

Gauss's Law

Ritoban Roy-Chowdhury

Equations

Gauss' Law gives us a very natural way to compute the electric field in more complicated situations. First, we must define flux.

$$\Phi = \oint \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{A}. \quad (1)$$

Flux is the sum, at every point on the surface $d\vec{A}$ is the normal vector, of the dot product $\vec{E} \cdot d\vec{A}$. The dot product tells you how much two vectors point in the same direction. The magnitude of flux is $\Phi = (E \cos \theta)A$. If the two vectors point in the same direction, $\theta = 0$, $\cos \theta = 1$, so the flux is large. Therefore, you can think of the flux as how much the electric field “pierces” a surface at any given point.

Gauss' law tells you that

$$\epsilon_0 \Phi = q_{enc}. \quad (2)$$

This says, for any Gaussian surface (that's just an arbitrary surface we introduce), the charge that surface encloses q_{enc} is proportional to the flux through the surface Φ . So if a Gaussian surface contains a positive charge, the electric field will point away from it, so the flux will be a large positive number. Even if the Gaussian surface is within an electric field because of charges outside of the Gaussian surface, since there are no charges inside the surface, all of the electric field lines will simply pass through the surface, entering on one side and leaving out the other, so the total flux will be zero.

An alternative form of Gauss' law is

$$\Phi = \oint \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{A} = \frac{q_{enc}}{\epsilon_0} \quad (3)$$

Unfortunately, we cannot usually solve this surface integral directly. Therefore, we instead have to utilize the symmetry of a situation to find an analytic solution. I'll include one example here.

Consider the case of a line of infinite charge. The electric field around this line will always point radially outwards. Therefore, a useful Gaussian surface might be a cylinder, surrounding the line. The electric field would only graze the endcaps of the cylinder, so that wouldn't contribute to the flux. On the other hand, along the curved portion, the electric field points in the same direction as the surface normal $d\vec{A}$, so the dot product is simply $E dA \cos 0 = E dA$.

Pulling the E out of the integral, we get

$$\Phi = \oint E dA = E \oint dA.$$

$\oint dA$ is just the surface integral over A, which is just the surface area of the curved portion of the cylinder, which is just $2\pi r h$, so

$$\Phi = E(2\pi rh).$$

Substituting into Gauss' Law (Eqn. ??), we get

$$\varepsilon_0 E(2\pi rh) = q_{enc}.$$

Normally, we aren't given the charge q_{enc} , but we do have the charge density λ , so that $q_{enc} = \lambda h$. Substituting, we get

$$\varepsilon_0 E(2\pi rh) = \lambda h,$$

which, after cancelling h and dividing by $2\pi r\varepsilon_0$, yields

$$E = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\varepsilon_0 r}. \quad (4)$$

This is the magnitude of the electric field \vec{E} , as a result of an infinite line of charge with charge density λ , at some distance r away from the line.

Note that the electric field decreases with r , not r^2 . This is because the line is infinite in the y direction, so it's only spreading out in the 2D plane, the curved side of the cylinder.

Following a similar procedure, we find that the electric field due to an infinite non-conducting sheet with uniform surface charge density σ is perpendicular to the sheet and has magnitude

$$E = \frac{\sigma}{2\varepsilon_0}. \quad (5)$$

Note that this has *no dependence* on how far away the point is from the sheet. This is because all of the lines are parallel, they don't spread out at all, and the plane is infinite. Additionally, note that the electric field in each direction is divided by 2, because intuitively, half the charge is going one way, and half the charge is going the other, so you have one \vec{E} acting in each direction.

Additionally, you can show that for a uniform spherical shell of charge with total charge q , inside the shell, $E = 0$. Outside the shell, E is directed radially,

$$E = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{q}{r^2} \quad (\text{for } r \geq R). \quad (6)$$

This is equivalent to if the entire charge were located at the center of the sphere.

Inside a uniform sphere of charge, with charge q and radius R , at a distance r from the center the electric field is also radially outward and has magnitude

$$E = \left(\frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 R^3} \right) r. \quad (7)$$

Finally, note that on an isolated conductor, at electrostatic equilibrium, any charge will *always* be on the surface. Intuitively, this is because all the charges are trying to get away from each other. But formally, this is because if you were to draw a Gaussian surface right underneath the surface, there would not be an electric field inside it (a conductor just sitting on a table doesn't have current flowing through it, but we know all conductors have free electrons which would be moved by such an electric field), so by Gauss' law, since there's no electric field, there must not be any charges.

This is *NOT* true for a non-conductive surface, where it is entirely possible for the charges to be dispersed throughout the surface.

Problems

Question 1 (Resnick-Halliday, Ch. 23, P1)

An infinite line of charge produces a field of magnitude $1.7 \times 10^{-4} \frac{\text{N}}{\text{C}}$ at distance 9.0 m. Find the field magnitude at distance 2.0 m.

Solution

This is simple application of the electric field due to a line of charge formula (Eqn. 4).

Let's rearrange it to deal with the electric field 2 positions, E_1 and E_2

$$E_1 = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0 r_1}$$
$$E_2 = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0 r_2}$$

Rearrange to isolate λ , since that's the same between the two equations.

$$\lambda = E_1(2\pi\epsilon_0 r_1)$$

Substitute into the second equation.

$$E_2 = \frac{E_1(2\pi\epsilon_0 r_1)}{2\pi\epsilon_0 r_2}$$

Cancel.

$$E_2 = \frac{E_1 r_1}{r_2}$$

And substitute,

$$E_2 = \frac{1.7 \times 10^{-4} \frac{\text{N}}{\text{C}} (9.0 \text{ m})}{2.0 \text{ m}} = 7.7 \times 10^{-4} \frac{\text{N}}{\text{C}}.$$

Question 2 (Resnick-Halliday, Ch. 23, P3)

An unknown charge sits on a conducting solid sphere of radius 10 cm. If the electric field 30 cm from the center of the sphere has the magnitude $3.0 \times 10^3 \text{ N/C}$ and is directed radially inward, (a) what is the net charge on the sphere? and (b) what is the charge density?

Solution (a)

Lets start with Gauss' law (Eqn. 3),

$$\Phi = \oint \vec{E} d\vec{A} = \frac{q_{enc}}{\epsilon_0}$$

It's a sphere, so we can factor out the \vec{E} and use the surface area to calculate the surface integral,

$$\Phi = \vec{E}(4\pi r^2) = \frac{q_{enc}}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$E = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{q_{enc}}{r^2}$$

It says “unkown charge”, so lets try and solve for that. They give us the value of the electric field at 30 cm, so lets substitute that.

$$-3.0 \times 10^3 \frac{\text{N}}{\text{C}} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{q_{enc}}{(0.30 \text{ m})^2}$$

$$q_{enc} = -3.00 \times 10^{-4} \text{ C}$$

Solution (b)

Part B asks for the charge density, so you just divide by the surface area of the actual sphere (using the 10 cm).

$$\sigma = \frac{q_{enc}}{4\pi r^2} = \frac{-3.00 \times 10^{-8} \text{ C}}{4\pi(0.10 \text{ cm})^2} = -2.39 \times 10^{-7} \frac{\text{C}}{\text{m}^2}$$

Question 3 (Resnick-Halliday, Ch. 23, P5)

An isolated conductor has net charge $10 \times 10^{-6} \text{ C}$ and a cavity with a particle of charge $q = -4.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ C}$. What is the charge on (a) the cavity wall and (b) the outer surface?

Solution (a)

This problem is actually a lot simpler than it seems. Recall that on a conductor, all charges will lie at the surface – in this case, that may be the outer surface or the inner surface of the cavity. So the answers to part (a) and (b) should add to $10 \times 10^{-6} \text{ C}$.

Now, consider a Gaussian surface completely enclosing the cavity. The net electric field along this surface should be zero, because otherwise, there would be a current. Therefore, the charge on the inside cavity wall is the same as the charge of the particle, $4.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ C}$.

Solution (b)

Therefore, the rest of the charge must come from the outer surface, with a charge of $6.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ C}$.

Question 4 (Resnick-Halliday, Ch. 23, P7)

In Fig. 23-24, two large, thin metal plates are parallel and close to each other. On their inner faces, the plates have excess surface charge densities of opposite signs and magnitude $2.31 \times 10^{-22} \frac{\text{C}}{\text{m}^2}$. In unit-vector notation, what is the electric field at points (a) to the left of the plates, (b) to the right of them, and (c)

Solution (a)

Like the previous one, this one is also a lot simpler than it looks. Because they are infinite plates, the electrical field is constant. Since the charge density of the positive and negative charges is the same, they cancel out on the left, so the electric field is 0.

Solution (b)

For the same reason as (a), the electric field to the right is 0.

Solution (c)

Between the plates, the field will go from the positive to the negative. The strength of the field is given by Eqn. 5, except without dividing by 2 (because there's both a positive and a negative charge, so the 2s cancel).

$$\vec{E} = -\frac{q}{\epsilon_0} = -\frac{2.31 \times 10^{-22} \frac{C}{m^2}}{\epsilon_0} = (-2.61 \times 10^{-11} \frac{N}{C})\hat{i}$$

It's \hat{i} and negative because they wanted it in unit-vector notation, and the field is pointing in the -x direction.

Question 5 (Resnick-Halliday, Ch. 23, P9)

In Fig. 23-26, a nonconducting spherical shell of inner radius $a = 1.50$ cm and outer radius $b = 2.40$ cm has (within its thickness) a positive volume charge density $\rho = A/r$, where A is a constant and r is the distance from the center of the shell. In addition, a small ball of charge $q = 75.0$ fC is located at that center. What value should A have if the electric field in the shell ($a \leq r \leq b$) is to be uniform?

Solution

Let's begin by creating a Gaussian sphere centered at q with radius s . The charge within the spherical shell from $a \leq r \leq s$ is

$$q_{shell} = \int_a^s \rho dV,$$

by the definition of charge density. Since the volume of a sphere is $V = \frac{4}{3}\pi r^3$, $dV = 4\pi r^2 dr$. Substituting,

$$q_{shell} = \int_a^s \frac{A}{r} 4\pi r^2 dr.$$

Cancelling r and evaluating the integral, we get,

$$q_{shell} = 4\pi A \left[\frac{r^2}{2} \right]_a^s.$$

Simplify to get

$$q_{shell} = 2\pi A [s^2 - a^2].$$

Thus, the total charge q_{enc} is

$$q_{enc} = q + q_{shell} = 75.0 \text{ fC} + 2\pi A [s^2 - a^2]$$

Let's now bring in Gauss' Law (Eqn. 3, rearranged slightly).

$$\Phi = q_{enc} = \epsilon_0 \oint \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{A}$$

Because we're dealing with spheres, the electric field is symmetric, we can take E out of the integral and use the surface area to solve the surface integral, as we did in Eqn. 6.

$$\Phi = q + 2\pi A(s^2 - a^2) = \epsilon_0 (E \cdot 4\pi s^2).$$

Since we want to find out when \vec{E} is uniform (a.k.a when E , the magnitude of \vec{E} , is constant), Lets divide both sides by $4\pi\epsilon_0 s^2$ to isolate E .

$$E = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 s^2} + \frac{2\pi A s^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 s^2} - \frac{2\pi A a^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 s^2}.$$

CAncelling the s^2 from the middle term,

$$E = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 s^2} + \frac{2\pi A}{4\pi\epsilon_0} - \frac{2\pi A a^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 s^2},$$

reveals that only the first term and the last term vary with respect to s . The middle term is constant. Since we want E to be constant, the remaining term 1 and term 3 should cancel each other out,

$$\frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 s^2} = \frac{2\pi A a^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 s^2}.$$

Conveniently, $4\pi\epsilon_0 s^2$ cancels (which makes sense. Otherwise, there wouldn't be any way for E to be constant), and it simplifies to

$$q = 2\pi A a^2$$

$$A = \frac{q}{2\pi a^2} = \frac{75.0 \times 10^{-15} \text{ C}}{2\pi 1.50 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m}} = 5.30 \times 10^{-11} \frac{\text{C}}{\text{m}^2}.$$