

Cantor Diagonalization Argument

Compiled notes of the Cantor Diagonalization Argument

Jacob Leach

Last updated: August 23, 2024

Contents

1	Countable and Uncountable Sets	2
1.1	Countable Sets	2
1.2	Properties of Countable Sets	2
1.3	Uncountable Sets	2
1.4	Properties of Uncountable Sets	2
2	Existence of Uncountable Sets	3
2.1	Diagonalization Argument	3

※ Countable and Uncountable Sets

1.1. Countable Sets

Definition 1.1 (Countable Set)

A set X is *countable* if any of the following statements is true:

- For a subset of the natural numbers $Y \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, there exists a bijection $f : X \rightarrow Y$.
- There exists a surjective function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.
- There exists an injective function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$.

Definition 1.2 (Countably Finite Set)

A set X is *countably finite* if for a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a set $Y = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ there exists mappings $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $g \circ f = \text{id}_X$. That is to say, X is *countably infinite* if there is a bijection between X and a sequential subset of \mathbb{N} .

1.2. Properties of Countable Sets

Theorem 1.1. For a countable set X and a subset Y of X , Y is countable.

Proof. The set X is countable, hence there exists a mapping $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$ and $f^{-1} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^{-1} \circ f = \text{id}_X$ - that is, f maps from \mathbb{N} to X one-to-one. Assume that Y is uncountable and therefore, there is no bijection between Y and \mathbb{N} . Since $Y \subseteq X$, it follows that either $Y = X$ or $Y \subset X$. In the case that $Y = X$, we have a contradiction since Y is assumed to be uncountable while that X is known to be countable and $Y = X$. In the case that $Y \subset X$, we have a contradiction since Y is assumed to be uncountable while the mapping $f|_Y : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is bijective since $f^{-1} \circ f|_Y = \text{id}_Y$. Therefore, Y is countable. \square

1.3. Uncountable Sets

Definition 1.3 (Countably Infinite Set)

A set X is *countably infinite* if there exists mappings $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$ such that $g \circ f = \text{id}_X$. That is to say, X is countable and there exists a bijection between X and \mathbb{N} .

Definition 1.4 (Uncountable Set)

A set X is *uncountable* if there is no injective mapping from X to \mathbb{N} , hence there is no bijection between X and \mathbb{N} . An *uncountable set* is said to be *uncountably infinite*.

Remark. There is no set that is *uncountable* and *finite*. This is a consequence of the definitions for an *uncountable set* and a countably finite set being mutually exclusive around the existence of a bijection to \mathbb{N} .

1.4. Properties of Uncountable Sets

Theorem 1.2. If X is uncountable and $X \subseteq Y$, then Y is uncountable.

Proof. Assume that Y is countable, hence there exists mappings $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow Y$ such that $g \circ f = \text{id}_Y$. Since $X \subseteq Y$, we have that either the case that $X = Y$ or $X \subset Y$. In the case that $X = Y$ we have a contradiction by the fact that X is known to be uncountable and Y is assumed to be countable. Otherwise, we have that $X \subset Y$ and a mapping $f|_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ that is bijective since $g \circ f|_X = \text{id}_X$. This contradicts the assumption that X is uncountable. Therefore, Y is uncountable. \square

※ Existence of Uncountable Sets

Cantor illustrated his diagonalization argument in the paper "Über ein elementare Frage der Mannigfaltigkeitslehre" which was published to the journal of the "Deutsche Mathematiker-Vereinigung" (German Mathematical Union) in 1891. The German Mathematical Union was an organization that was founded by Cantor in 1890. Cantor notes in "Über ein elementare Frage der Mannigfaltigkeitslehre" that the diagonalization argument laid out in the paper was not the first to provide a proof of the existence uncountably infinite sets, but it was the first proof to do so without considering the irrationals. An earlier paper by Cantor "On a property of a set [Inbegriff] of all real algebraic numbers" published in 1877 was the first proof that there are sets that are uncountably infinite and further that the set of real numbers is uncountably infinite.

2.1. Diagonalization Argument

Theorem 2.1. There exists a set that is uncountably infinite.

Proof. Let M be the set containing two elements, that is $M = \{0, 1\}$. Let M^* be the set of all infinite strings $x_1x_2x_3\ldots$ with atoms $x_i \in M$. Assume that all sets - including M^* - are countable. Hence the enumeration:

$$\begin{aligned} M_1^* &= 00000 \ldots 0 \ldots \\ M_2^* &= 11111 \ldots 1 \ldots \\ M_3^* &= 10101 \ldots 0 \ldots \\ M_4^* &= 01010 \ldots 1 \ldots \\ M_5^* &= 11011 \ldots 0 \ldots \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Should enumerate every elements of the set M^* exactly once. To show that M^* is not countable, we show that there exists a string M_0^* that cannot be equal to any string M_n^* for $n \in 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Therefore proving that there is no bijective mapping from \mathbb{N} to M^* by contradiction; and therefore, proving that M^* is uncountable. For this, let there be an enumeration

$$\begin{aligned} M_1^* &= x_{11}x_{12}x_{13}x_{14}x_{15} \ldots x_{1n} \ldots \\ M_2^* &= x_{21}x_{22}x_{23}x_{24}x_{25} \ldots x_{2n} \ldots \\ M_3^* &= x_{31}x_{32}x_{33}x_{34}x_{35} \ldots x_{3n} \ldots \\ M_4^* &= x_{41}x_{42}x_{43}x_{44}x_{45} \ldots x_{4n} \ldots \\ M_5^* &= x_{51}x_{52}x_{53}x_{54}x_{55} \ldots x_{5n} \ldots \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

where x_{ij} denotes an atom, that is $x_{ij} \in M$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, define the unary operation $\neg : M \rightarrow M$ be the compliment of an atom:

$$\begin{aligned} \neg 0 &= 1 \\ \neg 1 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now define the string $M_0^* = x_{01}x_{02}x_{03} \ldots x_{0n} \ldots$ such that $x_{0n} = \neg x_{nn}$. Given this we can see that for all $n \in 1, 2, 3, \dots$, there is no M_n^* satisfying the equation $M_0^* = M_n^*$. If there were some M_n^* satisfying $M_0^* = M_n^*$, then $x_{0n} = x_{nn}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which is a contradiction by the definition of M_0^* . Therefore, M^* is uncountable. \square

Definition 2.1 (Algebraic Real Number)

An *algebraic real number* is the root of a non-zero polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{Q} and with finite degree of at least 1. That is, algebraic real number a root to a polynomial of the form

$$a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 = 0,$$

for all $1, \dots, n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 2.2. The set of algebraic real numbers is uncountable.

Proof. Let D be the set of all "digits", that is defined as $D = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 9$. Moreover, let D^* be the set of all decimal expansions, that is defined $D^* = d_1 d_2 d_3 \dots$ and $d_n \in D$. Let the function $suc : D \rightarrow D$ be the "digit successor" function which is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} suc(0) &= 1 \\ suc(1) &= 2 \\ suc(2) &= 3 \\ &\vdots \\ suc(8) &= 9 \\ suc(9) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $f : D \rightarrow R$ be an injective function that maps a digit to the corresponding real number, that is:

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= 0 \\ f(1) &= 1 \\ f(2) &= 2 \\ &\vdots \\ f(9) &= 9 \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, define a mapping dec from D^* to the set of algebraic real numbers as:

$$dec(d_1 d_2 d_3 \dots) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10^n} f(d_n)$$

Given this, we can see that the decimal expansion $999 \dots$ under dec corresponds to the algebraic real number 1

$$dec(999 \dots) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10^n} f(9) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10^n} 9 = 0.999 \dots = 1$$

Now, consider the following enumeration of decimal expansions:

$$\begin{aligned} D_1^* &= 00000 \dots \\ D_2^* &= 12345 \dots \\ D_3^* &= 23456 \dots \\ D_4^* &= 34567 \dots \\ D_5^* &= 45678 \dots \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Proof that the set of algebraic real numbers is uncountable can be shown similarly to as is done in theorem 2.1: by assuming that the set of algebraic real numbers is countable and then

showing that for any enumeration of decimal expansions D_n^* that is one-to-one with \mathbb{N} - that is, there is D_n^* for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ - there exists a decimal expansion D_0^* that is not equal to any D_n^* by construction. Thereby proving that the set of algebraic real numbers is uncountable by contradiction. To carry this out, define $D_0^* = d_{01}d_{02}d_{03}\dots$ such that $d_{0n} = \text{succ}(d_{nn})$. Given this, we can see that for all $n \in 1, 2, 3, \dots$, there is no D_n^* satisfying the equation $D_0^* = D_n^*$. If there were some D_n^* satisfying $D_0^* = D_n^*$, then $d_{0n} = d_{nn}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which is a contradiction by the definition of D_0^* . Therefore, the set of algebraic real numbers is uncountable. \square

Theorem 2.3. The set of real numbers is uncountable.

Proof. The set of real numbers is the union of the set of algebraic real numbers and the set of transcendental, hence the set of algebraic real numbers is a subset of \mathbb{R} . Thus it follows from theorem 2.2 and theorem 2.1 that \mathbb{R} must also be uncountable. \square