# Math 260 HW # 3

### Mario L. Gutierrez Abed

## Section 1.4

(3) For the following list of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , determine whether the first vector can be expressed as a linear combination of the other two.

d) 
$$\{(2, -1, 0), (1, 2, -3), (1, -3, 2)\}$$

#### Solution:

$$a(1, 2, -3) + b(1, -3, 2) = (2, -1, 0)$$
  
 $a + b = 2$   
 $2a - 3b = -1$   
 $-3a + 2b = 0$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & | & 2 \\ 2 & -3 & | & -1 \\ -3 & 2 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & | & 2 \\ 0 & -5 & | & -5 \\ 0 & 5 & | & 6 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 5 & | & 6 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & | & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can see in the resulting matrix that the system is inconsistent. Hence the system has no solution and we can conclude that (2, -1, 0) cannot be written as a linear combination of the other two vectors.

(5) In each part, determine whether the given vector is in the span of S.

e) 
$$-x^3 + 2x^2 + 3x + 3$$
.  $S = \{x^3 + x^2 + x + 1, x^2 + x + 1, x + 1\}$ 

#### Solution:

$$a(x^3 + x^2 + x + 1) + b(x^2 + x + 1) + c(x + 1) = -x^3 + 2x^2 + 3x + 3$$

$$a x^{3} = -x^{3}$$

$$a x^{2} + b x^{2} = 2 x^{2}$$

$$a x + b x + c x = 3 x$$

$$a + b + c = 3$$

$$a + b + c = 3$$

$$a + b + c = 3$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & | & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & | & 3 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & | & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

As we can see in the above matrix we have a consistent system with the following set of solutions:  $\{a, b, c\} = \{-1, 3, 1\}$ . Hence we can conclude that  $-x^3 + 2x^2 + 3x + 3$  is in the span of S.

$$\mathbf{h} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Solution:

$$a\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + b\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + c\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$1 a + 0 b + 1 c = 1$$

$$0 a + 1 b + 1 c = 0$$

$$-1 a + 0 b + 0 c = 0$$

$$0 a + 1 b + 0 c = 1$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & | & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & | & 1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can see in the resulting matrix above that the system is inconsistent. Therefore we can conclude that  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is not in the span of S.

(13) Show that if  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are subsets of a vector space V such that  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ , then  $\operatorname{span}(S_1) \subseteq \operatorname{span}(S_2)$ . In particular, if  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$  and  $\operatorname{span}(S_1) = V$ , deduce that  $\operatorname{span}(S_2) = V$ .

#### Proof:

We want to prove that span( $S_1$ )  $\subseteq$  span( $S_2$ ). We choose an arbitrary vector  $v \in S_1$  and we express it as  $v = a_1 v_1 + ... + a_n v_n$ , where each  $v_i$  is contained in  $S_1$ . But since

 $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ , then we know that each  $v_i$  is also in  $S_2$ . Hence span $(S_1) \subseteq \text{span}(S_2)$ .

Since we know that span( $S_1$ ) is a subspace contained within span( $S_2$ ), if span( $S_1$ ) = V, then necessarily  $\operatorname{span}(S_2) = V$  as well.

### Section 1.5

(9) Let u and v be distinct vectors in a vector space V. Show that  $\{u, v\}$  is linearly dependent iff u or v is a multiple of the other.

#### Proof:

 $(\Rightarrow)$ 

Assume  $\{u, v\}$  is linearly dependent, then we need to show that u or v is a multiple of the other.

Since  $\{u, v\}$  is linearly dependent the zero vector can be expressed as  $au + bv = \hat{0}$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$  and aor  $b \neq 0$ .

But then this means that b v = -a u.

Hence we have shown that if  $\{u, v\}$  is linearly dependent then u or v is a multiple of the other.

Suppose u or v is a multiple of the other, then we need to show that  $\{u, v\}$  is linearly dependent. WLOG, we let u = kv with  $k \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $k \neq 0$ . Then u - kv = 0. But then this means that zero can be expressed as a non-trivial linear combination of the vectors u and v, with at least one nonzero coefficient. Thus we have determined that  $\{u, v\}$  is linearly dependent.

# Section 1.6

(2) Determine if the following set is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

b) 
$$\{(2, -4, 1), (0, 3, -1), (6, 0, -1)\}$$

#### Solution:

In order to determine whether the given set is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  we need to show that the set is linearly independent and that it spans  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

• Linear independence:

$$a(2, -4, 1) + b(0, 3, -1) + c(6, 0, -1) = (0, 0, 0)$$

We need to show that the only solution to this linear system is the trivial one, i.e a = b = c = 0.

$$2a + 0b + 6c = 0$$

$$-4 a + 3 b + 0 c = 0$$
  
 $a - b - c = 0$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 6 \\ -4 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 12 \\ 0 & -1 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can see from the resulting matrix that c is a free variable. That is, the system has an infinite number of solutions and therefore the zero vector in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  can be expressed in infinitely many ways and not just with the trivial solution a = b = c = 0. Therefore we may conclude that our given set of vectors is not a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

(3) Determine if the following set is a basis for  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ :

b) 
$$\{1 + 2x + x^2, 3 + x^2, x + x^2\}$$

#### Solution:

We need to show that the given set is linearly independent and that it spans  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

• Linear independence:

$$a(1 + 2x + x^{2}) + b(3 + x^{2}) + c(x + x^{2}) = 0$$

$$(a + b + c)x^{2} + (2a + c)x + (a + 3b)x^{0} = 0$$

$$a + b + c = 0$$

$$2a + 0b + c = 0$$

$$a + 3b + 0c = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

As shown in the resulting matrix the zero vector has a unique representation, which is the trivial one a = b = c = 0.

• Span:

We don't need to show that the given set spans  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$  because we know that  $\dim(P_2(\mathbb{R})) = 3 = \text{cardinality of the given set.} \checkmark$ 

Thus since the given set  $\{1 + 2x + x^2, 3 + x^2, x + x^2\}$  is linearly independent and spans  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ , it is a basis for  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

(13) The set of solutions to the system of linear equations

$$x_1 - 2 x_2 + x_3 = 0$$
  
$$2 x_1 - 3 x_2 + x_3 = 0$$

is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Find a basis for this subspace.

#### Solution:

First let us find the subspace  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 2 & -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence we have  $x_2 = x_3 = x_1$ . The solution set is

$$S = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1 = x_2 = x_3\} = \{a(1, 1, 1) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$$
. Thus S is a line in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Consider the set  $B = \{(1, 1, 1)\}$ . We will show that this is a basis for S. Since B is a nonzero singleton set, B is linearly independent. Now we only need to show that  $\operatorname{span}(B) = S$ . By theorem 1.5 (Friedberg's), we know that  $\operatorname{span}(B) \subseteq S$ , thus we only need to show that  $S \subseteq \operatorname{span}(B)$ :

Let  $\hat{x} \in S$ . Then  $\hat{x} = t(1, 1, 1)$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . This is clearly a linear combination of the vector in B. Thus  $\hat{x} \in \text{span}(B) \Longrightarrow S \subseteq \text{span}(B)$ . Then span(B) = S. So B is a basis for S.

(Extra Problem) Suppose  $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$  is linearly independent in V and  $w \in V$ . Prove that if  $\{v_1 + w, ..., v_n + w\}$  is linearly dependent, then  $w \in \text{span}\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ .

#### Proof:

Suppose  $\{v_1 + w, ..., v_n + w\}$  is linearly dependent. Then we know that the zero vector in V can be expressed as

$$a_1(v_1 + w) + a_2(v_2 + w) + ... + a_n(v_n + w) = \hat{0} \quad \forall \ a_i \in \mathbb{F} \text{ and } \exists \ a_k \neq 0$$

Then we have

$$a_{k}(v_{k} + w) = -a_{1}(v_{1} + w) - \dots - a_{n}(v_{n} + w)$$

$$\implies (v_{k} + w) = -\frac{a_{1}}{a_{k}}(v_{1} + w) - \dots - \frac{a_{n}}{a_{k}}(v_{n} + w)$$

$$\implies v_{k} = -\frac{a_{1}}{a_{k}}v_{1} - \frac{a_{1}}{a_{k}}w - \dots - \frac{a_{n}}{a_{k}}v_{n} - \frac{a_{n}}{a_{k}}w - w$$

$$\implies \frac{a_{1}}{a_{k}}v_{1} + v_{k} + \dots + \frac{a_{n}}{a_{k}}v_{n} = -\frac{a_{1}}{a_{k}}w - \dots - \frac{a_{n}}{a_{k}}w - w$$

$$\implies \frac{a_1}{a_k} v_1 + v_k + \dots + \frac{a_n}{a_k} v_n = w \left( -\frac{a_1}{a_k} - \dots - \frac{a_n}{a_k} - 1 \right)$$

$$\implies \frac{\frac{a_1}{a_k} v_1 + v_k + \dots + \frac{a_n}{a_k} v_n}{\left( -\frac{a_1}{a_k} - \dots - \frac{a_n}{a_k} - 1 \right)} = w$$

$$\implies \frac{\frac{a_1}{a_k}}{\left(-\frac{a_1}{a_k} - \dots - \frac{a_n}{a_k} - 1\right)} v_1 + \frac{1}{\left(-\frac{a_1}{a_k} - \dots - \frac{a_n}{a_k} - 1\right)} v_k + \dots + \frac{\frac{a_n}{a_k}}{\left(-\frac{a_1}{a_k} - \dots - \frac{a_n}{a_k} - 1\right)} v_n = w$$

Hence w can be written as a linear combination of vectors from  $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ .

However the proof is not yet complete. We still have to show that the denominator on the left hand side is not zero. We do this by contradiction. Suppose  $a_1 + .... + a_n = 0$ . Then we have  $w = 0 = -a_1 v_1 - ... - a_n v_n$ .

But since  $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$  is assumed to be linearly independent, the only representation the zero vector has is the trivial one. Thus,  $-a_i = 0 \ \forall i$ , which contradicts the fact that at least one of the  $a_i$ 's must be nonzero from above. Thus  $a_1 + ... + a_n \neq 0$  and  $w \in \text{span}\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ .