

Ray Tracing & Ray Casting

Realistic Graphics Inspired by Nature

Ashrafur Rahman

Adjunct Lecturer

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology (BUET)

Motivation

The Story of Light

Ray Casting: Foundation


Mathematics of Rays

Ray-AABB Intersection

Motivation


Why Learn This?

Why Learn This?




Elsa's Castle in Frozen

Why Learn This?



Elsa's Castle in Frozen




Cyberpunk 2077 with RTX

- **Realistic graphics** of your favourite animated movies are the result of ground-breaking work in Ray Tracing by studios like Disney, Pixar, and DreamWorks. Do you know these films take years to render? 30 hours per frame!

Why Learn This?



Elsa's Castle in Frozen




Cyberpunk 2077 with RTX

- **Realistic graphics** of your favourite animated movies are the result of ground-breaking work in Ray Tracing by studios like Disney, Pixar, and DreamWorks. Do you know these films take years to render? 30 hours per frame!
- Lately, **RTX** is all the rage in gaming. New titles boast ray-tracing effects in real-time, not 30 hours per frame!

Why Learn This?



Elsa's Castle in Frozen




Cyberpunk 2077 with RTX


- **Realistic graphics** of your favourite animated movies are the result of ground-breaking work in Ray Tracing by studios like Disney, Pixar, and DreamWorks. Do you know these films take years to render? 30 hours per frame!
- Lately, **RTX** is all the rage in gaming. New titles boast ray-tracing effects in real-time, not 30 hours per frame!
- It's fun! You will know when you create your first ray-traced image!

The Story of Light

How Do We See?




How Do We See?



Natural Process

1. Light travels from source
2. Light hits objects
3. Light bounces to our eyes
4. Our brain interprets the signal


How Do We See?



Physical Process

1. Photon is emitted from source
2. Photon hits objects
3. Part of the photon is reflected or absorbed
4. The reflected photons reach our eyes
5. The rods and cones in our retina detect the photons
6. Our brain interprets the signal
7. **Colour:** The wavelength of the photons
8. **Brightness:** The number of photons

How Do We See?




Question: How do we simulate this?

The Computer Graphics Challenge

Infinite Complexity

Finite Pixels



Challenges:

- Infinite light rays/photons
- Complex physics
- High computational cost

Ray Casting: Foundation

The Key Insight


1. Reverse Engineering

Instead of following light rays from light sources —

Let's trace backwards!

Shoot rays from the eye,
find where it hits and find out
how much light reaches there.

This is the opposite of what happens in reality. **Why does this work?**



The Key Insight

1. Reverse Engineering


Instead of following light rays from light sources —

Let's trace backwards!

Shoot rays from the eye,
find where it hits and find out
how much light reaches there.

This is the opposite of what happens in reality. **Why does this work?**

- Most light never reaches our eyes



The Key Insight

1. Reverse Engineering


Instead of following light rays from light sources —

Let's trace backwards!

Shoot rays from the eye,
find where it hits and find out
how much light reaches there.

This is the opposite of what happens in reality. **Why does this work?**

- Most light never reaches our eyes
- Only trace rays that matter
- Much more efficient!



From Infinite Rays to Finite Pixels

2. Cutting Costs

Instead of tracing infinite rays —

Trace one ray per pixel.

This comes with little tradeoff, because:

From Infinite Rays to Finite Pixels


2. Cutting Costs

Instead of tracing infinite rays —

Trace one ray per pixel.

This comes with little tradeoff, because:

- An image is just a grid of pixels



From Infinite Rays to Finite Pixels


2. Cutting Costs

Instead of tracing infinite rays —

Trace one ray per pixel.

This comes with little tradeoff, because:

- An image is just a grid of pixels
- Each pixel can only be of one color



From Infinite Rays to Finite Pixels


2. Cutting Costs

Instead of tracing infinite rays —

Trace one ray per pixel.

This comes with little tradeoff, because:

- An image is just a grid of pixels
- Each pixel can only be of one color
- In the end, we just need to know the *color of each pixel*



From Infinite Rays to Finite Pixels


2. Cutting Costs

Instead of tracing infinite rays —
Trace one ray per pixel.

This comes with little tradeoff, because:

- An image is just a grid of pixels
- Each pixel can only be of one color
- In the end, we just need to know the *color of each pixel*
- Hence, one ray from the mid-point of each pixel should be a good approximation*

* We will discuss more advanced techniques later that improve quality



The Full Picture

Light Source




Eye





Object

The Full Picture



Place screen in front of eye





The Full Picture





The Full Picture





The Full Picture





The Full Picture



The Full Picture



The Full Picture



Mathematics of Rays

What is a Ray?


Ray Representation

A ray is defined by:

$$\mathbf{P}(t) = \mathbf{R}_o + t \cdot \mathbf{R}_d \quad (1)$$


where:

- \mathbf{R}_o = Origin point
- \mathbf{R}_d = Direction vector
- t = Parameter ($t \geq 0$)



Check out here on desmos.

Finding Intersections



Key Objects:

- Planes
- Spheres
- Triangles
- AABB (Bounding Boxes)
- General Quadrics

Challenge: Find the **closest** intersection efficiently!

3D Plane Representation

Plane Definition

A plane is defined by:


- Point $\mathbf{P}_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$ on plane
- Normal vector $\mathbf{n} = (A, B, C)$

Implicit equation:

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}_0) = 0$$

$$\boxed{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{P} + D = 0} \quad \text{where } D = -\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{P}_0$$

$$\boxed{Ax + By + Cz + D = 0}$$



3D Plane Representation

Plane Definition

A plane is defined by:


- Point $\mathbf{P}_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$ on plane
- Normal vector $\mathbf{n} = (A, B, C)$

Implicit equation:

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}_0) = 0$$

$$\boxed{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{P} + D = 0} \text{ where } D = -\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{P}_0$$

$$\boxed{Ax + By + Cz + D = 0}$$



Point-Plane Distance

If \mathbf{n} is normalized: $d = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{P} + D = \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}_0)$

Signed distance: $d > 0$ (front), $d < 0$ (back), $d = 0$ (on plane)

Ray-Plane Intersection

Intersection Method


Step 1: Substitute ray into equation

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{R}_o + t\mathbf{R}_d) + D = 0$$

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_o + t(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_d) + D = 0$$

Step 2: Solve for parameter t

$$t = -\frac{D + \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_o}{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_d}$$



Ray-Plane Intersection

Intersection Method


Step 1: Substitute ray into equation

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{R}_o + t\mathbf{R}_d) + D = 0$$

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_o + t(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_d) + D = 0$$

Step 2: Solve for parameter t

$$t = -\frac{D + \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_o}{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_d}$$



Cases

- If $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_d = 0$: Ray parallel to plane (0 or infinite)
- If $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_d < 0$: Ray hits front face
- If $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_d > 0$: Ray hits back face

Additional Checks


Validation Rules

After computing t , verify:

1. **Behind check:** $t > t_{\min}$
2. **Closest check:** $t < t_{\text{current}}$
3. **Valid range:** $t \geq 0$

Where:

- t_{\min} : Minimum ray distance (not behind eye/screen)
- t_{current} : Distance to closest intersection so far



Ray-Triangle Intersection Overview


Two Main Approaches

Method 1: Two-Step Process

1. Ray-plane intersection
2. Inside/outside triangle test

Method 2: Direct Barycentric

1. Set up 3×3 linear system
2. Solve for t , β , γ simultaneously




What Are Barycentric Coordinates?

Barycentric Definition

Any point P in the triangle's plane:

$$\mathbf{P}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \alpha \mathbf{a} + \beta \mathbf{b} + \gamma \mathbf{c}$$

where: $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$



Check out the Desmos demo.

What Are Barycentric Coordinates?

Barycentric Definition

Any point P in the triangle's plane:


$$\mathbf{P}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \alpha \mathbf{a} + \beta \mathbf{b} + \gamma \mathbf{c}$$

where: $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$

Physical Interpretation:

- α, β, γ are *weights*
- P is the *center of mass*
- Also called *barycenter*

Check out the Desmos demo.



What Are Barycentric Coordinates?

Barycentric Definition

Any point P in the triangle's plane:


$$\mathbf{P}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \alpha \mathbf{a} + \beta \mathbf{b} + \gamma \mathbf{c}$$

where: $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$

Physical Interpretation:

- α, β, γ are *weights*
- P is the *center of mass*
- Also called *barycenter*

Check out the Desmos demo.



Area Relationship

$$\alpha = \frac{A_\alpha}{A_{\text{total}}}, \quad \beta = \frac{A_\beta}{A_{\text{total}}},$$
$$\gamma = \frac{A_\gamma}{A_{\text{total}}}$$

Barycentric Coordinates: Inside vs Outside

Triangle Interior Test

Point P is **inside** triangle if:


$$\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$$

Since $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$, we can rewrite as:

$$\beta \geq 0$$

$$\gamma \geq 0$$

$$\alpha \geq 0 \text{ or } \beta + \gamma \leq 1$$



Barycentric Coordinates: Inside vs Outside

Triangle Interior Test

Point P is **inside** triangle if:


$$\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$$

Since $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$, we can rewrite as:

$$\beta \geq 0$$

$$\gamma \geq 0$$

$$\alpha \geq 0 \text{ or } \beta + \gamma \leq 1$$




Insight

Barycentric coordinates doesn't just tell us if a point is inside a triangle, but also it's position with respect to other vertices.

Barycentric Coordinates: Derivation

Key Idea


- The sides $e_1 = b - a$ and $e_2 = c - a$ are linearly independent vectors on the triangle's plane.



Barycentric Coordinates: Derivation

Key Idea

- The sides $\mathbf{e}_1 = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{e}_2 = \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a}$ are linearly independent vectors on the triangle's plane.
- Therefore, any vector in the triangle's plane (e.g. $\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{a}$) can be expressed as a linear combination of these vectors.




Barycentric Coordinates: Derivation

Key Idea

- The sides $\mathbf{e}_1 = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{e}_2 = \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a}$ are linearly independent vectors on the triangle's plane.
- Therefore, any vector in the triangle's plane (e.g. $\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{a}$) can be expressed as a linear combination of these vectors.
- We can express \mathbf{P} as:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P} &= \mathbf{a} + \beta(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}) + \gamma(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a}) \\ &= \alpha\mathbf{a} + \beta\mathbf{b} + \gamma\mathbf{c}\end{aligned}$$


Where $\alpha = 1 - \beta - \gamma$.



Barycentric Coordinates: Derivation

Area Interpretation


- Let \hat{u} be a unit vector in the direction of the altitude towards C .



Barycentric Coordinates: Derivation

Area Interpretation


- Let $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ be a unit vector in the direction of the altitude towards C .
- The height of the triangle is $h = \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a})$ (projection).



Barycentric Coordinates: Derivation

Area Interpretation

- Let $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ be a unit vector in the direction of the altitude towards C .
- The height of the triangle is $h = \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a})$ (projection).
- The height of the shaded triangle is $h' = \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{a})$.




Barycentric Coordinates: Derivation

Area Interpretation

- Let $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ be a unit vector in the direction of the altitude towards C .
- The height of the triangle is $h = \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a})$ (projection).
- The height of the shaded triangle is $h' = \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{a})$.
- Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} A_\gamma &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot h' \cdot |\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{a})) \cdot |\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \gamma (\hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a})) \cdot |\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}| \\ &= \gamma \frac{1}{2} \cdot h \cdot |\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}| = \gamma A_{\text{total}} \end{aligned}$$




Barycentric Coordinates: Derivation

Area Interpretation

- Let $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ be a unit vector in the direction of the altitude towards C .
- The height of the triangle is $h = \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a})$ (projection).
- The height of the shaded triangle is $h' = \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{a})$.
- Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} A_\gamma &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot h' \cdot |\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{a})) \cdot |\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \gamma (\hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a})) \cdot |\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}| \\ &= \gamma \frac{1}{2} \cdot h \cdot |\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}| = \gamma A_{\text{total}} \end{aligned}$$



Since,

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{a} + \beta(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}) + \gamma(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a}),$$

$$\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{a} = \beta(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}) + \gamma(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a})$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{a}) = \gamma(\hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a}))$$


Since $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ is perpendicular to $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}$.

Method 1: Two-Step Ray-Triangle Intersection

Algorithm Steps

Step 1: Ray-Plane Intersection

$$t = -\frac{D + \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_o}{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_d}$$



Method 1: Two-Step Ray-Triangle Intersection

Algorithm Steps


Step 1: Ray-Plane Intersection

$$t = -\frac{D + \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_o}{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_d}$$

Step 2: Inside/Outside Test

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{a} + \beta(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}) + \gamma(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a})$$

Solve for β , γ and check bounds.



Method 2: Direct Barycentric Intersection

Direct Approach


Set ray equation equal to barycentric form:

$$\mathbf{R}_o + t\mathbf{R}_d = \mathbf{a} + \beta(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}) + \gamma(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a})$$

Rearrange to linear system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{R}_d & (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}) & (\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R}_o - \mathbf{a}$$

Solve using Cramer's rule or
LU decomposition.



Cramer's Rule Solution

Matrix Form

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -R_{dx} & b_x - a_x & c_x - a_x \\ -R_{dy} & b_y - a_y & c_y - a_y \\ -R_{dz} & b_z - a_z & c_z - a_z \end{bmatrix}}_A \begin{bmatrix} t \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{ox} - a_x \\ R_{oy} - a_y \\ R_{oz} - a_z \end{bmatrix}$$

Cramer's Rule Solution

Matrix Form

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -R_{dx} & b_x - a_x & c_x - a_x \\ -R_{dy} & b_y - a_y & c_y - a_y \\ -R_{dz} & b_z - a_z & c_z - a_z \end{bmatrix}}_A \begin{bmatrix} t \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{ox} - a_x \\ R_{oy} - a_y \\ R_{oz} - a_z \end{bmatrix}$$

Cramer's Rule

$$t = \frac{1}{|A|} \begin{vmatrix} R_{ox} - a_x & b_x - a_x & c_x - a_x \\ R_{oy} - a_y & b_y - a_y & c_y - a_y \\ R_{oz} - a_z & b_z - a_z & c_z - a_z \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\beta = \frac{1}{|A|} \begin{vmatrix} -R_{dx} & R_{ox} - a_x & c_x - a_x \\ -R_{dy} & R_{oy} - a_y & c_y - a_y \\ -R_{dz} & R_{oz} - a_z & c_z - a_z \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{|A|} \begin{vmatrix} -R_{dx} & b_x - a_x & R_{ox} - a_x \\ -R_{dy} & b_y - a_y & R_{oy} - a_y \\ -R_{dz} & b_z - a_z & R_{oz} - a_z \end{vmatrix}$$

Cramer's Rule Solution

Matrix Form

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -R_{dx} & b_x - a_x & c_x - a_x \\ -R_{dy} & b_y - a_y & c_y - a_y \\ -R_{dz} & b_z - a_z & c_z - a_z \end{bmatrix}}_A \begin{bmatrix} t \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{ox} - a_x \\ R_{oy} - a_y \\ R_{oz} - a_z \end{bmatrix}$$

Cramer's Rule

$$t = \frac{1}{|A|} \begin{vmatrix} R_{ox} - a_x & b_x - a_x & c_x - a_x \\ R_{oy} - a_y & b_y - a_y & c_y - a_y \\ R_{oz} - a_z & b_z - a_z & c_z - a_z \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\beta = \frac{1}{|A|} \begin{vmatrix} -R_{dx} & R_{ox} - a_x & c_x - a_x \\ -R_{dy} & R_{oy} - a_y & c_y - a_y \\ -R_{dz} & R_{oz} - a_z & c_z - a_z \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{|A|} \begin{vmatrix} -R_{dx} & b_x - a_x & R_{ox} - a_x \\ -R_{dy} & b_y - a_y & R_{oy} - a_y \\ -R_{dz} & b_z - a_z & R_{oz} - a_z \end{vmatrix}$$

Checks

- $t_{\min} < t < t_{\text{current}}$
(valid intersection)
- $\beta, \gamma \geq 0$ and
 $\beta + \gamma \leq 1$
(inside triangle)

Cramer's Rule Intuition

Determinant Interpretation


Remember, $\beta = \frac{A_\beta}{A_{\text{total}}}$?

Determinants measure areas of projected triangles.

$$\begin{vmatrix} -R_{dx} & (b_x - a_x) & (c_x - a_x) \\ -R_{dy} & (b_y - a_y) & (c_y - a_y) \\ -R_{dz} & (b_z - a_z) & (c_z - a_z) \end{vmatrix} \propto A_{\text{total}}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} -R_{dx} & R_{ox} - a_x & c_x - a_x \\ -R_{dy} & R_{oy} - a_y & c_y - a_y \\ -R_{dz} & R_{oz} - a_z & c_z - a_z \end{vmatrix} \propto A_\beta$$

Why?




Cramer's Rule Intuition

Determinant Interpretation

The areas can be found by cross products of vectors. Consider —

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{N} &= (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}) \times (\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a}) \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ b_x - a_x & b_y - a_y & b_z - a_z \\ c_x - a_x & c_y - a_y & c_z - a_z \end{vmatrix} \\ &= 2A_{\text{total}}\hat{\mathbf{n}}\end{aligned}$$

\mathbf{N} is the normal vector of the triangle scaled by twice the area.



Cramer's Rule Intuition

Determinant Interpretation


- We project the triangle onto the plane perpendicular to the ray direction \mathbf{R}_d .
- Which we can do if you just multiply the original area with the cosine of the angle between the plane of the triangle and the ray direction.

$$A'_{\text{total}} = A_{\text{total}} \cdot \cos(\theta)$$

Where θ is the angle between the triangle's plane and the ray direction.

- θ is also the angle between the normal vector \mathbf{N} and the opposite of the ray direction $-\mathbf{R}_d$. So we can write:

$$A'_{\text{total}} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{N} \cdot (-\mathbf{R}_d)$$




Cramer's Rule Intuition

Determinant Interpretation

Therefore, the first determinant in Cramer's can be interpreted as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{N} \cdot (-\mathbf{R}_d) &= \begin{vmatrix} -R_x & -R_y & -R_z \\ b_x - a_x & b_y - a_y & b_z - a_z \\ c_x - a_x & c_y - a_y & c_z - a_z \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} -R_x & b_x - a_x & c_x - a_x \\ -R_y & b_y - a_y & c_y - a_y \\ -R_z & b_z - a_z & c_z - a_z \end{vmatrix} \\ &= 2A'_{\text{total}} \propto A_{\text{total}}\end{aligned}$$




Cramer's Rule Intuition

Determinant Interpretation

Now what about the A_γ triangle?


We also find A'_γ by projecting the triangle A_γ onto the plane perpendicular to the ray direction \mathbf{R}_d .



Cramer's Rule Intuition

Determinant Interpretation

- Instead of projecting the triangle $\triangle(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{P})$, we project the triangle $\triangle(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{R}_o)$.
- From the perspective of the ray, points \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{R}_o are the same point, as both fall on the ray.
- So, both triangles have the same area A'_γ when projected to the plane perpendicular to the ray direction \mathbf{R}_d .




Cramer's Rule Intuition

Determinant Interpretation

The projected area of the triangle can again be found using cross product followed by the dot product with the ray direction.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{R}_o - \mathbf{a}) \times (\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a}) \cdot (-\mathbf{R}_d) \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} -R_x & -R_y & -R_z \\ R_{ox} - a_x & R_{oy} - a_y & R_{oz} - a_z \\ c_x - a_x & c_y - a_y & c_z - a_z \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} -R_x & R_{ox} - a_x & c_x - a_x \\ -R_y & R_{oy} - a_y & c_y - a_y \\ -R_z & R_{oz} - a_z & c_z - a_z \end{vmatrix} \\ &= 2A'_\gamma \propto A_\gamma \end{aligned}$$




Bonus of Using Barycentric Coordinates

Advantages

- Efficient to compute
- Get Barycentric coordinates for free
- Enables interpolation of vertex attributes

Used in —

- Textures
- Normals
- Colors



Ray-Sphere Intersection Overview


Two Main Approaches

Method 1: Algebra

1. Setup quadratic equation
2. Solve for t

Method 2: Geometry

1. Use geometry to find intersection step by step
2. Reject early if hit is not possible



Sphere Representation

Implicit Sphere Equation

Sphere centered at origin:


$$\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{P} - r^2 = 0$$

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - r^2 = 0$$

General sphere at center C:

$$(\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{C}) \cdot (\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{C}) - r^2 = 0$$

Note: Translation to origin simplifies calculation!




Ray-Sphere Intersection: Algebraic Method

Algebraic Solution

Step 1: Substitute ray equation
 $\mathbf{P}(t) = \mathbf{R}_o + t\mathbf{R}_d$ into sphere

$$(\mathbf{R}_o + t\mathbf{R}_d) \cdot (\mathbf{R}_o + t\mathbf{R}_d) - r^2 = 0$$




Ray-Sphere Intersection: Algebraic Method

Algebraic Solution

Step 2: Expand and rearrange

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_d \cdot \mathbf{R}_d t^2 + 2\mathbf{R}_d \cdot \mathbf{R}_o t \\ + \mathbf{R}_o \cdot \mathbf{R}_o - r^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$



Ray-Sphere Intersection: Algebraic Method

Algebraic Solution


Step 3: Quadratic formula

$$(ax^2 + bx + c = 0)$$

$$a = \mathbf{R}_d \cdot \mathbf{R}_d = 1 \text{ (normalized)}$$

$$b = 2\mathbf{R}_d \cdot \mathbf{R}_o$$

$$c = \mathbf{R}_o \cdot \mathbf{R}_o - r^2$$



Ray-Sphere Intersection: Algebraic Method

Algebraic Solution


Step 3: Quadratic formula

$$(ax^2 + bx + c = 0)$$

$$a = \mathbf{R}_d \cdot \mathbf{R}_d = 1 \text{ (normalized)}$$

$$b = 2\mathbf{R}_d \cdot \mathbf{R}_o$$

$$c = \mathbf{R}_o \cdot \mathbf{R}_o - r^2$$



Discriminant Analysis

$$\Delta = b^2 - 4ac = (2\mathbf{R}_d \cdot \mathbf{R}_o)^2 - 4(\mathbf{R}_o \cdot \mathbf{R}_o - r^2)$$


$$t_{\pm} = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{\Delta}}{2a} = -\mathbf{R}_d \cdot \mathbf{R}_o \pm \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2}$$

Algebraic Method: Three Cases

The discriminant Δ determines the number of intersections:

$$\Delta > 0$$


2 intersections



Choose closest
positive
(usually t_-)

$$\Delta = 0$$

1 intersection



Ray tangent to
sphere

$$\Delta < 0$$

No intersection



Ray doesn't hit
sphere

Additional Check

Remember to check t_{\min} to find closest valid intersection.

Ray-Sphere Intersection: Geometric Method


Geometric Approach

Step 1: Check ray origin (eye) position

$$\text{Inside: } \mathbf{R}_o \cdot \mathbf{R}_o < r^2$$

$$\text{Outside: } \mathbf{R}_o \cdot \mathbf{R}_o > r^2$$

$$\text{On surface: } \mathbf{R}_o \cdot \mathbf{R}_o = r^2$$




Ray-Sphere Intersection: Geometric Method

Geometric Approach

Step 2: Find parameter t_p for the point on the ray closest to the sphere center

$$t_P = -\mathbf{R}_o \cdot \mathbf{R}_d$$




Ray-Sphere Intersection: Geometric Method

Geometric Approach

Step 3: Early rejection test

If ray origin outside & $t_P < 0 \Rightarrow$ no hit




Ray-Sphere Intersection: Geometric Method

Geometric Approach

Step 4: Find squared distance to sphere center

$$d^2 = \mathbf{R}_o \cdot \mathbf{R}_o - t_p^2$$




Ray-Sphere Intersection: Geometric Method

Geometric Approach

Step 5: Second rejection test

If $d^2 > r^2 \Rightarrow$ no hit




Ray-Sphere Intersection: Geometric Method

Geometric Approach

Step 6: Find intersection distance

$$t'^2 = r^2 - d^2$$

$$t' = \sqrt{r^2 - d^2}$$



Ray-Sphere Intersection: Geometric Method


Geometric Approach

Step 7: Choose correct intersection parameter

Outside: $t_- = t_P - t'$

Inside: $t_+ = t_P + t'$

$$t_{\min} < t_{\pm} < t_{\text{current}} \Rightarrow \text{hit}$$



Ray-Sphere Intersection: Geometric Method


Geometric Approach

Step 7: Choose correct intersection parameter

Outside: $t_- = t_P - t'$

Inside: $t_+ = t_P + t'$

$t_{\min} < t_{\pm} < t_{\text{current}} \Rightarrow \text{hit}$



Benefits of Method

- **Early rejection:** Avoid extra work for rays missing sphere
- **Optimized:** Efficient for rays outside pointing away

General Quadric Surfaces


Quadric Surface Definition

General equation:

$$Ax^2 + By^2 + Cz^2 + Dxy + Eyz + Fxz + Gx + Hy + Iz + J = 0$$

Common Quadric Surfaces:

- **Ellipsoid:** $\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} + \frac{z^2}{c^2} = 1$
- **Cone:** $\frac{x^2}{a^2} - \frac{y^2}{b^2} + \frac{z^2}{c^2} = 0$
- **Cylinder:** $\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1$
- **Hyperboloid & Paraboloid**



Reference: Quadric Surfaces in Paul's Online Notes

Ray-Quadric Surface Intersection

Intersection Method


Step 1: Substitute ray equation into quadric

$$\mathbf{P}(t) = \mathbf{R}_o + t \cdot \mathbf{R}_d$$

$$P_x = R_{0x} + t \cdot R_{dx}$$

$$P_y = R_{0y} + t \cdot R_{dy}$$

$$P_z = R_{0z} + t \cdot R_{dz}$$




Ray-Quadric Surface Intersection

Intersection Method

Step 2: Results in quadratic equation

$$ax^2 + bx + c = 0$$




Ray-Quadric Surface Intersection

Intersection Method

Step 3: Solve using quadratic formula

$$t = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$




Ray-Quadric Surface Intersection

Intersection Method

Step 3: Solve using quadratic formula

$$t = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$



Solution Cases

Check the discriminant $\Delta = b^2 - 4ac$:


- $\Delta > 0$: Two real solutions (ray intersects surface twice)
- $\Delta = 0$: One solution (ray tangent to surface)
- $\Delta < 0$: No real solutions (ray misses surface)
- **Accept:** Accept smaller t such that $t_{\min} < t < t_{\text{current}}$

Ray-AABB Intersection: Overview

Axis-Aligned Bounding Box

A simple 3D box or rectangle aligned with the coordinate axes.

- The sides are parallel to the axes, that's why it's called **axis-aligned**.
- Usually used to enclose complex objects, which is why it's called a **bounding box**.
- Very efficient for intersection tests. (Just test 6 planes)



AABB Mathematical Representation


AABB Representation

$$\text{AABB} = \left\{ (x, y, z) \left| \begin{array}{l} x_{\min} \leq x \leq x_{\max} \\ y_{\min} \leq y \leq y_{\max} \\ z_{\min} \leq z \leq z_{\max} \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

We can store,

$$\mathbf{P}_{\min} = (x_{\min}, y_{\min}, z_{\min})$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{\max} = (x_{\max}, y_{\max}, z_{\max})$$




Ray-AABB Intersection: Slab Method

Approach

Step 1: Consider z axis first.
There are two planes:

$$z = z_{\min}$$

$$z = z_{\max}$$




Ray-AABB Intersection: Slab Method

Approach

Step 1: Consider z axis first.
There are two planes:

$$\underbrace{z}_{\mathbf{n}=(0,0,1)} \quad \underbrace{-z_{\min}}_{D=-z_{\min}} = 0$$

$$z \quad -z_{\max} = 0$$



Ray-AABB Intersection: Slab Method

Approach


Step 2: Compute intersection with ray:

$$\begin{aligned}t_{\min,z} &= -\frac{D + \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_o}{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{R}_d} \\&= -\frac{-z_{\min} + R_{oz}}{R_{dz}}\end{aligned}$$

$$t_{\min,z} = \frac{z_{\min} - R_{oz}}{R_{dz}}$$

Similarly,

$$t_{\max,z} = \frac{z_{\max} - R_{oz}}{R_{dz}}$$



Ray-AABB Intersection: Slab Method

Approach


Step 3: Similarly for y and z axes:

$$t_{\min,x} = \frac{x_{\min} - R_{ox}}{R_{dx}}$$

$$t_{\max,x} = \frac{x_{\max} - R_{ox}}{R_{dx}}$$

$$t_{\min,y} = \frac{y_{\min} - R_{oy}}{R_{dy}}$$

$$t_{\max,y} = \frac{y_{\max} - R_{oy}}{R_{dy}}$$





Ray-AABB Intersection: Slab Method

Approach

Step 4: Find the overlap of the intervals:

$$t_{\text{enter}} = \max(t_{\min,x}, t_{\min,y}, t_{\min,z})$$

$$t_{\text{exit}} = \min(t_{\max,x}, t_{\max,y}, t_{\max,z})$$



Ray-AABB Intersection: Slab Method


Approach

Edge cases:


- $R_{dx} = 0$ or $R_{dy} = 0$ or $R_{dz} = 0$: ray parallel to a faces

Automatically handled by division by zero






- $t_{\min} = -\infty$ and $t_{\max} = +\infty$ if valid
- $t_{\min} = t_{\max} = \pm\infty$ if invalid
- $t_{\min} = t_{\max}$:
 - Just swap the values if $t_{\min} > t_{\max}$
 $t_{\min} \leftrightarrow t_{\max}$



Questions?



References & Further Reading

-  Peter Shirley and Steve Marschner et al. *Fundamentals of Computer Graphics (4th Edition)*. CRC Press, 2016.
Available as PDF
-  Matt Pharr, Wenzel Jakob, and Greg Humphreys. *Physically Based Rendering: From Theory to Implementation (4th Edition)*. Morgan Kaufmann, 2023.
Available online
-  Peter Shirley. *Ray Tracing in One Weekend*. Self-published, 2016–2020.
Project Website
-  MIT OpenCourseWare: 6.837 Computer Graphics.
ocw.mit.edu/6-837
-  Scratchapixel: Learn Computer Graphics Programming.
scratchapixel.com