

Meetings Disclaimers Video

Link:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1y_XWJus8M5ZwSQ2cvEOzCjlOmsmXOnN4/view



Agenda

- 1. Submission status update (llvm, binutils)
- 2. Reopened conversation: "do we need ABI for overlay"?
- 3. Round table q&a



Submission status update

- LLVM/Clang
 - Overlay patches match latest changes to the HLD
 - https://reviews.llvm.org/D109371
 - https://reviews.llvm.org/D109372
 - Patch submitted to add new reserved register ELF attribute
 - https://reviews.llvm.org/D113890
- GNU Binutils work in progress
 - Extends BFD to build overlay tables during link and resolve overlay relocations
 - Currently refactoring changes to be separate from main RISC-V BFD
 - Needs handling of reserved register attribute
- GNU GDB work in progress
 - Adding overlay manager class to allow different overlay systems to be
 - supported



Reopened conversation

- Q:Do we need ABI for overlay"?
- A: From the email thread (psabi):

The Overlay TG was created to specify the requirements for software Overlay and come with a generic standard for it.

RISCV was generous to host us (therefore, the first open-source implementation for SW Overlay will be RISCV). Since SW Overlay is not target-specific, we follow the generic implementation concepts of the <u>standard</u>.

Key points:

- The choice of reserved registers is flexible for different users/applications/overlay engines.
- We can already reserve registers with -ffixed-reg, but we don't get link-time checks.
- The reserved register attribute allows an existing ABI to be mutated to reserve a set of registers and allows link-time checks to be done. It avoids the need to approve and implement a new ABI each time a different set of registers needs to be reserved. The attribute may also be useful in contexts other than overlay.
- If someone wants to write their own engine and allocate different registers, they can. They will just need to follow the Overlay standard document



Round table – Q&A

Open issues



