Note Title 4/26/2

Linear Regression

Given data 
$$\{(x_1, y_1), ---- (x_n, y_n)\}$$
,  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i=1--n$   

$$L(0) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i^T 0 - y_i^T)^2$$

$$A\theta = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^T \theta \\ \vdots \\ x_n^T \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A\theta - Y = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^T \theta - y_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n^T \theta - y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(A\theta - Y)^T (A\theta - Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i^T \theta - y_i)^2$$

$$(x_i^T \theta - y_i)^T (x_i^T \theta - y_i)^T$$

So, 
$$L(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} (A\theta - 7)^{T} (A\theta - 7)$$

Minimizing L:  $\nabla_{\mathbf{e}} L(\mathbf{e}) = 0$ 7 ((AB-7) (AB-7)) = 0 (=) AT (AB-7)=0 (3) (ATA) 0= ATY -> Least square solution Mote! (an we write  $\theta = (A^TA)^T A^T Y?$ Yes, if A is full rank [ why? full rank A =) ATA is invertible. Now to argue This? Show that rank (A)= rank (ATA) by showly N(A)- N(ATA). H-w. ( Take on x & N(A) 4 show x & N(A) and the other way ]

Maximum likelihood and least squared:

Suppose the data is generated according to the following model:

x = | Model | y = 0 x + E zeromean, e.g. E ~ boursion with mean 0 and vonder (1)

Dolaret D= {(X;,Y;), i=1-n} generated in an i.j.d fashion

independent d identificated distributed

Maximum likelihoodhilapproach;  $L(\Theta) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{2} \left(y_{j} - \theta^{T} x_{j}\right)^{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\omega_{hy}} \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{t_{huchon}}{t_{huchon}}$   $ML \text{ approach}: Find a <math>\Theta$  that  $\max_{i=1}^{\infty} L(\Theta)$ 

Inskad of max Z(0), we would consider max log Z(0)

Since log is increasing, the optima of these two problems are the same.

$$\log 1(\theta) = \frac{n}{2} \log \beta - \frac{n}{2} \log^2 \pi - \beta \left( \frac{1}{2} \leq (3 - 0^7 x_i)^2 \right)$$

ûthe least square objective that we minimized wit 0

Missage! "Maximizing log 2(8)" û Ma San ar "nûn ] S(y,-01x;)2"

(or) Least square regression solver a moximum likelihood estination problem un der a linear model.

## Polynomial regression:

Consider one-dimensional data

Previously, we tried titing a line through this data.

Mere, we generalize to any polynomial, say of degree m.

## Transformed features:

$$\hat{y}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x + \theta_2 x^2 + --- + \theta_m x^m$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m} \theta_j \phi_j(x) , \text{ where } \phi_j(x) = x^{\delta}$$

For a given x, the transformed feature vector  $\phi(x)=(1,x,x^2,---,x^m)$ 

 $\hat{y}(x) = \Theta^{T} \phi(x)$ ,  $\Theta = (\Theta_{0}, \dots, \Theta_{m})$ 

Usz these transformed features, perform linear regression.

(ATA) O= ATY

Different sort regular bear regrosses is that we are using transformed features & then performing regrossion.

legulatized version of lineat regression (a.k.a.) Ridge regression

Instead of solving min I  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (x_i^T \theta - y_i^T)^2$ , we solve the following regularized versions

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

Repeat the confounding loading to least squared solution, we obtain

$$(A^TA + \lambda I) \Theta_{reg} = A^T Y$$

M.W.! Show (ATA+ XI) & invertible even if A is not full rate.

Note: Porameter & Controle overfittig.

Too small a 1 > overfitting

Too (ange a ) - under fitting