

Team Productivity: POC Flow

Team 9, min-cut
Ethan Patterson
Hussain Muhammed
Jeffrey Doan
Kevin Zhu
Chengze Zhao

This document summarizes the contributions of each team member up to the POC Demo. The time period of interest is the time between the beginning of the term and the POC demo.

1 Demo Plans

During the PoC Demonstration our team will do a run through of a basic version of our final product. A user will use it to take notes and compare it to other methods of note taking (ex docs + draw.io), showing that our product is comparable. This shows that our product is viable alternative to the current popular note taking methods.

2 Team Meeting Attendance

Student	Meetings
Total	7
Ethan Patterson	7
Hussain Muhammed	6
Jeffrey Doan	7
Kevin Zhu	7
Chengze Zhao	6

[If needed, an explanation for the counts can be provided here. —SS]

3 Supervisor/Stakeholder Meeting Attendance

Supervisor's Name: [N/A]

Student	Meetings
Total	0
Ethan	0
Hussain	0
Jeffrey	0
Kevin	0
Chengze	0

We have had discussions within the team when eliciting requirements as you would with stakeholders, but not formally structured meetings.

4 Lecture Attendance

Student	Lectures
Total	10
Ethan	10
Hussain	8
Jeffrey	2
Kevin	3
Chengze	6

5 TA Document Discussion Attendance

TA's Name: Lucas Dutton

Student	Lectures
Total	3
Ethan	3
Hussain	3
Jeffrey	2
Kevin	3
Chengze	3

6 Commits

Student	Commits	Percent
Total	Num	100%
Ethan	92	33.3%
Hussain	70	25.3%
Jeffrey	46	16.7%
Kevin	25	9.06%
Chengze	43	15.6%

7 Issue Tracker

Student	Authored (O+C)	Assigned (C only)
Ethan	42	31
Hussain	28	24
Jeffrey	15	21
Kevin	8	14
Chengze	15	20

8 CICD

So far CI/CD has been used for building our Latex doc files. The Latex workflow was included in the repo template, but was updated by the team to fix a small bug that would cause pushes to main when running on a PR. Additionally, we used the SRS-Meyer template, which used ascii doctor for document markup rather than Latex. We created a new GitHub actions workflow to build the adoc files into pdfs, similar to the provided Latex one. Going forward, once we have the testing infrastructure set up, we will be running these tests automatically with new workflows. We will also create workflows for a linting and formatting check step.

9 Team Charter Trigger Items

Our team has a team charter included in the appendix of the Development Plan. However, the charter does not include quantified triggers or measurable performance criteria. As such, no violations of triggers were identified, and no adjustments were necessary.

10 Additional Productivity Metrics

Our team does not have any additional metrics of productivity aside from the ones listed in this report.