

Team Productivity: Rev 0 Flow

Team 9, min-cut
Ethan Patterson
Hussain Muhammed
Jeffrey Doan
Kevin Zhu
Chengze Zhao

This document summarizes the contributions of each team member for the Rev 0 Demo. The time period of interest is the time between the PoC demo and the Rev 0 demo; the contributions prior to the PoC are NOT included.

1 Demo Plans

[What will you be demonstrating —SS]

2 Team Meeting Attendance

[For each team member how many team meetings have they attended over the time period of interest. This number should be determined from the meeting issues in the team's repo. The first entry in the table should be the total number of team meetings held by the team. —SS]

Student	Meetings
Total	Num
Name 1	Num
Name 2	Num
Name 3	Num
Name 4	Num
Name 5	Num

[If needed, an explanation for the counts can be provided here. —SS]

3 Supervisor/Stakeholder Meeting Attendance

[For each team member how many supervisor/stakeholder team meetings have they attended over the time period of interest. This number should be determined from the supervisor meeting issues in the team's repo. The first entry in the table should be the total number of supervisor and team meetings held by the team. If there is no supervisor, there will usually be meetings with stakeholders (potential users) that can serve a similar purpose. —SS]

Supervisor's Name: [fill in this information]

Student	Meetings
Total	0
Ethan	0
Hussain	0
Jeffrey	0
Kevin	0
Chengze	0

[If needed, an explanation for the counts can be provided here. —SS]

4 Lecture Attendance

[For each team member how many lectures have they attended over the time period of interest. This number should be determined from the lecture issues in the team's repo. You can find the number of lectures in the time period of interest by looking at the [Google calendar](#) for the capstone course. —SS]

[NOTE: There will be approximately 1 lecture between the POC and Rev0 demos —SS]

Student	Lectures
Total	11
Ethan	11
Hussain	8
Jeffrey	2
Kevin	3
Chengze	6

[If needed, an explanation for the lecture attendance can be provided here. —SS]

5 TA Document Discussion Attendance

[For each team member how many of the informal document discussion meetings with the TA were attended over the time period of interest. —SS]

TA's Name: Lucas Dutton

Student	Lectures
Total	5
Ethan	5
Hussain	5
Jeffrey	4
Kevin	5
Chengze	5

[If needed, an explanation for the attendance can be provided here. —SS]

6 Commits

Student	Commits	Percent
Total	407	100%
Ethan	146	35.87%
Hussain	96	23.59%
Jeffrey	61	14.99%
Kevin	37	9.09%
Chengze	67	16.46%

7 Issue Tracker

[For each team member how many issues have they authored (including open and closed issues (O+C)) and how many have they been assigned (only counting closed issues (C only)) over the time period of interest. —SS]

Student	Authored (O+C)	Assigned (C only)
Name 1	Num	Num
Name 2	Num	Num
Name 3	Num	Num
Name 4	Num	Num
Name 5	Num	Num

[If needed, an explanation for the counts can be provided here. —SS]

8 CICD

[Say how CICD is used in your project —SS]

9 Team Charter Trigger Items

For Revision 0, our team did not use a strict set of quantified trigger items, but we followed general expectations such as completing assigned tasks on time, communicating regularly, and staying involved in team progress. No major issues or violations occurred during this revision, as everyone contributed consistently. In future revisions, we plan to define clearer and more measurable triggers to help track productivity and address any problems more effectively.

10 Additional Productivity Metrics

Our team does not have any additional metrics of productivity aside from the ones listed in this report.