

Problem §1 Let v_1, \dots, v_n be a basis for V , and let w_1, \dots, w_n be another basis for V .

- (a) Prove that for any $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, there exists an $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_n, w_j$$

is a basis.

- (b) Prove that for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, there exists a $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_n, w_j$$

is a basis.

Solution:

- (a) Let w_j be any basis vector in the basis w_1, \dots, w_n , and create the list

$$w_j, v_1, \dots, v_n.$$

Since v_1, \dots, v_n spans V , so does w_j, v_1, \dots, v_n ; additionally, the list is linearly dependent, since $w_j \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$. Consider

$$a_j w_j + a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n = 0,$$

and let i be the largest value in $\{j, 1, \dots, n\}$ such that $a_i \neq 0$.

We know that $i \neq j$, since otherwise the list would be linearly independent, a contradiction. Thus $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. By the Linear Dependence Lemma, $v_i \in \text{span}(w_j, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1})$, and

$$\text{span}(w_j, v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_n) = \text{span}(w_j, v_1, \dots, v_n).$$

Since every spanning set with length $n = \dim V$ is a basis for V , and $w_j, v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_n$ has length n , we have that

$$v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_n, w_j$$

is a basis, as required.

- (b) Let v_i be any basis vector in the basis v_1, \dots, v_n , and form the list

$$v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, v_n, w_1, \dots, w_n.$$

This list spans V (since w_1, \dots, w_n form a basis for V) and is linearly dependent. We then proceed with an iterative step to remove elements from the list: in order from $j = 1$ to $(n - 1) + n$, for $w_j \in v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_n, w_1, \dots, w_n$, if $w_j \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_n, \dots, w_{j-1})$, then remove it from the list. Since $v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_n$ is linearly independent, none of the v 's are removed. Now, we have two options with w_j from $j = 1$ to n :

- (a) If $w_j \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_n)$, then we delete w_j from the list and proceed to w_{j+1} (the span is unchanged, by the Linear Dependence Lemma).
- (b) If $w_j \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_n)$, then the list $v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_n, w_j$ is a linearly independent list of length n . Since every linearly independent list with length $n = \dim V$ is a basis, any w_k with $k > j$ is in the span of $v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_n, w_j$, and so we can remove every w_k .

Observe also that we cannot remove every w_j ; at least (and at most, as shown above) one of the w_j 's must not be in the span of $v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_n$. Otherwise, the final list $v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_n$ does not span V , a contradiction to the requirement of not changing the span. Hence, after removing any v_i , we are left with a basis $v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_n, w_j$ for some $w_j \in \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$, as required.

Problem §2 Let V, W be vector spaces. Suppose v_1, \dots, v_m are linearly independent in V and suppose w_1, \dots, w_m are any vectors in W . Prove that there exists a linear map $T : V \rightarrow W$ such that

$$T(v_1) = w_1, \dots, T(v_m) = w_m.$$

Solution: Let v_1, \dots, v_m be linearly independent in V , and extend the list to a basis $v_1, \dots, v_m, u_1, \dots, u_n$. Define a linear map

$$T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m + b_1u_1 + \dots + b_nu_n) = a_1w_1 + \dots + a_mw_m.$$

(All of the u_i 's are sent to 0). Because $v_1, \dots, v_m, u_1, \dots, u_n$ is a basis, T is a function, as each element of V can be uniquely written in the form $v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m + b_1u_1 + \dots + b_nu_n$. By taking $a_i = 1$ and the other a 's as zero, we have that

$$T(v_i) = w_i.$$

Now, take any two vectors $u, v \in V$ and any two scalars $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{F}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} T(\lambda_1u + \lambda_2v) &= T((\lambda_1a_1v_1 + \dots + \lambda_1a_mv_m + \lambda_1b_1u_1 + \dots + \lambda_1b_nu_n) + (\lambda_2c_1v_1 + \dots + \lambda_2c_mv_m + \lambda_2d_1u_1 + \dots + \lambda_2d_nu_n)) \\ &= (\lambda_1a_1w_1 + \dots + \lambda_1a_mw_m) + (\lambda_2c_1w_1 + \dots + \lambda_2c_mw_m) \\ &= \lambda_1(a_1w_1 + \dots + a_mw_m) + \lambda_2(c_1w_1 + \dots + c_mw_m) \\ &= \lambda_1T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m + b_1u_1 + \dots + b_nu_n) + \lambda_2T(c_1v_1 + \dots + c_mv_m + d_1u_1 + \dots + d_nu_n) \\ &= \lambda_1T(u) + \lambda_2T(v). \end{aligned}$$

Thus T preserves linearity and homogeneity, and so T is a linear map (note that T is very much not injective! Going from the 2nd last step to the 3rd last step is guaranteed, but the reverse is very much not guaranteed.)

Problem §3 Let V, W be vector spaces over \mathbb{F} , and suppose V is finite-dimensional with $\dim V > 0$. Let $w \in W$ be any vector. Prove that there exists a linear map $T : V \rightarrow W$ such that

$$\text{range}(T) = \text{span}(w).$$

Solution: Let $n = \dim V$. Since $n > 0$, there exists a length- n basis v_1, \dots, v_n of V . Define a linear map

$$T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = a_1w \quad [\text{all of the } v_j, j > 1 \text{ are mapped to } 0]$$

Since v_1, \dots, v_n is a basis of V , each $v \in V$ has a unique representation, and so T is a valid function. Moreover, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{range}(T) &= \{T(v) \mid v \in V, v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}, v_1, \dots, v_n \in V\} \\ &= \{a_1w \mid a_1 \in \mathbb{F}\} \\ &= \text{span}(w), \end{aligned}$$

as required. Now, take any two vectors $u, v \in V$ and any two scalars $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{F}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} T(\lambda_1u + \lambda_2v) &= T(\lambda_1a_1v_1 + \dots + \lambda_1a_nv_n + \lambda_2b_1v_1 + \dots + \lambda_2b_nv_n) \\ &= \lambda_1a_1w + \lambda_2b_1w \\ &= \lambda_1T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) + \lambda_2T(b_1v_1 + \dots + b_nv_n) \\ &= \lambda_1T(u) + \lambda_2T(v). \end{aligned}$$

Thus T preserves linearity and homogeneity, and so T is a linear map (much like problem 2, T is very much not injective).