

Technical Comments

Manuscript ID : ICISC-105

Manuscript Title: Interpreting Doctor's Handwritten Prescription Using Deep Learning Techniques

Decision: Accepted with Major Revision

Review Comments-1:

1. Interpreting doctor's handwritten prescription using deep learning techniques is the proposed title of this paper.
2. Acronym placed in the keyword should be in expanded form.
3. Survey of literature is not systematic.
4. In what way images are reshaped?
5. Selection of algorithm should be justified by the author.
6. Paper should be prepared as per template prescribed.
7. Figures are of poor resolution and clarity.
8. All parameters in equations should be elaborated in detail
9. Minimum of 15 reference papers should be used in the reference section.

Review Comments-2:

There are adjustments for the authors have to be considered:

1. Interpreting Doctor's Handwritten Prescription Using Deep Learning Techniques is the proposed title of the paper.
2. The paper quality to be Improved and the work to be extended.
3. The author has to concentrate more on the proposed work and

how it is applied in the work

4. There is evidence of research, but you need to relate it to your own study and more literature-based evaluation in the discussion
5. The equation numbers should be enclosed in parentheses and placed on the right-hand side of the page. Try to put equation numbers for each one and should be strongly highlighted. Please use either the Microsoft Equation Editor
6. Evaluation methodology should be intensely discussed. Novelty in the proposed design should be established through a comparative analysis.
7. Survey of literature is very weak. It should include a minimum of 15 – 20 recent publications for study. Findings should be presented in a comparative manner.

Yours' Sincerely



Dr. K. Geetha
Conference Chair