

Union-Find data structure

Madhavan Mukund

<https://www.cmi.ac.in/~madhavan>

Programming, Data Structures and Algorithms using Python

Week 6

Kruskal's algorithm for minimum cost spanning tree (MCST)

- Process edges in ascending order of cost
- If edge (u, v) does not create a cycle, add it
 - (u, v) can be added if u and v are in different components
 - Adding edge (u, v) merges these components
- How can we keep track of components and merge them efficiently?

Kruskal's algorithm for minimum cost spanning tree (MCST)

- Process edges in ascending order of cost
- If edge (u, v) does not create a cycle, add it
 - (u, v) can be added if u and v are in different components
 - Adding edge (u, v) merges these components
- How can we keep track of components and merge them efficiently?
- Components **partition** vertices
 - Collection of disjoint sets
- Need data structure to maintain collection of disjoint sets
 - `find(v)` — return set containing v
 - `union(u, v)` — merge sets of u, v

Union-Find data structure

- A set S partitioned into components $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$
 - Each $s \in S$ belongs to exactly one C_j

Union-Find data structure

- A set S partitioned into components $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$
 - Each $s \in S$ belongs to exactly one C_j
- Support the following operations
 - `MakeUnionFind(S)` — set up initial singleton components $\{s\}$, for each $s \in S$
 - `Find(s)` — return the component containing s
 - `Union(s, s')` — merges components containing s, s'

Naive implementation

- Assume $S = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$

Naive implementation

- Assume $S = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$
- Set up a array/dictionary **Component**

Naive implementation

- Assume $S = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$
- Set up a array/dictionary `Component`
- `MakeUnionFind(S)` —
 - Set `Component[i] = i` for each `i`

Naive implementation

- Assume $S = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$
- Set up a array/dictionary `Component`
- `MakeUnionFind(S)` —
 - Set `Component[i] = i` for each `i`
- `Find(i)`
 - Return `Component[i]`

Naive implementation

- Assume $S = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$
- Set up a array/dictionary `Component`
- `MakeUnionFind(S)` —
 - Set `Component[i] = i` for each `i`
- `Find(i)`
 - Return `Component[i]`
- `Union(i, j)`

```
c_old = Component[i]
c_new = Component[j]
for k in range(n):
    if Component[k] == c_old:
        Component[k] = c_new
```

Naive implementation

- Assume $S = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$
- Set up a array/dictionary `Component`
- `MakeUnionFind(S)` —
 - Set `Component[i] = i` for each `i`
- `Find(i)`
 - Return `Component[i]`
- `Union(i, j)`

```
c_old = Component[i]
c_new = Component[j]
for k in range(n):
    if Component[k] == c_old:
        Component[k] = c_new
```

Complexity

- `MakeUnionFind(S)` — $O(n)$
- `Find(i)` — $O(1)$
- `Union(i, j)` — $O(n)$
- Sequence of m `Union()` operations takes time $O(mn)$

Improved implementation

- Another array/dictionary **Members**

Improved implementation

- Another array/dictionary `Members`
- For each component `c`, `Members[c]` is a list of its members
- `Size[c] = length(Members[c])` is the number of members

Improved implementation

- Another array/dictionary `Members`
- For each component `c`, `Members[c]` is a list of its members
- `Size[c] = length(Members[c])` is the number of members
- `MakeUnionFind(S)`
 - Set `Component[i] = i` for all `i`
 - Set `Members[i] = [i]`, `Size[i] = 1` for all `i`

Improved implementation

- Another array/dictionary `Members`
- For each component `c`, `Members[c]` is a list of its members
- `Size[c] = length(Members[c])` is the number of members
- `MakeUnionFind(S)`
 - Set `Component[i] = i` for all `i`
 - Set `Members[i] = [i]`, `Size[i] = 1` for all `i`
- `Find(i)`
 - Return `Component[i]`

Improved implementation

- Another array/dictionary `Members`
- For each component `c`, `Members[c]` is a list of its members
- `Size[c] = length(Members[c])` is the number of members
- `MakeUnionFind(S)`
 - Set `Component[i] = i` for all `i`
 - Set `Members[i] = [i]`, `Size[i] = 1` for all `i`
- `Find(i)`
 - Return `Component[i]`
- `Union(i,j)`

```
c_old = Component[i]
c_new = Component[j]
for k in Members[c_old]:
    Component[k] = c_new
    Members[c_new].append(k)
Size[c_new] = Size[c_new] + 1
```


Why does this help?

■ `MakeUnionFind(S)`

- Set `Component[i] = i` for all `i`
- Set `Members[i] = [i]`, `Size[i] = 1` for all `i`

■ `Find(i)`

- Return `Component[i]`

■ `Union(i,j)`

```
c_old = Component[i]
c_new = Component[j]
for k in Members[c_old]:
    Component[k] = c_new
    Members[c_new].append(k)
    Size[c_new] = Size[c_new] + 1
```

Why does this help?

■ `MakeUnionFind(S)`

- Set `Component[i] = i` for all `i`
- Set `Members[i] = [i]`, `Size[i] = 1` for all `i`

■ `Find(i)`

- Return `Component[i]`

■ `Union(i,j)`

```
c_old = Component[i]
c_new = Component[j]
for k in Members[c_old]:
    Component[k] = c_new
    Members[c_new].append(k)
    Size[c_new] = Size[c_new] + 1
```

- `Members[c_old]` allows us to merge `Component[i]` into `Component[j]` in time $O(\text{Size}[c_old])$ rather than $O(n)$

Why does this help?

■ MakeUnionFind(S)

- Set `Component[i] = i` for all `i`
- Set `Members[i] = [i]`, `Size[i] = 1` for all `i`

■ Find(i)

- Return `Component[i]`

■ Union(i,j)

```
c_old = Component[i]
c_new = Component[j]
for k in Members[c_old]:
    Component[k] = c_new
    Members[c_new].append(k)
    Size[c_new] = Size[c_new] + 1
```

- `Members[c_old]` allows us to merge `Component[i]` into `Component[j]` in time $O(\text{Size}[c_old])$ rather than $O(n)$

■ How can we make use of `Size[c]`

- Always merge smaller component into larger one
- If `Size[c] < Size[c']` relabel `c` as `c'`, else relabel `c'` as `c`

Why does this help?

■ MakeUnionFind(S)

- Set `Component[i] = i` for all `i`
- Set `Members[i] = [i]`, `Size[i] = 1` for all `i`

■ Find(i)

- Return `Component[i]`

■ Union(i, j)

```
c_old = Component[i]
c_new = Component[j]
for k in Members[c_old]:
    Component[k] = c_new
    Members[c_new].append(k)
    Size[c_new] = Size[c_new] + 1
```

- `Members[c_old]` allows us to merge `Component[i]` into `Component[j]` in time $O(\text{Size}[c_old])$ rather than $O(n)$

■ How can we make use of `Size[c]`

- Always merge smaller component into larger one
- If `Size[c] < Size[c']` relabel `c` as `c'`, else relabel `c'` as `c`

- Individual merge operations can still take time $O(n)$

- Both `Size[c]`, `Size[c']` could be about $n/2$
- More careful accounting

Why does this help?

■ `MakeUnionFind(S)`

- Set `Component[i] = i` for all `i`
- Set `Members[i] = [i]`, `Size[i] = 1` for all `i`

■ `Find(i)`

- Return `Component[i]`

■ `Union(i,j)`

```
c_old = Component[i]
c_new = Component[j]
for k in Members[c_old]:
    Component[k] = c_new
    Members[c_new].append(k)
    Size[c_new] = Size[c_new] + 1
```

- Always merge smaller component into larger one

Why does this help?

■ `MakeUnionFind(S)`

- Set `Component[i] = i` for all `i`
- Set `Members[i] = [i]`, `Size[i] = 1` for all `i`

■ `Find(i)`

- Return `Component[i]`

■ `Union(i,j)`

```
c_old = Component[i]
c_new = Component[j]
for k in Members[c_old]:
    Component[k] = c_new
    Members[c_new].append(k)
    Size[c_new] = Size[c_new] + 1
```

- Always merge smaller component into larger one
- For each `i`, size of `Component[i]` at least doubles each time it is relabelled

Why does this help?

■ `MakeUnionFind(S)`

- Set `Component[i] = i` for all `i`
- Set `Members[i] = [i]`, `Size[i] = 1` for all `i`

■ `Find(i)`

- Return `Component[i]`

■ `Union(i, j)`

```
c_old = Component[i]
c_new = Component[j]
for k in Members[c_old]:
    Component[k] = c_new
    Members[c_new].append(k)
    Size[c_new] = Size[c_new] + 1
```

- Always merge smaller component into larger one
- For each `i`, size of `Component[i]` at least doubles each time it is relabelled
- After `m Union()` operations, at most $2m$ elements have been “touched”
 - Size of `Component[i]` is at most $2m$

Why does this help?

■ MakeUnionFind(S)

- Set `Component[i] = i` for all `i`
- Set `Members[i] = [i]`, `Size[i] = 1` for all `i`

■ Find(i)

- Return `Component[i]`

■ Union(i,j)

```
c_old = Component[i]
c_new = Component[j]
for k in Members[c_old]:
    Component[k] = c_new
    Members[c_new].append(k)
    Size[c_new] = Size[c_new] + 1
```

- Always merge smaller component into larger one
- For each `i`, size of `Component[i]` at least doubles each time it is relabelled
- After `m Union()` operations, at most $2m$ elements have been “touched”
 - Size of `Component[i]` is at most $2m$
- Size of `Component[i]` grows as $1, 2, 4, \dots$, so `i` changes component at most $\log m$ times

Why does this help?

- Always merge smaller component into larger one
- For each i , size of `Component[i]` at least doubles each time it is relabelled
- After m `Union()` operations, at most $2m$ elements have been “touched”
 - Size of `Component[i]` is at most $2m$
- Size of `Component[i]` grows as $1, 2, 4, \dots$, so i changes component at most $\log m$ times

Why does this help?

- Always merge smaller component into larger one
- For each i , size of `Component[i]` at least doubles each time it is relabelled
- After m `Union()` operations, at most $2m$ elements have been “touched”
 - Size of `Component[i]` is at most $2m$
- Size of `Component[i]` grows as $1, 2, 4, \dots$, so i changes component at most $\log m$ times
- Over m updates
 - At most $2m$ elements are relabelled
 - Each one at most $O(\log m)$ times

Why does this help?

- Always merge smaller component into larger one
- For each i , size of `Component[i]` at least doubles each time it is relabelled
- After m `Union()` operations, at most $2m$ elements have been “touched”
 - Size of `Component[i]` is at most $2m$
- Size of `Component[i]` grows as $1, 2, 4, \dots$, so i changes component at most $\log m$ times
- Over m updates
 - At most $2m$ elements are relabelled
 - Each one at most $O(\log m)$ times
- Overall, m `Union()` operations take time $O(m \log m)$

Why does this help?

- Always merge smaller component into larger one
- For each i , size of `Component[i]` at least doubles each time it is relabelled
- After m `Union()` operations, at most $2m$ elements have been “touched”
 - Size of `Component[i]` is at most $2m$
- Size of `Component[i]` grows as $1, 2, 4, \dots$, so i changes component at most $\log m$ times
- Over m updates
 - At most $2m$ elements are relabelled
 - Each one at most $O(\log m)$ times
- Overall, m `Union()` operations take time $O(m \log m)$
- Works out to time $O(\log m)$ per `Union()` operation
 - Amortised complexity of `Union()` is $O(\log m)$

Back to Kruskal's algorithm

- Sort $E = \{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{m-1}\}$ in ascending order

Back to Kruskal's algorithm

- Sort $E = \{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{m-1}\}$ in ascending order
- `MakeUnionFind(V)` — each vertex j is in component j

Back to Kruskal's algorithm

- Sort $E = \{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{m-1}\}$ in ascending order
- `MakeUnionFind(V)` — each vertex j is in component j
- Adding an edge $e_k = (u, v)$ to the tree
 - Check that `Find(u) != Find(v)`
 - Merge components:
`Union(Component[u], Component[v])`

Back to Kruskal's algorithm

- Sort $E = \{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{m-1}\}$ in ascending order
- `MakeUnionFind(V)` — each vertex j is in component j
- Adding an edge $e_k = (u, v)$ to the tree
 - Check that `Find(u) != Find(v)`
 - Merge components:
`Union(Component[u], Component[v])`
- Tree has $n - 1$ edges, so $O(n)$ `Union()` operations
 - $O(n \log n)$ amortised cost, overall

Back to Kruskal's algorithm

- Sort $E = \{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{m-1}\}$ in ascending order
- `MakeUnionFind(V)` — each vertex j is in component j
- Adding an edge $e_k = (u, v)$ to the tree
 - Check that `Find(u) != Find(v)`
 - Merge components:
`Union(Component[u], Component[v])`
- Tree has $n - 1$ edges, so $O(n)$ `Union()` operations
 - $O(n \log n)$ amortised cost, overall
- Sorting E takes $O(m \log m)$
 - Equivalently $O(m \log n)$, since $m \leq n^2$

Back to Kruskal's algorithm

- Sort $E = \{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{m-1}\}$ in ascending order
- `MakeUnionFind(V)` — each vertex j is in component j
- Adding an edge $e_k = (u, v)$ to the tree
 - Check that `Find(u) != Find(v)`
 - Merge components:
`Union(Component[u], Component[v])`
- Tree has $n - 1$ edges, so $O(n)$ `Union()` operations
 - $O(n \log n)$ amortised cost, overall
- Sorting E takes $O(m \log m)$
 - Equivalently $O(m \log n)$, since $m \leq n^2$
- Overall time, $O((m + n) \log n)$

Summary

- Implement Union-Find using arrays/dictionaries `Component`, `Member`, `Size`
 - `MakeUnionFind(S)` is $O(n)$
 - `Find(i)` is $O(1)$
 - Across m operations, amortised complexity of each `Union()` operation is $\log m$

Summary

- Implement Union-Find using arrays/dictionaries `Component`, `Member`, `Size`
 - `MakeUnionFind(S)` is $O(n)$
 - `Find(i)` is $O(1)$
 - Across m operations, amortised complexity of each `Union()` operation is $\log m$
- Can also maintain `Members[k]` as a tree rather than as a list
 - `Union()` becomes $O(1)$
 - With clever updates to the tree, `Find()` has amortised complexity very close to $O(1)$