

(quick recap)

$\vec{z} = \phi(\vec{x})$

vector in the transformed space e.g. 3-D

vector function

vector in the original space e.g. 2-D

$\xrightarrow{\text{scalar}}$
 $k(\underline{x}, \underline{x}') \triangleq \underline{\phi}^T(\underline{x}) \underline{\phi}(\underline{x}')$
 two vectors in the original space
 first (original space) second (original space)
 $\underline{\phi}^T(\underline{x}) \quad \underline{\phi}(\underline{x}') = \underline{\phi}^T(\underline{x}') \quad \underline{\phi}(\underline{x})$
 symmetric

1) linear kernel $\phi(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}$ itself. \downarrow z

1) Linear kernel $\phi(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}$ itself.

e.g., linear decision boundary $\underline{w}^T \underline{x} + b = 0$

In the transformed domain

$\tilde{\underline{w}}^T \phi(\underline{x}) + b = 0$

linearity in the original space

appropriate dimension

linearity in the transformed space.

(for a linear kernel: the transformation is an identity transformation)

(2) Stationary Kernel $k(\underline{x}, \underline{x}') = k(\underline{x} - \underline{x}')$

invariant to translations in the input pattern space.

e.g., music information retrieval
male, female voice ('pitch transposition')

(2a) RBF $k(\underline{x}, \underline{x}') = k(\|\underline{x} - \underline{x}'\|)$

(Radial Basic Function)

Key point: 'Kernel Trick'

(*) Kernel function: **why?** Feature transformation -
decision boundary: linear in a
higher dimension, or at least the linear
decision boundary in the transformed
space could give a better separation
as compared to the original space.

(*) Computation: 'trick': make computations
in the lower dimensional space itself.

Dual Representations (Regression)

Earlier example: SVM: classification

(*) Many linear models for classification and regression: which can be reformulated in terms of a dual representation in which kernels arise naturally.

Regularised Linear Regression

$$J(\underline{w}) = \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \{ \underbrace{\underline{w}^T \Phi(\underline{x}_i)}_{\substack{\text{model (linear) space} \\ \text{difference b/w the target and the model}}} - \underbrace{t_i}_{\text{target value}} \}^2}_{\text{"fidelity" term}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \underline{w}^T \underline{w} \right)}_{\text{Regulariser}}$$

"cosmetic purposes" (pointing to the $\frac{1}{2}$ in the fidelity term)

function, to minimise (pointing to $J(\underline{w})$)

Summation: for all training data points (pointing to the \sum in the fidelity term)

are treated in the same way (pointing to the $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ in the regulariser)

Regulariser \rightarrow drive the system to favour low weights, unless it is supported by the data (fidelity)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Recap } \frac{\partial (\underline{x}^T \underline{a})}{\partial \underline{x}} &= \underline{a} \\ &= \frac{\partial (\underline{a}^T \underline{x})}{\partial \underline{x}} = \underline{a} \end{aligned}$$

Optimum \rightarrow minimum

$$\frac{\partial J(\underline{w})}{\partial \underline{w}} = 0$$

$\lambda \geq 0$
Lagrange Multiplier

$$\frac{\partial J(\underline{w})}{\partial \underline{w}} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \{ \underline{w}^T \underline{\phi}(x_i) - t_i \} \underline{\phi}(x_i) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \underline{w} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{z} = \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N \{ \underline{w}^T \underline{\phi}(x_i) - t_i \} \underline{\phi}(x_i)$$

$$a_i \triangleq \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \{ \underline{w}^T \underline{\phi}(x_i) - t_i \}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{z} = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \underline{\phi}(x_i)$$

inner product representation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{\phi}(x_1) & \underline{\phi}(x_2) & \dots & \underline{\phi}(x_N) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_N \end{bmatrix}$$

Diagram illustrating the inner product representation. The first matrix is of size $N \times M$ (rows are $\underline{\phi}(x_i)$, columns are $\underline{\phi}(x_j)$). The second vector is of size $N \times 1$ (elements a_i). A red bracket under the first matrix and a green arrow pointing to the second vector indicate the inner product.

Φ : transpose of the "Design Matrix" Φ^T

$$\Phi \text{ was } \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\phi}^T(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \underline{\phi}^T(x_N) \end{bmatrix}$$

Diagram illustrating the matrix Φ . It is a $N \times M$ matrix where each row is $\underline{\phi}^T(x_i)$. A green arrow points to the matrix, and a pink box highlights the matrix with dimensions N (rows) and M (columns).

$$\Rightarrow \underline{z} = \Phi^T \underline{a}$$

Reformulate the problem in terms of \underline{a} , instead of \underline{w}

Substitute $\underline{w} = \Phi^T \underline{a}$ into the expression for $J(\underline{w})$ to try to eliminate \underline{w} altogether, and attempt to replace $J(\underline{w})$ with an expression which involves \underline{a} alone, at the optimum.

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(\underline{a}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \{ (\Phi^T \underline{a})^T \phi(\underline{x}_i) - t_i \}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Phi^T \underline{a})^T (\Phi^T \underline{a}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \{ (\underline{a}^T \Phi) \phi(\underline{x}_i) \}^2 - \frac{1}{2} 2 \sum_{i=1}^N (\underline{a}^T \Phi) \phi(\underline{x}_i) t_i \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N t_i^2 + \underbrace{\frac{\lambda}{2} \underline{a}^T \Phi \Phi^T \underline{a}}_{\text{fourth term, is in its final form (we will see this later!)}}
 \end{aligned}$$

fourth term, is in its final form (we will see this later!)

The third term = $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N t_i^2$ we write this as an inner product

$$= \frac{1}{2} [t_1 \ t_2 \ \dots \ t_N] \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ \vdots \\ t_N \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \underline{t}^T \underline{t}$$

The second term = $-\sum_{i=1}^N \underline{a}^T \Phi \phi(\underline{x}_i) t_i$

$$= -(\underline{a}^T \Phi) \sum_{i=1}^N t_i \phi(\underline{x}_i)$$

$$= -(\underline{a}^T \Phi) \underbrace{[\phi(\underline{x}_1) \ \phi(\underline{x}_2) \ \dots \ \phi(\underline{x}_N)]}_{\Phi^T} \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ \vdots \\ t_N \end{bmatrix} = -\underline{a}^T \Phi \Phi^T \underline{t}$$