

# CS 202: Mathematics for Computer Science II: An Introduction to Mathematical Logic

## Lecture 18-19

Sandeep K. Shukla

# Outline

- Relevance Lemma
- Satisfiability and Validity
- Semantic Entailment
- Proof Methods
  - Natural Deduction
- Discussion on Godel's Completeness Theorem
- Discussion on FOL with Equality
- Discussion on FOL with Peano arithmetic
- Discussion on Incompleteness Theorem
- Final words

# Relevance Lemma

**Relevance Lemma** formalizes the intuitive fact that satisfiability of a formula depends only on the values assigned to the variables which are free in the formula but not on the values assigned to other variables in the environment.

**Lemma:** Let  $\alpha$  be a first order formula,  $I$  be an interpretation, and  $E_1, E_2$  two environments such that  $E_1(x) = E_2(x)$  for all  $x \in FV(\alpha)$ . Then  $I \models_{E_1} \alpha$  iff  $I \models_{E_2} \alpha$ .

**Proof:** By induction on the structure of  $\alpha$ .

# Some examples of Satisfiability and Validity

- **Example 1**

Let  $L$  be a language consisting of  
variables  $x, y, z$ ;  
function symbols  $f^2, g^1$ ;  
predicate symbol  $P^2$

Let  $\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P(f(g(x), g(y)), g(z))$

$I: \text{dom}(I) = \mathbb{N}$  ;  $f$  is addition,  $g$  is squaring, and  $P$  is equality

Then for  $E: E(x) = 3, E(y) = 4, E(z) = 5, \alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x^2 + y^2 = z^2$  and

$I \models_E \alpha$  If fact, with the same  $I$ , for any environment  
where  $x, y, z$  are pythagorian triplets,  $\alpha$  is satisfied.

But  **$\alpha$  is not a valid formula.**

# Another Example

- Example 2:
- Let  $L$  be a language consisting of variables  $x, y$ ; predicate symbol  $Q^2$

$$I: D = \{1,2\}; Q^I = \emptyset$$
$$E: E(x) = 1, E(y) = 2$$

Consider  $\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\exists x (\forall y Q(x, y)))$

Clearly  $I \not\models_E \alpha$

Consider  $J1$ :

$$D = \{1,2\}; Q^I = \{(1,2)\}$$

$$G: G(x) = 1, G(y) = 2$$

$$J1 \models_G (\exists x (\exists y Q(x, y)))$$

Consider  $J2$  :

$$D = \{1,2\}; Q^I$$
$$= \{(1,2), (2,2)\}$$

$$G: G(x) = 1, G(y) = 2$$

$$J2 \models_G (\forall x (\exists y Q(x, y)))$$

# Semantic Entailment

Let  $\Sigma$  be a wff and  $\alpha$  is a wff. The interpretation  $I$  and environment  $E$ , if  $I \models_E \Sigma$  implies  $I \models_E \alpha$ , then we write  $\Sigma \models \alpha$  ( $\Sigma$  entails  $\alpha$ ).

If  $\emptyset \models \alpha$  then we say  $\alpha$  is *valid*. This means  $\alpha$  is satisfied by all The interpretation  $I$  and environment  $E$ .

If  $\Sigma = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  and  $\Sigma \models \beta$ , then we can also say

$$\emptyset \models (\alpha_1 \wedge (\alpha_2 \wedge (\alpha_3 \wedge (\dots \dots \wedge (\alpha_{n-1} \wedge \alpha_n)) \dots)) \Rightarrow \beta$$

In other words  $(\alpha_1 \wedge (\alpha_2 \wedge (\alpha_3 \wedge (\dots \dots \wedge (\alpha_{n-1} \wedge \alpha_n)) \dots)) \Rightarrow \beta$  is a valid wff.

# How do you prove semantic entailments?

- In propositional logic it was straight forward albeit exponential complexity – if there were  $n$  propositional variables in the formulas, try out  $2^n$  possible models and check if those satisfying  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  also satisfy  $\beta$ .
- In the first order logic, there are immense number of possible interpretations, and environments – it is not possible that way.
- However, we can reason about the models (Interpretations and environments) that would satisfy the formulas using our high school level proof techniques such as proof by contradiction.
- Let's try some examples.

# Semantic Entailment Proofs

## Example 1:

Show that  $\emptyset \models \left( (\forall x (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)) \Rightarrow ((\forall x \alpha) \Rightarrow (\forall x \beta)) \right)$

Proof by contraction: Suppose the above formula is not valid. That would mean there is a model (an interpretation  $I$ , and environment  $E$ ) such that  $I \not\models_E \left( (\forall x (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)) \Rightarrow ((\forall x \alpha) \Rightarrow (\forall x \beta)) \right)$ .

That means  $I \models_E (\forall x (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta))$  but  $I \not\models_E ((\forall x \alpha) \Rightarrow (\forall x \beta))$

Now  $I \not\models_E ((\forall x \alpha) \Rightarrow (\forall x \beta))$  means  $I \models_E (\forall x \alpha)$  but  $I \not\models_E (\forall x \beta)$

Now by definition of  $\forall x$ ,  $I \models_{E[x \mapsto a]} (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)$  for all  $a \in \text{dom}(I)$

And  $\forall x$ ,  $I \models_{E[x \mapsto a]} \alpha$  for all  $a \in \text{dom}(I)$ . This apply modus ponens on all  $a \in \text{dom}(I)$ , we get  $I \models_{E[x \mapsto a]} \beta$  but that means  $I \models_E (\forall x \beta) \leftarrow$  contradiction. QED.



# Semantic Entailment Proofs

## Example 2:

Show that  $\{(\forall x (\neg \gamma))\} \models (\neg (\exists x \gamma))$

Proof by contradiction. Suppose by way of contradiction that there is a model (an interpretation  $I$ , and environment  $E$ ) such that  $I \models_E (\forall x (\neg \gamma))$  but  $I \not\models_E (\neg (\exists x \gamma))$  which means  $I \models_E (\exists x \gamma)$

Now from  $I \models_E (\forall x (\neg \gamma))$  we can say that for all  $a \in \text{dom}(I)$ ,  $I \models_{E[x \mapsto a]} \neg \gamma$  which means for no  $a \in \text{dom}(I)$ ,  $I \models_{E[x \mapsto a]} \gamma$  and that contradicts  $I \models_E (\exists x \gamma)$ . Thus contradiction achieved. QED.

# Semantic Entailment Proofs

- **Example 3:**

Find *wffs*  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that

$$\{((\forall x \alpha) \Rightarrow (\forall x \beta))\} \not\models (\forall x (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta))$$

- Consider  $I: \text{dom}(I) = \{a, b\}$ , predicate symbol  $P^I = \{a\}$
- Suppose  $\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P(x)$  and  $\beta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\neg P(x))$
- Then  $P(a) = T; P(b) = F; \neg P(a) = F$ , and  $\neg P(b) = T$ .
- So  $P(a)$  cannot imply  $\neg P(a)$
- $P(b)$  being false, it can imply  $\neg P(b)$  but
- we cannot say  $(\forall x (P(x) \Rightarrow (\neg P(x))))$

# Semantic Entailment Examples

## Example 4:

For any formula  $\alpha$ , and term  $t$ , *show that*:

$$\emptyset \models ((\forall x \alpha) \Rightarrow \left( \alpha \left[ \frac{t}{x} \right] \right))$$

Proof by contradiction: Suppose  $(\forall x \alpha)$  is true, but  $\alpha \left[ \frac{t}{x} \right]$  is false under an interpretation  $I$ , and environment  $E$ .

Then  $I \models_{E[x \mapsto a]} \alpha$  for all  $a \in \text{dom}(I)$ .

We also know that  $t^{I,E} \in \text{dom}(I)$ .

So  $\left( \alpha \left[ \frac{t}{x} \right] \right)^{I,E} = \alpha^{I,E} \left[ \frac{t^{I,E}}{x} \right]$  *cannot be false*. Contradiction. QED.

# Semantic Entailment Examples

**Example 5:** Let  $\alpha$  be any wff without a free occurrence of  $x$ . Let  $I$  be an interpretation, and  $E$  be an environment. Then  $\alpha^{I,E} = (\forall x \alpha)^{I,E}$ .

**Proof:** (Note that this is intuitive – because if  $\alpha$  does not have free occurrence of  $x$ , then quantifying over  $x$  will not make any difference).

Let  $D = \text{dom}(I)$ ,  $x \notin FV(\alpha)$ , so  $E(y) = E[x \mapsto a](y)$  for all  $y \in FV(\alpha)$ , for all  $a \in D$ . Then by **the Relevance lemma**, we can say that

$$\begin{aligned} I \models_E \alpha \text{ iff} \\ I \models_{E[x \mapsto a]} \alpha \text{ for all } a \in D \text{ iff} \\ I \models_E (\forall x \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

QED.

# Discussion on Proving semantic entailment

- Unlike in propositional logic, where an exponential number of models can be tried to check validity or satisfiability, here the choices are too many.
- Therefore, we need a proof technique like resolution refutation in proposition logic, but for first order logic.
- One can design multiple proof systems each of which must be separately proven to be sound and complete.
- We focus on Natural Deduction Proof System.
- Natural Deduction proof system is sound and complete but we will not get time to prove that.

# Rules of Natural Deduction

Name	<i>Proof Notation</i> ( $\vdash$ )	<i>Deduction Notation</i>
$\wedge$ introduction ( $\wedge_i$ )	If $\Sigma \vdash \alpha$ and $\Sigma \vdash \beta$ then $\Sigma \vdash (\alpha \wedge \beta)$	$\frac{\alpha \quad \beta}{(\alpha \wedge \beta)}$
$\wedge$ elimination ( $\wedge_e$ )	if $\Sigma \vdash (\alpha \wedge \beta)$ then $\Sigma \vdash \alpha$ and $\Sigma \vdash \beta$	$\frac{(\alpha \wedge \beta)}{\alpha} \quad \frac{(\alpha \wedge \beta)}{\beta}$
$\vee$ introduction ( $\vee_i$ )	If $\Sigma \vdash \alpha$ then $\Sigma \vdash (\alpha \vee \beta)$	$\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha \vee \beta)} \quad \frac{\alpha}{(\beta \vee \alpha)}$
$\vee$ elimination ( $\vee_e$ )	If $\Sigma, \alpha \vdash \gamma$ and $\Sigma, \beta \vdash \gamma$ then $\Sigma, (\alpha \vee \beta) \vdash \gamma$	$\frac{(\alpha \vee \beta) \quad \begin{array}{ c } \alpha \\ \vdots \\ \gamma \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ c } \beta \\ \vdots \\ \gamma \end{array}}{\gamma}$
$\Rightarrow$ introduction ( $\Rightarrow_i$ )	If $\Sigma, \alpha \vdash \beta$ then $\Sigma \vdash (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)$	$\frac{\begin{array}{ c } \alpha \\ \vdots \\ \beta \end{array}}{(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)}$

<i>Name</i>	<i>Proof notation (<math>\vdash</math>)</i>	<i>Deduction Notation</i>
$\Rightarrow$ elimination ( $\Rightarrow_e$ )	if $\Sigma \vdash (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)$ and $\Sigma \vdash \alpha$ then $\Sigma \vdash \beta$	$\frac{(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \quad \alpha}{\beta}$
Reflexivity or Premise	$\Sigma, \alpha \vdash \alpha$	$\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}$
$\perp$ introduction ( $\perp_i$ ) $\neg$ elimination ( $\neg_e$ )	$\Sigma, \alpha, (\neg \alpha) \vdash \perp$	$\frac{\alpha \quad (\neg \alpha)}{\perp}$
$\neg$ introduction ( $\neg_i$ )	If $\Sigma, \alpha \vdash \perp$ then $\Sigma \vdash (\neg \alpha)$	$\frac{\boxed{\begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \vdots \\ \perp \end{array}}}{(\neg \alpha)}$
$\neg\neg$ elimination ( $\neg\neg_e$ )	$\Sigma \vdash (\neg(\neg \alpha))$ then $\Sigma \vdash \alpha$	$\frac{(\neg(\neg \alpha))}{\alpha}$
Contradiction elimination ( $\perp_e$ )	$\Sigma \vdash \perp$ then $\Sigma \vdash \alpha$ for any $\alpha$	$\frac{\perp}{\alpha}$

<i>Name</i>	<i>Proof notation (<math>\vdash</math>)</i>	<i>Deduction Notation</i>
$\forall$ elimination ( $\forall_e$ )	If $\Sigma \vdash (\forall x \alpha)$ then $\Sigma$ $\vdash \alpha \left[ \frac{t}{x} \right]$	$\frac{(\forall x \alpha)}{\alpha \left[ \frac{t}{x} \right]}$
$\exists$ introduction ( $\exists_e$ )	If $\Sigma \vdash \alpha \left[ \frac{t}{x} \right]$ then $\Sigma$ $\vdash (\exists x \alpha)$	$\frac{\alpha \left[ \frac{t}{x} \right]}{(\exists x \alpha)}$
$\forall$ introduction ( $\forall_i$ )	if $\Sigma \vdash \alpha \left[ \frac{y}{x} \right]$ where $y$ is a fresh variable and $y$ not free in $\Sigma, \alpha$ then $\Sigma \vdash (\forall x \alpha)$	$\frac{\begin{array}{c} y \text{ fresh} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha[y/x] \end{array}}{(\forall x \alpha)}$
$\exists$ elimination ( $\exists_e$ )	If $\alpha \left[ \frac{u}{x} \right] \vdash \beta$ with fresh $u$ , then $\Sigma, (\exists x \alpha) \vdash \beta$	$\frac{(\exists x \alpha) \quad \begin{array}{c} \alpha[u/x] \\ \vdots \\ \beta \end{array}}{\beta}$



# Example Proofs using Natural Deduction

Example 1:

Prove  $\{p, q\} \vdash p$

1.  $p$  *premise*
2.  $q$  *premise*
3.  $p$  *reflexivity 1.*

QED

Example 2:

Prove  $\{(p \wedge q)\} \vdash (q \wedge p)$

1.  $(p \wedge q)$  *premise*
2.  $q$   $\wedge_e$  *on 1.*
3.  $p$   $\wedge_e$  *on 1.*
4.  $(q \wedge p)$   $\wedge_i$  *on 2, 3*

QED

# Example Proofs using Natural Deduction

- Example 3: Prove

$\{(p \Rightarrow q), (q \Rightarrow r)\} \vdash (p \Rightarrow r)$

1.  $(p \Rightarrow q)$       *Premise*

2.  $(q \Rightarrow r)$       *Premise*

3.  $p$       *Assumption*

4.  $q$        $\Rightarrow_e$  1,3 (*modus ponens*)

5.  $r$        $\Rightarrow_e$  2,4 (*modus ponens*)

6.  $(p \Rightarrow r)$        $\Rightarrow_i$  3 – 5

# Example Proofs using Natural Deduction

- Example 4: Prove

- $\{(p \vee q)\} \vdash ((p \Rightarrow q) \vee (q \Rightarrow p))$

1.  $(p \vee q)$  *Premise*

2.  $p$  *Assumption*

3.  $q$  *Assumption*

4.  $p$  *Reflexivity 2*

5.  $(q \Rightarrow p)$   $\Rightarrow_i$  3,4

6.  $((p \Rightarrow q) \vee (q \Rightarrow p))$   $\vee_i$  5.

7.  $q$  *Assumption*

8.  $p$  *Assumption*

9.  $q$  *Reflexivity 7*

10.  $(p \Rightarrow q)$   $\Rightarrow_i$  8 – 9

11.  $((p \Rightarrow q) \vee (q \Rightarrow p))$   $\vee_i$  10

12.  $((p \Rightarrow q) \vee (q \Rightarrow p))$   $\vee_e$  1,2 – 6,7 –  
11

Example 5: Prove

- $\{(\alpha \Rightarrow (\neg \alpha))\} \vdash (\neg \alpha)$

1.  $(\alpha \Rightarrow (\neg \alpha))$  *Premise*

2.  $\alpha$  *Assumption*

3.  $(\neg \alpha)$   $\Rightarrow_e$  1,2

4.  $\perp$   $\neg_e$  2,3

5.  $(\neg \alpha)$   $\neg_i$  2 –  
4

# Natural Deduction for Predicate Logic

## Examples

- Example 1: Prove

$$\{(\forall x P(x))\} \vdash (\exists x P(x))$$

- |                       |                |
|-----------------------|----------------|
| 1. $(\forall x P(x))$ | <i>Premise</i> |
| 2. $P(u)$             | $\forall_e$ 1  |
| 3. $(\exists x P(x))$ | $\exists_i$ 2  |

# More Examples

- Example 2: Prove

$$\left\{P(t), \left(\forall x \left(P(x) \Rightarrow (\neg Q(x))\right)\right)\right\} \vdash (\neg Q(t))$$

1.  $P(t)$  *Premise*
2.  $\left(\forall x \left(P(x) \Rightarrow (\neg Q(x))\right)\right)$  *Premise*
3.  $\left(P(t) \Rightarrow (\neg Q(t))\right)$   $\forall_e 2$
4.  $(\neg Q(t))$   $\Rightarrow_e 1 - 3$
5. QED

# Examples

Example 3: Show that

$$\{(\neg P(y))\} \vdash (\exists x (P(x) \Rightarrow Q(y)))$$

1. $(\neg P(y))$	<i>premise</i>
2. $P(y)$	<i>Assumption</i>
3. $\perp$	$\neg_e$ 2,1
4. $Q(y)$	$\perp_e$ 3
5. $(P(y) \Rightarrow Q(y))$	$\Rightarrow_i$ 2 – 4
6. $(\exists x(P(x) \Rightarrow Q(y)))$	$\exists_i$ 5