MATH 131—HOMEWORK 4

Ricardo J. Acuña (862079740)

Q1 Suppose $v_1, ..., v_m$ is linearly independent in V and $w \in V$. Prove that if $v_1+w,...,v_m+w$ is linearly dependent, then $w\in Span(v_1,...,v_m).$ pf. Suppose $v_1,...,v_m$ is linearly independent in V and $w \in V$. Also, suppose $v_1 + w, ..., v_m + w$ is linearly dependent. $\Rightarrow a_1(v_1+w)+\ldots+a_m(v_m+w)=0 \text{ and } \exists a_k \in \{a_i\}_1^m \subset \mathbb{F}: a_k \neq 0_{\mathbb{F}}$ Add the additive inverse $-a_m(v_m + w)$ to both sides. $\Rightarrow b_1(v_1+w)+...+b_{m-1}(v_{m-1}+w)=-a_k(v_k+w) \text{ where } \{b_j\}_1^{m-1}=\{a_i\}_1^m\backslash\{a_k\}$ Here the b_i s represent a re-indexing of the a_i s with a_k removed. By the distributive property of scalars over sums of vectors, $\Rightarrow b_1v_1 + b_1w + \ldots + b_{m-1}v_{m-1} + b_{m-1}w = -a_kv_k - a_kw$ Add $a_k v_k$ to both sides, $\Rightarrow b_1v_1 + b_1w + \ldots + b_{m-1}v_{m-1} + b_{m-1}w + a_kv_k = -a_kw$ Add every $-b_i w$ to both sides, $\Rightarrow b_1 v_1 + \ldots + b_{m-1} v_{m-1} + a_k v_k = b_1 w + \ldots + b_{m-1} w - a_k w$ By distributive property of vectors over sums of scalars, $\Rightarrow b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_{m-1} v_{m-1} + a_k v_k = (b_1 + \dots + b_{m-1} - a_k) w (1)$ $\text{Assume } b_1+\ldots+b_{m-1}-a_k=0$ $\Rightarrow 0w = 0$ $\Rightarrow b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_{m-1} v_{m-1} + a_k v_k = 0 (2)$ Since $a_1(v_1+w)+...+a_m(v_m+w)=0$, was the dependence test equation, and we solved for a_k and then re-indexed the remaining terms with the b_i s. Before adding back the $a_k v_k$ to the left-hand side of the equation the left hand side didn't contain any multiple of Now, equation (2) becomes the dependence test equation, for $v_1,...,v_m$. Since, we know $v_1,...,v_m$ is linearly independent, this equation has solutions only if all the scalars are 0, in particular $a_k \neq 0$. \Rightarrow (1) is false—i.e: not equal to zero. So, by contradiction our assumption is false. $\begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow b_1+\ldots+b_{m-1}-a_k\neq 0 \\ \Rightarrow \exists \alpha^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}: \alpha^{-1}(b_1+\ldots+b_{m-1}-a_k) = 1_{\mathbb{F}}. \end{array}$ Multiply by α^{-1} on both sides of (1), $\Rightarrow \alpha^{-1}(b_1v_1+\ldots+b_{m-1}v_{m-1}+a_kv_k)=\alpha^{-1}(b_1+\ldots+b_{m-1}-a_k)w=1w=1$ By the distributive property of scalars over sums of vectors, $\begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow \alpha^{-1}b_1v_1 + \ldots + \alpha^{-1}b_{m-1}v_{m-1} + \alpha^{-1}a_kv_k = w \\ \text{Let } \alpha^{-1}b_jv_j = d_lv_l, \, 1 \leq l \leq m-1, \, \text{and} \, \, \alpha^{-1}a_kv_k = d_mv_m : \end{array}$

 $\Rightarrow d_1v_1 + ... + d_mv_m = w$ $\Rightarrow w \in \operatorname{Span}(v_1, ..., v_m)$

Q2 Let $V = \{A \in \operatorname{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{F}) | \operatorname{tr} A = 0\}$. Find a basis of V.

$$\forall A \in V : \operatorname{tr} A = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_{11}+a_{22}=0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_{22} = -a_{11}$$

In particular any $A \in V$ has the form: $\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & -a_{11} \end{pmatrix}$

So, by alternatively setting each of the three free variables one can get a basis for V:

$$B = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \}$$

$$\mathrm{Span}\:(B) = a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix}$$

Clearly any $W \in \operatorname{Span}(B)$ has $\operatorname{tr} W = 0$ So, $\operatorname{Span}(B) = V$ And,

$$a\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&-1\end{pmatrix}+b\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\0&0\end{pmatrix}+c\begin{pmatrix}0&0\\1&0\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0\\0&0\end{pmatrix}\Rightarrow a=b=c=0$$

 $\Rightarrow B$ is linearly independent.

So, B is a basis for V.

Q3 Let U be the subspace of \mathbb{C}^5 defined by:

$$U=\{(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4,z_5)\in\mathbb{C}^5: 6z_1=z_2 \text{ and } z_3+2z_4+3z_5=0\}.$$

- (a) Find a basis of U.
- (b) Extend the basis in part (a) to a basis of \mathbb{C}^5 .

(I) Let
$$z_1=1$$
 and $z_3=z_4=z_5=0$, then $6(1)=z_2\Rightarrow (1,6,0,0,0)\in U$

(II) Let
$$z_1 = 0 \Rightarrow z_2 = 0$$
:

$$(\alpha) \ z_3 = 0 \ \text{and} \ z_4 = 3 \Rightarrow z_5 = -2 \Rightarrow (0,0,0,3,-2) \in U$$

$$(\beta) \ z_4 = 0 \ \text{and} \ z_3 = 3 \Rightarrow z_5 = -1 \Rightarrow (0, 0, 3, 0, -1) \in U$$

$$\begin{array}{l} (\beta) \ z_4 = 0 \ \text{and} \ z_3 = 3 \Rightarrow z_5 = -1 \Rightarrow (0,0,3,0,-1) \in U \\ (\gamma) \ z_5 = 0 \ \text{and} \ z_3 = 2 \Rightarrow z_4 = -1 \Rightarrow (0,0,2,-1,0) \in U \end{array}$$

$$B = \{(1,6,0,0,0), (0,0,0,3,-2), (0,0,3,0,-1), (0,0,2,-1,0)\}$$
 (a)

$$\forall z \in \text{Span}(B) : \exists a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C} :$$

$$z = a(1,6,0,0,0) + b(0,0,0,3,-2) + c(0,0,3,0,-1) + d(0,0,2,-1,0) = (a,6a,3c+2d,3b-d,-2b-c) (0)$$

$$\Rightarrow z_1 = a$$
, and $z_2 = 6a \Rightarrow 6z_1 = z_2$ (1)

$$\Rightarrow z_3 = 3c + 2d$$
 and $z_4 = 3b - d$ and $z_5 = -2b - c$

$$\Rightarrow z_3 + 2z_4 + 3z_5 = 3c + 2d + 2(3b - d) + 3(-2b - c) = 3c + 2d + 6b - 2d - 6b - 3c$$

$$\Rightarrow z_3 + 2z_4 + 3z_5 = 36 + 2d + 66 - 2d - 66 - 36 = 0 (2)$$

(1) and (2)
$$\Rightarrow$$
 Span $(B) = U$

Solve for
$$a, b, c, d$$
 in equation (0) when $z = 0$: $a(1, 6, 0, 0, 0) + b(0, 0, 0, 3, -2) + c(0, 0, 3, 0, -1) + d(0, 0, 2, -1, 0) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Do Gaussian-Elimination:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

$$\xrightarrow[-\frac{R_4 \Rightarrow R_3}{-\frac{R_1}{6} + R_2 \mapsto R_2} \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\frac{1}{3}R_4 + R_5 \mapsto R_5} \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\frac{1}{3}R_3 \mapsto R_4} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow (0,0,2,-1,0) = -\frac{1}{3}(0,0,0,3,-2) + \frac{2}{3}(0,0,3,0,-1)$$

\Rightarrow (0,0,2,-1,0) = (0,0,0,-1,+\frac{2}{3}) + (0,0,2,0,-\frac{2}{3})

 $\Rightarrow (0,0,2,-1,0) = -\frac{1}{3}(0,0,0,3,-2) + \frac{2}{3}(0,0,3,0,-1)$ $\Rightarrow (0,0,2,-1,0) = (0,0,0,-1,+\frac{2}{3}) + (0,0,2,0,-\frac{2}{3})$ Since, the augmented matrix is in Reduced Row Echelon Form, I've shown that the first three vectors, of B are linear to the sum of early independent, and that Span B = U. It follows that $B' = B \setminus \{(0,0,2,-1)\} = \{(1,6,0,0,0), (0,0,0,3,-2), (0,0,3,0,-1)\}$ is a basis for U.

Now for part (b):

Have $B'' = B \cup \{e_i\}$, where e_i are the standard basis vectors for \mathbb{C}^5 thinking of \mathbb{C} as a vector space over itself—i.e the generator of \mathbb{C} over \mathbb{C} is 1. There, are 5 e_i vectors, and they span \mathbb{C}^5 , and they're linearly independent, so they form a basis and the dimension of \mathbb{C}^5 over \mathbb{C} is 5. The dimension of U is 3. So, we only need to find 2 linearly independent vectors.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -6 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -6 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -6 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -6 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 &$$

Immediately from the intermediate reduction we can see, that e_1 and e_3 , are linearly independent with respect to B'. So, my algorithm stops because I've hit the right dimension.

Now $B''' = \{(1, 6, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0, 3, -2), (0, 0, 3, 0, -1), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0, 0)\}$ is indeed a basis for \mathbb{C}^5 .

Q4 Prove or disprove: there exists a basis $p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3 of P_3(\mathbb{F})$ such that none of the polynomials p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3 has degree 2.

pf_..

Well, consider the standard basis for $P_3(\mathbb{F})$, namely $\{1,t,t^2,t^3\}$. Now, take 4 linearly independent vectors in the span of that basis. For simplicity, one can see t^3+2t^2 and t^3+t^2 are not scalar multiples of each other, so they're pairwise linearly independent, both are not degree 2. Notice $t^3+2t^2-(t^3+t^2)=t^2$, and $2(t^3+t^2)-(t^3+2t^2)=t^3$. So the Span $(t^3 + 2t^2, t^3 + t^2)$ = Span (t^2, t^3) . Now, we only need to extend it to a basis for $P_3(\mathbb{F})$, we add 1 and t, which are not of degree 2. The original $t^3 + 2t^2$ and $t^3 + t^2$ are already linearly independent, and 1 is not a scalar multiple of them, since there's no scalar that can reduce a power, so we keep 1. Similarly we keep t, t is not a scalar multiple of any sum of them, because scalar multiplication cannot either increase or reduce a power. So, we reach the dimension of the ambient space, and we get a linearly independent set $B = \{t^3 + 2t^2, t^3 + t^2, 1, t\}$ that spans the space. Because we can write combinations of the first two as t^2 and t^3 respectively and take combinations of them with the two other vectors 1 and t to span all of $P_3(\mathbb{F})$. So B is a basis, and no element of B has degree 2.

- $\text{Q5} \quad \text{Let } p_0 = 1 + x, p_1 = 1 + 3x + x^2, p_2 = 2x + x^2, p_3 = 1 + x + x^2 \in \mathbb{R}[x].$
- (a) Show that p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3 spans the vector space $P_2(\mathbb{R})$.
- (b) Reduce the list p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3 to a basis of $P_2(\mathbb{R})$.

pf.

For part (a):

 $a(1+x) + b(1+3x+x^2) + c(2x+x^2) + d(1+x+x^2) = (a+b+d)1 + (a+3b+2c+d)x + (b+c+d)x^2 + (b+c+d)x +$ So, one can always choose a, b, c, d ranging over the reals, such that p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3 span all of $P_2(\mathbb{R})$.

For part (b):

 $kp_0 \neq p_1$ because the degree of p_0 is less than that of p_1 . And neither are equal to zero so we keep them.

 $p_1-p_0=1+3x+x^2-(1+x)=2x+x^2=p_2$, so we delete p_2 . Now, consider linear combinations of p_0 and p_1 and compare them with p_3 . That is suppose that p_3 is a linear combination of them:

$$a(1+x) + b(1+3x+x^2) = 1 + x + x^2 \Rightarrow (a+b)1 + (a+3b)x + bx^2 = 1 + x + x^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} a+b=1 \\ a+3b=1 \\ b=1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a+b-b=1-1 \\ a+3b=1 \\ b=1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a=0 \\ 0+3b=1 \\ b=1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a=0 \\ b=\frac{1}{3} \\ b=1 \end{cases}$$

So, b has to equal two different numbers, so by contradiction p_3 is not a linear combination of p_0 and p_1 . Since, $\{p_0, p_1, p_2\}$ is a linearly independent spanning set it is a basis for $P_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Q6 Suppose v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 is a basis of V. Prove that $v_1 + v_2, v_2 + v_3, v_3 + v_4, v_4$ is also a basis of V.

 $\mathfrak{p}_{\widetilde{\Sigma}}$

 $k_1(v_1+v_2)+K_2(v_2+v_3)=0\Rightarrow k_1v_1+(k_1+k_2)v_2+k_2v_3=0$ Since, v_1,v_2,v_3 are linearly independent $k_1=k_1+k_2=k_2=0$, in particular both k_1 and k_2 are 0. So v_1+v_2 is independent from v_2+v_3 . Now compare them with v_3+v_4 :

$$\begin{array}{l} m_1(v_1+v_2)+m_2(v_2+v_3)+m_3(v_3+v_4)=m_1v_1+(m_1+m_2)v_2+m_2v_3+m_3(v_3+v_4)\\ =m_1v_1+(m_1+m_2)v_2+(m_2+m_3)v_3+m_3v_4=0 \end{array}$$

So, by the same reasons m_1 and m_3 are 0. So, $0 + (0 + m_2)v_2 + (m_2 + 0)v_3 + 0 = 0$, so again by the independence of the v_i s m_2 must be 0. So, $v_3 + v_4$ is independent of the initial 2.

Finally,
$$n_1(v_1+v_2)+n_2(v_2+v_3)+n_3(v_3+v_4)+n_4v_4=n_1v_1+(n_1+n_2)v_2+n_2v_3+n_3(v_3+v_4)+n_4v_4=n_1v_1+(n_1+n_2)v_2+(n_2+n_3)v_3+(n_3+n_4)v_4=0$$
 Clearly, $n_1=0\Rightarrow 0+n_2=0\Rightarrow 0+n_3=0\Rightarrow 0+n_4=0$

6