



Transaction Management Overview

The database system must ensure that the data is always *consistent*. Two challenges in preserving consistency:

- 1) Must handle *failures* of various kinds (hardware, crashes).
- 2) Must support *concurrent execution* of multiple transactions and guarantee that concurrency does not cause inconsistency.

A transaction is an atomic program that executes on the database and preserves the consistency of the database.

- The input to a transaction is a consistent database AND the output of the transaction must also be a consistent database.
- A transaction must execute completely or not at all.



Transaction Management - Motivating Example

Consider a person who wants to transfer \$50 from a savings account with balance \$1000 to a checking account with current balance \$250.

- 1) At the ATM, the person starts the process by telling the bank to remove \$50 from the savings account.
- 2) The \$50 is removed from the savings account by the bank.
- 3) Before the customer can tell the ATM to deposit the \$50 in the checking account, the ATM "crashes."

Where has the \$50 gone?

It is lost if the ATM did not support transactions! The customer wanted the withdraw and deposit to both happen in one step, or neither action to happen.





To preserve integrity, transactions have the following properties:

- **Atomicity** Either all operations of the transaction are properly reflected in the database or none are.
- Consistency Execution of a transaction in isolation preserves the consistency of the database.
- *Isolation* Although multiple transactions may execute concurrently, each transaction must be unaware of other concurrently executing transactions.
- **Durability** After a transaction successfully completes, the changes it has made to the database persist, even if there are system failures.





Question: Two transactions running at the same time can see each other's updates. What ACID property is violated?

- A) atomicity
- **B)** consistency
- **C)** isolation
- D) durability
- E) none of them





In SQL, a transaction begins implicitly.

A transaction in SQL ends by:

- Commit accepts updates of current transaction.
- Rollback aborts current transaction and discards its updates. Failures may also cause a transaction to be aborted.

In programming with databases you can often set the connection to auto-commit which means a commit is done after every statement.



Example Transactions

Transaction to deposit \$50 into a bank account:

```
-- TRANSACTION T1:
UPDATE Account WHERE num = 'S1' SET balance=balance+50;
COMMIT;
```

Transaction to calculate totals for all accounts (twice):

```
-- TRANSACTION T2:
```

```
SELECT SUM(balance) as total1 FROM Account; SELECT SUM(balance) as total2 FROM Account; COMMIT;
```

Transaction to add a new account:

```
-- TRANSACTION T3:
```

```
INSERT INTO ACCOUNT (num, balance) VALUES ('S5', 100);
COMMIT;
```





An *isolation level* reflects how a transaction perceives the results of other transactions. Lowering isolation level may improve performance but may sacrifice consistency. The isolation level can be specified by:

SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL = X where X is

- Serializable transactions behave like executed one at a time.
- Repeatable read repeated reads must return same data. Does not necessarily read newly inserted records.
- Read committed only committed values can be read, but successive reads may return different values.
- Read uncommitted even uncommitted records may be read. Reading an uncommitted value is called a *dirty read*.





Each transaction in a database is a separate executing program.

A transaction may be its own program or a thread of execution.

The operating system schedules the execution of programs outside of the control of the DBMS.

• Transactions may be executed in any order (as long as the order of operations within a transaction are the same). This interleaving produces different schedules.

The DBMS uses its concurrency control protocol to restrict the schedules to those that respect the consistency specified by the user for the transaction isolation level.

- All transactions must write lock any data item updated and lock the relation if inserting.
- Isolation level only affects read locks.



Transaction Schedule Example

Transaction **T2** that does two queries has its statements interleaved with transaction **T1** that does an update:

```
SELECT SUM(balance) as total1 FROM Account; --T2
UPDATE Account WHERE num='S1' SET balance=balance+50;--T1
COMMIT; -- T1
SELECT SUM(balance) as total2 FROM Account; -- T2
COMMIT; -- T2
```

With isolation level read committed, total1 will not be the same as total2.

With isolation level $repeatable\ read$, this schedule is $not\ possible\ as\ T2$ will lock all accounts stopping T1 from updating.



Transaction Schedule Example (2)

Transaction **T2** that does two queries has its statements interleaved with transaction **T3** that does an insert:

```
SELECT SUM(balance) as total1 FROM Account; --T2
INSERT INTO ACCOUNT (num, balance) VALUES ('S5', 100);
COMMIT; -- T3
SELECT SUM(balance) as total2 FROM Account; -- T2
COMMIT; -- T2
```

With isolation level repeatable read, total1 will not be the same as total2.

With isolation level *serializable*, this schedule is not possible as **T2** will lock the account table, stopping **T3** from inserting.

11





Isolation Level	Problems	Lock Usage	Speed	Comments
Serializable	None	Read locks held to commit; read lock on relation	Slowest	Only level that guarantees correctness.
Repeatable read	Phantom tuples	Read locks held to commit	Medium	Useful for modify transactions. May not see tuples inserted by others.
Read committed	Phantom tuples, values may change	Read locks released after each statement	Fast	Useful for transactions where operations are separable but updates are all or none. Rereading same value may produce different results.
Read uncommitted	Phantoms, values may change, dirty reads	No read locks	Fastest	Useful for read-only transactions that tolerate inaccurate results. May see updates that will never be committed.



Read Committed Question

Question: Will this transaction always see the same results for both queries if executed using **READ COMMITTED**?

```
SELECT SUM(balance) as total1 FROM Account; SELECT SUM(balance) as total2 FROM Account; COMMIT;
```

A) yes

B) no



Repeatable Read Question

Question: Will this transaction always see the same results for both queries if executed using **REPEATABLE READ?**

```
SELECT SUM(balance) as total1 FROM Account; SELECT SUM(balance) as total2 FROM Account; COMMIT;
```

A) yes

B) no





```
try (Connection con = DriverManager.getConnection(url, uid, pw);
     Statement stmt = con.createStatement();)
   con.setAutocommit(false); Force explicit commit/rollback
   ResultSet rst = stmt.executeQuery("SELECT ename, salary
                                      FROM emp WHERE eno='E1'");
      (rst.next() \&\& rst.qetDouble(2) < 50000)
     stmt.executeUpdate("UPDATE emp SET salary=100000 WHERE eno='E1'");
     con.commit();
                        Commit work to DB
     else
     con.rollback();
                       Rollback work done
catch (SQLException ex) {
   System.err.println(ex); con.rollback();
```





General form:

```
WITH RECURSIVE tableName(attr1,attr2,..attrN) AS
   <SELECT query that defines recursive relation>
<SELECT query that uses recursive relation>
Example: Return all employees supervised by 'J. Jones'.
WITH RECURSIVE supervises (supId, empId) AS (
   SELECT supereno, eno FROM emp WHERE supereno IS NOT NULL
   UNION ALL
   SELECT S.supId, E.eno
   FROM supervises S, emp E
   WHERE S.empId = E.supereno )
SELECT E.eno, E.ename FROM supervises, emp AS S, emp E
WHERE S.ename = 'J. Jones' and supervises.supId = S.eno
       and supervises.empId = E.eno;
```

Conclusion



A transaction is an atomic program that executes on the database and preserves the consistency of the database.

In SQL, different isolation levels can be specified:

- serializable, repeatable read, read committed, read uncommitted
- Weaker forms of isolation do not guarantee the ACID properties, but may be useful for read transactions that require faster execution.

Object-relational DBMSs support user defined data types, active consistency checks (triggers), inheritance, and recursive queries.

WITH RECURSIVE syntax is used to write recursive SQL queries.

Objectives



- Define: transaction
- List ACID properties.
- List and explain the isolation levels in SQL
- Be aware of WITH RECURSIVE for recursive SQL queries.

