## 1) Problem statement (concise)

Fintech teams deploy microservices fast. Regulations (AML, KYC, PCI, data residency, consumer-protection) require that every release complies with many written policies and controls. Today compliance checks are often manual, slow, inconsistent, and hard to enforce in CI/CD. This causes regulatory risk, slowed releases, and vulnerable services misconfigured in production.

## 2) Your solution (one-line + description)

Regulatory Compliance CI/CD Sandbox (FinComply) — a policy-as-code platform that automatically validates fintech microservices and their infra/configs against AML/KYC/PCI and company policy during CI/CD. It uses OPA (Rego) for deterministic policy checks, plus LLM-assisted interpretation and a RAG (retrieval) layer to map ambiguous deployment artifacts to regulation clauses. The platform produces human-readable compliance reports, remediation steps, and a sandboxed auto-remediation option for low-risk fixes.

#### Why this is valuable:

- Enforces rules automatically in PRs / pipelines.
- Interprets regulatory language using RAG + LLM to generate explainable mappings from regulation -> failing artifact -> remediation.
- Tracks policy drift and provides audit trails for compliance teams.

## 3) High-level architecture / flow

(Verbal diagram)

#### 1. Input sources

- Repo: application code, Dockerfiles, CI manifests, Kubernetes YAML, Terraform.
- Runtime manifests: Helm charts, cloud infra, env vars, secrets configs.
- Team docs & regulatory corpus: FATF guidance, PCI-DSS, AML policy doc, internal policies.

#### 2. Pre-check stage (static analysis)

- SAST + dependency scanning (snyk/ligo/semgrep).
- o laC scanning (Checkov, tfsec, KICS).
- Container image scan (Trivy).
- Basic rule engine checks (e.g., no secret in repo, HTTPS enforced, TLS versions, CORS).

### 3. OPA Policy Layer (policy-as-code)

- Rego policies for deterministic checks (e.g., "K8s secrets not in plain YAML", "no wide RBAC clusterrolebinding").
- OPA runs in CI pipeline and returns PASS/FAIL + policy ID.

### 4. ML/LLM-assisted Interpretation

- Retrieval (embeddings + vector DB) over regulatory corpus and prior incidents.
- RAG + instruction-tuned LLM to:
  - Map ambiguous infra configuration to likely control(s).
  - Generate human-readable explanation: "This Dockerfile disables user namespace maps to PCI requirement X".
  - Suggest remediation snippets (e.g., K8s patch, sample Terraform fix).
- A classification model identifies severity (High/Medium/Low) and which regulation sections apply.

### 5. Policy decision & auto-remediation

 Policy engine chooses action per rules: block merge, warn, auto-create remediation PR (with patch), or escalate to security team.

#### 6. Dashboard & Audit

- UI: PR view with failing checks, graph linking artifact -> regulation text -> remediation + confidence score and provenance.
- Audit logs, versioned policies, and retraining triggers.

#### 7. Feedback loop

 Developer feedback (mark suggested remediation accepted/rejected) feeds back to retriever and fine-tunes classifier/regression for future improvements.

# 4) ML components you'll need (roles & tasks)

- 1. **Retriever / Embeddings** map regulatory documents, internal controls, and past incidents into a vector store for RAG.
  - o Task: semantic search for regulation clauses matching a failing artifact.
- 2. **Instruction-tuned LLM (RAG generator)** produce human-readable mapping and remediation suggestions.
  - Task: given artifact + retrieved clauses, generate explanation and code snippet remediation.
- 3. **Classifier(s)** detect compliance violations from text/configs, and categorize severity/regulation (multi-label classification).
  - Task: label a failing file with one or more control IDs (AML/KYC/PCI/GDPR/...).
- 4. **NER / PII detector** find presence of PII or sensitive fields in code/config/text.
  - Task: mark fields/lines with PII (card number, SSN, auth token).
- 5. **Policy mapping / rule suggestion model** optional: rank the most likely control(s) that an artifact violates.
- 6. **Explainability & Confidence Estimation** produce confidence scores and highlight provenance lines.

# 5) Hugging Face model recommendations (task -> model)

Below are practical, well-known HF models that work offline or can be fine-tuned locally. Use the best available model for each job; if you need a stronger LLM for generation, consider hosted LLMs (OpenAI, Anthropic) or HF inference API for large models.

#### **Embeddings (retriever)**

- sentence-transformers/all-mpnet-base-v2 high-quality semantic embeddings, good general-purpose (compact + accurate).
- sentence-transformers/all-MiniLM-L6-v2 lower-cost, fast; good for prototype.
  - Use these for indexing regulatory docs into a vector DB (Milvus, Pinecone, Weaviate, or FAISS).

### Instruction-tuned / RAG generation

- Lightweight/opensource options:
  - google/flan-t5-large good instruction following for generation tasks (smaller cost).
  - bigscience/bloomz-3b / bigscience/bloomz-1b1 instruction tuned multilingual options (be mindful of cost).
- If you need higher-quality natural-language reasoning and production readiness, consider using OpenAl GPT-4 or Claude via API for the RAG generation step (better few-shot reasoning and safety); or HF hosted models like meta-llama/Llama-2-13b via HF Inference if you have infra.

### Classification / multi-label (control mapping)

- nlpaueb/legal-bert-base-uncased legal domain BERT, good starting point if available (often fine for regulation texts).
- roberta-base or deepset/roberta-base-squad2 fine-tuned for multi-label compliance classification.
- facebook/bart-large-mnli zero-shot classification for mapping text -> control categories (fast prototyping).

#### **NER / PII detection**

• dbmdz/bert-large-cased-finetuned-conll03-english — classic NER baseline.

- Jean-Baptiste/roberta-large-ner-english strong NER model.
- For PII detection specifically, consider fine-tuning a model on custom PII-labelled dataset or using regex backed by ML.

#### **Embedding + semantic code search (for remediation snippets)**

- microsoft/codebert-base or microsoft/codebert-base-mlm embeddings for code snippets.
- Salesforce/codet5-base can generate code fix snippets (fine-tune for patches).

#### Other utilities

 Use sentence-transformers/msmarco-distilbert-base-v4 for high-performance retrieval if you need search tuned for QA.

Note: HF model names are evolving. Treat the above as recommended starting points; check Hugging Face to pick recent improvements (e.g., newer Flan or Llama instruction-tuned models) if you want cutting-edge generation quality. For heavy generation or sensitive contexts, using a hosted, monitored LLM (OpenAl/Anthropic/HF Inference) often gives better safety/quality.

## 6) Data & dataset strategy

You will need:

- Regulatory corpus official texts (PCI DSS, FATF/GAFI guidance, AML/KYC guidelines, GDPR snippets) + internal policy docs. Convert these to canonical documents: ID, section, plain text, summary.
- 2. **Infra & code artifacts** collect sample code, Dockerfiles, Terraform, K8s manifests, Helm charts from open-source fintech repos (sanitize).

**Labeled examples** — create training examples of artifact -> violated control(s) -> remediation. Label format:

```
{
   "artifact": "<K8s YAML snippet>",
   "violations": ["PCI-3.4.1", "Internal-NoPublicIngress"],
   "severity": "HIGH",
```

```
"remediation_patch": "<kubectl patch or terraform fix>",
    "evidence_lines": [3,5]
}
```

3.

- 4. **PII examples** files containing sample PII and non-PII to train detectors (use synthetic PII like 4111 1111 1111 etc).
- 5. **Incident logs** if you can, anonymize historical incidents to map patterns.

#### If you lack labeled data:

- **Synthetic generation**: programmatically inject issues into safe configs (e.g., turn off TLS, set env var PASSWORD=... in YAML, add wide RBAC clusterroleBinding). Use templates to create many variants and label automatically with the rule that injected.
- **Crowdsourced labeling**: split labeling tasks inside your team; store as JSONL for fine-tuning.

# 7) Concrete flow / pipeline (developer experience)

- 1. **Developer creates PR** with code/infra change.
- 2. Cl job runs:
  - Static checks (SAST / dependency scanning).
  - o laC scanners (Checkov).
  - OPA policy checks (fast deterministic).
  - Run embedding-based retriever: create a compact artifact representation (text + code) -> get top-K regulatory clauses.
  - RAG generation: send artifact + retrieved clauses to LLM to create an explanation & remediation suggestion.
  - o Classifier assigns severity and control IDs.
  - Aggregate results: PASS/FAIL + human-readable report.

### 3. Output:

- Inline comment on PR with failing checks, explanation, provenance, remediation patch (optional), and "confidence".
- Option for maintainer to auto-apply a suggested patch (if policy permits) or open issue in tracking system.
- 4. **Policy store** (gitops): Rego policies managed in a policies / repo, versioned and tested by a policy CI job.
- 5. **Auditor view**: compliance dashboard lists all failing PRs, policy coverage, policy drift, and evidence logs.

## 8) MVP feature list (must-have)

- Repo + CI integration (GitHub Actions / GitLab): run on PR.
- Rego policies for 10-15 common infra checks (secrets in repo, public S3, K8s RBAC wide perms, insecure TLS, disabled CSP, plain-text DB credentials).
- Vector DB with embeddings of regulatory corpus.
- RAG generator that returns: matched clauses + explanation + one remediation suggestion.
- Simple multi-label classifier that maps artifact -> policy IDs (rule + ML hybrid).
- Dashboard with audit logs and ability to accept/reject remediation suggestions.
- Auto-remediation option for 2 low-risk categories (e.g., add .dockerignore, set readOnlyRootFilesystem: true) with PR patch created.

# 9) Evaluation metrics (how to prove it's better)

- Detection metrics: precision / recall / F1 for each control (on held-out synthetic + real labeled data).
- False Positive Rate: counts of false alarms per 100 PRs.

- Time-to-feedback: latency from PR to report.
- Remediation acceptance rate: % of suggested remediations accepted by devs.
- Coverage: % of infra files mapped to at least one compliance control.
- Business metric (proxy): hypothetical reduction in compliance review time (estimate).

## 10) 12-week plan (high-level milestones)

### Week 1–2: Specification & dataset collection

- Gather regulations, choose 10 high-value policies to automate.
- Build repo templates and synthetic artifact generator.

#### Week 3-4: Core infra & static scanning

- CI integration; run OPA + Checkov + Trivy.
- Create dashboard skeleton + audit logging.

### Week 5–7: Retriever + RAG prototype

- Index regulatory corpus with sentence-transformer embeddings and a vector DB.
- Integrate a small instruction-tuned LLM (Flan-T5) for generation.
- Implement simple RAG pipeline.

### Week 8-9: Classifier & NER

- Train multi-label classifier to map artifact -> policy IDs.
- Add PII/NER detection.

#### Week 10: Auto-remediation + feedback loop

- Implement patch generation for simple fixes.
- Feedback button in UI and store labels.

#### Week 11: Robustness, metrics, and user testing

- Evaluate, tune thresholds, reduce FP.
- Add provenance and explainability visualizations.

#### Week 12: Finalize, prepare demo + report + video walkthrough

• Deploy on a small k8s cluster, prepare slides + live demo scenarios.

## 11) CI/CD integration examples

- **GitHub Actions**: Add job compliance-check that runs container fincomply/checker:latest outputs a JSON summary. Use GitHub Checks API to annotate files and provide comments.
- **GitLab**: Run as pipeline stage; fail pipeline for HIGH severity violations.
- **Policy as code repo**: policies/ with Rego + unit tests using opa test in pipeline.

# 12) Example Rego checks (ideas to implement quickly)

- no\_plaintext\_secrets.rego: detect PASSWORD= or AWS\_SECRET\_ACCESS\_KEY in repo files.
- no\_wildcard\_ingress.rego: detect host: "\*" or 0.0.0.0.
- rbac\_minimum\_privilege.rego: forbid clusterRoleBindings that bind to system:unauthenticated.
- tls\_mandatory.rego: enforce tls.enabled == true in helm values.

## 13) Where ML helps vs pure policy

- Use **policy-as-code** (**Rego**) for deterministic, high-confidence checks (low-latency, must-run in CI).
- Use ML / LLM for:
  - Interpreting ambiguous configs and mapping to regulation text.
  - o Generating remediation suggestions and human-friendly explanations.
  - Prioritizing violations by learning from historical accept/reject feedback.
  - Semantic search of regulations (RAG).

# 14) Example HF usage patterns (practical)

- **Indexer**: run all-mpnet-base-v2 to embed every regulation clause and store in vector DB.
- Retriever: fetch top-k matches for an artifact using cosine similarity.

**Generator**: feed artifact + top-k clauses into flan-t5-large (or a hosted GPT-4 if available) with prompt template:

```
Input: <artifact snippet>
Clauses: <clause1; clause2; ...>
Task: Explain why this artifact may violate which clauses, and
suggest a code/infra fix (max 200 tokens). Provide confidence score.
```

• Classifier: fine-tune nlpaueb/legal-bert-base-uncased on your JSONL labelled mapping task for multi-label classification.

## 15) Implementation tips & hardening

- Start with deterministic Rego rules for critical checks they block merges reliably.
- Use ML suggestions as advisory at first (don't automatically block until confidence is high).

- Cache embeddings and responses to reduce cost and latency.
- Add "policy test suite" (unit tests for Rego) and tie it to PR merging for policy changes.

# 16) Security, privacy & legal considerations

- Never send real customer PII to third-party LLMs without anonymization & legal approval.
- Keep vector DB and regulatory docs access controlled; logs must be auditable.
- Document data retention & encryption (at rest/in transit).
- For production, prefer on-prem or private hosted LLM inference for sensitive generation.

# 17) Useful open-source tools to integrate (quick reference)

- Rego / OPA (policy engine)
- Checkov / tfsec / KICS (IaC scanning)
- Trivy / Clair (container scanning)
- Semgrep (SAST)
- FAISS / Milvus / Weaviate / Pinecone (vector DB)
- sentence-transformers (embeddings)
- Hugging Face Transformers (models)
- Kubernetes + Helm + GitHub Actions (deployment & CI)
- Sentry / Prometheus / Grafana (observability)

# 18) Final concrete next steps (what you should do now)

- 1. Pick 10 high-value policies to start (e.g., PCI: store cardholder data rules; KYC: customer data minimization; infra: no public S3; secrets: no plaintext secrets).
- 2. Build or synthesize a dataset of 500–2000 artifact examples labeled with the above policies (synthetic injection helps).
- 3. Implement a minimal CI job: OPA + Checkov + Trivy and ensure it comments on PRs.
- 4. Index the regulatory corpus into a vector DB using all-mpnet-base-v2.
- 5. Implement a small RAG prototype using flan-t5-large for explanation and roberta-base/legal-bert for classification.
- 6. Run pilot on a sample repo and collect developer feedback. Tune thresholds & make auto-remediation opt-in.