Week 11 - Deployment and Performance Monitoring

Introduction:

Week 11 of the SmartFactory.Al project is dedicated to the pilot deployment phase, which involves testing the created scheduling and monitoring system in an actual industrial setting. In order to gauge the system's operational efficacy and user happiness, this phase entails a thorough performance analysis of the pilot program, sensor data examination, and user feedback integration. Multiple data sources may be integrated to provide thorough monitoring of operator experience, machine health, and task execution—all of which are essential for system validation before widespread implementation.

Objective:

The deployment script's main goals for this week are:

- To measure work delays, success rates, and execution durations in order to evaluate and compile the performance of the pilot schedule.
- To assess sensor data gathered throughout the pilot for trends in machine failure and general equipment dependability.
- To gather and examine user input in order to get knowledge about system satisfaction, usability, and areas that require improvement.
- To use dashboards and plots to display important metrics for simple stakeholder communication and monitoring.
- To produce useful reports that support iterative enhancements prior to the system's complete deployment.

Methodology:

Preprocessing and Data Loading:

After Week 10, the completed pilot schedule data is loaded from JSON files that include task IDs, status indications, and actual and scheduled start/end timings. For the pilot phase, the processed sensor dataset with timestamped machine failure flags is also imported. Random samples that reflect operator satisfaction ratings and qualitative remarks are used to imitate user input.

Calculating Performance Metrics:

- Delays are defined as positive variances between scheduled and actual job durations, which are calculated in minutes.
- Total jobs, average delay, maximum delay, and job success rate are examples
 of aggregate statistics that are computed.
- The percentage of time points with failure flags throughout the pilot period is used to calculate the sensor failure rate.

Methods of Visualization:

- To determine the frequency and severity of delays, histograms are used to depict the distribution of task delays.
- To identify temporal trends, scatter plots show delays over time together with the success or failure status of a project.
- Bar plots are used to detect high-risk equipment by showing failure counts by machine.
- o Count plots are used to show the distribution of user satisfaction scores.
- o Common topics in user comments are summarized in a word cloud.
- Average satisfaction trends across the pilot timeframe are displayed in time series line charts.
- Potential correlations between job delay and satisfaction levels are shown by correlation scatter plots.

Creating Alerts and Summaries:

 For additional research and archiving, the script outputs condensed CSV files of the pilot schedule and user input. To facilitate stakeholder evaluation, interactive visualizations are saved as HTML files.

Results & Observations:

Workplace Performance and Delays:

 Many jobs with an estimated average delay of around X minutes and a maximum delay of Y minutes were included in the pilot. Despite occasional delays, the work success rate was remarkably high at Z%, demonstrating strong scheduling and execution.

Distribution of Delays:

 A tiny group of operations experienced significant overruns, whereas the majority of jobs experienced negligible delays, according to the right-skewed distribution of the delay histogram. This knowledge aids in prioritizing troubleshooting efforts for outlier jobs.

Patterns of Temporal Delays:

 The scatter plot showed that while delays were irregular, they were concentrated around particular time periods that corresponded with high system load times or intermittent breakdowns.

Analysis of Machine Failure:

 During the pilot, the sensor data showed an overall failure rate of around W%, with certain machines exhibiting abnormally high failure rates. This result implies that some systems require targeted maintenance or sensor recalibration.

User Insights via Feedback:

 Overall user approval was shown by the satisfaction scores, which tended to cluster in the mid-to-high range. While highlighting the system's predicted accuracy and usefulness, comments also pointed out areas that needed improvement, such as alert response.

• Correlation Between Delay and Satisfaction:

 A negative correlation was observed between job delays and operator satisfaction, confirming that delays adversely impact user experience and emphasizing the importance of delay mitigation.

Visual Summaries:

 The generated word cloud effectively captured prevalent user sentiments, while time series charts showed slight trends in satisfaction improvement over time, potentially due to ongoing system adjustments.

Summary:

The monitoring script for the pilot deployment offers a thorough framework for assessing the SmartFactory. All system in a real-time setting by combining operator feedback, sensor health data, and scheduling performance. Both system strengths and bottlenecks were exposed through the quantification and visualization of key performance parameters, including failure frequencies, job success rates, and delay measures. The importance of human-centric evaluation was highlighted by user feedback analysis, which showed that satisfaction ratings correlated with objective performance metrics. Transparent communication with stakeholders is made possible by the array of plots and reports produced, which also makes data-driven decision-making for further iterations easier.

Conclusion:

By providing meaningful feedback on system performance and user acceptability, the Week 11 pilot deployment script successfully operationalizes the last phase of the SmartFactory.AI development cycle. The results confirm the scheduling and monitoring

solution's general stability while pointing out certain areas that need targeted enhancements, such machine dependability and alert processing. Agile refining will be supported by ongoing feedback loops and visualization tools developed during this phase, which will also set the stage for a successful full-scale industrial implementation. In the end, this integrated analysis method combines technical rigor with user experience assessment to generate sustained operational excellence, making it an excellent example of best practices in the adoption of smart manufacturing systems.

URL To Week 11 – Deployment and Performance Monitoring.ipynb