THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

MIS382N - BUSINESS DATA SCIENCE

FALL 2019

MIDTERM EXAM

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 12, 2019

| Name: |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Email: |
| • You have 75 minutes for this exam. |
| • The exam is closed book and closed notes, except for two handwritten pages of notes. |
| No electronic device may be used. |
| • Write your answers in the spaces provided. |
| • Please show all of your work. Answers without appropriate justification will receive very litt credit. If you need extra space, use the back of the previous page. |
| Problem 1 (20 pnts): |
| Problem 2 (20 pnts): |
| Problem 3 (20 pnts): |
| Problem 4 (20 pnts): |
| Problem 5 (20 pnts): |
| Total (100 pnts): |

| Problem | 1 | (20) | onts): | |
|---------|---|------------------|----------|--|
| | - | (- - | P-1100). | |

You solve a logistic regression with two features, and you use no offset. You find

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array} \right).$$

1. Draw the set of points that corresponds to the decision region, i.e., the set of points for which this logistic regression classifier assigns a 50% chance of being 1 and a 50% chance of being 0. Justify/explain your answer.

2. Draw the set of points for which this logistic regression classifier assigns a 4.98% chance of being 1 and a 95.02% chance of being 0. Hint: $\exp(-3) = 0.0498$. Justify/explain your answer.

| Problem 2 | (20) | pnts): | |
|-----------|------|--------|--|
| | | | |

In a (obviously, much less popular) machine learning class on campus, the professor gives 2 midterms. For the second midterm, the professor collects the following information:

Table 1: Midterm 2 Data Student Hours studied Hours slept Attends OH Score on MT#1 Score on MT#2

Suppose we model this using a Poisson model, and we do not use an intercept. We fit a model to predict Score on MT#2, using Hours studied, Hours slept, and Attends OH, and we find that the corresponding values of β are: $\beta = (.01, .06, .001)$.

(a) What is the probability that student 4 gets an 29 on MT#2, under this model? Write the expression – you do not need to evaluate it.

(b) What change is associated with the expected score of a student on MT#2, who, everything else being equal, sleeps 3 additional hours? Write the expression – you do not need to evaluate it.

(c) Suppose now that you use MT#1 as an exposure variable. Would you expect your values of β to be smaller, larger, the same, or generally not comparable? *Justify your answer*.

(d) BONUS: Did you sleep enough this last week?

| Problem 3 (15 pnts): | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| TF and Multiple Choice: circle your answer, and provide a brief justification. | |
| 1. With an appropriate increase in the regularization coefficient in linear regression, it is possible decrease the training loss, i.e., to obtain a better fit on the training data. (Never. Always. Only with Ridge Regression. Only with Lasso.) | |
| 2. If X_1 and Y are uncorrelated, then we can discard X_1 and we will never hurt training or testing error True. False. | or |
| Logarithmic transformations do not change the training loss for decision trees, but they can impro the testing error. True. False. | V |
| 4. If we use gradient boosting with <i>too small</i> a learning rate, we might make the training error wors True. False. | se |
| 5. If we use gradient boosting with the best possible learning rate for reducing training error, we walso always improve the testing error. True. False. | ⁄il |

| Problem 4 (| 20 1 | onts): | |
|-------------|------|----------|--|
| · · · | | P-1100). | |

Consider the following binary classification problem. For this problem, we want to use the exponential loss: $exp(-\hat{y}y)$, where \hat{y} is given by h(x) for the function h(x) of our choice.

| Table 2: Data | | | |
|---------------|------|----|--|
| x(1) | x(2) | у | |
| 0.2 | 0.6 | 1 | |
| 0.3 | 0.6 | 1 | |
| 0.7 | 0.4 | 1 | |
| 0.3 | 0.4 | -1 | |
| 0.6 | 0.6 | -1 | |
| 0.8 | 0.6 | -1 | |
| | | | |

(a) Suppose we fit a stump, and you split on $x(1) \ge 0.4$. Find the value of the leaves that minimizes the loss function.

(b) Call the stump above h_1 . Suppose we wish to use the AdaBoost framework to boost the stump above with a function of the form: $h_2(x) = \beta_1 x(1) + \beta_2 x(2)$. Write a minimization problem for β_1 and β_2 . You do not have to evaluate complicated expressions, but be as explicit as possible. Thus your answer should have the form: "minimize: $\sum_{i=1}^{6} (\text{expression involving } \beta_1 \text{ and } \beta_2)$ "

| Problem 5 | (20 nnta). | |
|-------------|---------------|--|
| riouiciii 3 | (20 piits). — | |

Part A: For a dataset, a model predicts probabilities $\{0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.8, 0.9\}$ and the true corresponsing labels are $y = \{1, 0, 0, 0, 1\}$. Draw the ROC curve and compute the AUC for these predictions.

Part B: Consider this dataset

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & y \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & +1 \\ -1 & 1 & +1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(a) Plot this dataset on the plane with the labels. Consider a linear classifier: $\hat{y} = \text{sign}(\beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_0)$. For $\beta_1 = 1$, $\beta_2 = 1$ and $\beta_0 = 0.5$, draw the region of the plane that is assigned +1. (note that sign(z) = +1 if $z \ge 0$ and -1 otherwise).

(b) Is it possible that such a linear classifier can correctly classify all the examples in this dataset?