Team Productivity: Rev 0
Flow

Team 9, min-cut
Ethan Patterson
Hussain Muhammed
Jeffrey Doan
Kevin Zhu
Chengze Zhao

This document summarizes the contributions of each team member for the Rev 0 Demo. The time period of interest is the time between the PoC demo and the Rev 0 demo; the contributions prior to the PoC are NOT included.

[Please do not delete sections or modify the table formats. Standardization helps the instructors and TAs to review these documents. You may add sections to the report. —SS]

[Remove the instructor guidelines/comments in the final version. —SS]

[Fill in the requested information, like project url, TA name, supervisor name, etc. —SS]

1   Demo Plans

[What will you be demonstrating —SS]

2   Team Meeting Attendance

[For each team member how many team meetings have they attended over the time period of interest. This number should be determined from the meeting issues in the team's repo. The first entry in the table should be the total number of team meetings held by the team. —SS]

Student	Meetings
Total	Num
Name 1	Num
Name 2	Num
Name 3	Num
Name 4	Num
Name 5	Num

3   Supervisor/Stakeholder Meeting Attendance

Supervisor's Name: [fill in this information]

Student	Meetings
Total	0
Ethan	0
Hussain	0
Jeffrey	0
Kevin	0
Chengze	0

4   Lecture Attendance

Student	Lectures
Total	11
Ethan	11
Hussain	8
Jeffrey	2
Kevin	3
Chengze	6

[If needed, an explanation for the lecture attendance can be provided here. —SS]

5   TA Document Discussion Attendance

[For each team member how many of the informal document discussion meetings with the TA were attended over the time period of interest. —SS]

TA's Name: Lucas Dutton

Student	Lectures
Total	5
Ethan	5
Hussain	5
Jeffrey	4
Kevin	5
Chengze	5

[If needed, an explanation for the attendance can be provided here. —SS]

6   Commits

[There are also columns for lines added and lines deleted to give a sense of the magnitude of each team member's contributions. Note that these numbers can be somewhat misleading, as a team member who does refactoring may have high numbers in both columns, while a team member who implements a large new feature may have a high number of lines added, but few lines deleted. These numbers will be interpreted with care. —SS]

Student	Commits	Percent
Total	407	100%
Ethan	146	35.87%
Hussain	96	23.59%
Jeffrey	61	14.99%
Kevin	37	9.09%
Chengze	67	16.46%

Student	Commits	Percent	Lines Added	Lines Deleted
Total	Num	100%	total added	total deleted
Name 1	Num	%	num	num
Name 2	Num	%	num	num
Name 3	Num	%	num	num
Name 4	Num	%	num	num
Name 5	Num	%	num	num

7 Issue Tracker

[For each team member how many issues have they authored (including open and closed issues (O+C)) and how many have they been assigned (only counting closed issues (C only)) over the time period of interest. —SS]

Student	Authored (O+C)	Assigned (C only)
Name 1	Num	Num
Name 2	Num	Num
Name 3	Num	Num
Name 4	Num	Num
Name 5	Num	Num

[If needed, an explanation for the counts can be provided here. —SS]

8 CICD via GitHub Actions

[Say how CICD technology is used and will be used in your project. —SS]
    [Provide links to your CICD yaml files —SS]

9 Extras

10 Team Charter Trigger Items

For Revision 0, our team did not use a strict set of quantified trigger items, but we followed general expectations such as completing assigned tasks on time, communicating regularly, and staying involved in team progress. No major issues or violations occurred during this revision, as everyone contributed consistently. In future revisions, we plan to define clearer and more measurable triggers to help track productivity and address any problems more effectively.

11 Additional Productivity Metrics

Our team does not have any additional metrics of productivity aside from the ones listed in this report.