# **Results - 17/07/24**

| Model  | Average<br>Permissive<br>Accuracy | Average Exact<br>Accuracy | ROUGE Score | BLEU Score | METEOR Score | BERTScore<br>Precision | BERTScore<br>Recall |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| LLAMA2-7B<br>chat baseline   |                                   |                           |             |            |              |                        |                     |
| LLAMA3-8B<br>baseline  | 0.33                              | 0.05                      | 0.20        | 0.03       | 0.19         | 0.836                  | 0.876               |
| LLAMA2-7B<br>chat Fine tuned   | 0.46                              | 0.00                      | 0.15        | 0.05       | 0.21         | 0.778                  | 0.892               |
| LLAMA3-8B<br>Fine tuned  | 0.67                              | 0.15                      | 0.36        | 0.06       | 0.31         | 0.850                  | 0.919               |
| LLAMA3-8B<br>few shot + cot  | 0.59                              | 0.15                      | 0.36        | 0.07       | 0.31         | 0.854                  | 0.917               |
| LLAMA3-8B<br>Selective Few<br>Shot Prompting   | 0.64                              | 0.11                      | 0.27        | 0.06       | 0.28         | 0.809                  | 0.913               |
| LLAMA3-8B<br>Selective Few<br>Shot<br>Prompting:<br>with random<br>examples and<br>not selective | 0.64                              | 0.11                      | 0.27        | 0.06       | 0.28         | 0.807                  | 0.912               |
| LLAMA3-8B<br>{Zero shot, one<br>shot, five shot<br>}   | Seperate table                    |                           |             |            |              |                        |                     |
| Tool use<br>Langchain [150<br>samples]   | -                                 | 0.75                      | 0.30        | 0.23       | 0.33         | 0.822                  | 0.878               |

## requirements.txt

| Prompt Type | Average<br>Permissive<br>Accuracy | Average Exact<br>Accuracy | ROUGE Score | BLEU Score | METEOR Score | BERTScore<br>Precision | BERTScore<br>Recall |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Zero-shot   | 0.58                              | 0.16                      | 0.39        | 0.06       | 0.29         | 0.872                  | 0.917               |
| One-shot    | 0.58                              | 0.15                      | 0.37        | 0.06       | 0.28         | 0.869                  | 0.915               |
| Two-shot    | 0.58                              | 0.15                      | 0.37        | 0.06       | 0.28         | 0.856                  | 0.901               |
| Three-shot  | 0.45                              | 0.04                      | 0.22        | 0.06       | 0.23         | 0.650                  | 0.695               |
| Four-shot   | 0.43                              | 0.04                      | 0.20        | 0.06       | 0.22         | 0.598                  | 0.640               |
| Five-shot   | 0.39                              | 0.03                      | 0.18        | 0.06       | 0.20         | 0.517                  | 0.554               |

Langchain:

Results - 17/07/24

Processing queries: 100%| 150/150 [00:00<00:00, 383.10it/s]

Average Accuracy: 0.75 ROUGE Score: 0.30 BLEU Score: 0.23 METEOR Score: 0.33

BERTScore Precision: 0.822 BERTScore Recall: 0.878 BERTScore F1: 0.849

GLEU Score: 0.23

#### examples:

Context: Cloud & Cognitive Software \* Recast to reflect segment changes. \*\* 2019 results were impacted by Red...

Question: What caused the increase in Cloud & Cognitive Software revenue in 2019?

Prediction: The increase in Cloud & Cognitive Software revenue in 2019 was caused by: There wa s strong growth in Cloud & Data Platforms, as reported and at constant currency, driven primar ily by the acquisition of Red Hat in the third quarter of 2019. Red Hat had continued strong p erformance since the acquisition, in Red Hat Enterprise Linux (RHEL), application development and emerging technologies, led by OpenShift and Ansible.

Reference: There was strong growth in Cloud & Data Platforms, as reported and at constant curr ency, driven primarily by the acquisition of Red Hat in the third quarter of 2019. Red Hat had continued strong performance since the acquisition, in Red Hat Enterprise Linux (RHEL), applic ation development and emerging technologies, led by OpenShift and Ansible.

Accuracy: 1

-----

Context: Cloud & Cognitive Software \* Recast to reflect segment changes. \*\* 2019 results were impacted by Red...

Question: What percentage of Cloud & Cognitive Software external revenue was Transaction Proce ssing Platforms in 2019?

Prediction: Percentage of Cloud & Cognitive Software external revenue that was Transaction Pro cessing Platforms in 2019: 34.21%

Reference: 34.21 Accuracy: 1

### Context: .

s&p supercap data processing & outsourced 100.00 68.26 99.41 97.33 118.68 151.90 item 6 . sele

Question: what is the roi of an investment in fidelity national information services from 2007

Prediction: ROI of an investment in Fidelity National Information Services from 2007 to 2008: -29.92

Reference: -29.9% Accuracy: 0

Results - 17/07/24

Context: united parcel service , inc . and subsidiaries notes to consolidated financial statem ents 2014 ( con...

Question: what portion of the balance of unrecognized tax benefits as of december 2017 will im pact the effective tax rate?

Prediction: Portion of unrecognized tax benefits impacting the effective tax rate: 37.74647887

323944

Reference: 37.7% Accuracy: 0

-----

Context: table of contents totaled an absolute notional equivalent of \$292.3\$ million and <math>\$19\$ 0.5\$ million , r...

Question: what portion of the presented investments is due within 24 months? Prediction: Portion of investments due within 24 months: 74.15836590593872

Reference: 74.2% Accuracy: 0

-----

Context: 30. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS (cont.) The above sensitivities are hypothetical and shoul d be used with ...

Question: How much would the pension change if there is a 1% increase and a 1% decrease respec tively in the future salary growth?

Prediction: Pension change with a 1% increase in future salary growth: \$7572, and with a 1% de crease in future salary growth: \$-6919

Reference: \$7,572, \$(6,919)

Accuracy: 0

---- Results for Ilama 3.1 8b fine tuned ----- Average Accuracy with Leniency: 0.67

Average Exact Accuracy: 0.13

ROUGE Score: 0.31 BLEU Score: 0.06 METEOR Score: 0.30 BERTScore Precision: 0.830 BERTScore Recall: 0.918 BERTScore F1: 0.870 GLEU Score: 0.06

• Fine tuned on with 1 relevant example---- Results for 1 relevant example prompt-----

Average Permissive Accuracy: 0.62

Average Exact Accuracy: 0.12

ROUGE Score: 0.32 BLEU Score: 0.06 METEOR Score: 0.28 BERTScore Precision: 0.841 BERTScore Recall: 0.914

Results - 17/07/24 3

BERTScore F1: 0.874 GLEU Score: 0.06

• Fine tuned on with 1 random example ---- Results for 1 random example prompt -----

Average Permissive Accuracy: 0.63 Average Exact Accuracy: 0.13

ROUGE Score: 0.33
BLEU Score: 0.06
METEOR Score: 0.29
BERTScore Precision: 0.840
BERTScore Recall: 0.915
BERTScore F1: 0.874
GLEU Score: 0.06

• Fine tuned with relevant, random, zero and relevant+random combined examples ---- Results 1 -----

Example type distribution:

both: 341

relevant\_only: 304 random\_only: 325

none: 317

Average Permissive Accuracy: 0.61 Average Exact Accuracy: 0.14

ROUGE Score: 0.34
BLEU Score: 0.06
METEOR Score: 0.28
BERTScore Precision: 0.852
BERTScore Recall: 0.916
BERTScore F1: 0.881
GLEU Score: 0.07

- Fine tuned with relevant, random, zero and relevant+random combined examples ----
- Results 2 -----

Example type distribution:

both: 147

relevant\_only: 324 random\_only: 499

none: 317

Average Permissive Accuracy: 0.61 Average Exact Accuracy: 0.14

ROUGE Score: 0.34
BLEU Score: 0.06
METEOR Score: 0.28
BERTScore Precision: 0.853
BERTScore Recall: 0.915
BERTScore F1: 0.881
GLEU Score: 0.07

- Fine tuned with relevant, random, zero and relevant+random combined examples----
- Results 3-----

Example type distribution:

both: 338

relevant\_only: 616 random\_only: 197

none: 136

Results - 17/07/24 4

Average Permissive Accuracy: 0.61 Average Exact Accuracy: 0.14

ROUGE Score: 0.35
BLEU Score: 0.06
METEOR Score: 0.28
BERTScore Precision: 0.855
BERTScore Recall: 0.916
BERTScore F1: 0.883
GLEU Score: 0.07

```
#inference type selection
example_type_choices = ['both', 'relevant_only', 'random_only', 'none']
Result 1: example_type_weights = [0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25]
Result 2: example_type_weights = [0.10, 0.25, 0.40, 0.25]
Result 3: example_type_weights = [0.25, 0.50, 0.15, 0.10]
```

## **Prompting Analysis**

```
Processing completed. 221 samples were filtered out.

Total samples selected: 1000
Remaining samples: 779
Percentage of samples with execution errors: 31.58%
Average Permissive Accuracy: 0.23
Average Exact Accuracy: 0.18
ROUGE Score: 0.25
BLEU Score: 0.05
METEOR Score: 0.14
BERTScore Precision: 0.841
BERTScore Recall: 0.874
BERTScore F1: 0.856
GLEU Score: 0.06
Results have been saved to filtered_test_data_1000.json
```

Results - 17/07/24