The New Sudoku Players' Forum پرSearch... Sponsored by Enjoy Sudoku The hardest sudokus (new thread) 1330 posts • Page 59 of 89 • 1 ... 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 ... 89 POSTREPLY ∠ Search this topic... Search "QUOTE **Re: The hardest sudokus (new thread)** by **mith** » Mon Sep 13, 2021 5:00 pm Posts: 862 Joined: 14 July 2020 66 mith wrote: 66 999_Springs wrote: 66 mith wrote: CODE: SELECT ALL1....23....24.....5.67..4.8.3.2.86...84..7.6.3.76.38...28.74.3.64.3..2.7. ED=11.3/1.2/1.2 this starts with 4 hidden singles so when you fill them in you break Mauricio's 14 year old record from January 2007 for the hardest puzzle with 37 clues, which was his symmetric 11.2. nice! Yeah, I need to run my singles expander on all these hard puzzles, I've probably broken some more of the most-clues-for-given-ER by now. It's now 11.5 with 37 clues, and 11.3 with 38 😜 QUOTE Re: The hardest sudokus (new thread) □ by **creint** » Thu Sep 16, 2021 7:10 pm Posts: 344 Joined: 20 January 2018 Having the hardest database ph_2010 recreated with another minilex: http://forum.enjoysudoku.com/minlex-routine-t39261.html Scanning the Tarek Pearly 6000 http://forum.enjoysudoku.com/6000-pearls-boom-goes-the-dynamite-t39358.html And filtering the hardest ones out: 5204 Checking if it is in database: 4357 not in database? Example 1 (SE 10.5 using Sukaku Explainer): 2......1.4.7...3...9...5...6.58......364......72.8.5....2...7...3.4...1....9 Following probably belong in hardest: https://pastebin.com/6u91pfMm Or are there any mistakes? QUOTE Re: The hardest sudokus (new thread) 1to9only by **1to9only** » Thu Sep 16, 2021 9:13 pm Posts: 4075 Joined: 04 April 2018 **66** creint wrote: And filtering the hardest ones out: 5204 Checking if it is in database: 4357 not in database? Example 1 (SE 10.5 using Sukaku Explainer): 2......1.4.7...3...9...5...6.58......364......72.8.5....2...7...3.4...1....9 http://forum.enjoysudoku.com/post211015.html#p211015 **66** champagne wrote: I'll process your entire file of "hardest" to put them in my data base (and check as well the tarek pearly 6000 file) to avoid redundancy as much as possible http://forum.enjoysudoku.com/post265425.html#p265425 **66** champagne wrote: For the 10.6 10.7, my filter would have skipped them (not pearl) It appears Tarek's Pearly6000 are already accounted for, and sudokus must be at least a 10.6 pearl to make it on the list (to keep it's size manageable). QUOTE Re: The hardest sudokus (new thread) denis_berthier 2010 Supporter by denis_berthier » Fri Sep 24, 2021 5:26 am Posts: 3334 Joined: 19 June 2007 Location: Paris AFAIK, the last public release of the hardest collection is ph2010 It seems more puzzles have been found since then. Does anyone have an up-to-date version (I'm only interested in the upper end (≥ 11.7)? QUOTE Re: The hardest sudokus (new thread) by mith » Fri Sep 24, 2021 9:42 pm Posts: 862 Joined: 14 July 2020 I'll plan to publish an update soonish (by the end of the month, hopefully). "QUOTE Re: The hardest sudokus (new thread) □ by **Hajime** » Sat Sep 25, 2021 2:39 pm **66** creint wrote: My solver can now solve all the hardest puzzles. Forcing nets inside forcing nets is the maximum that is required to solve them. Posts: 1022 Joined: 20 April 2018 Location: Netherlands CODE: SELECT ALL 6 98.7....7....6...6.5....4...5.3...79..5.....2...1...85..9.....1...4....3.2.Takes 8 seconds, but this last steps it only take the first found. Is your implementation a 2 deep T&E? **66** creint wrote: place a -> placements+exclusions. Take this as new pencil mark grid and solve, if contradiction then a is invalid. If you call forcing net 1 deep T&E then yes. An easy/slower implementation but it can use all the logic. Posts are from March 18,19 2021, so some time ago. But my Nested Forcing Nets search can not solve all puzzles. What I do: Consider cell A holds candidates. Forcing NET (1 deep) First placing: place A some candidate -> placements+exclusions. Take this as new pencil mark grid and solve, if contradiction then A is invalid. OK. Consider cell A and cell B hold candidates. Nested Forcing NET (2 deep) ..First placing: place A some candidate -> placements+exclusions. .. Take this as new pencil mark grid and solve, if NOT contradiction then try BSecond placing: place B some candidate -> placements+exclusions.Take this as new pencil mark grid and solve, if contradiction then A can be invalid OR B can be invalid(or both)What to do? What I implemented: If ALL candidates B lead to contradiction then A must be invalid. Where can I improve? QUOTE Re: The hardest sudokus (new thread) by **m_b_metcalf** » Sun Sep 26, 2021 3:31 pm CODE: SELECT ALL 1 2 . 3 . 4 . . . 5 . . . 6 . . . 7 . . Posts: 13227 . 8 . 9 . 3 . . . Joined: 15 May 2006 1 Location: Berlin . . . 5 . . . 4 . . . 7 . . . 6 . . . 9 . . . 8 . 3 . 2 1 1.....2.3.4...5...6...7...8.9.3.....1.....5...4...7...6...9...8.3.2......1 ED=11.6/11.6/3.4 Mike QUOTE Re: The hardest sudokus (new thread) by mith » Sun Sep 26, 2021 5:22 pm Posts: 862 Joined: 14 July 2020 This appears to be a morph of the following? CODE: SELECT ALL 5.....9.2.1...7...8...3...4...2......5......7.6.1...3...8...6...4.2.9......5 StrmCkr 11.6 11.6 3.4 QUOTE Re: The hardest sudokus (new thread) □ by **m_b_metcalf** » Sun Sep 26, 2021 5:32 pm 66 mith wrote: This appears to be a morph of the following? Posts: 13227 Joined: 15 May 2006 **CODE: SELECT ALL** Location: Berlin 5.....9.2.1...7...8...3...4...2......5......7.6.1...3...8...6...4.2.9......5 StrmCkr 11.6 11.6 3.4 Indeed, it is 🤓 M QUOTE Re: The hardest sudokus (new thread) creint □ by **creint** » Mon Sep 27, 2021 4:05 pm Posts: 344 Joined: 20 January 2018 **66** Hajime wrote: Consider cell A and cell B hold candidates. Nested Forcing NET (2 deep) ..First placing: place A some candidate -> placements+exclusions. ..Take this as new pencil mark grid and solve, if NOT contradiction then try BSecond placing: place B some candidate -> placements+exclusions.Take this as new pencil mark grid and solve, if contradiction then A can be invalid OR B can be invalid(or both)What to do? What I implemented: If ALL candidates B lead to contradiction then A must be invalid. Where can I improve? A contradiction results in an exclusion in the previous layer. Keep doing those exclusions until you can't find anything. Just like normal solving. And then go back to previous layer. Current -> try A, solve -> try B, solve, (if contradiction remove B from A) For each A you must try each pencilmark for B every time you find a B with contradiction. If A gives a contradiction you can finally remove this from current puzzle. You can also look at an explanation in SukakuExplainer. "QUOTE Re: The hardest sudokus (new thread) by hendrik_monard » Mon Sep 27, 2021 8:21 pm Posts: 50 Joined: 19 April 2021 The following list contains some new 11.6 to 11.8 (new with regard to database ph_2010) that I found. Location: Leuven (Louvain) Belgium The 11.8 was already posted by me in this thread on april 19th 2021. 98.7....6...5.8...4....3.7..9..5....3....4......2..1.6...1..2..9.......89.6.. 11.8/1.2/1.2 98.7....6...8.....5..4...3..8..9...7....86.....4...2.9.6..3....1.5.......2..1 11.7/11.7/8.0 98.7....6..85.....4..3....9..8.7......2.1.......2.5..7.6....9...5....1....43 11.7/1.2/1.2 98.7..6..5..69.....4...8...3.....56..2.....8..4....2...97.......3.7......2.31 11.7/11.7/2.6 98.76.5..54.......75.4.9.7..4.9.5..9...5..3....2....4.....81.7.9.8.4....... 11.6/1.2/1.2 98.76.5..75.......4..5.978.....3..4...9.58......24....8.7..9.2..38.....9.1.. 11.6/1.2/1.2 $98.7....7.6.5....4..3...2.5...4...9....82...2...1.7.1...2.....63........19\ 11.6/11.6/2.6$ 98.7....6..85.....4..3....9..8.6....2...........32.5.6..7......85......1.24 11.6/1.2/1.2 98.7..6..5...9..84........46..7.8....86....3..5..4....4...79....2.....8....8..1. 11.6/1.2/1.2 98.7..6..5...9..4.....8...46..7.8...86...3...5..4....4...79....2...8....8...1 11.6/1.2/1.2 $98.7..6...7.5..98......76.9...5..4......37...5..6...8...2...7.6...715..\ 11.6/1.2/1.2$ 98.76...5...49...3....4...5.9..7.....5..5...2.41...9..2..6.8.....3...1. 11.6/11.6/2.6 98.76....5....7......5.987.....4...9....83...32.....3....9.57.5..7..3......1.. 11.6/1.2/1.2 98.76.5..54...7....5...848..9...7.7..5......32..9.4...75....1.2......... 11.6/1.2/1.298.76....54....7.....5...848...9...57.7..5.........32...9.4...75....1.2......... 11.6/1.2/1.2 98.7..6..5.46.......9..837..9..5.....2...7.....6.4.1....9.7..5..671.....1....5 11.6/1.2/1.2 As an updated list of hardest sudokus is being prepared by Mith, I also wanted to let you now that I found some non minimal sudokus in ph_2010. IMO no non minimals should be present in the database of hardest sudokus, possibly with the exception of Mauricio's famous non minimal (for historical reasons?). For the following list, I used the index numbers as reference. Non minimals already present in ph_1910 (only tested for ratings >= 11.2): 2236461 561 2112556 2112551 1764 (Mauricio's-non-min 95108) New non minimals in ph_2010 (for all ratings): 3269155 (2 individually redundant clues) 3269199 3269153 3269198 3269154 3269197 3269196 3267874 3261514 The resulting sudokus after removal of redundant clues were already in the database. Best regards, Hendrik QUOTE Re: The hardest sudokus (new thread) □ by **mith** » Mon Sep 27, 2021 11:45 pm Posts: 862 Joined: 14 July 2020 Thanks, I had already added your 11.8 to my database, will add the others as well. I don't really have any experience with the pattern game, but I'll see if I can figure out a good way to scrape those results. As for the non-minimal puzzles, an early version of the scripts I use was missing a check for minimals; that shouldn't be an issue now, but I will see about running a search to eliminate mine from my copy of the database. I'm not planning to touch anything earlier than that, and the format is going to be different from champagne's anyway - at least for this release, I will probably only do an update of new puzzles, not repost the full database. I may also provide a second set of puzzles which have not yet been SER rated but have a high skfr; my database currently has about 370k new (since ph2010) puzzles with SER 11+ ratings, but that number jumps to 1.2 million if including skfr 11+ puzzles - and it's quite possible there are some 11.6+ puzzles in that batch, since I've seen a few skfr 11.1s rate much higher on SER. (I have a script running to rate all of these - a few seconds per puzzle usually on the new machine - but it's going to take a while to catch up just from the sheer number generated.) I also need to decide where the threshold will be for new puzzles and whether to make that variable based on clue count - I think the 10.X puzzles in the 19c and 35c+ groups are worth publishing, for example, but maybe I limit the main release to 11+ ratings and do those separately as well. hendrik_monard QUOTE Re: The hardest sudokus (new thread) by hendrik_monard » Tue Sep 28, 2021 8:11 am Posts: 50 Joined: 19 April 2021 Hi Mith, Location: Leuven (Louvain) Belgium When you write "a few seconds per puzzle usually on the new machine", is that for SER with format 11.7/11.7/2.8? On my laptop, It takes typically between 10 and 20 minutes per puzzle with SER >= 11.2 Do you have access to a supercomputer? Hendrik QUOTE Re: The hardest sudokus (new thread) 1to9only by **1to9only** » Tue Sep 28, 2021 8:45 am Posts: 4075 Joined: 04 April 2018 **66** hendrik_monard wrote: When you write "a few seconds per puzzle usually on the new machine", is that for SER with format 11.7/11.7/2.8? I think this refers to champagne's skfr, see: http://forum.enjoysudoku.com/projects-skfr-fast-rating-and-sudoku-multi-purpose-program-t30132.html For the Windows binaries (very old v2_0_1), goto: https://code.google.com/archive/p/skfr-sudoku-fast-rating/downloads skfr rates a lot faster than SE, but skfr ratings can sometimes differ from SE ratings. **66** hendrik monard wrote: On my laptop, It takes typically between 10 and 20 minutes per puzzle with SER >= 11.2 I posted a faster version of SE (to rate puzzles for the Patterns Game), see here: http://forum.enjoysudoku.com/pgexplainer-a-minimal-sudokuexplainer-in-56-712-bytes-t39049.html 0 "QUOTE Re: The hardest sudokus (new thread) hendrik_monard by hendrik_monard » Tue Sep 28, 2021 9:24 am Posts: 50 Joined: 19 April 2021 Hi 1to9only, Location: Leuven (Louvain) Belgium Thanks for the information. I already had skfr operating on my laptop for first indications of 'hardness'. I'll have a look at your link to the faster SE-rater though. Hope I can integrate it in my VBA script as well. Hendrik 0 Previous Display posts from previous: All posts ▼ Sort by Post time ▼ Ascending ▼ Go Next > 1330 posts • Page 59 of 89 • 1 ... 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 ... 89 POSTREPLY ⊭ Return to General Jump to: General **∨** Go Powered by phpBB® Forum Software © phpBB Group PHPBB SEO.COM