

1. Calculate the mean molecular weight for a pure hydrogen/helium mixture with  $X = 0.4$ , assuming the hydrogen and helium are both 50% ionized (i.e.,  $\mathcal{N}_j = 0.5 \times \mathcal{Z}_j$ , where  $\mathcal{N}_j$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_j$  are defined in Handout 15).

Consider a sample of mass  $m$ . The mass of hydrogen in this sample is  $Xm = 0.4m$ , and the mass of helium is therefore  $0.6m$ . The number of hydrogen atoms in the sample is  $0.4m/m_{\text{H}}$ , and the number of helium atoms is  $0.6m/4m_{\text{H}} = 0.15m/m_{\text{H}}$  (assuming the mass of a helium atom is  $\approx 4m_{\text{H}}$ ); hence, the total number of nuclei in the sample is  $0.55m/m_{\text{H}}$ .

At 50% ionization, each hydrogen atom is associated with half a free electron; and each helium atom is associated with one free electron. Hence, the total number of free electrons in the sample is  $0.35m/m_{\text{H}}$ .

Now counting nuclei and free electrons together, there are  $0.9m/m_{\text{H}}$  particles in total. The mean mass per particle is therefore  $0.9^{-1}m_{\text{H}} = 1.11m_{\text{H}}$ , and so the mean molecular weight is  $\mu = 1.11$ .

2. By combining equations [6.4] and [6.8], derive the dependence of temperature  $T$  on pressure  $P$  for an ideal gas undergoing an adiabatic change. Use this expression to prove equation [13.7].

Equation [6.4] gives the equation of state for an ideal gas,

$$P = \frac{\rho k_{\text{B}} T}{\mu m_{\text{H}}},$$

while equation [6.8] gives the relationship that holds between pressure and density during an adiabatic change,

$$P = K_{\text{ad}} \rho^{\gamma}.$$

Rewriting the EOS as

$$\rho = \frac{P \mu m_{\text{H}}}{k_{\text{B}} T},$$

and using this to eliminate  $\rho$  from the adiabatic relationship, leads to

$$P = K_{\text{ad}} \left( \frac{P \mu m_{\text{H}}}{k_{\text{B}} T} \right)^{\gamma}.$$

This can be rearranged to give the temperature as a function of pressure for an ideal gas undergoing an adiabatic change,

$$T = \frac{\mu m_{\text{H}}}{k_{\text{B}}} K_{\text{ad}}^{1/\gamma} P^{(\gamma-1)/\gamma}.$$

Taking the natural log of this expression,

$$\ln T = \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma} \ln P + \frac{1}{\gamma} \ln K_{\text{ad}} + \ln \left( \frac{\mu m_{\text{H}}}{k_{\text{B}}} \right).$$

Differentiating with respect to  $\ln P$  then leads to

$$\frac{d \ln T}{d \ln P} = \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}.$$

**4 points**, with partial credit for progress toward the answer. (There are a number of different ways to approach the problem).

Since this only applies to adiabatic changes, it's more correctly written as

$$\left(\frac{\partial \ln T}{\partial \ln P}\right)_{\text{ad}} \equiv \nabla_{\text{ad}} = \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma},$$

which proves eqn. [13.7].

3. Consider a location within a star where the interior mass and luminosity are given by  $m = 0.3 M_{\odot}$  and  $\ell = 5 L_{\odot}$ , respectively; the pressure and temperature are  $P = 10^{17}$  Ba and  $T = 10^7$  K, respectively; and the opacity is  $\kappa = 1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ .

- Evaluate the radiative temperature gradient  $\nabla_{\text{rad}}$ .
- Assuming the stellar material behaves as an ideal gas with  $\gamma = 1.4$ , evaluate the adiabatic temperature gradient  $\nabla_{\text{ad}}$ .
- By applying the algorithm given in Handout 14, explain why convection will occur at this location.
- Assuming a convective efficiency  $\phi_{\text{conv}} = 0.5$ , evaluate the dimensionless temperature gradient  $\nabla_T$  at the location.
- Evaluate the convective ( $\ell_{\text{conv}}$ ) and radiative ( $\ell_{\text{rad}}$ ) interior luminosities, in  $L_{\odot}$ .
- The radiative temperature gradient  $\nabla_{\text{rad}}$  is given by eqn. [12.7] as

$$\nabla_{\text{rad}} = \frac{3}{16\pi acG} \frac{\kappa \ell P}{m T^4}$$

Plugging in the supplied values gives  $\nabla_{\text{rad}} = 1.27$ .

- Using equation [13.7] with  $\gamma = 1.4$ , we obtain  $\nabla_{\text{ad}} = 0.4/1.4 = 0.286$ .
  - Convection will occur at this location because  $\nabla_{\text{rad}} > \nabla_{\text{ad}}$ .
  - With a convective efficiency  $\phi_{\text{conv}} = 0.5$ , we can apply eqn. [14.1] to obtain  $\nabla_T = 0.778$
  - Using eqn. [14.2], the convective luminosity evaluates to  $\ell_{\text{conv}} = 0.388 \ell = 1.94 L_{\odot}$ , while the radiative luminosity evaluates to  $\ell_{\text{rad}} = 0.621 \ell = 3.06 L_{\odot}$ .
4. The central temperature and density of ZAMS stars can be approximated over the stellar mass interval  $0.1 M_{\odot} \lesssim M \lesssim 30 M_{\odot}$  by the fits

$$\begin{aligned} \log(T_c/\text{K}) &\approx 7.10 + 0.38 (M/M_{\odot}), \\ \log(\rho_c/\text{g cm}^{-3}) &\approx 1.77 - 0.77 (M/M_{\odot}). \end{aligned}$$

Derive corresponding expressions for the gas pressure  $P_{\text{gas}} \equiv P_{\text{ion}} + P_{\text{e}}$  (assuming an ideal gas with  $\mu \approx 0.62$ ) and the radiation pressure  $P_{\text{rad}}$  at the center. At what stellar mass does radiation pressure begin to exceed gas pressure?

Assuming an ideal gas, the equation of state governing the gas pressure is

$$P_{\text{gas}} = \frac{\rho k_B T}{\mu m_H}.$$

**3 points** for deriving dependence of  $T$  on  $P$ , **1 point** for using it to prove equation [13.7].

**2 points** for  $\nabla_{\text{rad}}$ , **1 point** for  $\nabla_{\text{ad}}$ , **1 point** for explaining why convection will occur, **1 point** for  $\nabla_T$ , **2 points** for interior luminosities.

**2 points** for determining an expression for  $P_{\text{gas,c}}$  as a function of stellar mass, **2 points** likewise for  $P_{\text{rad,c}}$ , **1 point** for finding stellar mass where  $P_{\text{rad,c}} > P_{\text{gas,c}}$ .



Taking the log of this expression, we obtain

$$\log\left(\frac{P_{\text{gas}}}{\text{Ba}}\right) = \log\left(\frac{\rho}{\text{g cm}^{-3}}\right) + \log\left(\frac{T}{\text{K}}\right) + \log\left(\frac{k_{\text{B}}}{\mu m_{\text{H}}} \frac{\text{K g cm}^{-3}}{\text{Ba}}\right).$$

Evaluating this expression at the center, and using the given approximations for  $T_{\text{c}}$  and  $\rho_{\text{c}}$ , this becomes

$$\log\left(\frac{P_{\text{gas,c}}}{\text{Ba}}\right) \approx 16.99 - 0.39\left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right).$$

For the radiation pressure, the equation of state is

$$P_{\text{rad}} = \frac{aT^4}{3}.$$

Following the same procedure as with  $P_{\text{gas}}$ , the resulting expression for the central value is

$$\log\left(\frac{P_{\text{rad,c}}}{\text{Ba}}\right) \approx 13.80 + 1.52\left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right).$$

The different slopes of these relations mean that  $P_{\text{rad}}$  will exceed  $P_{\text{gas}}$  at large masses. To find the mass where it first begins to exceed, we can set the two pressures equal:

$$16.99 - 0.39\left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right) \approx 13.80 + 1.52\left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right).$$

Solving for the mass,

$$\frac{M}{M_{\odot}} \approx 1.67.$$

*Note: I made a mistake when writing this question — the  $(M/M_{\odot})$  terms should have been  $\log(M/M_{\odot})$ . With this error corrected, the switch-over mass is much higher, at  $M \approx 10^{1.67} M_{\odot} = 46.8 M_{\odot}$ .*

5. For a free electron gas in the completely degenerate limit, use your knowledge of the momentum distribution function  $f_{\text{e}}(p)$  to determine what fraction of the electrons have momenta less than half of the Fermi momentum  $p_{\text{F}}$ , and what fraction have momenta more than double the Fermi momentum.

In the completely degenerate limit, the momentum distribution function for free electrons is

$$f_{\text{e}}(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{8\pi p^2}{h^3} & p < p_{\text{F}}, \\ 0 & p > p_{\text{F}}. \end{cases}$$

where  $p_{\text{F}}$  is the Fermi momentum (see eqn. [16.8]). The number density of electrons with a momentum less than half  $p_{\text{F}}$  is

$$n(p < p_{\text{F}}/2) = \int_0^{p_{\text{F}}/2} f_{\text{e}}(p) \, dp = \left[ \frac{8\pi p^3}{3h^3} \right]_0^{p_{\text{F}}/2} = \frac{\pi p_{\text{F}}^3}{3h^3};$$

**1 point** for writing down momentum distribution function for degenerate limit, **2 points** for fraction less than half  $p_{\text{F}}$ , **1 point** for fraction greater than  $2p_{\text{F}}$ .

while the number density of *all* electrons is

$$n = \int_0^\infty f_e(p) \, dp = \left[ \frac{8\pi p^3}{3h^3} \right]_0^{p_F} = \frac{8\pi p_F^3}{3h^3}.$$

Therefore, the fraction of electrons with  $p < p_F/2$  is  $1/8$ .

Similarly, the number of electrons with momentum more than double  $p_F$  is

$$n(p > 2p_F) = \int_{2p_F}^\infty f_e(p) \, dp = [0]_{2p_F}^\infty = 0;$$

thus, the fraction of electrons with  $p > 2p_F$  is zero (this is because, in the completely degenerate limit, there are no electrons with momentum above  $p_F$ ).