

**MATH 540 HONORS LINEAR ALGEBRA SUMMER 2021, Melody Chan**  
**PROBLEM SET C**

**Due Tuesday June 1 at 11:59pm Eastern**

Submit all of the following on Gradescope, and don't forget to tag each answer to its page. We have implemented a course policy whereby failing to tag results in half credit. I put a copy of this problem set in the Overleaf folder too.

1. (2 points; this is essentially Axler 1.B.2 page 17) Let  $v$  be a nonzero vector in a vector space  $V$ , and let  $a \in \mathbb{F}$ . Prove that

$$av = \mathbf{0} \text{ only if } a = 0.$$

In other words, prove that  $av = \mathbf{0}$  implies  $a = 0$ . Possible hint below.<sup>1</sup>

2. (2 points) Let  $v, w \in V$ , and suppose  $v \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Prove that there exists at most one  $a \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$av = w.$$

3. (“Unions of subspaces are pretty much never subspaces, except in silly ways.”)

(a) (3 points) Axler Exercise 1.C.12 page 25. Here  $V$  is a vector space over any<sup>2</sup> field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

(b) (extra credit, 2 points)

Axler Exercise 1.C.13 page 25, for  $V$  a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  where  $\mathbb{F}$  has more than two elements.

What about four subspaces? Do some examples and/or make the most general *conjecture* (informed guess) that you can. What fails in the Axler problem when  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$ , the finite field with two elements?

4. (Ungraded) If you did not already do so on Review Sheet 5, prove for yourself that the range of a linear map  $T: V \rightarrow W$  is a subspace of  $W$ . Check against the textbook's proof on page 62.
5. (4 points) Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ , and let  $T: V \rightarrow W$  be a linear map. Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional and  $T$  is surjective. Prove, carefully, that  $W$  is finite-dimensional.

---

<sup>1</sup>You could try a **proof by contradiction**: assume for a moment that the *negation* of the statement that you're trying to prove holds. Try to deduce an absurdity. That is, deduce a contradiction with something you know to be true. That would show that the aforementioned negation *can't* hold. For more, see Hammack's book p. 137.

<sup>2</sup>Note: Axler's convention is that  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  only. But in our class,  $\mathbb{F}$  denotes an arbitrary field.