Similarity and diagonalization Def: A matrix A is diagonalizable if Jan invertable metrix S such Not) dragonal matrix Matrix boig diagonalizable in tied to having enough independent eigenvectors" Suppose A is a new matrix with n linearly inpendent eigenvectors, say {x,,--,x,} with corresponding eigenvalues {x,--,x,} Then, A is dragon alizable. Why? In S invertible? Yes, because rank (S)= n become { x, -- x, 3 is a tineorly independent Set

$$AS = A \begin{cases} 1 \\ x_1 - - - x_n \end{cases} = \begin{cases} Ax_1 - - - Ax_n \\ Ax_1 - - - Ax_n \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \lambda_1 x_1 - - - \lambda_n x_n \\ 1 \end{cases} = \begin{cases} x_1 - - x_n \\ 1 \end{cases}$$

$$= S \land , \text{ where } \land = \begin{cases} \lambda_1 \\ 0 \end{cases} - \lambda_n \end{cases}$$

$$So, \quad AS = S \land \Leftrightarrow S \land S = \land \end{cases}$$

$$Claim: Y \land_{1, \lambda_{2}} \text{ are the algorithm with corrusporting expansions } x_{1, \lambda_{2}}, \text{ and } \lambda_{1} \neq \lambda_{2}.$$

Then, {x, x2} is a linearly independent set.

15. Suppose $C_1 x_1 + C_2 x_2 = 0$ $C_1 x_1 + C_2 x_2 = 0$ $C_1 \lambda_1 x_1 + C_2 \lambda_2 x_2 = 0$ $C_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) x_1 = 0$ $C_2 - \lambda_2 0$

λ, \$λ2, x, \$0 =) c,=0

Similarly, C2=0 =) {x1,x2} û a linearly independent set

Extension of this claim: If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, --\lambda_n$ are distinct, then their eigenvectors $\{x_1, --x_n\}$ are linearly independent.

If: K, w.

Example: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$

 $A - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 4 \\ 2 & 3 - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$ Characteristic equation: $\lambda^2 - 4\lambda - 5 = 0$ $\lambda_1 = 5$, $\lambda_2 = -1$ Quykon: Is A diagon dizable? Tes, because it has 2 distinct erganders

$$A - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 4 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$3 \lambda_2 = -1$$

$$x_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in N(A - \lambda_{2}I)$$

$$x_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Check:
$$S^{-1}AS = X$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Remarks:

O S'AS= N or A= SNS-1

Is Sunique? NO why? If I, is an eigenvector, then so is CX,

So, the whomas of S can be scaled to get S' such that S'AS'= N

Q A = SAST

Suppose col. 1 of Six y

Coll of SN = 2,y, Coll of AS= Ay

We know AS= SA

 $Ay = \lambda, y = \lambda$, is an eigenvalue & y is an eigenvector of A

3 Powers of A: Suppose I is an eigenvalue and x is an eigenvector of A

 $A^2x = A(Ax) = \lambda Ax = \lambda^2x$

Suppose $S^T A S = \Lambda$ Question: is $S^T A^2 S = \Lambda^2$? Yes. $(S^T A S) (S^T A S) = (\Lambda)(\Lambda)$ $S^T A^2 S = \Lambda^2$

The argument above works for a general k ?!, i.e.,

("Not all matrices are diagonalizable

Example: A = 01 Check! A does not have 2 linearly independent example chors.