

Question: 1

Which of the following sets are rings with respect to the usual operations of addition and multiplication? If the set is a ring, is it also a field?

- a. $7\mathbb{Z}$
- b. \mathbb{Z}_{18}
- c. $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) = \{a + b\sqrt{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$
- d. $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) = \{a + b\sqrt{2} + c\sqrt{3} + d\sqrt{6} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}\}$
- e. $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}] = \{a + b\sqrt{3} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
- f. $R = \{a + b\sqrt[3]{3} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$
- g. $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi : a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } i^2 = -1\}$
- h. $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{3}) = \{a + b\sqrt[3]{3} + c\sqrt[3]{9} : a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}\}$

Solution:

- a. $7\mathbb{Z}$ is a ring since it is a subring of \mathbb{Z} . This is not hard to show. However, it lacks an identity, so it is not a field.
- b. \mathbb{Z}_{18} is a ring because addition and multiplication in modulo 18 are well-defined. However, we can see that it is not a field. $2 \cdot 9 = 0$ in \mathbb{Z}_{18} , so we have a pair of zero divisors.
- c. $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ is a subfield of \mathbb{R} so it is therefore a ring and a field. The fact that it is a subring is not hard to show.
- d. Like the last part, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$ is a subfield of \mathbb{R} and is therefore a ring and a field.
- e. $\mathbb{Z}^{\sqrt{3}}$ is a subring of \mathbb{R} and is therefore a ring. Now let's analyze $\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\sqrt{3}}$. Calculating the inverse of $\sqrt{3}$ gives us $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \notin \mathbb{Z}^{\sqrt{3}}$. Therefore, $\mathbb{Z}^{\sqrt{3}}$ is not a field.
- f. If $a = 0$ and $b = 1$, we have that $\sqrt[3]{3} \in R$. However, $\sqrt[3]{3} \cdot \sqrt[3]{3} = \sqrt[3]{9} \notin R$. Therefore, R is not closed under multiplication and is therefore not a ring.
- g. $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a field because it is a subfield of \mathbb{C} . By definition, it is also a ring.
- h. $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{3})$ is a subfield of \mathbb{R} and is therefore a ring and a field.

Question: 12

Prove that $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}i] = \{a + b\sqrt{3}i : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an integral domain.

Solution: A common rule of complex numbers is that for any $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$, $|z||w| = |zw|$. Also, $\mathbb{Z}^{\sqrt{3}i} \in \mathbb{C}$, so we have that $|zw| = |z||w| \forall z, w \in \mathbb{Z}^{\sqrt{3}i}$. This means that if $z, w \neq 0$, then $|z|, |w| \neq 0$, and therefore $|z||w| = |zw| \neq 0$. So, $\mathbb{Z}^{\sqrt{3}i}$ has no zero divisors and is therefore an integral domain. ☺

Question: 24

Let R be a ring with a collection of subrings $\{R_\alpha\}$. Prove that $\bigcap R_\alpha$ is a subring of R . Give an example to show that the union of two subrings is not necessarily a subring.

Solution: Let $r, s \in \bigcap R_\alpha$. This means that $r, s \in R_\alpha$, so $rs, (r - s) \in R_\alpha$. Thus, $rs, (r - s) \in \bigcap R_\alpha$. So $\bigcap R_\alpha$ is a subring of R . ☺

An example of the union of two subrings not being a subring is how $2\mathbb{Z}$ and $3\mathbb{Z}$ are both subrings of \mathbb{Z} , but $2\mathbb{Z} \cup 3\mathbb{Z}$ is not a subring of \mathbb{Z} . We can see this because $2, 3 \in 2\mathbb{Z} \cup 3\mathbb{Z}$, but $2 + 3 = 5 \notin 2\mathbb{Z} \cup 3\mathbb{Z}$.

Question: 30

Let R be a ring with the identity 1_R and S a subring of R with identity 1_S . Prove or disprove that $1_R = 1_S$.

Solution: I will disprove this. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_6$ and $S = \{0, 3\}$. S is a subring of R . S is a ring because $a + b$ and ab are both in S for all four combinations of a and b . However, we know that $1_R = 1$. But in S , we can see that $3 \times 0 = 0$ and that $3 \times 3 = 3$. So, $3 = 1_S \neq 1_R$. ☹

Question: 32

Let R be a ring. Define the center of R to be

$$Z(R) = \{a \in R : ar = ra \text{ for all } r \in R\}.$$

Prove that $Z(R)$ is a commutative subring of R .

Solution: Let $a, b \in Z(R)$. We have that $abr = arb = rab \forall r \in R$. We also have that $(a - b)r = ar - br = ra - rb = r(a - b) \forall r \in R$. Therefore, $ab, (a - b) \in Z(R)$. So, $Z(R)$ is a subring of R . By definition, the center of a ring is commutative. Therefore, $Z(R)$ is a commutative subring of R . ☺

Question: 35

Let R be a ring with identity.

- Let u be a unit in R . Define a map $i_u : R \rightarrow R$ by $r \mapsto uru^{-1}$. Prove that i_u is an automorphism of R . Such an automorphism of R is called an inner automorphism of R . Denote the set of all inner automorphisms of R by $\text{Inn}(R)$.
- Denote the set of all automorphisms of R as $\text{Aut}(R)$. Prove that $\text{Inn}(R)$ is a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(R)$.
- Let $U(R)$ be the group of units in R . Prove that the map

$$\phi : U(R) \rightarrow \text{Inn}(R)$$

defined by $u \mapsto i_u$ is a homomorphism. Determine the kernel of ϕ .

- Compute $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z})$, $\text{Inn}(\mathbb{Z})$, and $U(\mathbb{Z})$.

Solution:

a. $\forall a, b \in R$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} i_u(a)i_u(b) &= (uau^{-1})(ubu^{-1}) \\ &= ua(u^{-1}u)bu^{-1} \\ &= uabu^{-1} \\ &= i_u(ab) \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} i_u(a) + i_u(b) &= (uau^{-1}) + (ubu^{-1}) \\ &= u(a + b)u^{-1} \\ &= i_u(a + b) \end{aligned}$$

Now, for injectivity,

$$\begin{aligned} i_u(a) &= i_u(b) \\ uau^{-1} &= ubu^{-1} \\ a &= b \text{ by cancellation laws.} \end{aligned}$$

For surjectivity,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall a \in R, i_u(u^{-1}au) &= uu^{-1}auu^{-1} \\ &= (uu^{-1})a(uu^{-1}) \\ &= a \end{aligned}$$

So, i_u is a bijective homomorphism and is therefore an automorphism of R . \odot

b. We know that $e = i_e \in \text{Inn}(R)$. For closure and inverse, let $i_u, i_v \in \text{Inn}(R)$ and $r \in R$. Starting with inverse, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} i_u^{-1}(r) &= u^{-1}ru \\ &= i_{u^{-1}}(r) \end{aligned}$$

Then for closure, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} i_u \circ i_v(r) &= i_u(vrv^{-1}) \\ &= uvrv^{-1}u^{-1} \\ &= uv r (uv)^{-1} \\ &= i_{uv}(r) \in \text{Inn}(R) \end{aligned}$$

To show that $\text{Inn}(R)$ is normal in $\text{Aut}(R)$, we have to show that $i_u \circ i_v \circ i_u^{-1}(x) \in \text{Inn}(R)$ for all $u, v \in U(R)$ and $x \in R$.

$$\begin{aligned} i_u \circ i_v \circ i_u^{-1}(x) &= i_u \circ i_v(u^{-1}xu) \\ &= i_u(vu^{-1}xuv^{-1}) \\ &= uvu^{-1}xuv^{-1}u^{-1} \\ &= i_{uvu^{-1}}(x) \in \text{Inn}(R) \quad \odot \end{aligned}$$

c. Let $u, v \in U(R)$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(u) \circ \phi(v)(r) &= i_u \circ i_v(r) \\
 &= i_u(vrv^{-1}) \\
 &= uvrv^{-1}u^{-1} \\
 &= i_{uv}(r) \\
 &= \phi(uv)(r) \quad \text{☺}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ker(\phi) &= \{u \in U(R) : \phi(u) = i_u = e\} \\
 &= \{u \in U(R) : uru^{-1} = r \forall r \in R\} \\
 &= \{u \in U(R) : ur = ru \forall r \in R\} \\
 &= U(R) \cap Z(R)
 \end{aligned}$$

d. \mathbb{Z} is generated by 1 and -1. Therefore, $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{x \mapsto x, x \mapsto -x\}$.

Analyzing both automorphisms, we see that their inner automorphisms are the same. As such, $\text{Inn}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{x \mapsto x\}$. Trivially, $U(\mathbb{Z}) = \{1, -1\}$.

Question: 36

Let R and S be arbitrary rings. Show that their Cartesian product is a ring if we define addition and multiplication in $R \times S$ by

a. $(r, s) + (r', s') = (r + r', s + s')$

b. $(r, s)(r', s') = (rr', ss')$

Solution: Let $T = R \times S$ and let $(a, b), (c, d), (e, f) \in T$. To start, we know that $a + c \in R$ and $b + d \in S$. So we can demonstrate closure.

$$(a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d) \in T,$$

Now we should show associativity with addition:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a, b) + [(c, d) + (e, f)] &= (a, b) + (c + e, d + f) \\
 &= (a + c + e, b + d + f) \\
 &= (a + c, b + d) + (e, f) \\
 &= [(a, b) + (c, d)] + (e, f)
 \end{aligned}$$

If $0_R \in R$ and $0_S \in S$ and they are the identities, then we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a, b) + (0_R, 0_S) &= (a + 0_R, b + 0_S) \\
 &= (a, b) \\
 (0_R, 0_S) + (a, b) &= (0_R + a, 0_S + b) \\
 &= (a, b)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we will show that addition is commutative.

$$\begin{aligned}(a, b) + (c, d) &= (a + c, b + d) \\ &= (c + a, d + b) \\ &= (c, d) + (a, b)\end{aligned}$$

Next, we will show that multiplication is closed.

$$(a, b)(c, d) = (ac, bd) \in T$$

Next, we will show that multiplication is associative.

$$\begin{aligned}(a, b)[(c, d)(e, f)] &= (a, b)(ce, df) \\ &= (a[ce], b[df]) \\ &= ([ac]e, [bd]f) \\ &= [(a, b)(c, d)](e, f)\end{aligned}$$

Now we just need to prove left and right distributivity of multiplication over addition.

$$\begin{aligned}(a, b)[(c, d) + (e, f)] &= (a, b)(c + e, d + f) \\ &= (a[c + e], b[d + f]) \\ &= ([ac] + [ae], [bd] + [bf]) \\ &= (a, b)(e, f) + (c, d)(e, f)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[(a, b) + (c, d)](e, f) &= (a + c, b + d)(e, f) \\ &= ([a + c]e, [b + d]f) \\ &= ([ae] + [ce], [bf] + [df]) \\ &= (a, b)(e, f) + (c, d)(e, f)\end{aligned}$$