

MATH 131—HOMEWORK 6

Ricardo J. Acuña

(862079740)

Q1 Prove that there does not exist a linear map $T : \mathbb{R}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ such that

$$\text{range } T = \text{null } T$$

Pf.

$$\forall T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^5, \mathbb{R}^5) :$$

$$5 = \dim(\mathbb{R}^5) = \dim \text{null}(T) + \dim \text{range}(T) \text{ (by FTLA)}$$

$$\text{Assume } K = \dim \text{null}(T) = \dim \text{range}(T)$$

$$\Rightarrow 5 = 2K \Rightarrow K = \frac{5}{2} \notin \mathbb{N}, \text{ but } \dim \text{null}(T) \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\text{By contradiction } \dim \text{null}(T) \neq \dim \text{range}(T)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{range}(T) \neq \text{null}(T) \text{ (by Proposition 1 in 2C)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \nexists T : \text{range}(T) = \text{null}(T)$$

■

Q2 Prove or give a counterexample:

If $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear map and v_1, \dots, v_n spans V . Then $T(v_1), \dots, T(v_n)$ spans $\text{range}(T)$.

Pf.

$$\text{range}(T) = \{w \in W \mid \exists v \in V : w = T(v)\}$$

$$v_1, \dots, v_n \text{ spans } V \Rightarrow V = \text{span}(\{v_1, \dots, v_n\})$$

$$\forall w \in \text{range}(T) : \exists v \in V : \exists a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F} : v = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i :$$

$$w = T(v) \Rightarrow w = T(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i T(v_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow w \in \text{span}(\{T(v_1), \dots, T(v_n)\})$$

So, $T(v_1), \dots, T(v_n)$ spans $\text{range}(T)$

■

Q3 Prove or give a counterexample: Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ and $S : V \rightarrow W$ are two linear maps. If $\text{null } T = \text{null } S$, then $T = S$

Let $V = W = \mathbb{R}$: Define $T(x) = x$ and $S(x) = 5x$

$$\text{null } T = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid T(x) = 0\}$$

$$T \text{ is the identity map so } \text{null } T = \{0\}$$

$$\text{null } S = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid S(x) = 0\}$$

$$S \text{ is defined by } x \mapsto 5x \text{ and } 0 = 5(0) \Rightarrow x = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{null } S = \{0\} = \text{null } T$$

but, $T \neq S$

Q4 Suppose V and W are both finite-dimensional. Prove that there exists an injective linear map from V to W if and only if $\dim V \leq \dim W$

Pf.

(\Rightarrow) Assume $\exists T : V \rightarrow W$ and T is injective.

(by Proposition 1 in 3B) $\text{null } T = \{0\} \Rightarrow \dim \text{null } T = 0$

(by FTLA) $\dim V = \dim \text{null } T + \dim \text{range } T$

$\Rightarrow \dim V = 0 + \dim \text{range } T$

$\Rightarrow \dim V = \dim \text{range } T$

$\text{range } T \subseteq W \Rightarrow \dim \text{range } T \leq \dim W$

$\Rightarrow \dim V \leq \dim W$

(\Leftarrow) Assume $n = \dim V \leq \dim W = m$

Suppose $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $B^* = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ are bases for V and W respectively.

Consider $R : V \rightarrow W$ defined by

$$R(v_i) = w_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$v_i \neq v_j \Rightarrow R(v_i) \neq R(v_j) \Rightarrow w_i \neq w_j, \text{ whenever } i \neq j$$

R is injective as long as $n \leq m$. If $n > m$, then some v_k where $k > m$, has to get mapped to some vector in W that has already been mapped by R .

So, R exists and it is injective.

So, there exists an injective linear map from V to W if and only if $\dim V \leq \dim W$

■

Q5 Prove that there does not exist a linear map from \mathbb{F}^5 to \mathbb{F}^2 whose nullspace equals

$$\{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) | x_1 = 3x_2; x_3 = x_4 = x_5\}$$

Pf.

Assume $R : \mathbb{F}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^2 : \text{null } R = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) | x_1 = 3x_2; x_3 = x_4 = x_5, x_i \in \mathbb{F}\}$

$$\forall s \in \text{null } R \Rightarrow s = (3x_2, x_2, x_3, x_3, x_3)$$

$$\Rightarrow s = x_2(3, 1, 0, 0, 0) + x_3(0, 0, 1, 1, 1)$$

and $(3, 1, 0, 0, 0) \neq k(0, 0, 1, 1, 1), k \in \mathbb{F}$, because $1 \neq k0 = 0$

$\Rightarrow B = \{(3, 1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 1, 1)\}$ is a basis for $\text{null } R$

$$\Rightarrow \dim \text{null } R = |B| = 2$$

$\dim \mathbb{F}^5 = \dim \text{null } R + \dim \text{range } R$ (By FTLA)

$$5 = 2 + \dim \text{range } R \Rightarrow \dim \text{range } R = 5 - 2 = 3$$

$$\text{range } R \subseteq \mathbb{F}^2 \Rightarrow \dim \text{range } R \leq \dim \mathbb{F}^2 = 2 \Rightarrow 3 \leq 2 \Rightarrow \text{False}$$

So, by contradiction to our assumption R doesn't exist

■

Q6 Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is injective and $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is linearly independent in V . Prove or disprove $\{T(v_1), \dots, T(v_n)\}$ is linearly independent in W .

Pf.

$\forall T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$: T is injective

$\Rightarrow \text{null } T = \{0\}$

and $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is linearly independent in V

Set up the dependence test equation as such

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i T(v_i) = 0 : \exists a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n a_i T(v_i) = T(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i \in \text{null } T \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i \in \{0\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i = 0$$

Since $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is linearly independent in V , then $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$.

So, $\{T(v_1), \dots, T(v_n)\}$ is linearly independent in W .

■