

Linear Algebra Notes

Mario L. Gutierrez Abed

Diagonalization

EIGENVALUES AND EIGENVECTORS

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V^n)$. Then we want to know if there exist a basis β for V such that $[T]_\beta$ is a diagonal matrix. If there exists such a basis, how do we find it?

a) Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V^n)$. Then T is said to be **diagonalizable** if \exists a basis β for V such that $[T]_\beta$ is a diagonal matrix.

b) Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. Then A is diagonalizable if L_A is diagonalizable.

Alternatively (from theorem 2.3), we can say that a square matrix A is diagonalizable if \exists an invertible Q such that $A = Q^{-1} D Q$, where D is a diagonal matrix, i.e. A is similar to a diagonal matrix.

Motivations for eigenvalues and eigenvectors:

1) Let $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis for V such that $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is diagonalizable with respect to β , i.e.

$$[T]_\beta = D = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we have

$$T v_1 = \alpha_{11} v_1 + 0 + 0 + \dots + 0$$

$$T v_2 = 0 + \alpha_{22} v_2 + 0 + \dots + 0$$

$$\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$$

$$T v_n = 0 + 0 + \dots + 0 + \alpha_{nn} v_n$$

This implies that $T v_j = \alpha_{jj} v_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

2) Recall T -invariant subspaces of V (a subspace $W \subseteq V$ is said to be T -invariant if

$$T(w) \subseteq W \quad \forall w \in W)$$

We use the same basis $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Then we look at the following nontrivial T -invariant subspaces of dimension 1:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= \text{span}(v_1) \\ w_2 &= \text{span}(v_2) \\ &\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \\ w_n &= \text{span}(v_n) \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $w_j = \text{span}(v_j)$ we have $T v_j = \alpha_j v_j$ (same equation that we arrived to on part 1).

Definition:

- i) Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. A nonzero vector $v \in V$ is called an **eigenvector** of T if \exists a scalar λ such that $T v = \lambda v$. Then λ is an **eigenvalue** of T corresponding to v .
- ii) Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. Then, a nonzero vector $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$ is an eigenvector of L_A , i.e. $A v = \lambda v$, and λ is the eigenvalue of A corresponding to v .

• Theorem:

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Then T is diagonalizable iff \exists an ordered basis β of V consisting of only eigenvectors (β is often called an **eigenbasis**).

Note: To diagonalize an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, we must find an eigenbasis for V . In order to achieve this, we first compute the eigenvalues.

• Theorem:

Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. Then $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is an eigenvalue of A iff $\det(A - \lambda I_n) = 0$.

Proof:

((\Rightarrow) and (\Leftarrow))

Suppose λ is an eigenvalue of A . Then \exists a nonzero vector $v \in V$ such that $A v = \lambda v$.

$$\Leftrightarrow A v - \lambda v = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A v - \lambda I_n v = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (A - \lambda I_n) v = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow L_A - \lambda I_n \text{ is not injective (since } v \text{ is assumed to be nonzero)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A - \lambda I_n \text{ is not invertible}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \det(A - \lambda I_n) = 0$$

■

Definition: Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. The polynomial $\text{char}(A) = f(t) = \det(A - t I_n)$ is called the **characteristic**

polynomial of A . Thus, the eigenvalues of A are the zeroes/roots of $\text{char}(A)$.

Example:

Find the eigenvalues of $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Solution:

$$\text{char}(A) = \det(A - t I_n)$$

Now solving for the determinant and setting it equal to 0 we get the eigenvalues :

$$\begin{aligned} \det\left[\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}\right] &= \det\begin{pmatrix} 3-t & 2 \\ -1 & -t \end{pmatrix} = 0 \\ \Rightarrow (3-t)(-t) + 2 &= t^2 - 3t + 2 = 0 \\ \Rightarrow t = 1, 2 &\Rightarrow \lambda = 1, 2 \text{ (eigenvalues)} \quad \star \end{aligned}$$

Side Note: Remember the following algebraic identity:

$$x^n - c^n = (x - c)(x^{n-1} + c x^{n-2} + c^2 x^{n-3} + \dots + c^{n-1}).$$

• Theorem:

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V^n)$, with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ distinct eigenvalues of T and v_1, \dots, v_m the corresponding eigenvectors of T , with $m \leq n$. Then, $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ is linearly independent.

** Proof on Axler pg 79 and also on Friedberg pg 261 **

• Corollary:

If $m = n$, then $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is an eigenbasis, and thus T is diagonalizable. (**Note: The converse of this corollary is not true, i.e. just because T is diagonalizable, it doesn't guarantee that $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is an eigenbasis. **)

** Proof on Friedberg pg 261-262 **

• Theorem:

Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. Then

a) $\text{char}(A)$ is a polynomial of degree n with leading coefficients $= (-1)^n$.

b) A has at most n eigenvalues.

• Theorem:

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and λ an eigenvalue of T . Then $v \in V$ is an eigenvector corresponding to λ iff $v \in \mathcal{N}(T - \lambda I)$ and $v \neq 0$.

Proof:

$((\Rightarrow)$ and $(\Leftarrow))$

Suppose v is an eigenvector of T . Then by definition we have that $v \neq 0$ and

$$T v = \lambda v \iff T v - \lambda v = 0 \iff (T - \lambda I) v = 0 \iff v \in \mathcal{N}(T - \lambda I). \quad \blacksquare$$

Example:

Find the eigenvalues and associated eigenvectors of $T : P_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P_2(\mathbb{R})$ defined by $f(x) \mapsto f(x) + (x+1)f'(x)$.

Solution:

Let β be the standard basis for $P_2(\mathbb{R})$, i.e. $\beta = \{1, x, x^2\}$. Then let's compute $[T]_\beta$:

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet &\rightarrow T(1) = 1 + (x+1) \cdot 0 = 1 = 1(1) + 0(x) + 0(x^2) \\ \bullet &\rightarrow T(x) = x + (x+1) \cdot 1 = 2x + 1 = 1(1) + 2(x) + 0(x^2) \\ \bullet &\rightarrow T(x^2) = x^2 + (x+1) \cdot 2x = 3x^2 + 2x = 0(1) + 2(x) + 3(x^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } [T]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We let $[T]_\beta = A$. Then we have $\text{char}([T]_\beta) = \text{char}(A)$.

Now let's solve for the eigenvalues:

$$\text{char}(A) = \det(A - tI_n) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1-t & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2-t & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3-t \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\text{char}(A) = (1-t)(2-t)(3-t) = 0.$$

Solving for t we have:

$$t = 1, 2, 3 \implies \lambda = 1, 2, 3. \quad (\text{eigenvalues of } T) \quad \checkmark$$

Now we want to find the eigenvectors associated with each eigenvalue:

$\bullet \rightarrow$ For $\lambda = 1$:

We're looking for vectors v such that $(T - \lambda I_n)v = 0 \cong (A - \lambda I_n)\mathcal{M}_\beta(v) = 0$.

$$A - (1)I_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now we solve

$$(A - (1)I_n)x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow x_2, x_3 = 0 \text{ and } x_1 \text{ is a free variable}$$

Then the set of all solutions is

$$\left\{ \gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \right\}. \text{ Hence } x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is an eigenvector of } A \text{ associated with the eigenvalue } \lambda = 1.$$

Now since \exists an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_\beta: P_2(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, we can compute the inverse

$$\mathcal{M}_\beta^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1 + 0x + 0x^2 = \frac{1}{\text{Eigenvector of } T}.$$

•→ For $\lambda = 2$:

$$A - (2)I_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \implies x_1, x_2 \text{ are free variables and } x_3 = 0.$$

Thus the solution set is $\left\{ \gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$. Hence $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is an eigenvector of A associated with $\lambda = 2$,

and by the isomorphism discussed above we have that $1 + x$ is an eigenvector of T .

•→ For $\lambda = 3$:

$$A - (3)I_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \implies x_3 = \frac{1}{2}x_2 \text{ and } x_2 = 2x_1$$

Thus the solution set is $\left\{ \gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} : \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$. Hence

$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is an eigenvector of $A \implies 1 + 2x + x^2$ is an eigenvector of T .

Thus T has three eigenvalues and three basis eigenvectors associated to these eigenvalues. ✓

**** Recalling lemma previously stated ****

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ distinct eigenvalues of T and v_1, \dots, v_m the corresponding eigenvectors of T . Then, $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ is linearly independent.

By the lemma above we have that $\beta_E = \{1, 1+x, 1+2x+x^2\}$ is linearly independent; thus this is an eigenbasis for T and this in turn means that T is diagonalizable.

Then we have that $[T]_{\beta_E}$, which is the matrix representation of T with respect to the eigenbasis, is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet &\rightarrow T(1) = 1 \\ \bullet &\rightarrow T(1+x) = 2x+2 = 0(1) + 2(1+x) + 0(1+2x+x^2) \\ \bullet &\rightarrow T(1+2x+x^2) = (1+2x+x^2) + (x+1)(2+2x) \\ &\quad = (x+1)^2 + 2(x+1)^2 \\ &\quad = 3(x+1)^2 = 0(1) + 0(1+x) + 3(1+2x+x^2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$[T]_{\beta_E} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and we can see that the diagonal entries are the eigenvalues. } \quad \star$$

Definition: The **spectral radius** of a square matrix or a bounded linear operator is the supremum of the absolute values of the elements in its spectrum, which is sometimes denoted by ρ . That is, let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the (real or complex) eigenvalues of a matrix $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. Then its spectral radius $\rho(A) = \max_i |\lambda_i|$.

DIAGONALIZABILITY

Definition: A polynomial $f(t)$ in $P(\mathbb{F})$ is said to **split** over \mathbb{F} if \exists scalars $c, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $f(t) = c(x-a_1)(x-a_2)\dots(x-a_n)$. (beware: the $(x-a_i)$ terms have to be linear)

Examples of polynomials that don't split over \mathbb{R} :

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet &\rightarrow x^2 + 1 \quad (\text{not factorable}) \\ \bullet &\rightarrow x^3 - 1 = (x-1)(x^2+x+1) \quad (\text{it factors but not into linear terms, hence it doesn't split over } \mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

• **Theorem:**

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ be diagonalizable. Then $\text{char}(T)$ splits over \mathbb{F} .

Proof:

Suppose T is diagonalizable. Then \exists an eigenbasis β_E for V such that $[T]_{\beta_E} = D$ is a diagonal matrix.

Suppose that

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix},$$

and let $f(t)$ be the characteristic polynomial of T .

Then,

$$f(t) = \det(D - tI_n) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 - t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_n - t \end{pmatrix} = \frac{(-1)^n}{c} \left(t - \frac{\lambda_1}{a_1}\right) \left(t - \frac{\lambda_2}{a_2}\right) \dots \left(t - \frac{\lambda_n}{a_n}\right). \quad \checkmark$$

Thus $\text{char}(T)$ splits over \mathbb{F} . ■

Definition: Let λ be an eigenvalue of $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Then the **algebraic multiplicity** of λ is the largest positive integer k for which $(t - \lambda)^k$ is a factor of $\text{char}(T)$, i.e. the algebraic multiplicity of λ is the number of times λ appears as a root of $\text{char}(T)$.

Example:

Let $\text{char}(T) = 5(x - 3)^2(x - 4)(x + 10)^5$. In this case we have roots 3, 4, and -10 with multiplicity 2, 1, and 5, respectively. ✨

Note: If T is diagonalizable, each eigenvalue of T must occur on the diagonal as many times as its multiplicity.

Definition: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and λ be an eigenvalue of T .

Then define

$$E_\lambda = \{x \in V : T x = \lambda x\} = \mathcal{N}(T - \lambda I).$$

E_λ is called the **eigenspace with respect to λ** , i.e. it's the subspace that contains all the eigenvectors that correspond to λ .

• **Theorem:**

If λ has multiplicity m , then $1 \leq \dim(E_\lambda) \leq m$.

• **Theorem:**

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be distinct eigenvalues of T . For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, let S_i be a finite linearly independent subset of the eigenspace E_{λ_i} . Then $S = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots \cup S_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^k S_i$ is a linearly independent subset of V .

$$\lambda_1 \longrightarrow E_{\lambda_1} = \mathcal{N}(T - \lambda_1 I) \supseteq S_1$$

$$\lambda_2 \longrightarrow E_{\lambda_2} = \mathcal{N}(T - \lambda_2 I) \supseteq S_2$$

.....

.....

$$\lambda_k \longrightarrow E_{\lambda_k} = \mathcal{N}(T - \lambda_k I) \supseteq S_k$$

• **Theorem:**

T is diagonalizable iff

a) $\text{char}(T)$ splits over \mathbb{F}

b) $\text{multiplicity}(\lambda_i) = \dim(E_{\lambda_i}) = \text{nullity}(T - \lambda_i I) \quad \forall \text{ eigenvalues } \lambda_i$.

Alternatively,

$$\text{multiplicity}(\lambda_i) = \dim(V) - \text{rank}(T - \lambda_i I) = \dim(V) - \text{rank}([T - \lambda_i I]_\beta),$$

where $\dim(V) = n$ given that $[T]_\beta$ is an $n \times n$ matrix (see example below).

Example:

We have an operator $T \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$, which is defined by $T(f(x)) = f(1) + f'(0)x + [f'(0) + f''(0)]x^2$. Is T diagonalizable?

Solution:

Let us choose the standard basis for $P_2(\mathbb{R})$, $\beta = \{1, x, x^2\}$. Then

$$\bullet \rightarrow T(1) = 1$$

$$\bullet \rightarrow T(x) = 1 + x + x^2$$

$$\bullet \rightarrow T(x^2) = 1 + 2x^2$$

$$\text{Hence } [T]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we have

$$\text{char}(T) = \det \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \right) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1-t & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1-t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2-t \end{pmatrix} = (1-t)(1-t)(2-t) = 0$$

Hence we have roots $t = 1, 2$, with multiplicity 2 and 1, respectively.

We can see that $\text{char}(T)$ splits over \mathbb{F} . Now we only need to check the multiplicities to determine whether T is diagonalizable :

We have $\text{mult}(1) = 2 = 3 - \text{rank}(A - 1 I_3)$

where $\text{rank}(A - 1 I_3) = \text{rank}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \text{rank}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1$. So we have confirmed that $\text{mult}(1) = 2 = 3 - 1 = 2$. ✓

Now we check with the other eigenvalue. We have $\text{mult}(2) = 1 = 3 - \text{rank}(A - 2 I_3)$

where $\text{rank}(A - 2 I_3) = \text{rank}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \text{rank}\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2$. So we have confirmed that $\text{mult}(2) = 1 = 3 - 2 = 1$. ✓

Hence, since both conditions [a\)](#) and [b\)](#) from the theorem above hold, we have that T is diagonalizable.

