

**Problem §3** Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space and let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  be an operator. Prove that the following are equivalent:

(a)  $T^2 = T$ .

(b) There exist subspaces  $U_1, U_2$  of  $V$  such that  $V = U_1 \oplus U_2$  and

$$T(u_1 + u_2) = u_1$$

for all  $u_1 \in U_1, u_2 \in U_2$ .

(c)  $T$  is diagonalizable and its set of eigenvalues is a subset of  $\{0, 1\}$ .

*Solution:* We start with a lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Suppose  $V$  is a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , and  $T^2 = T$ . Then  $V = \text{range } T \oplus \text{null } T$ .

*Proof.* First, consider  $v - T(v) \in V$ .  $T^2 = T$  implies  $T(v - T(v)) = T(v) - T^2(v) = 0$ , so  $v - T(v) \in \text{null } T$ . Moreover,  $T(v) \in \text{range } T$  trivially. Thus for any vector  $v \in V$ ,

$$v = T(v) + v - T(v) = u_1 + u_2, \text{ where } u_1 \in \text{range } T, u_2 \in \text{null } T,$$

and so  $V = \text{range } T + \text{null } T$ . Now, consider  $v \in \text{range } T \cap \text{null } T$ . Then for some  $v' \in V$ ,  $T(v') = v$ ; moreover,  $T(v) = 0$ . Thus

$$v = T(v') = T^2(v') = T(T(v')) = T(v) = 0$$

and so  $v = 0$ . Thus  $\text{range } T \cap \text{null } T = \{0\}$ , and so  $V = \text{range } T \oplus \text{null } T$ .  $\square$

Assume  $T^2 = T$ . By the lemma,  $V = \text{range } T \oplus \text{null } T$ . We know  $\text{range } T$  and  $\text{null } T$  are both subspaces of  $V$ . Let  $u_1 \in \text{range } T$  (and so  $u_1 = T(v)$  for some  $v \in V$ ),  $u_2 \in \text{null } T$ . Then

$$T(u_1 + u_2) = T(u_1) + T(u_2) = T(T(v)) + 0 = T^2(v).$$

But  $T^2 = T$ , so  $T^2(v) = T(v) = u_1$ . Thus  $T(u_1 + u_2) = u_1$ , as required.

Now, assume there exist subspaces  $U_1, U_2$  of  $V$  such that  $V = U_1 \oplus U_2$  and

$$T(u_1 + u_2) = u_1$$

for all  $u_1 \in U_1, u_2 \in U_2$ . Recall that all subspaces  $U$  of  $V$  must have  $0 \in U$ . For any  $u_2 \in U_2$ ,

$$0 = T(0 + u_2) = T(u_2) = 0u_2, \text{ so } \lambda_1 = 0.$$

Thus, if  $U_2 \neq \{0\}$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_j$  form a basis of  $U_2$ , then  $T$  has an eigenvalue 0, and  $v_1, \dots, v_j \in E(0, T)$ . Similarly, for any  $u_1 \in U_1$ ,

$$T(u_1) = T(u_1 + 0) = u_1 = 1u_1, \text{ so } \lambda_2 = 1.$$

Thus, if  $U_1 \neq \{0\}$ , and  $v_{j+1}, \dots, v_n$  form a basis of  $U_1$ , then  $T$  has an eigenvalue 1, and  $v_{j+1}, \dots, v_n \in E(1, T)$ .

Since  $U_1 \oplus U_2 = V$ , any vector  $v \in V$  can be uniquely represented in terms of the two bases of  $U_1$  and  $U_2$ :  $a_1v_1 + \dots + a_jv_j + a_{j+1}v_{j+1} + \dots + a_nv_n$ . Moreover, since all  $v_i$  are eigenvectors,  $T$  is thus diagonalizable; additionally, any eigenvalue of  $T$  is either 0 or 1, as required.

Finally, assume  $T$  is diagonalizable and its set of eigenvalues are a subset of  $\{0, 1\}$ . Recall that  $T$  diagonalizable means that  $V$  has a basis of eigenvectors of  $T$ . Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be a basis of  $V$ .  $T$  must clearly have eigenvalues (since otherwise it wouldn't be diagonalizable).

If  $\lambda = 0$  is the only eigenvalue, then for any  $v \in V$ ,  $v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n$  and

$$T(v) = T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = 0 = T(0) = T(T(v)) = T^2(v).$$

Similarly, if  $\lambda = 1$  is the only eigenvalue, then

$$T^2(v) = T(T(v)) = T(v).$$

Finally, suppose  $T$  has two eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = 0$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 1$ . Let  $v_1, \dots, v_j \in E(0, T)$ ,  $v_{j+1}, \dots, v_n \in E(1, T)$ . Then for any  $v \in V$ , we have

$$T(v) = T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_jv_j + a_{j+1}v_{j+1} + \dots + a_nv_n) = a_1 \cdot 0v_1 + \dots + a_j \cdot 0v_j + a_{j+1} \cdot 1v_{j+1} + \dots + a_n \cdot 1v_n = a_{j+1}v_{j+1} + \dots + a_nv_n$$

and

$$T(v^2) = T(T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_jv_j + a_{j+1}v_{j+1} + \dots + a_nv_n)) = T(a_{j+1}v_{j+1} + \dots + a_nv_n) = a_{j+1}v_{j+1} + \dots + a_nv_n.$$

Thus for any  $v \in V$ ,  $T^2 = T$ , as required.

Therefore (a) implies (b), (b) implies (c), and (c) implies (a), and so the three statements are equivalent.

**Problem §4** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ ,  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ , and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Prove that  $\alpha$  is an eigenvalue of  $p(T)$  if and only if  $\alpha = p(\lambda)$  for some eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $T$ .

*Solution:* Suppose  $\alpha$  is an eigenvalue of  $p(T)$ , and consider  $q(z) = p(z) - \alpha$ . Since  $\alpha$  is an eigenvalue,  $p(T) - \alpha I$  is not injective; thus  $(p(T) - \alpha I)(v) = 0$  for some non-zero  $v \in V$ , and so

$$q(T)(v) = (c(T - \lambda_1 I) \dots (T - \lambda_m I))(v) = 0.$$

Hence one of  $T - \lambda_j I$  is not injective, and so  $\lambda_j$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ . Moreover,

$$q(\lambda_j) = p(\lambda_j) - \alpha = 0,$$

so  $\alpha = p(\lambda_j)$  for some eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  of  $T$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\alpha = p(\lambda)$  for some eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $T$ . Let  $v \in E(\lambda, T)$ . Then  $T(v) = \lambda(v)$ ; moreover,  $T^2(v) = T(T(v)) = \lambda T(v) = \lambda^2 v$ . Trivial induction leads to  $T^n(v) = \lambda^n v$ . Thus for any polynomial

$$p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_n z^n,$$

we have

$$p(T)(v) = (a_0 I + a_1 T + \dots + a_n T^n)(v) = a_0 v + a_1 T(v) + \dots + a_n T^n(v) = a_0 v + a_1 \lambda v + \dots + a_n \lambda^n v = p(\lambda)(v).$$

Thus  $p(T)(v) = p(\lambda)(v) = \alpha v$ , and so  $\alpha = p(\lambda)$  is an eigenvalue of  $p(T)$ .