,\sigma(1)} \dots p_{n,\sigma(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ , since all  $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_n$ ,  $\tau \neq \sigma$  has  $p_{j,\tau(j)} = 0$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq n$  (since that means  $\tau(j) \neq \sigma(j)$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , and so  $p_{j,\sigma(j)} \neq p_{j,\tau(j)} = 0$ ).

All  $p_{i,\sigma(i)} = 1$ , and  $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) = \pm 1$ , so

$$\det P = \pm 1 \neq 0,$$

so  $P$  is invertible.

$P^{-1}$  essentially reverts any permutation that  $\sigma$  applies to  $i$ ; thus, we get that all 1s are in the indices

$$P_{\sigma(i),i}$$

which is in contrast to  $P_{i,\sigma(i)}$ ; this aligns with our intuition of “reverting” a permutation.

- (c) Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$  as defined above. In cycle notation,

$$\sigma = (p_1 p_2 \dots p_n), \quad \sigma(p_i) = p_{i+1} \text{ [with modular arithmetic at the edges]}$$

for  $p_i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $\sigma$  has order  $n$  (i.e.  $\sigma^n = e$ , the identity permutation), since applying  $\sigma^n$  on any  $p_i$  will cycle back to  $p_i$ .

Next, we observe that multiplying an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  by  $P$  permutes the columns of  $A$  by  $\sigma$ :

$$(AP)_{i,\sigma(j)} = A_{i,j}$$

(one can check this: only  $p_{j,\sigma(j)} = 1 \neq 0$ , so we have  $(AP)_{i,\sigma(j)} = \sum_{r=1}^n A_{i,r} P_{r,\sigma(j)} = A_{i,j} P_{j,\sigma(j)} = A_{i,j}$ ). Thus  $P_{i,\sigma(j)}^2 = 1$  only when  $j = \sigma(i)$ , and so we get  $P_{i,\sigma^2(i)}^2 = 1$ . This repeats for powers, until we get

$$P_{i,\sigma(\sigma^{n-1}(1))}^n = P_{i,\sigma^n(i)}^n = P_{i,i}^n = 1.$$

In other words, for any row  $i$ , its (only) 1 entry is at  $P_{i,i}^n$ , and all  $P_{i,j}^n$  with  $i \neq j$  has value 0. Thus  $P^n = I$ , where  $n$  is the order of  $\sigma$ .

**Problem §2** Let  $n$  be a positive integer, and let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$  be a square matrix whose diagonal entries are odd integers, and whose off-diagonal entries are even integers. Prove that  $A$  is invertible.

*Solution:* We use induction to prove that any matrix  $A_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$  with the above property (odd on diagonal, even elsewhere) has an odd (and thus non-zero) determinant. This is clearly true for  $n = 1$ , so assume the property holds for  $1, \dots, n-1$ .

Let  $A_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$  be a matrix with the above property. By co-factor expansion,

$$\det A_n = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1,j} C_{1,j}.$$

But note that  $a_{1,1}$  is odd, and all  $a_{1,j}$  for  $1 < j \leq n$  are even (since they're all off-diagonal), and that  $C_{1,1} = A_{n-1}$  (since all of the diagonal entries of  $C_{1,1}$  are also on the diagonal of  $A_n$ , which are all odd, and all of the off-diagonal entries of  $C_{1,1}$  are also off the diagonal of  $A_n$ ; thus  $C_{1,1}$  has the same property as above).

By induction, we know  $\det A_{n-1}$  is odd. Thus  $a_{1,1}C_{1,1}$  is odd (since for two odds  $2i+1, 2j+1$ ,  $(2i+1)(2j+1) = (4ij + 2i + 2j) + 1 = 2k + 1$ ), and all  $a_{1,j}C_{1,j}$  for  $1 < j \leq n$  are even (since for an even  $2i$  and any integer, even  $2j$  or odd  $2k+1$ , the resulting product, either  $2i \cdot 2j = 4ij = 2(2ij)$  or  $2i(2k+1) = 4ik + 2i = 2(2ik + i)$ , is even). Therefore the determinant

$$\det A_n = \text{odd integer} + \sum_{j=2}^n \text{even integer} = \text{odd integer} \neq 0$$

is odd, and thus non-zero (clearly, odd + even is still odd).

Thus, by induction any  $A_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$  with the above property has an odd (non-zero) determinant for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and so  $A_n$  is invertible.

**Problem §3** Use Cramer's Rule to solve the following system of linear equations:

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} 3x_1 + 4x_2 &= 5 \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 &= 4. \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} -x_1 + 0x_2 + 2x_3 &= 0 \\ 0x_1 + 5x_2 + x_3 &= 4 \\ 3x_1 - x_2 - x_3 &= 7. \end{aligned}$$

*Solution:*

(a)  $\det A = 3 \cdot 3 - 4 \cdot 2 = 1.$

(a)  $B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ , so  $\det B_1 = 5 \cdot 3 - 4 \cdot 4 = -1$ , so  $x_1 = -1$ .

(b)  $B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ , so  $\det B_2 = 3 \cdot 4 - 5 \cdot 2 = 2$ , so  $x_2 = 2$ .

(b)  $\det A = -1(-5 - (-1)) + 2(-15) = -26.$

(a)  $B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 & 1 \\ 7 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ , so  $\det B_1 = 2(-4 - 35) = -78$ , so  $x_1 = \frac{78}{26} = 3$ .

$$(b) \ B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 4 & 1 \\ 3 & 7 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ so } \det B_2 = -(-4 - 7) + 2(-12) = -13, \text{ so } x_2 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$(c) \ B_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 4 \\ 3 & -1 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ so } \det B_3 = -(35 - (-4)) = -39, \text{ so } x_3 = \frac{39}{26} = \frac{3}{2}.$$

**Problem §4** Prove the formula  $x_i = \frac{\det B_i}{\det A}$  in Cramer's Rule.

*Solution:* Suppose  $\det A \neq 0$  (since otherwise, Cramer's Rule would not hold). Then  $A$  is invertible, with  $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A} C^T$  (as proven in class). Thus

$$Ax = b \iff A^{-1}Ax = A^{-1}b \iff x = \frac{1}{\det A} C^T b.$$

Next, consider the  $i$ -th row of  $C^T b$ :

$$(C^T b)_{i,1} = \sum_{r=1}^n C_{i,r}^T b_{r,1} = b_{1,1} C_{i,1}^T + \dots + b_{n,1} C_{i,n}^T.$$

But this is the same as

$$b_{1,1} C_{1,i} + \dots + b_{n,1} C_{n,i},$$

which is exactly the formula for the cofactor expansion for the determinant along column  $i$ :

$$\det B_i = \sum_{r=1}^n b_{r,1} C_{r,i}.$$

Thus the  $i$ -th row of  $C^T b = \det B_i = \det Ax_i$  (since  $x = \frac{1}{\det A} C^T b$ , and the  $i$ -th row of  $x$  is  $x_i$ ), and so we get

$$x_i = \frac{\det B_i}{\det A},$$

as required.