Madhavan Mukund

https://www.cmi.ac.in/~madhavan

Programming Concepts using Java
Week 10

#### Atomic test-and-set

- Test-and-set is at the heart of most race conditions
- Need a high level primitive for atomic test-and-set in the programming language
- Semaphores provide one such solution
- Solutions based on test-and-set are low level and prone to programming errors

 Attach synchronization control to the data that is being protected

- Attach synchronization control to the data that is being protected
- Monitors Per Brinch Hansen and CAR Hoare

- Attach synchronization control to the data that is being protected
- Monitors Per Brinch Hansen and CAR Hoare
- Monitor is like a class in an OO language
  - Data definition to which access is restricted across threads
  - Collections of functions operating on this data — all are implicitly mutually exclusive

```
monitor bank_account{
  double accounts[100]:
  boolean transfer (double amount.
                           int source,
                          int target){
    if (accounts[source] < amount){</pre>
      return false:
    accounts[source] -= amount:
    accounts[target] += amount:
    return true:
  double audit(){
    // compute balance across all accounts
    double balance = 0.00:
    for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++){
      balance += accounts[i];
    return balance:
```

- Attach synchronization control to the data that is being protected
- Monitors Per Brinch Hansen and CAR Hoare
- Monitor is like a class in an OO language
  - Data definition to which access is restricted across threads
  - Collections of functions operating on this data — all are implicitly mutually exclusive
- Monitor guarantees mutual exclusion if one function is active, any other function will have to wait for it to finish

```
monitor bank_account{
  double accounts[100]:
  boolean transfer (double amount.
                           int source,
                           int target){
    if (accounts[source] < amount){</pre>
      return false:
    accounts[source] -= amount:
    accounts[target] += amount:
    return true:
  double audit(){
    // compute balance across all accounts
    double balance = 0.00:
    for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++){
      balance += accounts[i];
    return balance:
```

### Monitors: external queue

 Monitor ensures transfer and audit are mutually exclusive

```
monitor bank_account{
  double accounts[100]:
  boolean transfer (double amount.
                           int source,
                          int target){
    if (accounts[source] < amount){</pre>
      return false:
    accounts[source] -= amount;
    accounts[target] += amount:
    return true:
 double audit(){
    // compute balance across all accounts
    double balance = 0.00:
    for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++){
      balance += accounts[i];
    return balance;
```

4 D F 4 D F 4 D F 4 D F 5

## Monitors: external queue

- Monitor ensures transfer and audit are mutually exclusive
- If Thread 1 is executing transfer and Thread 2 invokes audit, it must wait

```
monitor bank_account{
  double accounts[100]:
  boolean transfer (double amount.
                           int source,
                          int target){
    if (accounts[source] < amount){</pre>
      return false:
    accounts[source] -= amount;
    accounts[target] += amount;
    return true:
  double audit(){
    // compute balance across all accounts
    double balance = 0.00:
    for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++){
      balance += accounts[i];
    return balance;
```

## Monitors: external queue

- Monitor ensures transfer and audit are mutually exclusive
- If Thread 1 is executing transfer and Thread 2 invokes audit, it must wait
- Implicit queue associated with each monitor
  - Contains all processes waiting for access
  - In practice, this may be just a set, not a queue

```
monitor bank_account{
  double accounts[100]:
  boolean transfer (double amount.
                           int source,
                           int target){
    if (accounts[source] < amount){</pre>
      return false:
    accounts[source] -= amount;
    accounts[target] += amount;
    return true:
  double audit(){
    // compute balance across all accounts
    double balance = 0.00:
    for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++){
      balance += accounts[i];
    return balance;
```

Our definition of monitors may be too restrictive

```
transfer(500.00,i,j);
transfer(400.00,j,k);
```

Our definition of monitors may be too restrictive

```
transfer(500.00,i,j);
transfer(400.00,j,k);
```

■ This should always succeed if accounts[i] > 500

Our definition of monitors may be too restrictive

```
transfer(500.00,i,j);
transfer(400.00,j,k);
```

- This should always succeed if accounts[i] > 500
- If these calls are reordered and accounts[j] < 400 initially, this will fail

Our definition of monitors may be too restrictive

```
transfer(500.00,i,j);
transfer(400.00,j,k);
```

- This should always succeed if accounts[i] > 500
- If these calls are reordered and accounts[j] < 400 initially, this will fail
- A possible fix let an account wait for pending inflows

```
boolean transfer (double amount, int source, int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){
    // wait for another transaction to transfer money
    // into accounts[source]
  }
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  return true;
}</pre>
```

```
boolean transfer (double amount, int source, int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){
    // wait for another transaction to transfer money
    // into accounts[source]
  }
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  return true;
}</pre>
```

All other processes are blocked out while this process waits!

```
boolean transfer (double amount, int source, int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){
    // wait for another transaction to transfer money
    // into accounts[source]
  }
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  return true;
}</pre>
```

- All other processes are blocked out while this process waits!
- Need a mechanism for a thread to suspend itself and give up the monitor

```
boolean transfer (double amount, int source, int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){
    // wait for another transaction to transfer money
    // into accounts[source]
  }
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  return true;
}</pre>
```

- All other processes are blocked out while this process waits!
- Need a mechanism for a thread to suspend itself and give up the monitor
- A suspended process is waiting for monitor to change its state

```
boolean transfer (double amount, int source, int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){
    // wait for another transaction to transfer money
    // into accounts[source]
  }
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  return true;
}</pre>
```

- All other processes are blocked out while this process waits!
- Need a mechanism for a thread to suspend itself and give up the monitor
- A suspended process is waiting for monitor to change its state
- Have a separate internal queue, as opposed to external queue where initially blocked threads wait

```
boolean transfer (double amount, int source, int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){
    // wait for another transaction to transfer money
    // into accounts[source]
  }
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  return true;
}</pre>
```

- All other processes are blocked out while this process waits!
- Need a mechanism for a thread to suspend itself and give up the monitor
- A suspended process is waiting for monitor to change its state
- Have a separate internal queue, as opposed to external queue where initially blocked threads wait
- Dual operation to notify and wake up suspended processes

```
boolean transfer (double amount, int source, int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){    wait(); }
    accounts[source] -= amount;
    accounts[target] += amount;
    notify();
    return true;
}</pre>
```

```
boolean transfer (double amount, int source, int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){    wait(); }
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  notify();
  return true;
}</pre>
```

■ What happens when a process executes notify()?

```
boolean transfer (double amount, int source, int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){ wait(); }
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  notify();
  return true;
}</pre>
```

- What happens when a process executes notify()?
- Signal and exit notifying process immediately exits the monitor
  - notify() must be the last instruction

```
boolean transfer (double amount, int source, int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){ wait(); }
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  notify();
  return true;
}</pre>
```

- What happens when a process executes notify()?
- Signal and exit notifying process immediately exits the monitor
  - notify() must be the last instruction
- Signal and wait notifying process swaps roles and goes into the internal queue of the monitor

```
boolean transfer (double amount, int source, int target){
  if (accounts[source] < amount){    wait(); }
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  notify();
  return true;
}</pre>
```

- What happens when a process executes notify()?
- Signal and exit notifying process immediately exits the monitor
  - notify() must be the last instruction
- Signal and wait notifying process swaps roles and goes into the internal queue of the monitor
- Signal and continue notifying process keeps control till it completes and then one of the notified processes steps in

## Monitors — wait() and notify()

- Should check the wait() condition again on wake up
  - Change of state may not be sufficient to continue e.g., not enough inflow into the account to allow transfer

# Monitors — wait() and notify()

- Should check the wait() condition again on wake up
  - Change of state may not be sufficient to continue e.g., not enough inflow into the account to allow transfer
- A thread can be again interleaved between notification and running
  - At wake-up, the state was fine, but it has changed again due to some other concurrent action

# Monitors — wait() and notify()

- Should check the wait() condition again on wake up
  - Change of state may not be sufficient to continue e.g., not enough inflow into the account to allow transfer
- A thread can be again interleaved between notification and running
  - At wake-up, the state was fine, but it has changed again due to some other concurrent action
- wait() should be in a while, not in an if

```
boolean transfer (double amount, int source, int target){
  while (accounts[source] < amount){    wait();  }
  accounts[source] -= amount;
  accounts[target] += amount;
  notify();
  return true;
}</pre>
```

#### Condition variables

 After transfer, notify() is only useful for threads waiting for target account of transfer to change state

#### Condition variables

- After transfer, notify() is only useful for threads waiting for target account of transfer to change state
- Makes sense to have more than one internal queue

#### Condition variables

- After transfer, notify() is only useful for threads waiting for target account of transfer to change state
- Makes sense to have more than one internal queue
- Monitor can have condition variables to describe internal queues

```
monitor bank account{
  double accounts[100];
  queue q[100]; // one internal queue
                 // for each account
  boolean transfer (double amount,
                    int source.
                    int target){
    while (accounts[source] < amount){
      q[source].wait(); // wait in the queue
                         // associated with source
    accounts[source] -= amount:
    accounts[target] += amount:
    q[target].notify(); // notify the queue
                         // associated with target
    return true:
  // compute the balance across all accounts
  double audit(){ ...}
```

# Summary

- Concurrent programming with atomic test-and-set primitives is error prone
- Monitors are like abstract datatypes for concurrent programming
  - Encapsulate data and methods to manipulate data
  - Methods are implicitly atomic, regulate concurrent access
  - Each object has an implicit external queue of processes waiting to execute a method
- wait() and notify() allow more flexible operation
- Can have multiple internal queues controlled by condition variables