

EFFECTIVE CONTENT BASED COLOR IMAGE RETRIEVAL USING SIMILARITY DEEP LEARNING

A PROJECT REPORT

Submitted by

ANCHAL JAIN (311613205007)

RITHIKA.H (311613205032)

VAISHALI.P (311613205050)

in partial fulfillment for the award of the degree

of

BACHELOR OF TECHNOLOGY

IN

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY



MISRIMAL NAVAJEE MUNOTH JAIN ENGINEERING COLLEGE

THORAIPAKKAM, CHENNAI-600 097

ANNA UNIVERSITY: CHENNAI 600 025

APRIL 2017

ANNA UNIVERSITY: CHENNAI 600 025

BONAFIDE CERTIFICATE

Certified that this project report “**EFFECTIVE CONTENT BASED COLOR IMAGE RETRIEVAL USING SIMILARITY DEEP LEARNING**” is the bonafide work of “**ANCHAL JAIN [311613205007] RITHIKA.H [311613205032] and VAISHALI.P [311613205050]**” who carried out the project work under my supervision.

SIGNATURE

Dr.A.SRINIVASAN, M.E, Ph.D

PROFESSOR

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

Department of Information Technology

Misrimal Navajee Munoth Jain

Engineering College, Thoraipakkam,

Chennai-97

SIGNATURE

Dr.A.GAYATHRI, Ph.D.,

SUPERVISOR

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

Department of Information Technology

Misrimal Navajee Munoth Jain

Engineering College, Thoraipakkam,

Chennai-97

INTERNAL EXAMINER

EXTERNAL EXAMINER

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We express our sincere gratitude to our honorable **Secretary** (Administration) **Dr. Harish L Mehta and Secretary** (Academic) **Shri. L. Jaswant Munoth** for providing the infrastructure facilities to do this project during our course period.

We thank our **Principal, Dr. C. Chandrasekar Christopher, M.Tech., Ph.D.** for his valuable suggestions and guidance for the development and completion of this project.

We express our profound sense of gratitude and heartfelt thanks to our **Head of the Department, Dr. A. Srinivasan, M.E., Ph.D.** for his valuable suggestions and guidance for the development and completion of this project.

Words fail to express our gratitude to our Project Guide, **Dr.A.GAYATHRI, Ph.D.**, who took special interest in our project and gave her consistent support and guidance during all stages of this project.

Finally, we thank all the **Teaching and Non-Teaching faculty members of our Department who helped us to complete this project.**

Above all we thank the Almighty, Our Parents and Siblings for their constant support and encouragement for completing this project.

ANCHAL JAIN

RITHIKA.H

VAISHALI.P

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER NO. | TITLE | PAGE NO |
|----------------|--|------------|
| | ABSTRACT | vi |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | vii |
| | LIST OF TABLES | x |
| | LIST OF ABBREVIATION | xi |
| 1. | INTRODUCTION | |
| | 1.1 Overview | 1 |
| | 1.1.1 Classical techniques of image retrieval | 1 |
| | 1.1.2 SVM | 3 |
| | 1.2 Project objective | 12 |
| | 1.3 Software Description | 13 |
| | 1.3.1 About Matlab | 13 |
| | 1.3.2 The Matlab system | 14 |
| | 1.3.3 The Matlab language | 14 |
| | 1.3.4 The Matlab working environment | 14 |
| | 1.3.5 Handle graphics | 14 |
| | 1.3.6 The Matlab mathematical function library | 14 |
| | 1.3.7 The Matlab application program interface | 15 |
| | 1.3.8 Uses of Matlab | 15 |
| | 1.4 Software and hardware requirements | 15 |
| 2. | LITERATURE SURVEY | 16 |
| 3. | EXISTING SYSTEM | |
| | 3.1 Introduction | 21 |
| | 3.2 System architecture | 22 |
| | 3.3 Data flow diagram | 23 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|----|
| 3.4 | Module description | 24 |
| 3.4.1 | Query image preprocessing | 24 |
| 3.4.2 | Feature extraction | 24 |
| 3.4.3 | Similarity based ranking | 25 |
| 3.5 | Drawbacks of existing work | 31 |
| 4. | PROPOSED SYSTEM | |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 32 |
| 4.2 | System description | 32 |
| 4.3 | System architecture | 33 |
| 4.4 | Data flow diagram | 34 |
| 4.5 | Module description | 35 |
| 4.5.1 | Query image preprocessing | 35 |
| 4.5.2 | Support vector machine | 36 |
| 5. | EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS AND RESULT | |
| 5.1 | Database | 44 |
| 5.2 | Performance evaluation for existing system | 45 |
| 5.3 | Performance evaluation for proposed system | 46 |
| 5.4 | Comparison between existing and proposed | 48 |
| 6. | CONCLUSION AND FUTURE ENHANCEMENT | |
| 6.1 | Conclusion | 50 |
| 6.2 | Future enhancement | 50 |

APPENDIX 1 (CODING)

APPENDIX 2 (SCREEN SHOTS)

REFERENCES

ABSTRACT

Content Based Image Retrieval is a term used to describe the process of retrieving desired images from a large collection of database on the basis of syntactical image features. The key challenge is the semantic gap issue that exists between low-level image pixels captured by machines and high-level semantic concepts perceived by human. There are various techniques existing in CBIR used to retrieve images from database which are similar to each other. But still the semantic gap issue remains unsolved. The precision rates are high and the performance remains inconsistent. A method is proposed using support vector machines for retrieval of images based on content. It is a two step process. First 190 dimensional feature vectors are extracted. Then, using SVM learning techniques, the images which are similar to the query image are retrieved and displayed.

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIG NO. | NAME OF THE FIGURE | PAGE NO |
|----------------|--|----------------|
| 1.1 | Images projected on a 2D plane | 4 |
| 1.2 | Interpretation of geometric margin | 6 |
| 1.3 | Support vectors and maximum margin separating hyperplane | 8 |
| 3.1 | Existing system architecture | 22 |
| 3.2 | Existing data flow diagram | 23 |
| 3.3 | Retrieved images in existing system when query image is from the Africa class. | 26 |
| 3.4 | Retrieved images in existing system when query image is from the Beach class. | 26 |
| 3.5 | Retrieved images in existing system when query image is from the Monument class. | 27 |
| 3.6 | Retrieved images in existing system when query image is from the Bus class. | 27 |
| 3.7 | Retrieved images in existing system when query image is from the Dinosaur class. | 28 |
| 3.8 | Retrieved images in existing system when query image is from the Elephant class. | 28 |
| 3.9 | Retrieved images in existing system when query image is from the Flower class. | 29 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 3.10 | Retrieved images in existing system when query image is from the Horse class. | 29 |
| 3.11 | Retrieved images in existing system when query image is from the Mountain class. | 30 |
| 3.12 | Retrieved images in existing system when query image is from the Food class. | 30 |
| 4.1 | Proposed system architecture | 33 |
| 4.2 | Proposed data flow diagram | 34 |
| 4.3 | Retrieved images of proposed system when query image is from the Africa class. | 37 |
| 4.4 | Retrieved images of proposed system when query image is from the Beach class. | 37 |
| 4.5 | Retrieved images of proposed system when query image is from the Monument class. | 38 |
| 4.6 | Retrieved images of proposed system when query image is from the Bus class. | 38 |
| 4.7 | Retrieved images of proposed system when query image is from the Dinosaur class. | 39 |
| 4.8 | Retrieved images of proposed system when query image is from the Elephant class. | 39 |
| 4.9 | Retrieved images of proposed system when query image is from the Flower class. | 40 |
| 4.10 | Retrieved images of proposed system when query image is from the Horse class. | 40 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 4.11 | Retrieved images of proposed system when query image is from the Mountain class. | 41 |
| 4.12 | Retrieved images of proposed system when query image is from the Food class. | 41 |
| 5.1 | Depicts the classes present in dataset with number of images. | 44 |
| 5.2 | Sample images from every dataset | 44 |
| 5.3 | Comparison of datasets for precision using existing approach. | 45 |
| 5.4 | Comparison of datasets for F-score using existing approach. | 46 |
| 5.5 | Comparison of datasets for precision using proposed method. | 47 |
| 5.6 | Comparison of datasets for F-score using proposed method. | 48 |
| 5.7 | Comparison of average precision of existing and proposed approach. | 49 |
| 5.8 | Comparison of average F-score of existing and proposed approach. | 49 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLENO. | TABLE NAME | PG NO. |
|-----------------|--|---------------|
| 5.1 | Comparison of precision, Recall and F-score for query image from every class of the dataset using existing approach. | 45 |
| 5.2 | Comparison of precision, recall and F-score for every class of dataset using SVM. | 46 |
| 5.3 | Comparison of the average precision and average recall of existing and proposed approach. | 48 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

| | |
|----------|--|
| CBIR | Content Based Image Retrieval |
| SVM | Support Vector Machine |
| MPEG | Moving Picture Experts Group |
| SIFT | Scale Invariant Feature Transform |
| SURF | Speeded Up Robust Features |
| PCA-SIFT | Principal Component Analysis SIFT |
| ORB | Oriented FAST and Rotated BRIEF |
| BOW | Bag Of Words |
| MATLAB | MATrix LABoratory |
| SGLCM | Scaled Gray Level Co-occurrence Matrix |
| 2D CS | Two Dimensional Compressive Sensing |
| HSV | Hue, Saturation and Value |