

# Architectural Test Task Group Call – Minutes

Thur, 09Sep2021 8am Pacific → Daylight ← Time

See slide 6 for agenda

# Antitrust Policy Notice



RISC-V International meetings involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of RISC-V International to conduct all its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of, and not participate in, any activities that are prohibited under applicable US state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws.

Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at RISC-V International meetings and in connection with RISC-V International activities are described in the RISC-V International Regulations Article 7 available here: <https://riscv.org/regulations/>

If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel.

# RISC-V International Code of Conduct



RISC-V is a free and open ISA enabling a new era of processor innovation through open standard collaboration. Born in academia and research, RISC-V ISA delivers a new level of free, extensible software and hardware freedom on architecture, paving the way for the next 50 years of computing design and innovation.

We are a transparent, collaborative community where all are welcomed, and all members are encouraged to participate.

We as members, contributors, and leaders pledge to make participation in our community a harassment-free experience for everyone.

<https://riscv.org/risc-v-international-community-code-of-conduct/>

# SIG Charter

The Architectural Compatibility Test SIG is an umbrella group that will provide guidance, strategy and oversight for the development of tests used to help find incompatibilities with the RISC-V Architecture as a step in the Architectural Compatibility self-certification process

The group will:

- Guide Development of:
  - Architectural tests for RISC-V implementations covering ratified and in-flight specifications for
    - Architectural versions, standard extensions, and implementation options.
  - Tools and infrastructure to help identify architectural incompatibilities in implementations
- Work with LSM and Chairs for resources to get the above work done.
- Mentor or arrange for mentoring for the resources to get the above work done

# Administrative Pointers

- Chair – Allen Baum [allen.baum@esperantotech.com](mailto:allen.baum@esperantotech.com) Co-chair – Bill McSpadden [bill.mcspadden@seagate.com](mailto:bill.mcspadden@seagate.com)
- SIG Email [sig-arch-test@lists.riscv.org](mailto:sig-arch-test@lists.riscv.org) Notetakers: please send emails to [allen.baum@esperantotech.com](mailto:allen.baum@esperantotech.com)
- Meetings -Bi-monthly at 8am Pacific time on 2<sup>nd</sup>/4<sup>th</sup> Thursdays.
  - See [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1L15\\_gHI5b2ApkcHVtpZyl4s\\_A7sgSrNN](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1L15_gHI5b2ApkcHVtpZyl4s_A7sgSrNN) zoom link

- Documents, calendar, roster, etc. in

- <https://sites.google.com/a/riscv.org/riscv-staff/home/tech-groups-cal>
- <https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1DemKMAD3D0Ka1MeESRoVCJipSrwiUIEs>

lifecycle in "policies/supporting docs" folder, gaps in "planning" folder, arch-test specific in "information->content->arch-test")

- Git repositories

← docs

riscv

→ tools

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="https://github.com/riscv/riscv-compliance/tree/master/doc/">https://github.com/riscv/riscv-compliance/tree/master/doc/</a></li> <li><a href="https://riscv.readthedocs.io/en/stable/">https://riscv.readthedocs.io/en/stable/</a></li> <li><a href="https://riscv-isac.readthedocs.io/">https://riscv-isac.readthedocs.io/</a></li> <li><a href="https://riscv-ctg.readthedocs.io/">https://riscv-ctg.readthedocs.io/</a></li> <li><a href="https://github.com/riscv/riscv-config/tree/master/docs">https://github.com/riscv/riscv-config/tree/master/docs</a></li> <li><a href="https://github.com/riscv/sail-riscv/tree/master/doc">https://github.com/riscv/sail-riscv/tree/master/doc</a></li> <li><a href="https://github.com/riscv-admin/architecture-test">https://github.com/riscv-admin/architecture-test</a></li> </ul>	tests riscv ISA coverage Test Gen. YAML, WARL config Sail formal model minutes, charter	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="https://github.com/riscv/riscv-arch-test/">https://github.com/riscv/riscv-arch-test/</a></li> <li><a href="https://github.com/riscv/riscv/">https://github.com/riscv/riscv/</a></li> <li><a href="https://github.com/riscv_isac">https://github.com/riscv_isac</a></li> <li><a href="https://github.com/riscv_ctg">https://github.com/riscv_ctg</a></li> <li><a href="https://github.com/riscv/riscv-config/">https://github.com/riscv/riscv-config/</a></li> <li><a href="https://github.com/riscv/sail-riscv/">https://github.com/riscv/sail-riscv/</a></li> </ul>
---	---	--

- JIRA: <https://jira.riscv.org/projects/CSC/issues/CSC-1?filter=allopenissues>

- Sail annotated ISA spec: in <https://github.com/rem-s-project/riscv-isa-manual/blob/sail/>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">README.SAIL</a> ← how to annotate</li> <li><a href="#">release/riscv-spec-sail-draft.pdf</a> ← annotated source</li> <li><a href="https://us02web.zoom.us/rec/share/-XIYazzhIBbQoiZdarCfebdxjDwiVhf-LxnuVrliN4Bc30yf17ztKkKDU4Og54b.fArPPqnuR-NiXpQU">https://us02web.zoom.us/rec/share/-XIYazzhIBbQoiZdarCfebdxjDwiVhf-LxnuVrliN4Bc30yf17ztKkKDU4Og54b.fArPPqnuR-NiXpQU</a></li> </ul>	annotated unpriv spec → <a href="#">release/riscv-spec-sail-draft.pdf</a> annotated priv spec → <a href="#">release/riscv-privileged-sail-draft.pdf</a>
--	--

Tutorial Passcode: tHAR#5\$V

# Meeting Agenda

## 0. Looking for more admins, maintainers for riscv-arch-test git repo !!

## I. Updates, Status, Progress:

- I. F&D tests almost ready; except RV32D
- II. BitManip tests almost ready.

## II. Next steps and Ongoing maintenance

### 1. OS support for ACTs

### 2. Riscov plugin generation

### 3. Riscov: Makefile -> Python plugin support code

### 4. Discussion: testing methodology for SIGs/TGs needing external stimulus/observability "ports". (see slide 9 proposal)

### 5. Discussion: ACT and errata policy

### 6. Discussion: other steps for Migration to Framework v.3.0 (riscov). (blocking items):

- a) (Sail/Spike model updates, pipecleaning, N people have run it, testing all the "fixed in riscov" issues
- b) Gaps: missing D support in RV32, Sail CSIM compilation issues,
- c) Review Pipecleaner tests: What do we need to do to exercise capabilities for Priv Mode tests

### 7. Maintenance updates to V2 to enable future tests

- a) update RVTEST\_SIGUPD to keep automatically adjust base/hidden offset when offset>2K,
- b) Enable use of Sail model results as the assertion value
- c) Convert assertions to be out-of-line
- d) add assertion macros for FP, DP, Vreg to arch\_test.h and test\_format spec
- e) add trap handlers for S, VS modes

### 8. Tests for non-deterministic result (see attached discussion in email)

- a) Provide a reference RTL test fixture (as opposed to SW functional model). See. JIRA CSC-6
- b) Define hooks for concurrency tests

# Discussion

## **Status:**

Administrative pointers have changed. (slide 5)

F/D tests: tests ready, but no Sail RV32D support. See pull#205:

<https://github.com/riscv/sail-riscv/issues/96>.

Bitmanip: Tests almost done. SAIL model support is incomplete, so no coverage rpt

Vector Presentation from RIOS at Sept 15 Forum

Sail repo now transferred to riscv repo

## **Using Docker for Sail distribution and reference signature generation**

**Incore:** why Docker: making it easier to run Sail

There are issues with building SAIL in certain OSes (e.g. missing packages)

<Overview of RISCOF flow>

Solution: install Sail in a docker image, generate C model, have it mount filesystem

So: a single set of steps to run Sail instead of different steps for each environment.

.binary test files in, reference signatures out.

Plugin supplied to run this flow, Cleaning up right now.

**ViceChair:** IT Admin support needed?

**Incore:** needed for docker installation, but not for running

*Note: There is dispute about whether running a the docker image requires sudo privs*

?: "Docker Compatible with each other" What does this mean?

Containers running under docker can also run under a "docker compatible" manager. Podman claims this.

?: What about Singularity?

some work done with Singularity, but cdownload container.

You must build it yourself, and btimes increase.

As opposed to Docker containers which can be shared.

Docker engine core is open source

There are Community additions

There are closed source components, but we don't need them.

Docker is mainly used in the industry.

**Poll:** - Reliable tool is more important (with a wide definition of Reliable

**QC:** what licenses are tied to docker? QC has strict requirements on the use of tools

<https://www.docker.com/community/open-source>: Appears to use an Apache license: v2.0

**Incore:** This is a "if SAIL doesn't compile, then use Docker" flow

**Inspire:** Keep in mind that these images will be running on production machines.

Needs to have minimal impact on the server.

**Q:** What is: Performance impact? memory? compute cycles?

**Inspire:** without compiler, 7.6 GB. Standard Ubuntu

See: [https://gitlab.com/incoresemi/docker-images/container\\_registry/2205130](https://gitlab.com/incoresemi/docker-images/container_registry/2205130)

1.8GB. It's lightweight.: Spike, Toolchain and SAIL

See also: <https://gitlab.com/incoresemi/docker-images/-/blob/master/compliance/Dockerfile>

**Q:** What are the security issues?

1. Accessing a docker image (docker daemon)

**Inspire:** podman addresses this by running "sudo" commands; it exists for this reason.

Docker has some security issues so podman limits the access that a docker image might have.

**Incore:** Docker community has seen some issues. It runs commands under supervisor on host.

The problem centers around internet access to the docker image.

**But** -You can limit interfaces for docker image access; e.g. remove any access to ethernet..

2. Are we ok having the same docker image to all? Can we trust it?

**Incore:** the recipe is open for inspection.

**Inspire:** users can build the image themselves. can inspect themselves.

**Vicechair** - What is our liability? What is the downside?

**Bristol** - I'm (not)?convinced there is an answer to the question. "Audit" will need to be done.

**Inspire:** I have instructions and recipe for building RISCOF on a host machine which also includes all commands that must be run via sudo.

It needs to go into a repository somewhere. Useful as a starting point for new users.

# Cadence Support for OSes

## 2020-2022 Cadence Compute Platform Roadmap

Arch	OS Name	OS Version	Base Releases		
			2020	2021	2022
x86_64	RHEL	6.5+			
		7.4+			
		8			
	SLES	11 SP4			
		12			
		15			
	CentOS*	6.5+			
		7.4+			
		8			
	Windows	Windows 10			
		Server 2012			
		Server 2016			
		Server 2019			
IBM POWER	RHEL LE	7.2+			
		8			
Arm v8	RHEL	7.5+			
		8			

Supported
  Selected products
  Not supported
  Dropped

### 2021 base releases:

- x86\_64
  - RHEL 7.4 as baseline
  - EOL SLES 11 support
  - EOL RHEL 6 support
  - EOL Windows Server 2012
  - Add Windows Server 2019
  - No CentOS 8 support (see Red Hat announcement)

### 2022 base releases:

- x86\_64
  - Add SLES 15

\* Cadence supports CentOS, but disclaims any liability for any errors or bugs in CentOS



# Decisions & Action Items

## Decisions (from last meeting)

- **Decision**: We will use an elf->ref-signature docker/Singularity image
- **Decision** : plug-in will have a parameter for local Sail or docker/Singularity image

## Outstanding Action Items

- Add example plugin and scripts into repo
- Fix uses of RVTEST\_ISA macro in various tests (formatting incompatibility with riscof and update spec <Neel>
- Contact SW HC & DOC SIG to determine an inline comment->doc tool flow, and determine if docs (as opposed to ISA specs) must be .adoc, or could be .pdf or .html <Allen, Jeff-in progress>
- Update all READMEs to point to branch <Neel, Pawan?>
- Update standard trap handler code for added priv levels, custom exception handler registration, <Allen, under review>
- DUT artifacts to be separated from SAIL artifacts in riscof <Neel,Pawan>
- Migration tool to be added to riscof repo <pawan>
- Marc's example plugin to be added to riscof repo <Marc,Neel> (with updated documentation)

BACKUP

# External Event ABI

- Why?
  - We want to be able to test events like: interrupts, concurrent reads & writes
  - These events would inject interrupts, modify memory at some future point
- What?
  - From a test perspective, these are model-specific macros that invoke vendor provided code
  - From an RTL perspective, this would look like a write to a specific MMIO “trick box” that RTL testbenches implements
- Possibilities
  - RVMODEL\_ASSERT\_INT(int, edgelevel, polarity, Trigger)
    - Int is a bitmask for simultaneous interrupts
    - Edgelevel, Polarity are masks for the type of signaling
    - Trigger is what initiates the event (e.g. #cycles from the write, debug trigger event, instret offset)
  - RVMODEL\_MEMWRT(address, data, event)
- Questions
  - Should there be a pseudo-randomized Trigger?
  - What events should be standardized?
  - Do we need deassertion macros, Read macros? (we actually have specific interrupt deassertion macros now).
  - Are there another type of Event we should consider?

# Pull/Issue Status

Issue#	Date	submitter	title	status	comments
#4	03-Jul-2018	Kasanovic	Section 2.3 Target Environment	Fixed in riscov	Will be closed in V3
#22	24-Nov-18	brouhaha	I-MISALIGN_LDST-01 assumes misaligned data access will trap	^	HW misalign support not configurable now
#40	4-Feb-19	debs-sifive	Usage of tohost/fromhost should be removed		now
#146-9	01-Dec-20	Imperas	Test I EBREAK,ECALL, MISALIGN_JMP/LDST, OpenHW	v	HW misalign support not configurable
#115	06-jun-20	adchd	How to support on-board execution?	under discussion	
pull#129	31-jul-20	nmeum	sail-riscv-ocaml: Disable RVC extension on all devices not using it	In process	Who can review this?
pull#184	15-apr-21	dansmathers	Updating http reference for constr	In process	Approved, needs merge
pull#199	01-Aug-21	bilalsakhawat	Fix for issue #142 , Adds RV32EC, EM tests		Wait for RV32E spec? rename unratiied
#119	17-jun-20	allenjbaum	Missing RV32i/RV64i test: Fence	Test has been written	Close when RFQ test is merged
#189	26-Apr-21	neelgala	Proposal to enhance the RVTEST_ISA macro		
#190	26-Apr-21	neelgala	The 16-byte signature boundary issue		
Pull#201	17-Aug-21	Liweiwei90	Update K-ext tests		Updates for spec changes, improved Sbox coverage
#203	24-Aug-21	Allenjbaum	Fence test has poor coverage		Specifically: test fm bits are ignored

# JIRA Status

Issue#	Date	submitter	title	status	comments
<b>IT-1</b>	27Aug/20	Allen Baum	Need to modify the description of compliance in <a href="https://riscv.org/technical/specifications/">https://riscv.org/technical/specifications/</a>	done	
<b>IT-4</b>	01/Sep/20	Allen Baum	Add Jira link to TG home pages	done	
<b>CSC-1</b>	20/Aug/20	Ken Dockser	Come up with names for the tests suites that we are creating		1 <sup>st</sup> step done
<b>CSC-2</b>	20/Aug/20	Ken Dockser	Produce concise text to explain the Architecture Tests intent and Limits	done	Will become ACT policy
<b>CSC-3</b>	20/Aug/20	Ken Dockser	Come up with an internal goal for what we wish to accomplish with the Architectural Tests		Will become ACT policy
<b>CSC-4</b>	20/Aug/20	Ken Dockser	Develop a roadmap for all the different categories of test suites that will need to be created		Not written
<b>CSC-5</b>	20/Aug/20	Ken Dockser	Develop a roadmap for releases of single-instruction Architecture Tests		Not written
<b>CSC-6</b>	20/Aug/20	Ken Dockser	Develop a reference RTL test fixture that can stimulate and check the CPU under test		Needs more discussion

# Non-determinism in Architectural Tests

The RV architecture defines optional and model/ $\mu$ arch defined behavior.

This implication: there are tests that have multiple correct answers. E.g.:

- Misaligned accesses: can be handled in HW, by "invisible" traps w/ either misaligned or illegal access causes, and do it differently for the same op accessing the same address at different times (e.g. if the 2nd half was in the TLB or not)
- Unordered Vector Reduce ops: (different results depending on ordering & cancellation)
- Tests involving concurrency will have different results depending on microarchitectural state, speculation, or timing between concurrent threads (e.g. modifying page table entry without fencing)

From the point of view of ACTs, there are 2 (& sometimes more) legal answers. The golden model only generates one. Possible mechanisms to test include:

- Modify (if necessary) & configure reference model to generate each legal result, run it with each config, & accept either result from the DUT (e.g. misalign or un-fenced PTE modification)
- Provide specific handlers for optional traps
- Use self-testing tests(compare with list or range of allowed outcomes from litmus tests)
- Avoid tests that can generate non-deterministic results
- Ultimately: develop new frameworks that can handle concurrency along with reference models that can generate all legal outcomes
- It is the responsibility of the TG that develops an extension to develop the strategy for testing features and extensions that can have nondeterministic results

# Framework Requirements

The framework must:

- Use the TestFormat spec and macros described therein
  - (which must work - including assertions)
- Choose test cases according to equations that reference the YAML configuration
- Define macro variables to be used inside tests based on the YAML configuration
- Include the compliance trap handler(s), & handle its (separate) signature area(s)
- Load, initialize, and run selected tests between two selected models, extract the signatures, compare results, and write out a report file
- Exist in a riscv github repo, with a more than one maintainer.
- Be easy to get running, e.g.:
  - run under a variety of OSes with the minimum number of distro specific tools.
  - Not require sudo privileges
- Have the ability to measure and report coverage for test generation
  - Coverage specification is a separate file
  - Could be a separate app

# Test Acceptance Criteria

Tests merged into the ACT test\_suite repo must :

- conform to the current format spec (macros, labels, directory structure)
  - including framework-readable configurations - i.e. which ISA extension it will be tested with (using Test\_Case macro parameter equations) for each test case
- use only files that are part of the defined support files in the repository, including standard trap handlers
  - TBD: how to install test specific (not model specific) handlers
- Be able to be loaded, initialized, run, signal completion, and have signature results extracted from memory by a/the framework
- run using the SAIL model and not fail any tests
- generate signature values either
  - directly from an instruction result (that can be saved & compared with DUT/sim)
  - by comparing an instruction result with a configuration-independent value range embedded in the test code (e.g. saving above, below, within)
  - by comparing an instruction result with a configuration-independent list of values (e.g saving matches or mismatched)
    - (it can be useful to also return a histogram of value indices that matched)
- Store each signature value into a unique memory location in a signature region that is
  - delimited by standard macros embedded in the test which can be communicated to the test framework
  - pre-initialized to values that are guaranteed not to be produced by a test
- have defined coverage goals in a machine readable form that can be mechanically verified
- improve coverage (compared to existing tests) as measured and reported by a coverage tool (e.g. ISAC)
- use only standard instructions (and fixed size per architecture macros, e.g. LI, LA are allowed)
- be commented in test\_case header (ideally listing coverpoint covered)

Tests that are otherwise accepted, but depend on tools or simulators that have not be upstreamed must be put into a <Ext-Name\_unratified>/ directory instead of <Ext-Name>/