

Functional Analysis Assignment 3

Name: Rishabh Singhal, rishabh.singhal@research.iiit.ac.in

Roll number: 20171213

Note: For l^2 space, sequences of numbers belonging to \mathbb{C} (complex numbers) is considered **WLOG**.

Questions

Problem 1: Show that the subset $M = \{y = (\eta_j) | \sum \eta_j = 1\}$ of complex space \mathbb{C}^n (cf. 3.1-4) is complete and convex. Find the vector of minimum norm in M .

Solution 1:

1. Completeness

As M is a subset of \mathbb{C}^n then let's assume the induced inner product $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \overline{y_i}$ where $x = (x_i)$ and $y = (y_i)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Now, metric is defined as,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &= \|x - y\| = \sqrt{\langle x - y, x - y \rangle} \\ &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)(\overline{x_i - y_i})} \\ &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|^2} \\ &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)^2} \end{aligned}$$

where $x = (x_i)$ and $y = (y_i)$, now consider any Cauchy sequence (x_m) in M , where $(x_m) = (\xi_1^{(m)}, \dots, \xi_n^{(m)})$ (by definition of Cauchy sequence) for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a N such that

$$d(x_m, x_r) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_i^{(m)} - \xi_i^{(r)})^2} < \epsilon \quad (m, r > N) \quad (1)$$

Now let's square both side,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_i^{(m)} - \xi_i^{(r)})^2 &< \epsilon^2 \\ (\xi_i^{(m)} - \xi_i^{(r)})^2 &< \epsilon^2 \quad \forall m \in [n] \\ |\xi_i^{(m)} - \xi_i^{(r)}| &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from this the sequence $(\xi_j^{(1)}, \xi_k^{(2)}, \dots)$ is a Cauchy sequence of numbers in \mathbb{C} and it converges that is $\xi_j^{(m)} \rightarrow \xi_j$. Considering all these limits, let $x = (\xi_j)$ where ξ_j are the individual limits, using (1),

$$d(x_m, x_r) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_i^{(m)} - \xi_i^{(r)})^2} < \epsilon \quad (m, r > N) \quad d(x_m, x_r) \leq \epsilon$$

Let's see if this limit i.e. (x) exists in M or not, as the Cauchy sequence was constructed from the elements of M , it is true that $x_i = (\xi_j^i)$ and $\sum \xi_j^i = 1$. Now consider the limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum \xi_j^i = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} 1$ which gives,

$$\sum \xi_j = 1 \quad (2)$$

where $x = (\xi_j)$, therefore it is in M . Hence as the limit is in the set itself (for all Cauchy sequences), therefore subspace M is complete.

2. Convexity

For M to be convex, it is needed to prove that if $y_1, y_2 \in M$ then $\alpha y_1 + (1 - \alpha)y_2 \in M \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$. Let, $y_1, y_2 \in M$ where $y_1 = (\eta_{j1}), y_2 = (\eta_{j2})$ and $\sum \eta_{j1} = 1$ and $\sum \eta_{j2} = 1$. Then, $\alpha y_1 + (1 - \alpha)y_2 = (\alpha * \eta_{j1} + (1 - \alpha) * \eta_{j2})$, now consider, $\sum(\alpha \eta_{j1} + (1 - \alpha)\eta_{j2})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum(\alpha \eta_{j1} + (1 - \alpha)\eta_{j2}) &= \sum(\alpha \eta_{j1}) + \sum((1 - \alpha)\eta_{j2}) \\ &= \alpha \sum \eta_{j1} + (1 - \alpha) \sum \eta_{j2} \\ &= \alpha \times 1 + (1 - \alpha) \times 1 \\ &= \alpha + 1 - \alpha = 1 + (\alpha - \alpha) \\ &= 1 + 0 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\sum(\alpha \eta_{j1} + (1 - \alpha)\eta_{j2}) = 1$, hence $\alpha y_1 + (1 - \alpha)y_2 \in M$. Hence, the given subset M is **convex**.

3. Vector of Minimum Norm

For the Vector of Minimum Norm (let's say of norm δ), $\|y\| \geq \delta \forall y \in M$, now for complex space \mathbb{C}^n considering l^p norm where $1 \leq p < +\infty$ (**for the case of unitary space (cf. 3.1-4 consider $p = 2$ given in this question).**

$$\|y\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\eta_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Using Hölder's Inequality, i.e. $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k y_k| \leq (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |y_k|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$. In this let, $x_k = 1 \forall k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $x_k = 0$ for $k > n$. And, let $y_k = \eta_k \forall k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and $y_k = 0$ for $k > n$,

and set $p = q$.

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^n |\eta_k| &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n 1^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |\eta_k|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq (n)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |\eta_k|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq n^{\frac{1}{p}} \|y\|\end{aligned}$$

Now, as $|\sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n |\eta_k|$ hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k\right| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n |\eta_k| \leq n^{\frac{1}{p}} \|y\| \\ 1 &\leq n^{\frac{1}{p}} \|y\| \text{ as } \sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k = 1\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|y\| \geq \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{p}}}$$

and, it is true that for the vector $y = (\eta_k)$ where $\eta_k = \frac{1}{n} \forall k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\|y\| = \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{p}}}$. Hence the vector with the minimum norm is,

$$y = (\eta_k), \text{ where } \eta_k = \frac{1}{n} \forall k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

as $\sum \eta_k = 1$, $y \in M$.

Problem 2: Show that the vector space X of all real-valued continuous functions on $[-1, 1]$ is the direct sum of the set of all even continuous functions and the set of all odd continuous functions on $[-1, 1]$.

Solution 2:

For a vector space X to be called as a direct sum of two vector sub-spaces Y and Z , i.e. $X = Y \oplus Z$ if for every $x \in X$ there exists a unique representation $x = y + z$ where $y \in Y, z \in Z$.

So, let's consider an arbitrary function $f(x) \in X$ and let $f_e(x) = \frac{f(x)+f(-x)}{2}$ and $f_o(x) = \frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}$,

Claim 1: $f_o(x) = \frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}$ belongs to the set of all odd continuous functions on $[-1, 1]$ for, $f(x) \in X$.

Proof 1: Let's calculate $f_o(-x)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_o(x) &= \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} \\
 f_o(-x) &= \frac{f(-x) - f(-(-x))}{2} \\
 &= \frac{f(-x) - f(x)}{2} \\
 &= -\frac{-f(-x) + f(x)}{2} = -\frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} \\
 &= -f_o(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $f_o(-x) = -f_o(x)$, therefore f_o is an odd function defined for $[-1, 1]$, as $f(x)$ is itself defined on $[-1, 1]$ and continuous (as it is a linear sum of $f(x)$)

Claim 2: $f_e(x) = \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2}$ belongs to the set of all even continuous functions on $[-1, 1]$ for, $f(x) \in X$.

Proof 2: Let's calculate $f_e(-x)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_e(x) &= \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} \\
 f_e(-x) &= \frac{f(-x) + f(-(-x))}{2} \\
 &= \frac{f(-x) + f(x)}{2} \\
 &= \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} \\
 &= f_e(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $f_e(-x) = f_e(x)$, therefore f_e is an even function defined for $[-1, 1]$, as $f(x)$ is itself defined on $[-1, 1]$ and continuous (as it is a linear sum of $f(x)$)

Let's calculate $f_o(x) + f_e(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_o(x) + f_e(x) &= \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} + \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} \\
 &= \frac{f(x) + f(-x) + f(x) - f(-x)}{2} \\
 &= \frac{2f(x)}{2} = f(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $f_o(x) + f_e(x) = f(x)$ therefore it can be a direct sum given it is unique.

Claim 3: $f(x) = f_o(x) + f_e(x)$ is a unique representation.

Proof 3: Note : f' is just another function here (for the notation part) rather than the derivative of f for the purpose of the given proof.

Let's assume there exists another two function $f'_o(x) + f'_e(x)$ such that $f(x) = f'_o(x) + f'_e(x)$, now for this to happen,

$$f(x) = f'_o(x) + f'_e(x) = f_o(x) + f_e(x)$$

replacing x by $-x$,

$$\begin{aligned}f_o'(-x) + f_e'(-x) &= f_o(-x) + f_e(-x) \\-f_o'(x) + f_e'(x) &= -f_o(x) + f_e(x) \\f_o(x) - f_o'(x) &= f_e(x) - f_e'(x)\end{aligned}$$

Now, $f_o(x) - f_o'(x)$ belongs to subspace of even functions while $f_e(x) - f_e'(x)$ belongs to subspace of odd functions, hence let, $z(x) = f_e(x) - f_e'(x) = f_o(x) - f_o'(x)$. Here $z(x)$ should follow both properties of even and odd functions. Therefore,

$$z(-x) = z(x) \text{ and } z(-x) = -z(x)$$

Hence, $z(x) = -z(x)$ or $2z(x) = 0 \implies z(x) = 0$, therefore, $f_e(x) - f_e'(x) = f_o(x) - f_o'(x) = 0$ or $f_e(x) = f_e'(x)$ and $f_o(x) = f_o'(x)$. Therefore by contradiction $f(x) = f_o(x) + f_e(x)$ is **a unique representation**.

And hence, it's **a direct sum** (by definition).

Problem 3: Let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$. Find M^\perp if M is (a) $\{x\}$, where $x = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \neq 0$, (b) a linearly independent set $\{x_1, x_2\} \subset X$.

Solution 3:

(a) $M = \{x\}$ where $x = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \neq 0$

For any $y \in M^\perp$, $\langle y, x \rangle = 0$ let $y = (y_1, y_2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle y, x \rangle &= 0 \\y_1\xi_1 + y_2\xi_2 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Let $y_2 = \alpha$, then

$$\begin{aligned}y_1\xi_1 + y_2\xi_2 &= 0 \\y_1\xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2 &= 0 \\y_1\xi_1 &= -\alpha\xi_2 \\y_1 &= -\frac{\alpha\xi_2}{\xi_1}\end{aligned}$$

There a general $y = (-\frac{\alpha\xi_2}{\xi_1}, \alpha)$. It is defined as $x = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \neq 0$ therefore, $\xi_1 \neq 0$.

So, $M^\perp = \{(-\frac{\alpha\xi_2}{\xi_1}, \alpha) | \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

(b) M is a linearly independent set $\{x_1, x_2\} \subset X = \mathbb{R}^2$

Let an arbitrary $y \in M^\perp$ where $y = (y_1, y_2)$ then $\langle y, x_1 \rangle = \langle y, x_2 \rangle = 0$ where $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle =$

0 as they are linearly independent, then we need to solve these equations,

$$\langle y, x_1 \rangle = y_1 x_{11} + y_2 x_{12} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\langle y, x_2 \rangle = y_1 x_{21} + y_2 x_{22} = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = x_{11} x_{21} + x_{12} x_{22} = 0$$

where, $x_1 = (x_{11}, x_{12})$ and $x_2 = (x_{21}, x_{22})$, from (1),

$$y_1 x_{11} + y_2 x_{12} = 0$$

$$y_1 = -\frac{y_2 x_{12}}{x_{11}}$$

Similarly from (2),

$$y_1 x_{21} + y_2 x_{22} = 0$$

$$y_1 = -\frac{y_2 x_{22}}{x_{21}}$$

now equating both,

$$y_1 = -\frac{y_2 x_{12}}{x_{11}} = -\frac{y_2 x_{22}}{x_{21}}$$

or,

$$x_{12} x_{21} - x_{22} x_{11} = 0 \quad (3)$$

but from this equation and $x_{11} x_{21} + x_{12} x_{22} = 0$ it seems that $x_{11}^2 + x_{12}^2 = 0$ or $y = (0, 0)$. Let's consider $x_1 = 0$ then x_1, x_2 are not independent (as stated in the question), therefore first possibility is completely ruled out. Hence, $M^\perp = \{(0, 0)\}$, where the inner product of $(0, 0)$ and both x_1, x_2 is 0.

Problem 4: Show that $Y = \{x | x = (\xi_j) \in l^2, \xi_{2n} = 0, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a closed subspace of l^2 and find Y^\perp . What is Y^\perp if $Y = \text{span} \{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \subset l^2$, where $e_j = (\delta_{jk})$?

Solution 4:

1. $Y = \{x | x = (\xi_j) \in l^2, \xi_{2n} = 0, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a closed subspace of l^2 .

(a) Y is a vector subspace

Let $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then $y_1 + y_2 = \alpha_j = (\xi_{1j} + \xi_{2j})$, now $\xi_{1j}, \xi_{2j} \in \mathbb{C}$ therefore $\xi_{1j} + \xi_{2j} \in \mathbb{C}$. And as $\alpha_{2n} = \xi_{1(2n)} + \xi_{2(2n)} = 0 + 0 = 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, therefore $y_1 + y_2 \in Y$ whenever $y_1, y_2 \in Y$. — (*)

Now, consider $y \in Y$, then $y = (\lambda \xi_j)$ where $\lambda \xi_j \in \mathbb{C}$ for which $\xi_{2n} = \lambda \times 0 = 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $y \in Y \implies \lambda y \in Y$. — (**)

Combining both (*) and (**), Y is a vector subspace.

(b) Y is closed subspace

As it is true that l^2 is a complete metric space, then using **1.4 - 7 Theorem (Complete subspace)** given subspace (Y) is a closed subspace if and only if it is complete. So, let's prove that Y is a complete subspace,

As M is a subset of l^2 then let's assume the induced inner product $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \overline{y_i}$ where $x = (x_i)$ and $y = (y_i)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, metric is defined as,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &= \|x - y\| = \sqrt{\langle x - y, x - y \rangle} \\ &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (x_i - y_i) \overline{(x_i - y_i)}} \\ &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i - y_i|^2} \\ &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (x_i - y_i)^2} \end{aligned}$$

where $x = (x_i)$ and $y = (y_i)$, now consider any Cauchy sequence (x_m) in M , where $(x_m) = (\xi_1^{(m)}, \dots, \xi_n^{(m)})$ (by definition of Cauchy sequence) for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a N such that

$$d(x_m, x_r) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\xi_i^{(m)} - \xi_i^{(r)})^2} < \epsilon \quad (m, r > N) \quad (4)$$

Now let's square both side,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\xi_i^{(m)} - \xi_i^{(r)})^2 &< \epsilon^2 \\ (\xi_i^{(m)} - \xi_i^{(r)})^2 &< \epsilon^2 \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \\ |\xi_i^{(m)} - \xi_i^{(r)}| &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from this the sequence $(\xi_j^{(1)}, \xi_j^{(2)}, \dots)$ is a Cauchy sequence of numbers in $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and it converges that is $\xi_j^{(m)} \rightarrow \xi_j$. Considering all these limits, let $x = (\xi_j)$ where ξ_j are the individual limits, using (1),

$$d(x_m, x_r) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\xi_i^{(m)} - \xi_i^{(r)})^2} < \epsilon \quad (m, r > N) \quad d(x_m, x_r) \leq \epsilon$$

Let's see if this limit i.e. (x) exists in M or not, as the Cauchy sequence was constructed from the elements of M , it is true that $x_i = (\xi_j^i)$ and $\xi_{2n}^i = 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now consider the limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \xi_{2n}^i = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} 0$ which gives,

$$\xi_{2n} = 0 \quad (5)$$

where $x = (\xi_j)$, therefore it is in Y as for all the even indices, the limit vector x have 0 values, and for all the odd they belong to the the field \mathbb{F} which is assumed (WLOG) to be \mathbb{C} (complex numbers) as said in note. Hence, as the limit of all possible Cauchy sequence in Y , exists in subspace Y itself, Y is a complete subspace.

(c) Y^\perp

$Y^\perp = \{y | y \perp x \ \forall x \in Y\}$, now consider any arbitrary $y \in Y^\perp$, then $\langle y, x \rangle = 0 \ \forall x \in Y$ so, let $x = (\alpha_j)$ then,

$$\langle y, x \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j \xi_j = 0$$

Now, it's given that $\xi_{2n} \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then only the odd (indexed term i.e. 1, 3, ...) will be left (as other are 0).

$$\langle y, x \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{2j-1} \xi_{2j-1} = 0$$

where $2j-1$ where $j \in \mathbb{N}$ are odd indexed terms, now consider the fact that all $\xi_{2j-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ and are independent from each other (as they can be any element in \mathbb{R} irrespective of others). Hence, they act like linearly independent terms making all $\alpha_{2j-1} = 0$ individually. Hence,

$$Y^\perp = \{x | x = (\xi_j) \in l^2, \xi_{2n-1} = 0, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

2. Y^\perp if $Y = \text{span} \{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \subset l^2$, where $e_j = (\delta_{jk})$

Consider the $Y = \text{span} \{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \subset l^2$, for any $y \in Y$, $y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i$ where $x_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Now, consider $Y^\perp = \{y | y \perp x \ \forall x \in Y\}$, and arbitrary $y = (y_i) \in Y^\perp$ then for that $\langle y, x \rangle = 0 \ \forall x \in Y$ i.e.

$$\langle y, x \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \alpha_i + 0 = 0$$

where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C} \ \forall i \in [n]$, given that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i$ and as $e_j = (\delta_{jk})$ they are all linearly independent vectors (unit). Now, going by similar argument given in previous part making all $y_i = 0 \ \forall i \in [n]$. Hence,

$$Y^\perp = \{x | x = (\xi_i) \in l^2, \xi_i = 0 \ \forall i \in [n]\}$$

where $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ (n being a natural number).

Problem 5: Let A and $B \supset A$ be nonempty subsets of an inner product space X . Show that

(a) $A \subset A^{\perp\perp}$

- (b) $B^\perp \subset A^\perp$
(c) $A^{\perp\perp\perp} = A^\perp$

Solution 5:

- (a) $A \subset A^{\perp\perp}$

Let take some arbitrary $x \in A$, now, to define $A^{\perp\perp}$, A^\perp needs to be defined first, so

$$A^\perp = \{y \in X | y \perp z \ \forall z \in A\}$$

or we can say that for all $y \in A^\perp$, the inner product between x and y is 0 i.e. $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$. Now consider the definition of $A^{\perp\perp}$,

$$A^{\perp\perp} = \{y \in X | y \perp z \ \forall z \in A^\perp\}$$

Let's take an arbitrary $y \in A^\perp$, then it is sure that $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ as $x \in A$. So, we can say that for all $y \in A^\perp$, $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in A$. But according to the definition of $A^{\perp\perp}$, $x \in A^{\perp\perp}$, as it is the set of all α where $\langle \alpha, y \rangle = 0$ for all $y \in A^\perp$. Hence, $x \in A^{\perp\perp}$ so (according to set theory), $A \subset A^{\perp\perp}$ as for any arbitrary $x \in A \implies x \in A^{\perp\perp}$.

- (b) $B^\perp \subset A^\perp$

Given that $B \supset A$ that is $x \in A \implies x \in B$. Now, consider the definitions of B^\perp and A^\perp ,

$$A^\perp = \{y \in X | y \perp z \ \forall z \in A\}$$

$$B^\perp = \{y \in X | y \perp z \ \forall z \in B\}$$

Let's consider an arbitrary element α of B^\perp , then it must follow that $\alpha \perp z \ \forall z \in B$, but as $B \supset A$, then α must be perpendicular to all the elements in A or we can say that $\alpha \in A^\perp$ according to the definition of A^\perp .

Hence, $\alpha \in B^\perp \implies \alpha \in A^\perp$ i.e. $B^\perp \subset A^\perp$ (according to the definitions from set theory).

- (c) $A^{\perp\perp\perp} = A^\perp$

Let's define $A^\perp, A^{\perp\perp}, A^{\perp\perp\perp}$

$$A^\perp = \{y \in X | y \perp z \ \forall z \in A\}$$

$$A^{\perp\perp} = \{y \in X | y \perp z \ \forall z \in A^\perp\}$$

$$A^{\perp\perp\perp} = \{y \in X | y \perp z \ \forall z \in A^{\perp\perp}\}$$

- (1) To show $A^{\perp\perp\perp} \subset A^\perp$

Let's take an arbitrary $\alpha \in A^{\perp\perp\perp}$, therefore according to how $A^{\perp\perp\perp}$ is defined, $\alpha \perp z \ \forall z \in A^{\perp\perp}$ or $\langle \alpha, z \rangle = 0$. Now, for this z as it is in $A^{\perp\perp}$, it is perpendicular to all $k \in A^\perp$ for all possible $k \in X$.

But, we can say that α also belongs to A^\perp , as for any $\beta \in A^\perp$ $z \perp \beta$. Therefore, $\alpha \in A^{\perp\perp\perp} \implies \alpha \in A^\perp$ or $A^{\perp\perp\perp} \subset A^\perp$.

(2) To show $A^\perp \subset A^{\perp\perp}$

Let's consider $\alpha \in A^\perp$, then for any arbitrary $x \in A^{\perp\perp}$, $\alpha \perp x$. Now, consider the set $A^{\perp\perp}$, it consists of all elements whose inner product with all elements in A^\perp is 0 (or they are perpendicular). But, in the first line it is seen that $\alpha \perp x \forall x \in A^{\perp\perp}$, and $A^{\perp\perp}$ consists of such elements (like α). Hence, $\alpha \in A^{\perp\perp}$, or $\alpha \in A^\perp \subset \alpha \in A^{\perp\perp}$ or $A^\perp \subset A^{\perp\perp}$.

From (1) and (2), as $A^{\perp\perp} \subset A^\perp$ and $A^\perp \subset A^{\perp\perp}$. Hence, $A^\perp = A^{\perp\perp}$.

Problem 6: Show that the annihilator M^\perp of a set $M \neq \emptyset$ in an inner product space X is a closed subspace of X .

Solution 6:

The definition of M^\perp ,

$$A^\perp = \{y \in X | y \perp z \forall z \in M\} \quad (6)$$

1. M^\perp is a vector subspace

Let $x, y \in M^\perp$, then $\langle x, z \rangle = \langle y, z \rangle = 0 \forall z \in M$, now consider $\alpha x + \beta y$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha x + \beta y, z \rangle &= \langle \alpha x, z \rangle + \langle \beta y, z \rangle \\ &= \alpha \langle x, z \rangle + \beta \langle y, z \rangle \\ &= \alpha \cdot 0 + \beta \cdot 0 \\ &= 0 + 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\langle \alpha x + \beta y, z \rangle = 0 \forall z \in M$, hence $\alpha x + \beta y \in M^\perp$ therefore M^\perp is a vector subspace.

2. M^\perp is closed

For this, consider a functional (associated with each $z \in M$), let's say it as $f_z : X \mapsto \mathbb{F}$, $f_z(x) = \langle z, x \rangle$, where \mathbb{F} is the field using which X is defined (or made up of). Now this is a continuous linear (because of the axiomatic properties) functional.

From closed set point of view too, $|f_z(x)| = |\langle z, x \rangle| \leq \|z\| \|x\|$ (using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality). Now consider the set $g_z = \{x | f_z(x) = 0, x \in X\}$ it is a closed subspace of X as $f_z \forall z \in M$ are continuous linear functionals.

Another fact to notice is that the intersections of closed subspace is closed itself.

Claim: $M^\perp = \bigcap_{z \in M} g_z$

Proof: As M^\perp is the set of all x such that $\langle x, z \rangle = 0 \forall z \in M$, therefore x must belong to all g_z (as they are set of all $x \in X$ such that $\langle x, z \rangle = 0$). Or hence, $x \in \bigcap_{z \in M} g_z$. Therefore, $M^\perp \subset \bigcap_{z \in M} g_z$ — (1)

Let's assume $x \in X$ and also $x \in \bigcap_{z \in M} g_z$, then by definition of g_z , $\langle x, z \rangle = 0 \forall z \in M$, which is exactly the criteria to be in M^\perp . Hence, $x \in M^\perp$ or $M^\perp \supset \bigcap_{z \in M} g_z$ — (2)

Combining (1), (2) gives $M^\perp = \bigcap_{z \in M} g_z$.

Hence, M^\perp is an intersection of all g_z where g_z are closed subspaces for all $z \in M$. Therefore, M^\perp is also a closed subspace.

Combining Part 1 and 2, the annihilator M^\perp is a closed subspace of X when $M \neq \emptyset$.