

Question: 17

Which of the following relations $f : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ define a mapping? In each case, supply a reason why f is or is not a mapping.

a. $f(p/q) = \frac{p+1}{p-2}$

b. $f(p/q) = \frac{3p}{3q}$

c. $f(p/q) = \frac{p+q}{q^2}$

d. $f(p/q) = \frac{3p^2}{7q^2} - \frac{p}{q}$

Solution:

- a. this is not a mapping because $f(1/2) = -2 \neq f(2/4) = \text{undefined}$
- b. this is a mapping because $f(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ (identity mapping)
- c. this is not a mapping because $f(1/2) = 3/4 \neq f(2/4) = 3/8$
- d. this is a mapping because $f(x) = \frac{3}{7}x^2 - x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}$

Question: 22

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$ be maps.

- b. If $g \circ f$ is onto, show that g is onto.
- c. If $g \circ f$ is one-to-one, show that f is one-to-one.
- d. If $g \circ f$ is one-to-one and f is onto, show that g is one-to-one.
- e. If $g \circ f$ is onto and g is one-to-one, show that f is onto.

Solution:

- b. We know that $g \circ f : A \rightarrow C$ and that this function is onto. Let there be a $c \in C$. Since $g \circ f$ is onto, we know that there exists $a \in A$ such that

$$(g \circ f)(a) = g(f(a)) = c.$$

Now let $f(a) = b$ for $b \in B$. This means that

$$g(f(a)) = g(b) = c$$

so g is onto.

- c. Consider $a_1, a_2 \in A$ such that $a_1 \neq a_2$. Since $g \circ f$ is one-to-one, we know that $g(f(a_1)) \neq g(f(a_2))$. Therefore, since $f(a_1) \neq f(a_2)$, f is one-to-one.
- d. Let $b_1, b_2 \in B$. We want to show that $g(b_1) = g(b_2) \implies b_1 = b_2$. Since f is onto, we know that there exists $a_1, a_2 \in A$ such that $f(a_1) = b_1$ and $f(a_2) = b_2$. So since $g \circ f$ is one-to-one and since we know that $g(f(a_1)) = g(b_1) = g(b_2) = g(f(a_2))$, we can conclude that $b_1 = b_2$ and that g is one-to-one.
- e. Let $f(a) = b$ for some $a \in A$. Then let $g(b) = c$ for some $b \in B$. Since $g \circ f$ is one-to-one, we know that there exists some $a \in A$ such that $g(f(a)) = c = g(b)$. Therefore, since g is one-to-one, $f(a) = b$, so f is onto.

Question: 24

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map with $A_1, A_2 \subset X$ and $B_1, B_2 \subset Y$.

- Prove $f(A_1 \cup A_2) = f(A_1) \cup f(A_2)$.
- Prove $f(A_1 \cap A_2) \subset f(A_1) \cap f(A_2)$. Give an example in which equality fails.
- Prove $f^{-1}(B_1 \cup B_2) = f^{-1}(B_1) \cup f^{-1}(B_2)$, where

$$f^{-1}(B) = \{x \in X : f(x) \in B\}$$

- Prove $f^{-1}(B_1 \cap B_2) = f^{-1}(B_1) \cap f^{-1}(B_2)$.
- Prove $f^{-1}(Y \setminus B_1) = X \setminus f^{-1}(B_1)$.

Solution:

- Let $y \in f(A_1 \cup A_2)$. Then there exists $x \in A_1 \cup A_2$ such that $y = f(x)$. Since $x \in A_1 \cup A_2$, we know that $x \in A_1$ or $x \in A_2$. If $x \in A_1$, then $y = f(x) = f(A_1) \subset f(A_1) \cup f(A_2)$. If $x \in A_2$, then $y = f(x) = f(A_2) \subset f(A_1) \cup f(A_2)$. So, $y \in f(A_1 \cup A_2) \implies y \in f(A_1) \cup f(A_2)$, so $(A_1 \cup A_2) \subset f(A_1) \cup f(A_2)$.

To prove equality, we need to prove the other way around. Let $y \in f(A_1) \cup f(A_2)$, or $y \in f(A_1) \vee y \in f(A_2)$. If $y \in f(A_1)$, there exists $x \in A_1$ such that $y = f(x)$. Since $x \in A_1 \subset A_1 \cup A_2$, it follows that $y \in f(A_1 \cup A_2)$. If $y \in f(A_2)$, there exists $x \in A_2$ such that $y = f(x)$. Since $x \in A_2 \subset A_1 \cup A_2$, it follows that $y \in f(A_1 \cup A_2)$. So, $y \in f(A_1) \cup f(A_2) \implies y \in f(A_1 \cup A_2)$, so $f(A_1) \cup f(A_2) \subset f(A_1 \cup A_2)$.

Since we have shown the conditions for equality, $f(A_1 \cup A_2) = f(A_1) \cup f(A_2)$. \odot

- Let $y \in f(A_1 \cap A_2)$. Then there exists $x \in A_1 \cap A_2$ such that $y = f(x)$. Since $x \in A_1$, we know that $y \in f(A_1)$. Since $x \in A_2$, we know that $y \in f(A_2)$. So, $y \in f(A_1) \cap f(A_2)$. So, $f(A_1 \cap A_2) \subset f(A_1) \cap f(A_2)$. \odot

An example where inequality doesn't hold is a function we went through in class: $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, the function $f(x) = x^2$. If we have $A_1 = \{-1, 0\}$ and $A_2 = \{0, 1\}$, then $f(A_1 \cap A_2) = \{0\}$, but $f(A_1) \cap f(A_2) = \{0, 1\}$, so they are not equal.

- Let $x \in f^{-1}(B_1 \cup B_2)$. Then $f(x) \in B_1 \cup B_2$, so $f(x) \in B_1$ or $f(x) \in B_2$. So, $x \in f^{-1}(B_1)$ or $x \in f^{-1}(B_2)$. In other words, $x \in f^{-1}(B_1) \cup f^{-1}(B_2)$. So $f^{-1}(B_1 \cup B_2) \subset f^{-1}(B_1) \cup f^{-1}(B_2)$.

Now define a new $x \in f^{-1}(B_1) \cup f^{-1}(B_2)$. Then $x \in f^{-1}(B_1)$ or $x \in f^{-1}(B_2)$. If $x \in f^{-1}(B_1)$, then $f(x) \in B_1$. If $x \in f^{-1}(B_2)$, then $f(x) \in B_2$. So, $f(x) \in B_1 \cup B_2$. So, $x \in f^{-1}(B_1 \cup B_2)$. So, $f^{-1}(B_1) \cup f^{-1}(B_2) \subset f^{-1}(B_1 \cup B_2)$.

Since we have shown the conditions for equality, $f^{-1}(B_1 \cup B_2) = f^{-1}(B_1) \cup f^{-1}(B_2)$. \odot

- Let $x \in f^{-1}(B_1 \cap B_2)$. Then $f(x) \in B_1 \cap B_2$. Since $f(x) \in B_1$, we know that $x \in f^{-1}(B_1)$. Since $f(x) \in B_2$, we know that $x \in f^{-1}(B_2)$. So, $x \in f^{-1}(B_1) \cap f^{-1}(B_2)$. So, $f^{-1}(B_1 \cap B_2) \subset f^{-1}(B_1) \cap f^{-1}(B_2)$.

Now let $x \in f^{-1}(B_1) \cap f^{-1}(B_2)$. Then $x \in f^{-1}(B_1)$ and $x \in f^{-1}(B_2)$. Since $x \in f^{-1}(B_1)$, we know that $f(x) \in B_1$. Since $x \in f^{-1}(B_2)$, we know that $f(x) \in B_2$. So, $f(x) \in B_1 \cap B_2$. So, $x \in f^{-1}(B_1 \cap B_2)$. So, $f^{-1}(B_1) \cap f^{-1}(B_2) \subset f^{-1}(B_1 \cap B_2)$.

Since we have shown the conditions for equality, $f^{-1}(B_1 \cap B_2) = f^{-1}(B_1) \cap f^{-1}(B_2)$. \odot

- Let $x \in f^{-1}(Y \setminus B_1)$. Then $f(x) \in Y \setminus B_1 \implies f(x) \notin B_1$ or that $x \notin f^{-1}(B_1)$. We also know $x \in X$ because of the definition of the function f . So, $x \in X \setminus f^{-1}(B_1)$ and $f^{-1}(Y \setminus B_1) \subset X \setminus f^{-1}(B_1)$.

Now let $x \in X \setminus f^{-1}(B_1)$. Then $x \notin f^{-1}(B_1) \implies f(x) \notin B_1$. Also $f(x) \in Y$ by definition of the function, so $x \in f^{-1}(Y)$. So, $f^{-1}(Y \setminus B_1) \subset X \setminus f^{-1}(B_1)$.

Since we have shown the conditions for equality, $f^{-1}(Y \setminus B_1) = X \setminus f^{-1}(B_1)$. \odot

Question: 25

Determine whether or not the following relations are equivalence relations on the given set. If the relation is an equivalence relation, describe the partition given by it. If the relation is not an equivalence relation, state why it fails to be one.

- $x \sim y$ in \mathbb{R} if $x \geq y$
- $m \sim n$ in \mathbb{Z} if $mn > 0$
- $x \sim y$ in \mathbb{R} if $\|x - y\| \leq 4$
- $m \sim n$ in \mathbb{Z} if $m \equiv n \pmod{6}$

Solution:

- This is not an equivalence relation because it is not reflexive. For example, $0 \not\geq 1$ but $1 \geq 0$.
- This is not an equivalence relation because $0 \not\sim 0$, so it is not symmetric.
- This is not an equivalence relation because transitivity does not hold. For example, $0 \sim 4$ and $4 \sim 8$ but $0 \not\sim 8$.
- This is an equivalence relation and the partitions is described by the group $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$.

Question: 26

Define a relation \sim on \mathbb{R}^2 by stating that $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$ if and only if $a^2 + b^2 \leq c^2 + d^2$. Show that \sim is reflexive and transitive by not symmetric.

Solution:

Reflexive: We want to see if $(a, a) \sim (a, a)$. This means we have to check if $a^2 + a^2 \leq a^2 + a^2$. This is true because they are equal, so \sim is reflexive.

Transitive: Let $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$ and $(c, d) \sim (e, f)$. This means that $a^2 + b^2 \leq c^2 + d^2$ and $c^2 + d^2 \leq e^2 + f^2$. We want to show that $a^2 + b^2 \leq e^2 + f^2$. We can do this by multiplying both sides of the equation given by the $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$ relation by $e^2 + f^2$ and then dividing by $c^2 + d^2$. This gives us $a^2 + b^2 \leq e^2 + f^2$.

Symmetric: We want to show that if $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$, then $(c, d) \not\sim (a, b)$. We assume the first statement is true, which means that $a^2 + b^2 \leq c^2 + d^2$. Since \leq is not an equivalence relation (because it isn't symmetric) we cannot say that $c^2 + d^2 \leq a^2 + b^2$. Therefore, \sim is not symmetric.

Addendum: it is worth noting that the statement $c^2 + d^2 \leq a^2 + b^2$ can be true but iff $a^2 + b^2 = c^2 + d^2$.