## MATHIOID Homework 6

1) (11.4) 
$$w_{n} = (-2)^{n} \times_{n} = 5^{(-1)^{n}} \times_{n} = 1 + (-1)^{n} \times_{n} = n \cos(\frac{n\pi}{4}),$$

a.  $w_{n} = 4$ ,  $16$ ,  $64$ , ... =  $(-2)^{2n}$ 
 $x_{n} = 5$ ,  $5$ , ... =  $5^{(-1)^{2n}} = 5$ 
 $y_{n} = 22$ , ...  $\frac{2}{5} + (-1)^{2n} = 2$ 
 $\frac{2}{5} = \frac{5}{5} = \frac{5}{$ 

b. 
$$S_{w_{n}} = \{-\infty, \infty\}$$
  
 $S_{x_{n}} = \{-\infty, \infty\}$   
 $S_{y_{n}} = \{-\infty, 0, \infty\}$   
 $S_{z_{n}} = \{-\infty, 0, \infty\}$ 

C. 
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} w_n = +\infty$$
  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{n \to \infty} -\infty$   
 $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = 5$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{n \to \infty} x_n = \frac{1}{5}$   
 $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = 2$   $\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{n \to \infty} y_n = 0$   
 $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = +\infty$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = +\infty$ 

d. None of the sequences' Limits exist.

e, Xn and Yn are bounded above and below.

2)(11.8) Prove (1mintsn = + 11m sup (-sn) ex 5.4: Inf S = - sup (-S) for any Sie B

ex 5.4: Inf  $S = - \sup(-S)$  for any  $S \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

defin 10.6: (im sup  $s_n = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sup \mathcal{E} s_n | n = N \mathcal{B}$ , (im inf  $s_n = \lim_{N \to \infty} \inf \mathcal{E} s_n | n = N \mathcal{B}$ ).

Let  $S = \sup_{N \to \infty} \sup \lim_{N \to \infty} \inf \mathcal{E} s_n | n = N \mathcal{B}$ .

For -sn, by Theorem 9.2  $\lim_{s\to \infty} -s$ ; thus any  $\lim_{s\to \infty} -s$  has corresponding  $\lim_{s\to \infty} -s$  and so subseq.  $\lim_{s\to \infty} -s$ . By 5.4, inf  $s=-\sup(-s)$ ; but  $\sup(-s) = \lim_{s\to \infty} -s$ , and  $\inf(s) = \lim_{s\to \infty} -s$ ; thus  $\lim_{s\to \infty} -s$ .

1 - 1 Maria

To the same of the

3)(11,10) a. From the figure we can select subsequences  $5n_{\mu} = \frac{1}{k}$ ; thus  $S = \frac{1}{k} | k \in |N| \} \cup \{0\}$ . (0) is needed for the subsequence  $1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}$ ) b. As k >+00) 1 > 0; thus I'm sof sa = 0. Clearly law sof su = 1. 4) (12.4) Show (om sup (sn +tn) < (om sup sn + (om supt n fer banded (Sn), (tn) Consider Sn+tn. Since both bounded, vs = sup [sn | n>N] v+ = sup [tn | n=N] exist. Thus any satta & vs + v = sup Esn | n=N3 + sup Etn | n=N3 by definition of Supremum. Thus sup { sup { sn+tn | n=N3 < sup { sn | n=N3 + sup { th | n=N3 } stace Vs + Ve bounds Sn + tn from above. Since so, to bounded, sorty is bounded as well; this, Limsup so, Limsup to, and limsup (sn+tn) all exist. Thus, from 9.9c, we have (om sup(suth) < (omsup sn + (om sup tn) 5)(12.8) Prove that if (5n), (tn) are bounded squences of non-nogative numbers, the Lamsup sonto = (Com sup so) (Com supto) Since (Sn) is bounded, sup { sn /n = N } exists and is monotonic; this Theorem 10.7 tells us lam sup so converges. The same argument follows for lam supting Moreove (Sn) (tw) bounded, so (Sn tn) bounded, and (Im sups to converges as well Since 0 ≤ sn ≤ sups, 0 ≤ tn ≤ supting we have 0 ≤ sntn ≤ sups supting. Moreover, (Sn), (tn) bounded means (sntn) bounded as well. Since sups rept of it an upper bound, sup(sutu) & supsus suptu. Since both sup(sutu) and supsus suptu and monotonice & bounded, their limit exists that, by 9.9 c we have (Um sup sutu = (lom sups x (omsup tn),

1

(1)

6/12.10) Prone (Sn) bounded (=> Lam sup |sn | < +00. Suppose on bounded; then sup (on In > N) exist by defent toon. Moreover, the sequence is montitouse & bounded, and so by Theorem 10.2 lam sop in Comerges (1.e. is not +0). Conversely suppose V/s=lom sep Isn | < +00. Then for some N, any n>N Satisfies | sup |s, 1 - Vs | < & for any & > 0. This Vs-E< Sup | 5, | < Vs + E. Let E = 1; then suplan < Vs + 1, Let M = max Evs + 1, Is, I, ..., Is, Is (since any Isn for n=N sitisfing Isn | sup |sn | < vs+13. Then for any hell, Is, I < M, so - M < Sn < M. Thus Sn & bounded, 7)(14.2)  $a. \sum \frac{n-1}{n^2} = \sum \frac{n}{n^2} - \sum \frac{n}{n^2}$   $\sum \frac{n}{n^2}$  deverges while  $\sum \frac{1}{n^2}$  converges, so  $\sum \frac{n-1}{n^2}$  diverges b.  $\sum (-1)^{n} = \sum (-1)(-1)^{n-1}$   $\alpha = -1$ , |r| = 1  $\Rightarrow \sum (-1)^{n}$  if one not comerge,

c.  $\sum \frac{3n}{n^{3}} = \sum \frac{3}{n^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{n} \sum_{n=1}^{n}$  $f. \sum \frac{1}{n^n} \frac{1}{n^n} \leq \frac{1}{n^2}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; Since  $\sum \frac{1}{n^2}$  comarges, so closes  $\sum \frac{1}{n^n} = \frac{n+1}{2^{n+1}} \cdot \frac{2^n}{n} = \frac{n+1}{2^n} = \sum \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| = \frac{1}{2}$ , and so  $\sum \frac{n}{2^n}$  converges. 8) (14.6) a. Prone that if \(\Sigma\) converges and (by) bounded, \(\Sigma\) converges. Let sn = [ | Z | an | . Then ( Pm sn = 5, so | sn - s| < E for n > N, E > 0. Since by bounded for some M>0, By & MYIEN. Choose in we have  $|Ms_n - Ms| < M\frac{\epsilon}{m} = \epsilon$ , so  $Ms_n$  converges; thus Let Sn = \(\Since \langle \) Since (Im Sn = S (canerges), Sn Satisfies the Cauchy criterion; that it, for some N, |Sn-Sm < E for any E > 0. Consider Eakby Sluce 16 | M for some M >0, we have Thus we have, for Em, MISh-SI < M. Em, so IMSh-Msm | E. Then we have MSn satisfies the Cauchy criterion, and this is comergue. It follows that Msn ≥ Eakbk converges as well, b. Corollary 14.7 states that \(\Sigma\_n\) comerges implies Uman=0, we can choose a bounded sequence (b\_n)=1; hence (orallary 14.7 specifically looks of \(\Sigma\_n\)b\_n = \(\Sigma\_n\)b\_n.

6

6

9

0

95

5

5

9

5

5

5

0

C