

MATH 709 HW # 3

MARIO L. GUTIERREZ ABED
PROF. A. BASMAJAN

Problem 1 (Problem 3-1). Suppose M and N are smooth manifolds (with or without boundary), and $F: M \rightarrow N$ is a smooth map. Show that $dF_p: T_pM \rightarrow T_{F(p)}N$ is the zero map for each $p \in M$ iff F is constant on each component of M .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Assume that $dF_p: T_pM \rightarrow T_{F(p)}N$ is the zero map for each $p \in M$. Choose smooth charts (U, φ) containing p and (V, ψ) containing $F(p)$ such that $F(U) \subseteq V$. Now let $\hat{F} = \psi \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1}$ and $\hat{p} = \varphi(p)$ denote the coordinate representation of F and p , respectively. Then, choosing any basis vector $\partial/\partial x^i|_p \in T_pM$, and using the fact that $F \circ \varphi^{-1} = \psi^{-1} \circ \hat{F}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= dF_p \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_p \right) = dF_p \left(d(\varphi^{-1})_{\hat{p}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{\hat{p}} \right) \right) \\ &= d(\psi^{-1})_{\hat{F}(\hat{p})} \left(d\hat{F}_{\hat{p}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{\hat{p}} \right) \right) \\ &= d(\psi^{-1})_{\hat{F}(\hat{p})} \left(\frac{\partial \hat{F}^j}{\partial x^i}(\hat{p}) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} \Big|_{\hat{F}(\hat{p})} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial \hat{F}^j}{\partial x^i}(\hat{p}) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} \Big|_{F(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{\partial/\partial y^j|_{F(p)}\}$ is a basis of $T_{F(p)}N$, we may conclude that all the coefficients $\partial \hat{F}^j / \partial x^i(\hat{p})$ are zero for all i and j , and so \hat{F} is constant on $\varphi(U \cap F^{-1}(V))$, and consequently F is constant on $U \cap F^{-1}(V)$. Since this construction can be performed for any $p \in M$, we can conclude that F is constant on each component of M .

(\Leftarrow) Conversely, assume that F is constant on each component of M and let $p \in M$. Then, clearly $f \circ F$ is also constant on a neighborhood of p (otherwise it wouldn't be a well-defined function), and for all $\nu \in T_pM$, we have $dF_p(\nu)(f) = \nu(f \circ F) = 0$. \square

Problem 2 (Problem 3-8). Let M be a smooth manifold (with or without boundary) and $p \in M$. Let \mathcal{V}_pM denote the set of equivalence classes of smooth curves starting at p under the relation $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$ if $(f \circ \gamma_1)'(0) = (f \circ \gamma_2)'(0)$ for every smooth real-valued function f defined in a neighborhood of p . Show that the map $\Psi: \mathcal{V}_pM \rightarrow T_pM$ defined by $\Psi([\gamma]) = \gamma'(0)$ is well defined and bijective. (For $p \in \partial M$, we need to allow curves with domain $[0, \varepsilon)$ or $(-\varepsilon, 0]$ and to interpret the derivatives as one-sided derivatives.)

Proof. If $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in [\gamma]$, then

$$\Psi([\gamma_1]) = \gamma_1'(0)(f) = (f \circ \gamma_1)'(0) = (f \circ \gamma_2)'(0) = \gamma_2'(0)(f) = \Psi([\gamma_2]),$$

which shows that Ψ is well-defined. Similarly, if $\Psi([\gamma_1]) = \Psi([\gamma_2])$, we must have

$$\gamma_1'(0)(f) = \gamma_2'(0)(f) \implies (f \circ \gamma_1)'(0) = (f \circ \gamma_2)'(0) \implies \gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2 \implies \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in [\gamma],$$

which shows that Ψ is injective. Finally, by a previous proposition we know that if M is a smooth manifold (with or without boundary) and $p \in M$, then every $\nu \in T_p M$ is the velocity of some smooth curve in M . This is equivalent to saying that Ψ is surjective. \square