

**Problem §4b** (I'm only including part b, since it was noted that parts a, c, and d had correct proofs)  
Let  $G$  be a group, and let the centralizer of  $g \in G$  be denoted

$$Z_G(g) = \{g' \in G \mid gg' = g'g\}.$$

Compute the centralizer  $Z_G(g)$  for the following elements and groups:

- $G = \mathcal{D}_4$  and  $g$  is rotation by  $90^\circ$ .
- $G = \mathcal{D}_4$  and  $g$  is a flip fixing two vertices of a square.
- $G = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ .

*Solution:* Using the Dihedral group  $\mathcal{D}_n$  and rotations as defined before (where  $r_i(j) = j + i \pmod n$ ,  $f_i(j) = n - j + i \pmod n$ ; compared to the book,  $r_k = \rho_k$ ,  $f_k = \phi_{k+1}$ ), and noting that a rotation by  $90^\circ$  is the same as  $r_i$ , we see that for any  $r_k$ ,  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} = V_n$ ,

$$r_k \circ r_i(j) = r_k(j + i) = j + i + k = j + k + i = r_i(j + k) = r_i \circ r_k(j);$$

equivalently,  $r_1$  commutes with any other rotation (for  $\mathcal{D}_4$ , this means  $\{\rho_0 = e, \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3\}$ ). Moreover, for any flip  $f_k$ ,  $k \in V_n$ , we have

$$f_k \circ r_1(j) = f_k(j + 1) = n - j - 1 + k \quad r_1 \circ f_k(j) = r_1(n - j + k) = n - j + 1 + k.$$

Clearly, these are not equivalent, so any flip  $f_k$  does not commute with  $r_1$ . Thus,  $Z_{\mathcal{D}_4}(\rho_1) = \{e, \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3\}$ .

Now, consider flips that fix two vertices of a square; for this, we see that these flips are  $f_0$  and  $f_2$ . Trivially, the identity commutes. Consider any rotation  $f_i$ ,  $i \in V_4$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_i \circ f_0(j) &= f_i(n - j) = n - n + j + i = j + i & f_0 \circ f_i(j) &= f_0(n - j + i) = n - n + j - i = j - i \\ f_i \circ f_2(j) &= f_i(n - j + 2) = n - n + j - 2 + i = j + i - 2 & f_2 \circ f_i(j) &= f_2(n - j + i) = n - n + j - i + 2. \end{aligned}$$

For  $f_0$ ,  $j + i \equiv j - i \pmod 4$  only when  $i = 0, 2$ ; thus only  $f_{0,2}$  commutes with  $f_0$ . The same is the case with  $f_2$  (since  $j + i - 2 \equiv j - i + 2 \pmod 4$ ). Thus, out of the flips, only  $f_{0,2}$  commute with flips that fix two vertices.

Finally, consider any rotation  $r_k$ ,  $k \in V_4$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} r_k \circ f_0(j) &= r_k(n - j) = n - j + k & f_0 \circ r_k(j) &= f_0(j + k) = n - j - k \\ r_k \circ f_2(j) &= r_k(n - j + 2) = n - j + k + 2 & f_2 \circ r_k(j) &= f_2(j + k) = n - j - k + 2. \end{aligned}$$

In both situations,  $r_k \circ f_{0,2} \equiv f_{0,2} \circ r_k$  only if  $k \equiv -k \pmod 4$ ; clearly,  $k = 2$  is the only valid solution, so  $r_2 = \rho_2$  is the only valid rotation. Thus  $Z_{\mathcal{D}_4}(\phi_{1,3}) = \{e, \phi_1, \phi_3, \rho_2\}$ .

Finally, consider elements  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  where  $a = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$  and  $b = \begin{pmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{pmatrix}$ . Then

$$\alpha\beta = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ae & af \\ dg & dh \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\beta\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ae & df \\ ag & dh \end{pmatrix}.$$

In other words, the two commute when  $af = df$  and  $ag = dg$ ; equivalently, whenever  $a = d$  (or  $f = g = 0$ , but that's included in  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ ). Thus, if  $a = d$ , then  $Z_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})} \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \right) = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . If  $a \neq d$ , then  $af = df$  and  $ag = dg$  implies  $f = g = 0$ . Thus, if  $a \neq d$ , then  $Z_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})} \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \right) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix} \mid e, h \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \right\}$  (we exclude 0 since otherwise the matrix would not be invertible, and thus would not be in  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ ).

The main thing I was lacking in my original part b was no explanation; I had stated the centralizer of the specified group element given a group, but I didn't provide justification for why the centralizer was what it was. Thus, in this revision I sought to provide clear explanations behind how I got my results.