
Date			
Data Science pope Tuts: Evaluation and Measurement - Hypothesis Testing HI: p to.7 Level of significance = d = 0.10 Pest Statistic: Binomial Vonoble x with p=0.7 and n=15 X=8 and npo = 15 x0.7 = 10.5 X=8 and npo = 15 x0.7 = 10.5 Level of Significance = d=0.10 X=8 and npo = 15 x0.7 = 10.5 Level of Significance = d=0.10 X=8 and npo = 15 x0.7 = 10.5 Level of Significance = d=0.10 X=8 and npo = 15 x0.7 = 10.5 Level of Significance = d=0.10 X=8 and npo = 15 x0.7 = 10.5 Level of Significance = d=0.10 X=8 and npo = 15 x0.7 = 10.5 Level of Significance = d=0.10 Level of Significance = d=0	-32.8	Page No.	
Tuts: Evaluation and Measurement - Hypothesis Testing Testing HI: p = 0.7 Level of significance = d = 0.10 Test Statistic: Binomial Voyable x with p=0.7 or N = 15 X=8 and npo = 15 x0.7 = 10.5 Level of X & when p = 0.7) 8 2 x 0.1311 (From Binomial Prob. Table) 2 x 0.1311 (From Binomial Prob. Table) 1. P = 0.2629 1. P > 0.10 i.e. p > 2 1. Do not reject to Concude that there is insufficient reason to doubt the builder's iklaim.		Late	
Tuts: Evaluation and Measurement - Hypothesis Testing BELL Ho: p=0.7 Hu: p =0.7 Level of significance = d = 0.10 Test Statistic: Binomial Vonable x with p=0.7 or N=15 X=8 and npo = 15 x0.7 = 10.5 p= 2p(x(8 when p=0.7) 8 and how the statistic of the			
Tuts: Evaluation and Measurement - Hypothesis Testing BRI Ho: p=0.7 Level of significance = d = 0.10 Test Statistic: Binomial Voyable x with p=0.7 or N=15 X=8 and npo = 15 x0.7 = 10.5 p=2p(x(8 when p=0.7) 8 and how the statistic and t		Data Science 2022	40
He : P=0.7 He : P=0.7 Level of significance = d = 0.10 Test Statistic: Binomial Voriable X with P=0.7 and N=15 X=8 and nPo = 15 x0.7 = 10.5 P=2P(x(8 when p=0.1) 8 and tout the state of the point of the p		Mull Hypothesis	VO.
He : P=0.7 He : P=0.7 Level of significance = d = 0.10 Test Statistic: Binomial Voriable X with P=0.7 and N=15 X=8 and nPo = 15 x0.7 = 10.5 P=2P(x(8 when p=0.1) 8 and tout the state of the point of the p		Tuts: Evaluation and Measurement - Hypothes	is
He is partial and the partial into the sound of the partial and the partial an	13.7	Testing of all	
Level of significance = d = 0.10 Test Statistic: Binomial Voriable & with P=0.7 ar N = 15 X=8 and NPo = 15 x0.7 = 10.5 P=2P(X{8 when p=0.7) 8 10.1311 (From Binomial Prob. Table) = 2 x 0.1311 (From Binomial Prob. Table) = 0.2622 P7 0.10 i.e. p 7d Do not reject to Conjude that there is insufficient reason to doubt the builder's Iclaim.			i
Level of significance = d = 0.10 Test Statistic: Binomial Voriable & with p=0.7 ar N = 15 X=8 and npo = 15 x0.7 = 10.5 p=2p(x(8 when p=0.7) 8 modificant south of the point of the	BI		1.
Level of significance = d = 0.10 Test Statistic: Binomial Voriable X with P=0.7 or N=15 X=8 and npo = 15 x0.7 = 10.5 2 2 P(X(8 when p=0.7) 8 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 = 2 \(\text{D} \)			
Level of significance = d = 0.10 Test Statistic: Binomial Vonable & with P=0.7 or N=15 X=8 and NPo = 15 x0.7 = 10.5 : P=2P(X(8 when p=0.7) 8 methodist somewhile - 2 \(\) b(\(\), 15,0.7) x=0 2 x 0.1311 (From Binomial Prob. Table) = 0.2622 : P7 0.10 i.e p 72 : Do not reject to Concude that there is insufficient reason to doubt the builder's iclaim.			
Test Statistic: Binomial Vonable & with P=0.7 ar N=15 X=8 and NPo = 15 x0.7 = 10.5 : P=2P(X58 when p=0.7) 8		05.054 111	
Test Statistic: Binomial Voriable & with P=0.7 and N=15 X=8 and NPo = 15 x0.7 = 10.5 P=2P(x(8 when p=0.7) 8 mentagen sontained (1) - 2 \(\) b(\(\); 15,0.7) x=0 2 x 0.1311 (From Binomial Prob. Table) = 0.2622 1. P7 0.10 i.e p 7d 1. Do not reject the Condude that there is insufficient reason to doubt the builder's iclaim.		Level of significance = d = 0.10	
N=15 X=8 and npo = 15 xo.7 = 10.5 2-11. att P=2p(x(8 when p=0.7) 8 model again and and prob. Table) = 2 x 0.1311 (From Binomial Prob. Table) = 0.2622 2 p 0.10 i.e p 7d Do not reject to Consude that there is insufficient reason to doubt the builder's Aclaim.		The Critical region 15 in right last	1
X=8 and npo = 15 x0.7 = 10.5 i. P= QP(X (8 when p=0.7) 8 the diagram south model of the shirt into (2) = 2 x 0.1311 (From Binomial Prob. Table) = 0.2622 i. P7 0.10 i.e. p. 7d i. Do not reject to . Concude that there is insufficient reason to doubt the shill exist Claim.		Test Statistic: Binomial Voriable x with P=0.	7 and
X=8 and npo = 15 xo.7 = 10.5 2 P 2 P (X(8 when p=0.7)) 8 - 2 \(\) b(\(\), 15, 0.7) x=0 2 x 0.1311 (From Binomial Prob. Table) = 0.2622 2 P 7 0.10 i.e p 7 d 2 Do not reject to Conclude that there is insufficient reason to doubt the builder's iclaim.	Ţ.		
2 P(X(8 when p=0.7) 8 - 2 \(\) b(\mathral{R}, 15, 0.7) \(\) \\(\) \(\)	100	Grantowith Huld	(d
: P=2P(X(8 when p=0.7) 8 100 Alayord solvents of the state of the st		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
2 b (x, 15, 0.7) x=0 2 x 0.1311 (From Binomial Prob. Table) = 0.2622 : P7 0.10 i.e. p 7d : Do not reject to . Concude that there is insufficient reason to doubt the builder's Iclaim.			i
= 2 \(\text{b} \) \(\text{Z} \), \(\text{15} \), \(Do not reject the condude that there is insufficient reason to doubt the builder's iclaim.	-	:. P= 2P(x(8 when p=0.7)	1
2 2 x 0.1311 (From Binomial Prob. Table) = 0.2622 : P7 0.10 i.e.p. 72 : Do not reject the . Conclude that there is insufficient reason to doubt the builder's iclaim.		Ellenative Hypothesis	
= 2 x 0.1311 (From Binomial Prob. Table) = 0.2622 : P7 0.10 i.e.p. 7d : Do not reject the . Conjude that there is insufficient reason to doubt the builder's iclaim.		0 - 0	i .
: P7 0.10 i.e p 7d : Do not reject the . Conjude that there is insufficient reason to doubt the builder's iclaim.		2 2 k), : , hi	
: P7 0.10 i.e p 7d : Do not reject to . Concude that there is insufficient reason to doubt the builder's iclaim.			
: P70.10 i.e p 7d : Do not reject to . Convude that there is insufficient reason to doubt the builder's iclaim.			
: P7 0.10 i.e p 7d : Do not reject Ho. Convude that there is insufficient reason to doubt the builder's Aclaim.			-
: Do not reject to . Conclude that there is insufficient reason to doubt the builder's iclaim.			(3
: Do not reject Ho. Concude that there is insufficient reason to doubt the builder's Iclaim.			-
insufficient reason to doubt the builder's Iclaim.	A CONTRACT OF		
		: Do not reject Ho. Condude that there is	
21-0>4 114	- 1	insufficient reason to doubt the builders ic	aim.
		210081 1 14	1
	7.00		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
STATE OF THE PARTY			E Carlot and the land

A commonly prescribed drug for relieving nervous tension is believed to be only 60% effective. Experimental results with a new drug administered to a random sample of 100 adults who were suffering from nervous tension show that 70 received relief. Is this sufficient evidence to conclude that the new drug is superior to the one commonly prescribed? Use a 0.05 level of significance.

		Page No.
		Dato
0.2	Ho: P=0.6	samains of hor
Q. Z	70.0	
7 2	HI: 4 P 70.6	TURS FUT
	pend -	57
	level of significance = d = 0.05	
	,	9 01
	Given: 8270, n2100, Po=	0-6
		v=9 1H
	:- Z= X-npo	1 - 1 - 1
	VnPogo	11901. 10 19031
	202 70 - 100×0.6	Test crobine
	100 × 0.6 × 0.4	210210210 2001
	1100 100 100	
katon	001941: 2 = 2-04 -4 1 100	Given K= 8
	P= P(z72.04)	2 9 sali 1 61
	2 OD 0 1154 87.8	92 = 9
	3	
	= 000 P= 0000 P= 0.0	
	P= 00207 P= 0.0	207 (from ta
	P= DOLAT P= 0.0 As P<4, reject Ho and	207 (from ta
	P= 00207 P= 0.0	207 (from ta
	P= D0207 P= 0.0 As P<4, reject Ho and new drug is superior.	207 (from ta
	P= D0207 P= 0.0 As P< \(\), reject Ho and new drug is superior.	207 (from ta
	P= D0207 P= 0.0 As P< \(\), reject Ho and new drug is superior.	207 (from ta

A vote is to be taken among the residents of a Mumbai and the surrounding area to determine whether a proposed Nuclear plant should be constructed. The construction site is within the Mumbai limits, and for this reason many voters in the surrounding area feel that the proposal will pass because of the large proportion of Mumbai voters who favor the construction. To determine if there is a significant difference in the proportion of Mumbai voters and surrounding area voters favoring the proposal, a poll is taken. If 120 of 200 Mumbai voters favor the proposal and 240 of 500 surrounding area residents favor it, would you agree that the proportion of Mumbai voters favoring the proposal is higher than the proportion of surrounding area voters? Use an a = 0.05 level of significance.

	Page No.
Q . Let Pi be the proportion of	Mumbal voters or
Pr be the proportion of s	urrounding area re.
$\hat{P}_1 = 120 = 0.6$	\$ = 5°10 = 0.0
P ₂ = 240 = 0.48	_ 103 - 19
Pp = 120+240 = 0.514	
200+500	
Hypothesis	
Ho: P. < P2	
H1: P17P2	
$Z = \frac{\beta_1 - \beta_2}{\beta_1 + \beta_2}$	
(7)	72
£ Z = 0.6 - 0.48	
0,514 (1-0,514)	200 500
: 22 2.869	



<u>Ex.4</u>

State the null and alternative hypotheses to be used in testing the following claims, and determine generally where the critical region is located:

- (a) At most, 20% of next year's wheat, crop will be exported to the Russia.
- (b) On the average, Indian homemakers drink 3 cups of tea per day.
- (c) The proportion of graduates in engineering this year majoring in the computer sciences is at least. 0.15.
- (d) The average donation to the Indian Autism Association is no more than 500 INR.
- (e) Residents in suburban Mumbai commute, on the average, 15 kilometers to their place of employment.

	Paga No. Data
Q. 1	
	1) Null Hypothesis
	I adden 14 - Insummer 1000 had method of 12 715
	Ho: p=0.20
	1,0=91 1 01
	Alternative Hypothesis
	Fot 9 11H
	H1: P70.20
1	level of cinnil area of a level
,	The Critical region is in right toil
000	Tost Statistic. Bin som at Condete & wall to Be so
	è - 14
Ь	Null Hypothesis
	301 = 100x31 290 600 80X
	Ho: U=3
	(14 H Jan : 232) d 2 -d
	Alternative Hypothesis:
-	(r = 1 = 1)d = 2 = 1
1	H1: 11 +3
(3)	of don't being Signal of the To
	The critical region is in both tails
6	\$ 50 0 0 E
-9	Null Hypothesis
	P. 80 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	Ho: p= 0.15
	and be stored of the ay for of
5771	Alternative Hypothesis of most facilities
	H1: P < 0.15
14	

	Date
	The critical region is liniteft toile de les 20
	unequal jud baildance another To " exambandar's
104	Library 8 regard for
d)	Mull Hypothesis
-	$(V_{i} = V_{i}) = (V_{i} = V_{i})$
1-13	Ho: U=500
3.	2 M. T. (1) : 11!
	Alternative Hypothesis
	Por = b = lavel ener finnis
	H1: 47500
	The critical region is in right tail
100	in 2 1 - 13.
e)	Nul Hypothesis
CIA	+2d+26HORAFAN =15+18+8+8+8+8+8+8+
100	OI STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF TH
	Alternative Hypothesis
	205 20
	HI: U +15
W.C.	5/1
	The critical region is in both tails.
43	P+501+1114011+1-P+ 101+201+1-1-1-314011 =
	C [*]
4	
1	
100	

<u>Ex.5</u>

In a study conducted by the Department of computer Engineering and analyzed by the Statistics Consulting Center at SPIT the laptops supplied by two different companies were compared. Ten sample laptops were made out of the Intel chips supplied by each company and the "robustness" was studied. The data are as follows:

Company A: 9.3 8.8 6.8, 8.7 8.5 6.7 8.0 6.5 9.2 7.0

Company B: 11.0 9.8 9.9 10.2,10.1 9.7 11.0 11.1 10.2 9.6

Can you conclude that there is virtually no difference in means between the laptops supplied by the two companies? Use a P-value to reach your conclusion. Should variances be pooled here?

	Paga No. Date
0.5	Let u, and us be the population mean
	'robustness" of laptops supplied by Compan
-	TATIO COMORANI A TESPECTIVE O
	acedlaukli lluk
	Ho: 11 = 12
	121
	HI: NI \$ 1/2
	C'- 'G
	Significance level = d = 0.05
	$\frac{1}{X_1} = \frac{1}{1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \chi_{ii}$
	0
	In ia padicopt duta
	= 9.3 +8.8 +6.8 + 8.7 +8.5 10.7 18 5 + 6.6 19.0
	= 9.3 +8.8 +6.8 + 8.7 +8.5 +6.7 +8.0 +6.5 +9.2
	= 9.3 +8.8 +6.8 + 8.7 +8.5 +6.7 +8.0 +6.5 +9.2
	: \(\int = 7.95 \)
	:: \(\sigma_1 = 7.95\) 213 216 214 214 214 214 214 214 214 214 214 214
	$\frac{10}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$
	:: \(\sigma_1 = 7.95\) in \(\sigma_1 = 7.95\) in \(\sigma_1 = 7.95\) in \(\sigma_1 = 7.95\) in \(\sigma_1 = 7.95\) in \(\sigma_1 = 7.95\) in \(\sigma_1 = 7.95\) in \(\sigma_1 = 7.95\) in \(\sigma_1 = 7.95\) in \(\sigma_1 = 7.95\) in \(\sigma_1 = 7.95\) in \(\sigma_1 =
	$\frac{10}{1000000000000000000000000000000000$
	$\frac{10}{1000000000000000000000000000000000$
	$\frac{10}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$
	$ \frac{10}{10} $
	$\frac{7.95}{1.0 + 9.8 + 9.9 + 10.2 + 10.1 + 9.7 + 11.0 + 11.1 + 10.2 + 10.1}$
	$ \frac{10}{10} $
	$ \frac{10}{10} $

		- X	
		Page No.	
1.12		Date	
	S12 = 101 5002 - 010 x12		
	N-1 ist	1012 1891 941	
Salar Maria			
	: 51 ² = 10.865 = 1.207	<u> </u>	
	0.865 = 1.207		
	7/4	<u></u>	
	$S_2^2 = 100 \times 10^{-2} \times 10^{-2}$		
4301	2 12 112 21	asper dida	
	in Paris ist consult of the mine	neithabatatet	
San s	all all man on specifornia una en	t ichi. n H	
97 5 4 98 98 45 65 6 94 98 45 65 6	in the total to a	ule of sall of	
	: S22 = 2.924 = 0.325		
100	0192- 2016 1- 20-	- T	
	2 8 6 + 808 7		
400	Since sample variances are quit	e different,	
	We cannot assume that population	on Namances are	
	equal; so we will luse the uppool	ed alt - test	
100	to be a sea under the dead to the		
	The degrees of Freedom for this te	st are calculated as	
4	we are the less to sure sto		
Park - T	$(S_1^2 + S_2^2)^2$		
	V=1 1 de (1 sn n21) 0 +2 = 1012 1 2111		
	$(S_1^2)^2 + (S_2^2)^2$		
100 Sec. 100	(065 -0 1/1-1 of ni/11 1 nz 14 (0 nz) outor of		
14	949 21 082=11/1.207 (+0.1325) 2	(b) 1 2 22 4	
1	= (10 10)		
	0212011207) 27 Th - (0.8252) 3 dogre		
	10-1 (10) 10-1	10	
	1000 7 July	- q	
	2 10.30		
	V 240, 0000 - 10000		
	1		

Page No. The test statistics used to test these hypothesis; 81-82 - (U1-42) S2 + S22 - 288-01 which under the null hypothesis, follows approximates t-distribution with v=10 degrees of freedom. Also, under the null hypothesis we have 4,-4,20, so the value of test statistic is 7.95-10.26 = -5.90 1.207 + 0.325 Since sample varioneds are office philipperent 1218 Course Distance that regulations springer Since the test is two sided, then the value of test is the doubled area under the density curve of to-distribution with 100 degrees of freedom, right of the absolute value of test statistic ItI= 1-5.90 = 5.90 ind the p-value is p-value - Q. P (TZ 1 H) = 2. P (T 2 5.90) + 1,000s (10) = 4.587 and since | H=590 is even greater than P(T 75.90) (0.0005 , So p-value 7 0.001 Perglue ~600

	485 April	Page No.
		Date
And in contrast of the latest of the state o		
NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER, THE PARTY OF	favor of the alternative hypother	ull hypothesis in
CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	favor of the alternative hypo	thesis and conclude
-	inglithe mean moustness of	lantone is not
and the same of the same of the same of	the same for the two compan	ies
	the state of the s	
1.00	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	
	and the contract of the contra	
-		
-		
	22	
		-
	7.91	
		7
11		-
11		
Mg.		
\$5.00		
192		
1		