**Problem §1** (3.5) For any integer  $D \in \mathbb{Z}$  that is not the square of an integer, we can form a ring

$$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}] = \{a + b\sqrt{D} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

If D > 0, then  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{R}$ , while if D < 0, then in any case it is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

(a) Let  $\alpha = 2 + 3\sqrt{5}$ ,  $\beta = 1 - 2\sqrt{5}$  be elements of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ . Compute the quantitties

$$\alpha + \beta, \alpha \cdot \beta, \alpha^2$$
.

(b) Prove that the map

$$\phi: \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}], \ \phi(a+b\sqrt{D}) = a-b\sqrt{D}$$

is a ring homomorphism (where  $\overline{\alpha}$  denotes the conjugate of  $\alpha$ ).

(c) With notation as in (b), prove that

$$\alpha \cdot \overline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]$ .

Solution:

(a)  $\alpha = 2 + 3\sqrt{5}, \ \beta = 1 - 2\sqrt{5}, \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}].$ 

$$\alpha+\beta=3+\sqrt{5}$$

$$\alpha \cdot \beta = (2 + 3\sqrt{5})(1 - 2\sqrt{5}) = 2 - 4\sqrt{5} + 3\sqrt{5} - 6 \cdot 5 = -28 - \sqrt{5}$$

$$\alpha^2 = (2 + 3\sqrt{5})^2 = 4 + 12\sqrt{5} + 45 = 49 + 12\sqrt{5}.$$

- (b)  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] \to \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}], \ \phi(\alpha) = \overline{\alpha}.$ 
  - First, observe that  $1_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]} = 1 + 0\sqrt{D} = 1$ . Then  $\phi(1_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]}) = 1 0\sqrt{D} = 1_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]}$ . Hence  $\phi(1_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]}) = 1_{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]}$ .
  - Let  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{D}$ ,  $\beta = c + d\sqrt{D}$ . Then  $\alpha + \beta = (a + c) + (b + d)\sqrt{D}$ , so

$$\phi(\alpha+\beta) = (a+c) - (b+d)\sqrt{D} = a - b\sqrt{D} + c - d\sqrt{D} = \phi(\alpha) + \phi(\beta)$$

by additive associativity, so  $\phi(\alpha + \beta) = \phi(\alpha) + \phi(\beta)$ .

• Let  $\alpha, \beta$  as before. Then  $\alpha \cdot \beta = ac - ad\sqrt{D} - bc\sqrt{D} + bdD = (ac + bdD) + (ad + bc)\sqrt{D}$ , and  $\phi(\alpha) = a - b\sqrt{D}$ ,  $\phi(\beta) = c - d\sqrt{D}$ . Then

$$\phi(\alpha \cdot \beta) = ac + bdD - (ad + bc)\sqrt{D} \quad \phi(\alpha) \cdot \phi(\beta) = ac - ad\sqrt{D} - bc\sqrt{D} + bdD = (ac + bdD) - (ad + bc)\sqrt{D}.$$

Hence  $\phi(\alpha \cdot \beta) = \phi(\alpha) \cdot \phi(\beta)$ , and so  $\phi$  is a ring homomorphism.

(c)  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{D}$ ,  $\overline{\alpha} = \phi(\alpha) \cdot \phi(\beta)$ . Then

$$\alpha \cdot \overline{\alpha} = a - ab\sqrt{D} + ab\sqrt{D} - b^2D = a - b^2D \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(All of  $a, b, D \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  is closed under addition).

**Problem §2** (3.15) For a quaternion  $\alpha = a + bi + cj + dk \in \mathbb{H}$ , we let  $\overline{\alpha} = a - bi - cj - dk$ .

- (a) Prove that  $\alpha \overline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (b) Prove that  $\alpha \overline{\alpha} = 0$  if and only if  $\alpha = 0$ .
- (c) Suppose that  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{H}$  and that  $\alpha\beta = 0$ . Prove that either  $\alpha = 0$  or  $\beta = 0$ .
- (d) Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{H}$ . Prove that

$$\overline{\alpha + \beta} = \overline{\alpha} + \overline{\beta}$$
 and  $\overline{\alpha \cdot \beta} = \overline{\beta} \cdot \overline{\alpha}$ .

(e) Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}$  with  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Prove that there is a  $\beta \in \mathbb{H}$  satisfying  $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha = 1$ , i.e. every non-zero element of  $\mathbb{H}$  has a multiplicative inverse.

Solution:

(a) For  $\alpha = a + bi + cj + dk \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\alpha \overline{\alpha} = (a+bi+cj+dk)(a-bi-cj-dk) = a^2+b^2+c^2+d^2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(b) Suppose  $\alpha \overline{\alpha} = 0$ . Then  $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = 0$ . Since  $x^2 \ge 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $x^2 = 0$  only when x = 0, then a = b = c = d = 0, and so  $\alpha = 0$ .

Now, suppose  $\alpha = 0$ . Then a + bi + cj + dk = 0, and so a = b = c = d = 0 (by definition, if any of  $a, b, c, d \neq 0$ , one can clearly see  $\alpha \neq 0$ , since i, j, k dont cancel each other individually, i.e. ai + yj = 0 only when x, y = 0). Hence  $\alpha \overline{\alpha} = 0^2 + 0^2 + 0^2 = 0$ .

(c) Suppose  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{H}$ , with  $\alpha = a + bi + cj + dk$ ,  $\beta = w + xi + yj + zk$  and  $\alpha \cdot \beta = 0$ . First, we note that  $\alpha \overline{\alpha} = \overline{\alpha} \alpha = a^2 = b^2 + c^2 + d^2$ . Then

$$\alpha\beta = 0$$
$$\overline{\alpha}\alpha\beta = \overline{\alpha}\cdot 0$$
$$(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2)\beta\overline{\beta} = 0\cdot \overline{\beta}$$
$$(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2)(w^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2) = 0.$$

Since  $x^2 \ge 0$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $x^2 = 0$  only when x = 0, we see that either a = b = c = d = 0 or w = x = y = z = 0.

(d) Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{H}$  as before. Then  $\alpha + \beta = (a+w) + (b+x)i + (c+y)j + (d+z)k$ . We have

$$\overline{\alpha + \beta} = (a+w) - (b+x)i - (c+y)j - (d+z)k,$$

and

$$\overline{\alpha} + \overline{\beta} = a - bi - cj - dk + w - xi - yj - zk = \overline{\alpha + \beta} = (a + w) - (b + x)i - (c + y)j - (d + z)k.$$

Thus  $\overline{\alpha + \beta} = \overline{\alpha} + \overline{\beta}$ .

$$\overline{\alpha \cdot \beta} = (aw - bx - cy - dz) - (ax + bw + cz - dy)i - (ay - bz + cw + dx)j - (az + by - cx + dw)k, \text{ and } \overline{\beta \cdot \overline{\alpha}} = (aw - bx - cy - dz) - (ax + bw + cz - dy)i - (ay - bz + cw + dx)j - (az + by - cx + dw)k, \text{ so } \overline{\alpha \cdot \beta} = \overline{\beta} \cdot \overline{\alpha}.$$

(e) Note that  $\alpha \overline{\alpha} = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$ . For  $\alpha \overline{\alpha} = 1$ , we need  $\frac{\alpha \overline{\alpha}}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2}$ . Thus, let  $\beta = \frac{\overline{\alpha}}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2} \in \mathbb{H}$  (since  $\alpha \neq 0$ , not all a, b, c, d are zero). Then  $\alpha \beta = \frac{\alpha \overline{\alpha}}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2} = \frac{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2} = 1$ . Hence any non-zero  $\alpha$  has a multiplicative inverse.

## Problem §3

- (3.17) Let R be a field. Prove that R is an integral domain.
- (3.18) Let R be a ring. Prove that R is an integral domain if and only if R has the cancellation property.

Solution:

• Let R be a field. Then R is a commutative ring, and for any  $a \in R$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , there exists a  $b \in R$  such that ab = 1.

Let  $a \in R$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , and suppose for some  $b \in R$ , ab = 0. Since R is a field, there exists some  $c \in R$  such that ac = ca = 1. Then

$$ab = 0$$

$$cab = c \cdot 0$$

$$1 \cdot b = 0$$

$$b = 0.$$

Thus for any non-zero  $a \in R$ , if, for some  $b \in R$ , ab = 0, then b = 0; in other words, R has no zero divisors, and thus is an integral domain.

• Let R be a ring, and suppose R has the cancellation property; that is, for every  $a, b, c \in R$ , if ab = ac and  $a \neq 0$ , then b = c. Let  $a, b \in R$  such that  $a \neq 0$  and ab = 0. Thus ab = 0 implies

$$ab = a \cdot 0$$
,

and by the cancellation property, b=0. Thus if  $a,b\in R$ ,  $a\neq 0$ , and ab=0, then b=0. Hence R has no zero divisors, and so R is an integral domain.

Conversely, suppose R is an integral domain. Then for any  $ab=0, a\neq 0$ , then b=0. Let  $a,b,c\in R$  with  $a\neq 0$ , and suppose ab=ac. Then

$$ab - ac = a(b - c) = 0.$$

Since R is an integral domain and  $a \neq 0$ , b - c must be 0. Thus b = c, and so R has the cancellation property.

**Problem §4** (3.23 a-c) Let R be a ring, and  $a \in R$ . a is **nilpotent** if  $a^n = 0$  for some  $n \ge 1$ . a is **unipotent** if a - 1 is nilpotent (e.g.  $(a - 1)^n = 0$  for some  $n \ge 1$ ). a is **idempotent** if  $a^2 = a$ .

- (a) If R is an integral domain, describe all nil/uni/idempotent elements of R. How many are there of each?
- (b) Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $k \geq 1$ . Describe all the nilpotent elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, how many are there?
- (c) Let  $a \in R$  be unipotent. Prove that a is a unit, i.e. it has a multiplicative inverse.

Solution:

- (a) Let a ring R be an integral domain.
  - Nilpotent elements: Clearly, a = 0 is nilpotent, so suppose  $a \neq 0$ . Then  $a(a^{n-1}) = 0$  implies  $a^{n-1} = 0$  by property of the integral domain. Repeating until  $a \cdot a = 0$ , if  $a \neq 0$ , then a = 0, a contradiction. Hence if a is nilpotent, a = 0.
  - Unipotent elements: Clearly, a = 1 is unipotent (since a 1 = 0 is nilpotent), so suppose  $a \neq 1$ . Like before, since R is an integral domain,  $(a 1)(a 1)^{n-1} = 0$  implies  $(a 1)^{n-1} = 0$ , and repeating this process until (a 1)(a 1) = 0, we get a 1 = 0, or a = 1, a contradiction. Hence if a is unipotent, then a = 1.
  - **Idempotent elements**: Clearly, 0 and 1 are idempotent elements. If  $a^2 = a$ , then  $a^2 a = a(a-1) = 0$ . If  $a \neq 0$ , then a-1=0, so a=1. If  $a-1 \neq 0$ , then a=0. Hence 0 and 1 are the only idempotent elements.
- (b) Suppose  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a prime number, and  $k \geq 1$ . Consider  $\mathbb{Z}/pk\mathbb{Z}$ . For any multiple of p in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$  (e.g.  $ap \in \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $a < p^{k-1}$ ), we have that  $(ap)^k = a^kp^k \equiv 0 \mod p^k$ ; thus any multiple of p in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$  is nilpotent. Additionally, 0 is trivially nilpotent; thus we have  $p^{k-1}$  nilpotent elements, at least.

Now, we make an observation: given  $a, p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and p prime, if  $p^k$  divides a, then p divides a. Equivalently, if  $a \equiv 0 \mod p^k$ , then  $a \equiv 0 \mod p$  (since  $p^k$  divides a, any  $p, p^2, p^3, \ldots, p^{k-1}$  divides a). Taking its contrapositive, if p does not divide a, then  $p^k$  does not divide a.

For any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$  where a is not a multiple of p, we have that  $a = np + b \equiv b \mod p$  for some  $b, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \ 0 \leq b < p$ ; then  $b^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p$  by Fermat's Little Theorem. Clearly,  $b^s \not\equiv 0 \mod p$  for any  $0 \leq s < p$  (since otherwise we would get  $b^{p-1} \equiv b^{s+i} \equiv 0 \mod p$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ); and for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $i \geq p-1$ , for some  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $0 \leq r < p-1$ , any  $b^i = b^{q(p-1)+r} \equiv b^r \not\equiv 0 \mod p$  (since any  $a^r \not\equiv 0 \mod p$  for  $0 \leq s < p$  from before). Thus  $a^m \not\equiv 0 \mod p$  for any  $m \geq 1$ , and so  $a^m \not\equiv 0 \mod p^k$ . Thus  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$  is nilpotent only if a is a multiple of p in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ , and so  $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$  has  $p^{k-1}$  nilpotent elements.

(c) Suppose  $a \in R$  is unipotent; then for some  $n \in N$ ,  $(a-1)^n = 0$ . By the Binomial Theorem, we have

$$a^{n} + \binom{n}{n-1}(-1)a^{n-1} + \ldots + \binom{n}{1}(-1)^{n-1}a + (-1)^{n} = a\left(a^{n-1} + \binom{n}{n-1}(-1)a^{n-1} + \ldots + \binom{n}{1}(-1)^{n-1}\right) + (-1)^{n} = 0,$$

so we have

$$a(a^{n-1} + \binom{n}{n-1}(-1)a^{n-1} + \ldots + \binom{n}{1}(-1)^{n-1}) = (-1)^{n+1}.$$

Let  $b = a^{n-1} + \binom{n}{n-1}(-1)a^{n-1} + \ldots + \binom{n}{1}(-1)^{n-1}$ . If n even, then a(-b) = -1, so ab = 1; and if n odd, then ab = 1. In either case,  $\pm b \in R$  (by closure of ring addition and multiplication), so a is a unit.

## **Problem §5** (3.25)

- (a) Compute the unit group  $\mathbb{Z}^*$ .
- (b) Compute the unit group  $\mathbb{Q}^*$ .
- (c) Compute the unit group  $\mathbb{Z}[i]^*$ .
- (d) Consider the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ . Prove that  $1 + \sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]^*$ . Prove that the powers of  $1 + \sqrt{2}$ , or  $(1 + \sqrt{2})^n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  are all different, and use the fact to deduce that  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]^*$  has infinitely many elements.
- (e) Prove that  $\mathbb{R}[x]^* = \mathbb{R}^*$ .
- (f) Prove that 1 + 2x is a unit in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

Solution:

- (a)  $\mathbb{Z}^* = \{\pm 1\}$ , since  $1 \cdot 1 = -1 \cdot -1 = 1$ , and for any |x| > 1,  $xy \neq 1$  for any  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$  (since  $\frac{1}{x} \notin \mathbb{Z}$  if |x| > 1).
- (b)  $\mathbb{Q}^* = \{a \in \mathbb{Q} \mid a \neq 0\}$ , since for any non-zero  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we can take  $a \cdot \frac{1}{a} = 1$ .
- (c) Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ ,  $\alpha = a + bi$ ,  $\beta = c + di$ . Then  $\alpha\beta = (a + bi)(c + di) = (ac bd) + (ad + bc)i$ . In order for  $\alpha\beta = 1$ , we need both ac bd = 1 and ad + bc = 0. Isolating for  $d = -\frac{bc}{a}$  and plugging in, we get

$$ac + \frac{b^2c}{a} = \frac{c}{a}(a^2 + b^2) = 1,$$

and so  $c = \frac{a}{a^2 + b^2}$ . Plugging c into ad + bc = 0, we get

$$ad + \frac{ab}{a^2 + b^2} = 0,$$

and so  $d = -\frac{b}{a^2 + b^2}$ . Thus  $\beta = \alpha^{-1} = (\frac{a}{a^2 + b^2}) - (\frac{b}{a^2 + b^2})i$ ; but since  $\frac{a}{a^2 + b^2}, \frac{b}{a^2 + b^2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we must have either  $a = \pm 1, b = 0$  or  $a = 0, b = \pm 1$  (since  $a^2 \ge a$ , and  $k(a^2 + b^2) = |a|$  only when  $k = 1, a = \pm 1, b = 0$ ). Thus  $\mathbb{Z}[i]^* = \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ .

(d) Consider  $(1+\sqrt{2})(a+b\sqrt{2})=(a+2b)+(a+b)\sqrt{2}$ . For a=-1,b=1, we get  $(-1+2)(-1+1)\sqrt{2}=1$ ; thus  $(1+\sqrt{2})(-1+\sqrt{2})=1$ , and so  $1+\sqrt{2}\in\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]^*$ .

Now, we prove a lemma:

**Lemma 1.** For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(1 + \sqrt{2})^n = a + b\sqrt{2}$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, the sequence  $s_n = (1 + \sqrt{2})^n$  is strictly increasing.

*Proof.* We use induction: clearly,  $a = b = 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ , so the base case holds.

Now, suppose  $(1+\sqrt{2})^n=a+b\sqrt{2}$  for some  $a,b\in\mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(1+\sqrt{2})^n(1+\sqrt{2})=(a+b\sqrt{2})(1+\sqrt{2})=(a+2b)+(a+b)\sqrt{2}$ ; and since  $a,b\in\mathbb{N}$ , we have  $a+2b,a+b\in\mathbb{N}$  as well. Hence for any  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ , if  $(1+\sqrt{2})^n=a+b\sqrt{2}$  for some positive  $a,b\in\mathbb{N}$ , we have  $(1+\sqrt{2})^{n+1}=a'+b'\sqrt{2}$ ,  $a,b\in\mathbb{N}$  as well; and since the base case holds, we have that  $(1+\sqrt{2})^n=a+b\sqrt{2}$ ,  $a,b\in\mathbb{N}$  for any  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ .

From this, we can also clearly see that  $s_n$  is strictly increasing: for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(1+\sqrt{2})^n = a+b\sqrt{2} < (a+2b)+(a+b)\sqrt{2} = (1+\sqrt{2})^{n+1}$ , so  $s_n < s_{n+1}$ , as required<sup>1</sup>.  $\square$ 

Now, since  $(1+\sqrt{2})^n < (1+\sqrt{2})^{n+1}$ , it naturally follows that for any  $j,k \in \mathbb{N}, j \neq k$  (supposing without loss of generality that j < k),  $(1+\sqrt{2})^j \neq (1+\sqrt{2})^k$ , and so all  $(1+\sqrt{2})^n$  are different for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (in other words, there are infinitely many  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ ). Moreover, for any  $(1+\sqrt{2})^n$ , we have  $(1+\sqrt{2})^n(-1+\sqrt{2})^n = 1$ , since  $(1+\sqrt{2})(-1+\sqrt{2}) = 1$ . Thus any  $(1+\sqrt{2})^n \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]^*$ ; and since there are infinitely many  $(1+\sqrt{2})^n$ , there are infinitely many elements in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]^*$ .

- (e) Clearly,  $\mathbb{R}^* \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x]^*$  (since  $\mathbb{R}$  is a field, and we can choose  $a + 0x + 0x^2 + \ldots \in \mathbb{R}[x]^*$  given an  $a \in \mathbb{R}^*$ ). Suppose  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  where  $\alpha = a_0 + a_1x + \ldots + a_nx^n$ ,  $\beta = b_0 + b_1x + \ldots + b_mx^m$ , and n, m respectively are the highest powers of x with non-zero coefficients. In order for  $\alpha\beta = c_0 + \ldots + c_{m+n}x^{m+n} = 1$ , we need  $a_0b_0 = 1$ , and  $c_i = 0$  for any  $0 < i \le m+n$ . However,  $a_nb_m \neq 0$  by definition, and so the highest power  $c_{m+n}x^{m+n} = a_nb_mx^{m+n}$  has a non-zero coefficient; thus  $a_0b_0 + \ldots + a_nb_mx^{m+n} \neq 1$  for any m+n>0. It naturally follows that we need m=n=0, and so  $\alpha\beta = 1$  only when  $\alpha=a_0$ ,  $\beta=b_0$ ,  $a_0b_0 = a_0 \cdot \frac{1}{a_0} = 1$ . In other words, for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}[x]^*$ , we have  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^*$ ; thus  $\mathbb{R}[x]^* \subseteq \mathbb{R}^*$ , and so  $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R}[x]^*$ .
- (f) Let  $1 + 2x \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$(1+2x)(1+2x) = 1+4x+4x^2 \equiv 1+0x+0x^2 = 1.$$

Hence  $1 + 2x \in (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})[x]^*$ .

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Many thanks to MATH1010 and Tamarkin Assistant Professor Huy Quang Nguyen for his illuminating insights into sequences.