

# Tutorial I: Sets and Numbers

Rishibh Prakash

## Problem 1.

- a) Let  $(x, y) \in A \times (B \cup C)$ . Then  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B \cup C$ . If  $y \in B$ , then  $(x, y) \in A \times B$  and if  $y \in C$  then  $(x, y) \in A \times C$ . Either way  $(x, y) \in (A \times B) \cup (A \times C)$ .  
Let  $(x, y) \in (A \times B) \cup (A \times C)$ . If  $(x, y) \in (A \times B)$  then  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$  otherwise  $y \in C$ . Regardless,  $y \in B \cup C$  so  $(x, y) \in A \times (B \cup C)$ . Thus  $A \times (B \cup C) = (A \times B) \cup (A \times C)$
- b) An entirely analogous argument as above
- c) Let  $(x, y) \in A \times (B \setminus C)$ . Then  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B \setminus C$ . In particular  $y \notin C$  so  $(x, y) \notin A \times C$ . On the other hand  $y \in B$  so  $(x, y) \in A \times B$ , therefore  $(x, y) \in (A \times B) \setminus (A \times C)$ .

## Problem 2.

- a) Let  $d$  be a divisor of  $p$ . Then  $\frac{p}{d}$  is an integer and clearly  $p$  divides  $p = d \cdot \frac{p}{d}$ . By assumption then  $p|d$  or  $p|\frac{p}{d}$ . But both of these are smaller than  $p$ , so  $p$  can only divide them if  $d = 1$ . Thus 1 and  $p$  are the only divisors of  $p$  hence it must be prime.
- b) Let  $n$  be the smallest number with two distinct prime factorisations  $p_1 \cdots p_n = n = q_1 \cdots q_m$ , where all  $p_i$  and  $q_j$  are prime. By previous question, we know  $p_1|q_1$  or  $p_1|q_2 \cdots q_m$ . Doing this again and again, we conclude that  $p_1$  must divide at least one of the  $q_j$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $p_1|q_1$  (we reorder the  $q_j$  if necessary). Since  $p_1$  and  $q_1$  are prime, they can only divide one another by being equal. Thus we can divide by them on both side to conclude that  $p_2 \cdots p_n = q_2 \cdots q_m$ . But this number is smaller than  $n$  and has two distinct prime factorisations which contradicts  $n$  being the smallest one.

## Problem 3.

- a) Suppose there is some  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $x^2 - 5 = 0$ . Then we know there exist coprime  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x = \frac{p}{q}$ . This implies that  $p^2 = 5q^2$ . Since 5 is prime, we know it must divide  $p^2$  (by previous question) implying 5 must also divide  $p$  (Why?). So we can write  $p = 5k$  for some integer  $k$ . Thus  $p^2 = 25k^2 = 5q^2$  which implies  $5k^2 = q^2$ . But this means 5 is also a factor of  $q$  contradicting  $p, q$  being coprime.
- b) Note that  $210 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ . As before, suppose there exist coprime integers  $p, q$  such that  $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^2 - 210 = 0$ . This means that  $p^2 = 210q^2$ . As before we conclude that 2 is a factor of  $p$  so  $4k^2 = 210q^2$  for some integer  $k$ . But this mean  $2k^2 = 105q^2$ . Since 2 is a factor on the left, it must also be a factor of the right. Clearly 2 cannot divide 105 so it must divide  $q^2$ . But this means  $q$  must also be divisible by 2 contradicting coprimeness of  $p$  and  $q$ .