En & Z Z (ak-th) R= = 1.2 (8k-th) Oxp Jager votion Sk = yk-tk (Else, according to the specific activation function of.) at the output layer) propagate these to obtain Eis for 8j= (1-\frac{2}{3}) \sum\_{k=1}^{K} \omega\_{k} \begin{picture}
\text{Sk} \text{ and h}
\text{and h}

Side topic! Numerical Evaluation of the gradient Empinically, all of these alternative methods are numerically not as good as Backpropagation  $(*) \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial \omega_{ii}} = E_n(\omega_{ii} + \epsilon) - E_n(\omega_{ii}) + o(\epsilon)$   $(*) \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial \omega_{ii}} = \frac{E_n(\omega_{ii} + \epsilon) - E_n(\omega_{ii})}{E_n(\omega_{ii} + \epsilon)} + o(\epsilon)$ OR's Symmetrical combral différences  $\frac{\partial E_n}{\partial \omega_i} = \frac{E_n(\omega_i + \epsilon) - E(\omega_i - \epsilon)}{2\epsilon} + o(\epsilon)$ Why? The first direct formula? En (witt) = En (win) 4 & DEn + LeHe +

Owji higherorder  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{E_n(n); i+\epsilon} \sum_{e} \sum_{i=n}^{n} \sum_{i=n}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n$ Why? The symmetrical central differences formula:  $E_{n}(\omega_{ji}+\varepsilon)=E_{n}(\omega_{ji})+\varepsilon\frac{\partial E_{n}}{\partial \omega_{ji}}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\varepsilon H\varepsilon+o(\varepsilon^{3})$ =n (wi) == =n (wi) -e DEn + = EHE -o(E3)
- + 9 wi - +  $E_n(\omega_{ji}+\epsilon)-E_n(\omega_{ji}-\epsilon)=2\epsilon\frac{\partial E_n}{\partial \omega_{ji}}+2o(\epsilon^3)$ 

 $0 = E_n(\omega_i + \epsilon) - E_n(\omega_i - \epsilon) + o(\epsilon^2)$   $0 = \omega_i$   $2 = \omega_i$ Physical Significance: NN-based colution vis-a-vis the linear Correstricted linear) method done before. (\*) NN: the weight parameters in the first layer are shared between the outputs linear: each classification is performed midependently. (\*) The first layer of the network can be viewed as performing a non-linear feature extraction, and sharing the features between different ontputs can lead to savings on computation and also lead to improved generalisation.