Race conditions

Madhavan Mukund

https://www.cmi.ac.in/~madhavan

Programming Concepts using Java
Week 10

Threads and shared variables

- Threads are lightweight processes with shared variables that can run in parallel
- Browser example: download thread and user-interface thread run in parallel
 - Shared boolean variable terminate indicates whether download should be interrupted
 - terminate is initially false
 - Clicking Stop sets it to true
 - Download thread checks the value of this variable periodically and aborts if it is set to true
- Watch out for race conditions
 - Shared variables must be updated consistently

Maintaining data consistency

double accounts [100] describes 100 bank accounts

Maintaining data consistency

- double accounts [100] describes 100 bank accounts
- Two functions that operate on accounts: transfer() and audit()

```
boolean transfer (double amount.
                  int source,
                  int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){</pre>
    return false;
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  return true:
double audit(){
  // total balance across all accounts
  double balance = 0.00:
  for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++){
    balance += accounts[i]:
  return balance:
```

Maintaining data consistency

- double accounts[100] describes 100 bank accounts
- Two functions that operate on accounts: transfer() and audit()
- What are the possibilities when we execute the following?

```
boolean transfer (double amount.
                  int source,
                  int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){</pre>
    return false;
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  return true:
double audit(){
  // total balance across all accounts
  double balance = 0.00:
  for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++){
    balance += accounts[i]:
  return balance:
```

Maintaining data consistency . . .

What are the possibilities when we execute the following?

audit() can report an overall total that is 500 more or less than the actual assets

```
boolean transfer (double amount.
                  int source,
                  int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){</pre>
    return false;
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  return true:
double audit(){
  // total balance across all accounts
  double balance = 0.00:
  for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++){
    balance += accounts[i]:
  return balance:
```

Maintaining data consistency . . .

What are the possibilities when we execute the following?

- audit() can report an overall total that is 500 more or less than the actual assets
 - Depends on how actions of transfer are interleaved with actions of audit

```
boolean transfer (double amount.
                  int source,
                  int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){</pre>
    return false;
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  return true:
double audit(){
  // total balance across all accounts
  double balance = 0.00:
  for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++){
    balance += accounts[i]:
  return balance:
```

Maintaining data consistency . . .

What are the possibilities when we execute the following?

- audit() can report an overall total that is 500 more or less than the actual assets
 - Depends on how actions of transfer are interleaved with actions of audit
 - Can even report an error if transfer happens atomically

```
boolean transfer (double amount.
                  int source,
                  int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){</pre>
    return false;
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  return true:
double audit(){
  // total balance across all accounts
  double balance = 0.00:
  for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++){
    balance += accounts[i]:
  return balance:
```

Atomicity of updates

■ Two threads increment a shared variable n

Atomicity of updates

■ Two threads increment a shared variable n

■ Expect n to increase by 2 . . .

Atomicity of updates

■ Two threads increment a shared variable n

- Expect n to increase by 2 . . .
- ... but, time-slicing may order execution as follows

```
Thread 1: m = n;
Thread 1: m++;
Thread 2: k = n;  // k gets the original value of n
Thread 2: k++;
Thread 1: n = m;
Thread 2: n = k;  // Same value as that set by Thread 1
```

- Race condition concurrent update of shared variables, unpredictable outcome
 - Executing transfer() and audit() concurrently can cause audit() to report more or less than the actual assets

```
boolean transfer (double amount.
                  int source,
                  int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){</pre>
    return false;
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  return true:
double audit(){
  // total balance across all accounts
  double balance = 0.00:
  for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++){
    balance += accounts[i]:
  return balance:
```

- Race condition concurrent update of shared variables, unpredictable outcome
 - Executing transfer() and audit()
 concurrently can cause audit() to
 report more or less than the actual assets
- Avoid this by insisting that transfer() and audit() do not interleave

```
int source,
                  int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){</pre>
    return false;
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  return true;
double audit(){
  // total balance across all accounts
  double balance = 0.00:
  for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++){
    balance += accounts[i]:
  return balance:
```

boolean transfer (double amount.

- Race condition concurrent update of shared variables, unpredictable outcome
 - Executing transfer() and audit() concurrently can cause audit() to report more or less than the actual assets
- Avoid this by insisting that transfer() and audit() do not interleave
- Never simultaneously have current control point of one thread within transfer() and another thread within audit()

```
boolean transfer (double amount.
                  int source.
                  int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){</pre>
    return false;
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  return true;
double audit(){
  // total balance across all accounts
  double balance = 0.00:
  for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++){
    balance += accounts[i]:
  return balance:
```

- Race condition concurrent update of shared variables, unpredictable outcome
 - Executing transfer() and audit() concurrently can cause audit() to report more or less than the actual assets
- Avoid this by insisting that transfer() and audit() do not interleave
- Never simultaneously have current control point of one thread within transfer() and another thread within audit()
- Mutually exclusive access to critical regions of code

```
boolean transfer (double amount.
                  int source.
                  int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){</pre>
    return false;
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  return true;
double audit(){
  // total balance across all accounts
  double balance = 0.00:
  for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++){
    balance += accounts[i]:
  return balance:
```

Summary

- Concurrent update of a shared variable can lead to data inconsistencey
 - Race condition
- Control behaviour of threads to regulate concurrent updates
 - Critical sections sections of code where shared variables are updated
 - Mutual exclusion at most one thread at a time can be in a critical section