

09/28/23

papergrid

Date: / /

HW-2

Name: Harin Rishabh
Username: harinr13

3a) Let,

$$x_1 = \text{GPA}$$

$$x_2 = \text{IQ}$$

$$x_3 = \text{Level}$$

$$x_4 = \text{Interaction b/w GPA and IQ}$$

$$x_5 = \text{Interaction b/w GPA and Level}$$

$$\text{Salary} = 50 + 20x_1 + 0.07x_2 + 35x_3 + 0.01x_4 - 10x_5$$

Now for fixed IQ and GPA (x_1, x_2),

$$50 + 20x_1' + 0.07x_2' + 0.01(x_1' \cdot x_2')$$

$$\text{Salary (college)} = 50 + 20x_1' + 0.07x_2' + 35x_1' + 0.01(x_1' \cdot x_2') - 10(x_1' \cdot 1)$$

$$= 50 + 20x_1' + 0.07x_2' + 35 + 0.01(x_1' \cdot x_2') - 10x_1'$$

$$= \text{Salary (high school)} + 35 - 10(x_1')$$

$$\therefore \text{Salary (college)} - \text{Salary (high school)} = 35 - 10x_1'$$

If salary difference ≥ 0 ,

$$35 - 10x_1' \geq 0 \quad x_1' \leq 3.5$$

If salary difference ≤ 0 ,

$$35 - 10x_1' \leq 0 \quad x_1' \geq 3.5$$

Therefore, for a fixed value of IQ and GPA, high school students earn more on ~~an~~ avg if their GPA is greater ^{or equal} than 3.5.

Option (iii) is correct.

b)

$$\text{Salary} = 50 + 20(4) + 0.07(110) + 35 + 0.01(110 \times 4) - 10(4) \\ = 137.1 \text{ or } \$137,100$$

c) False. Coefficient does not indicate statistical significance.

d)

It is difficult to say. Since cubic regression is more flexible it might have a smaller RSS compared to the linear regression. Cubic regression would do a better fit. However, the true relationship b/w X and Y is linear. This might cause linear regression to have a smaller RSS.

b)

In this case, it is safe to say linear regression will have less RSS as cubic regression would overfit the data.

c)

None, cubic regression would better fit the data due to higher flexibility.

d)

It is difficult to tell in this case because we do not know the underlying true relationship. It depends on how close the true relationship is to linear or cubic form.