## Testing the Fibonacci formula

The following code demonstrates that the algorithm used by *Mathematica* to find Fibonacci numbers and the formula  $\frac{\left(1+\sqrt{5}\right)^n-\left(1-\sqrt{5}\right)^n}{2^n\sqrt{5}}$  yield very close though not exact results:

```
fibonaccilist = {};
formulalist = {};
For \left[ n = 1, \, n \leq 912, \, n++, \right.
actualfib = Fibonacci [n];
formulafib = \frac{\left(1+\sqrt{5}\right)^n - \left(1-\sqrt{5}\right)^n}{2^n \sqrt{5}} // N;
AppendTo [fibonaccilist, actualfib];
AppendTo [formulalist, formulafib];
WorkingPrecision \rightarrow MachinePrecision];
If [fibonaccilist == formulalist,
Print["The two lists are equal"],
Print["The two lists are not equal"]
```

The two lists are not equal

I chose to test this up to the 912<sup>th</sup> Fibonacci number because this is where Mathematica recognizes that the methods yield different results. For instance, if we test it up to the 911<sup>th</sup> term, the numbers already differ quite noticeably, but *Mathematica* is incapable of discerning any difference (maybe this is due to the machine's precision?) . Let me show what I mean in the following ...

```
fibonaccilist[911]
formulalist[911] // IntegerPart
```

 $10\ 920\ 820\ 416\ 459\ 328\ 443\ 221\ 811\ 072\ 207\ 854\ 881\ 435\ 307\ 575\ 238\ 350\ 852\ 564\ 743\ 215\ 922\ 283\ 3679\ 178\ 069\ 381\ 290\ 262\ 679\ 629\ 826\ 259\ 806\ 842\ 091\ 635\ 423\ 101\ 972\ 412\ 825\ 783\ 917\ 076\ 523\ 888\ 382\ 721\ 659\ 090\ 269\ 202\ 596\ 043\ 912\ 832\ 242\ 330\ 879\ 807\ 510\ 689$ 

 $10\,920\,820\,416\,459\,476\,630\,607\,439\,164\,474\,618\,260\,512\,823\,666\,698\,852\,594\,164\,536\,094\,413\,391\,\times \\ 039\,391\,457\,475\,919\,099\,657\,836\,814\,646\,612\,952\,841\,536\,916\,240\,359\,258\,297\,583\,874\,657\,732\,792\,\times \\ 326\,536\,402\,225\,375\,405\,989\,830\,497\,729\,268\,815\,447\,218\,716\,672$ 

fibonaccilist[[911]] == formulalist[[911]]

True

For some reason *Mathematica* "sees" these two numbers as being equal when clearly they're not. I'm assuming this has something to do with the machine precision. Now we test the 912<sup>th</sup> term and we see that *Mathematica* no longer "sees" the two outputs as the same :

## fibonaccilist[912] formulalist[912] // IntegerPart fibonaccilist[912] == formulalist[912]

 $17\,670\,258\,618\,864\,975\,009\,258\,339\,416\,537\,971\,760\,012\,675\,183\,748\,670\,183\,843\,230\,539\,845\,347\,\times 10^{-1}$  $074\ 301\ 607\ 362\ 326\ 211\ 014\ 092\ 320\ 301\ 155\ 064\ 788\ 141\ 495\ 664\ 328\ 603\ 298\ 786\ 390\ 904\ 066\ 437\ \times 100$  $630\ 628\ 079\ 431\ 525\ 530\ 236\ 022\ 705\ 233\ 071\ 673\ 280\ 212\ 746\ 944$ 

 $888\,290\,756\,822\,740\,523\,196\,581\,858\,363\,459\,669\,482\,138\,218\,685\,136\,202\,496\,864\,266\,276\,387\,703 \times 10^{-2}$  $338\,069\,483\,588\,144\,412\,619\,397\,243\,115\,740\,208\,626\,046\,861\,312$ 

## False

Now we test the formulas relating the golden ratio and Fibonacci numbers:

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{Fibonacci[n] \ Fibonacci[n+1]}$$

GoldenRatio

$$\label{eq:limit} \text{Limit}\Big[\frac{\text{Fibonacci}\,[n]}{\text{Fibonacci}\,[n-1]}\,,\; n\to\infty\Big] \;\text{==}\; \text{GoldenRatio}$$

True