# CSCI 530 - Security Systems Lab

Rishit Saiya, Lab - 4

October 11, 2022

### 1

After making the required changes and recompiling stack\_1.c, the following execution addresses are found:

```
(gdb) p &buf
$1 = (char (*)[2]) 0xbff7a776
(gdb) p &ebp
$2 = (void *) 0xbff7a778
(gdb) p &esp
$3 = (void *) 0xbff7a770
```

where \$esp is the stack pointer.

After recompiling, as seen above, the return address after executing print \$ebp is 0xbff7a778. Adding 4 as instructed will yield 0xbff7a77c.

The separation between \$ebp (break point) and \$esp now would be as follows:

$$0xbff7a77c - 0xbff7a770 = c \implies 12$$
bytes

The separation between \$ebp (break point) and \$buf now would be as follows:

$$0xbff7a77c - 0xbff7a776 = 6$$
 bytes

## 2

#### a.

The least value where the problem is reached is 24.

### b.

In generality, more than 10 is OK up to a point because the stack has more than 10 bytes of space. Also more than 10 characters is OK up to a certain point as beyond that there is overwriting in memory inside the stack. The memory spaces are accessed when they aren't supposed to be accessed. This manifests to segmentation fault whenever there is any attempt to access that value in the memory space.

#### c.

Despite the error of Segmentation Fault, the function fn successfully returned to main address. It is so because the end is printed on the screen. After inspecting the addresses of \$esp and \$ebp of returned function fn, which are Oxbfe60ab1 and Oxbfe60b61 respectively. Since the \$esp place is after the break point \$ebp, this causes the Segmentation Fault after main function return.

Inspecting the x/12x \$esp at function fn after the function strcpy call, it clearly states that the value of \$esp + 4 is 0xbfe60b61. Due to the C language's configuration and build, the least significant 00 is yielded end of the string: \0 (NULL character). So, the string 12345678901xyz yields 12345678901xyz\0 in C language. The error is hence caused when the main function returns because the corresponding break point \$ebp is accessing and altered pointing towards an invalid memory address space by that NULL character of the string.

### 3

Both the stackoverflow and heartbleed vulnerabilities try to manipulate and alter the programs' memory. However, heartbleed, it sends a small input to cause vulnerabilities like openssl vulnerability (as performed in lab) and sends back the data in its by obfuscating the input content length. Meanwhile, stackoverflow uses up the large input that is sent to make the program execute the function.

### 4

The best strategy would be to get as much as data in one response (64KB). Besides the previously mentioned strategy, also running the exploit/attack for a while every few seconds (at regular intervals). This statistics can be used to run an algorithm to find common repeated substrings such as sessionid, SSN, private keys, password, username, etc.

Due to unavailable of length string data, there always exists an issue of repeated subpatterns. This gives us the leverage to actually select a group of say 70-80 bytes together and recursively search for such sub-patterns which eventually will lead to meaningful information.