

# IMU Measurement Model with Sensor Offset and Misalignment

We consider an IMU mounted at a known offset  $\mathbf{r}$  from the body reference frame origin, with a known fixed rotation  $\mathbf{R}_{BS}$  from body frame ( $B$ ) to sensor frame ( $S$ ). The IMU provides measurements of linear acceleration and angular velocity. The 19-dimensional state vector is defined as:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p} \\ \mathbf{q} \\ \mathbf{v} \\ \boldsymbol{\omega} \\ \mathbf{a} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_x, p_y, p_z \\ q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3 \\ v_x, v_y, v_z \\ \omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z \\ a_x, a_y, a_z \\ \alpha_x, \alpha_y, \alpha_z \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{q}$  is the quaternion representing orientation from inertial to body frame.

The IMU provides two measurements:

1. Accelerometer measurement ( $3 \times 1$ ):

$$\mathbf{a}_{IMU} = \mathbf{R}_{BS} \left[ \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{q})^T \mathbf{g} + \boldsymbol{\alpha} \times \mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \times (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}) \right]$$

2. Gyroscope measurement ( $3 \times 1$ ):

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_{IMU} = \mathbf{R}_{BS} \boldsymbol{\omega}$$

Here: -  $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{q})^T$  rotates vectors from inertial to body frame. -  $\mathbf{R}_{BS}$  is a constant rotation matrix from body to sensor frame (misalignment). -  $\mathbf{g}$  is gravitational acceleration in inertial coordinates. -  $\mathbf{r}$  is lever arm vector (body coordinates).

## Jacobian Derivation

The Jacobian matrix  $\mathbf{H}$  is defined as:

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{IMU} \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}_{IMU} \end{bmatrix}_{6 \times 1},$$

thus it has dimensions  $6 \times 19$ .

We compute each block separately:

### Accelerometer Measurement Jacobians ( $3 \times 19$ )

- With respect to linear position ( $3 \times 3$ ):

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}_{IMU}}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3}.$$

- With respect to quaternion orientation ( $3 \times 4$ ), gravity term only:

$$J_q = \frac{\partial (\mathbf{R}_{BS} \mathbf{R}(q)^T \mathbf{g})}{\partial q} = \mathbf{R}_{BS} \frac{\partial (\mathbf{R}(q)^T \mathbf{g})}{\partial q},$$

where:

$$\frac{\partial (\mathbf{R}(q)^T \mathbf{g})}{\partial q} = 2 [(q_0 \mathbf{g} + \tilde{q} \times \mathbf{g}), (\tilde{q} \mathbf{g}^T + (q_0 \mathbf{I} + [\tilde{q}]_{\times})[\mathbf{g}]_{\times})]_{3 \times 4},$$

with quaternion  $q = [q_0, \tilde{q}^T]^T$ , and  $[\tilde{q}]_{\times}$  skew-symmetric operator.

- With respect to linear velocity ( $3 \times 3$ ):

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}_{IMU}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3}.$$

- With respect to angular velocity ( $3 \times 3$ ): Define:  $h(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \boldsymbol{\omega} \times (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r})$ , then:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}} = [(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r})]_{\times} - [\mathbf{r}]_{\times} [\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{\times}.$$

Thus:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}_{IMU}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}} = \mathbf{R}_{BS} ([(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r})]_{\times} - [\mathbf{r}]_{\times} [\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{\times}).$$

- With respect to linear acceleration ( $3 \times 3$ ): Direct identity mapping:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}_{IMU}}{\partial \mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{R}_{BS}.$$

- With respect to angular acceleration ( $3 \times 3$ ): Tangential acceleration depends linearly:

$$\frac{\partial (\boldsymbol{\alpha} \times \mathbf{r})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \mathbf{R}_{BS} [\mathbf{r}]_{\times}.$$

Thus accelerometer Jacobian block row is:

$$H_{accel} = [\underbrace{0_{(3 \times 3)}}_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad J_q^{grav}(q)_{(3 \times 4)}, \quad 0_{(3 \times 3)}, \quad \mathbf{R}_{BS} ([(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r})]_{\times} - [\mathbf{r}]_{\times} [\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{\times}), \quad R_{BS}, \quad R_{BS} [\mathbf{r}]_{\times}]$$

## Gyroscope Measurement Jacobians ( $3 \times 19$ )

Only angular velocity states affect gyroscope directly:

$$H_{gyro} = [0_{(3 \times 3)}, \quad 0_{(3 \times 4)}, \quad 0_{(3 \times 3)}, \quad R_{BS}, \quad 0_{(3 \times 3)}, \quad 0_{(3 \times 3)}].$$

Thus the full Jacobian matrix ( $6 \times 19$ ) is clearly given by stacking both blocks:

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & J_q^{grav} & 0 & R_{BS} ([(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r})]_{\times} - [\mathbf{r}]_{\times} [\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{\times}) & R_{BS} & R_{BS} [\mathbf{r}]_{\times} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & R_{BS} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This final form correctly incorporates both sensor offset and misalignment rotation.

# 1 IMU Quaternion Jacobian Derivation & Validation

## 1.1 Problem Definition

For an IMU with orientation represented by unit quaternion  $\mathbf{q} = [q_w, q_x, q_y, q_z]^T$ , we derive the Jacobian for the gravity compensation term:

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{R}_{BS} \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{q})^T \mathbf{g}_w \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{g}_w = [0, 0, g]^T$  is gravity in world coordinates, and  $\mathbf{R}_{BS}$  is the fixed body-to-sensor rotation.

## 1.2 Analytical Derivation

The rotation matrix  $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{q})^T$  for a unit quaternion is:

$$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{q})^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2(q_y^2 + q_z^2) & 2(q_x q_y + q_w q_z) & 2(q_x q_z - q_w q_y) \\ 2(q_x q_y - q_w q_z) & 1 - 2(q_x^2 + q_z^2) & 2(q_y q_z + q_w q_x) \\ 2(q_x q_z + q_w q_y) & 2(q_y q_z - q_w q_x) & 1 - 2(q_x^2 + q_y^2) \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

The body-frame gravity vector and its Jacobian:

$$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{q})^T \mathbf{g}_w = g \begin{bmatrix} 2(q_x q_z - q_w q_y) \\ 2(q_y q_z + q_w q_x) \\ 1 - 2(q_x^2 + q_y^2) \end{bmatrix}, \quad J_q^{grav} = 2g \begin{bmatrix} -q_y & q_z & -q_w & q_x \\ q_x & q_w & q_z & q_y \\ 0 & -2q_x & -2q_y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

## 2 Numerical Validation Methodology

### 2.1 Core Principles

- Maintain unit quaternion constraint  $\|\mathbf{q}\| = 1$
- Use consistent frame conventions (ROS REP 103 recommended)
- Validate identity case before complex orientations

### 2.2 Perturbation Technique

#### 2.2.1 Exponential Map Formulation

For valid quaternion perturbations:

$$\delta\mathbf{q} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\delta\boldsymbol{\theta}\right) \approx \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \delta\theta_x/2 \\ \delta\theta_y/2 \\ \delta\theta_z/2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \|\delta\boldsymbol{\theta}\| \ll 1 \quad (4)$$

Implementation code:

```
const double eps = 1e-6; // Optimal for double precision
Eigen::Vector3d delta_theta(eps, 0, 0); // X-axis perturbation

Eigen::Quaterniond dq(1, delta_theta.x()/2,
delta_theta.y()/2,
delta_theta.z()/2);
dq.normalize();
Eigen::Quaterniond q_perturbed = q * dq;
```

### 2.3 Validation Protocol

1. **Baseline Check:** Identity quaternion  $\mathbf{q} = [1, 0, 0, 0]^T$
2. **Canonical Rotations:** 90° about each principal axis
3. **Arbitrary Orientation:** Random unit quaternion
4. **Error Analysis:**

$$\varepsilon_{\text{rel}} = \frac{\|J_{\text{ana}} - J_{\text{num}}\|}{\max(\|J_{\text{ana}}\|, \epsilon)} \quad (5)$$

- Accept:  $\varepsilon_{\text{rel}} < 1\%$
- Investigate:  $1\% \leq \varepsilon_{\text{rel}} \leq 5\%$
- Reject:  $\varepsilon_{\text{rel}} > 5\%$

## 3 Case Study: 90° Y-Rotation

### 3.1 Test Configuration

- Quaternion:  $[0.7071, 0, 0.7071, 0]^T$  (90° Y-rotation)
- Gravity:  $g = 9.80665 \text{ m/s}^2$
- Perturbation:  $\delta\theta = 1\mu\text{rad}$

### 3.2 Results & Analysis

Term	Analytical	Numerical	Error
$\partial g_x / \partial q_w$	-13.87	-13.87	0.00%
$\partial g_z / \partial q_y$	-27.74	-27.74	0.00%
$\partial g_y / \partial q_z$	13.87	13.87	0.00%

## 4 Best Practices & Troubleshooting

### 4.1 Implementation Checklist

- ☐ Use exponential map perturbations
- ☐ Normalize after quaternion operations
- ☐ Verify  $\mathbf{R}_{BS}$  separately
- ☐ Test positive/negative perturbations
- ☐ Check magnitude and sign

### 4.2 Common Issues & Solutions

Symptom	Resolution
Sign mismatches	Verify quaternion multiplication order (Hamilton vs JPL)
Null Z-derivatives	Confirm gravity vector alignment in world frame
2x error scaling	Check 1/2 factor in exponential map
Discontinuities at identity	Use $\mathbf{q} = [0.999, 0, 0, 0.001]$ near identity
Frame inconsistencies	Validate $\mathbf{R}_{BS}$ matrix independently
Sensitivity to perturbation size	Test $\epsilon \in [10^{-7}, 10^{-4}]$

## A Reference Implementation

Complete Jacobian computation:

```
Eigen::Matrix<double, 3, 4> ComputeQuatJacobian(
const Eigen::Quaterniond& q,
double g,
const Eigen::Matrix3d& R_BS)
{
    Eigen::Matrix<double, 3, 4> J;
    const double two_g = 2 * g;

    J << two_g*q.y(), two_g*q.z(), two_g*q.w(), two_g*q.x(),
        -two_g*q.x(), -two_g*q.w(), two_g*q.z(), two_g*q.y(),
        0.0, -4*g*q.x(), -4*g*q.y(), 0.0;

    return R_BS * J;
}
```